VOLUME 2, ISSUE 13

NOVEMBER 19 - 25, 2019



SPORTS.DRF.COM



GREEN BAY VISITS SAN FRANCISCO IN A CRUCIAL NFC SHOWDOWN



PACKERS **49ERS**

Plus: POWER RATINGS FOR COLLEGE AND PRO INSIGHT ON ALL 14 WEEK 12 NFL GAMES BREAKDOWN OF 56 COLLEGE MATCHUPS

ISSUE #13 • NOVEMBER 19 - NOVEMBER 25, 2019

| StatFox NFL Power Rating Lines | |
|---|----|
| NFL Best Bets / StatFox Staff Selections | |
| StatFox NFL Outplay Factor Rating Lines | |
| NFL Game-by-Game Breakdowns | 4 |
| NFL Top Statistical Edges | 7 |
| College Football Best Bets / StatFox Staff Selections | |
| College Football Week 13 Rotation Schedule | 9 |
| StatFox College Football Power Rating Lines | 11 |
| StatFox College Football Outplay Factor Rating Lines | |
| College Football Game-by-Game Breakdowns | 13 |
| College Football Top Statistical Edges | |

StatFox NFL Power Rating Lines - Week 12

** The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line PR - StatFox Power Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

| Thu - 11/21,8:20 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---------------------|------|----|-----|------|-----|---------------------|----|----|-----|------|-----|---------------------------------|--------|-----|-------|------|-----|
| 109 INDIANAPOLIS | | 22 | | 1.5 | | 251 MIAMI | | 6 | | | | 253 DENVER | | 18 | | 2.5 | |
| 110 HOUSTON | -5.5 | 26 | -4 | | | 252 CLEVELAND | -9 | 19 | -13 | 4 | | 254 BUFFALO | -5.5 | 21 | -3 | | |
| Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 255 PITTSBURGH | -7 | 23 | -14 | 7 | | 257 NY GIANTS | | 10 | | | | 259 OAKLAND | -3 | 16 | -3 | | |
| 256 CINCINNATI | | 9 | | | | 258 CHICAGO | -7 | 25 | -15 | 8 | | 260 NY JETS | | 13 | | 0 | |
| Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 261 CAROLINA | | 17 | | | | 263 TAMPA BAY | | 17 | | | | 265 DETROIT | -2 | 19 | -10 | 8 | |
| 262 NEW ORLEANS | -6.5 | 27 | -10 | 3.5 | | 264 ATLANTA | -3 | 26 | -9 | 6 | | 266 WASHINGTON | | 9 | | | |
| Sun - 11/24,4:05 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,4:25 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,8:20 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 267 JACKSONVILLE | | 13 | | | | 269 DALLAS | | 25 | | | | 271 GREEN BAY | | 23 | | | |
| 268 TENNESSEE | -2.5 | 23 | -10 | 7.5 | | 270 NEW ENGLAND | -7 | 36 | -11 | 4 | | 272 SAN FRANCISCO | -3.5 | 28 | -5 | 1.5 | |
| Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Mon - 11/25,8:15 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | 1. DETROIT (-2) over WASHINGTON | | | | | 8 |
| 273 SEATTLE | | 24 | | 2 | | 275 BALTIMORE | -1 | 34 | -6 | 5 | | 2. CHICAGO (-7) over NY GIANTS | | | | | |
| 274 PHILADELPHIA | -3 | 25 | -1 | | | 276 LA RAMS | | 28 | | | | 3. TENNESSEE (-2.5) ove | r JACk | SON | VILLE | | 7.5 |

Statfox presents the week's staff picks

| WEEK 12 NFL MATCHUPS: NOVEMBER 21 - NOVEMBER | 25 | StatFox | StatFox | StatFox | StatFox | StatFox | StatFox |
|---|------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|
| (BB) = Best Bet | LINE | TIM | GARY | SCOTT | BRIAN | FORECASTER | CONSENSUS |
| Indianapolis Colts at Houston Texans | -3 | HOUSTON | INDIANAPOLIS | HOUSTON | HOUSTON (BB) | INDIANAPOLIS | HOUSTON |
| Miami Dolphins at Cleveland Browns | -11 | CLEVELAND | MIAMI (BB) | MIAMI | CLEVELAND | CLEVELAND | CLEVELAND |
| Denver Broncos at Buffalo Bills | -4½ | BUFFALO (BB) | DENVER | BUFFALO (BB) | BUFFALO | DENVER | BUFFALO |
| Pittsburgh Steelers at Cincinnati Bengals | +7 | PITTSBURGH | PITTSBURGH | CINCINNATI | PITTSBURGH (BB) | CINCINNATI | PITTSBURGH |
| New York Giants at Chicago Bears | -6½ | CHICAGO | NY GIANTS (BB) | NY GIANTS (BB) | CHICAGO | NY GIANTS | NY GIANTS |
| Oakland Raiders at New York Jets | +3 | OAKLAND (BB) | NY JETS | OAKLAND | OAKLAND | OAKLAND | OAKLAND |
| • Carolina Panthers at New Orleans Saints | -10 | CAROLINA | CAROLINA (BB) | NEW ORLEANS | NEW ORLEANS | CAROLINA | CAROLINA |
| • Tampa Bay Buccaneers at Atlanta Falcons | -4 | TAMPA BAY (BB) | TAMPA BAY | ATLANTA | TAMPA BAY (BB) | ATLANTA | TAMPA BAY |
| • Detroit Lions at Washington Redskins | +3½ | DETROIT (BB) | WASHINGTON | WASHINGTON | DETROIT | DETROIT | DETROIT |
| • Jacksonville Jaguars at Tennessee Titans | -3 | TENNESSEE | JACKSONVILLE (BB) | JACKSONVILLE (BB) | TENNESSEE | TENNESSEE | TENNESSEE |
| • Dallas Cowboys at New England Patriots | -5½ | NEW ENGLAND | DALLAS (BB) | DALLAS | DALLAS (BB) | NEW ENGLAND | DALLAS |
| Green Bay Packers at San Francisco 49ers | -3 | GREEN BAY (BB) | GREEN BAY | GREEN BAY (BB) | SAN FRANCISCO | GREEN BAY | GREEN BAY |
| • Seattle Seahawks at Philadelphia Eagles | -1½ | SEATTLE | PHILADELPHIA | SEATTLE (BB) | SEATTLE (BB) | PHILADELPHIA | SEATTLE |
| • Baltimore Ravens at Los Angeles Rams | +3½ | BALTIMORE | LA RAMS | LA RAMS | LA RAMS | LA RAMS | LA RAMS |

Statfox NFL Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 12

** The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They OL - Opening Line are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating adjusted for home field advantage.

OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Line

| Thu - 11/21,8:20 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---------------------|------|----|-----|------|-----|---------------------|----|----|-----|------|-----|---------------------------------|--------|-------|-----|------|-----|
| 109 INDIANAPOLIS | | 21 | | 0.5 | | 251 MIAMI | | 5 | | | | 253 DENVER | | 18 | | 1.5 | |
| 110 HOUSTON | -5.5 | 26 | -5 | | | 252 CLEVELAND | -9 | 22 | -17 | 8.0 | | 254 BUFFALO | -5.5 | 22 | -4 | | |
| Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
| 255 PITTSBURGH | -7 | 26 | -13 | 6.0 | | 257 NY GIANTS | | 12 | | | | 259 OAKLAND | -3 | 17 | -3 | | |
| 256 CINCINNATI | | 13 | | | | 258 CHICAGO | -7 | 24 | -12 | 5.0 | | 260 NY JETS | | 14 | | 0.0 | |
| Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
| 261 CAROLINA | | 18 | | | | 263 TAMPA BAY | | 18 | 1 | | | 265 DETROIT | -2 | 18 | -6 | 4.0 | |
| 262 NEW ORLEANS | -6.5 | 27 | -9 | 2.5 | | 264 ATLANTA | -3 | 22 | -4 | 1.0 | | 266 WASHINGTON | | 12 | | | |
| Sun - 11/24,4:05 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,4:25 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sun - 11/24,8:20 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
| 267 JACKSONVILLE | | 15 | | | | 269 DALLAS | | 27 | | | | 271 GREEN BAY | | 27 | | | |
| 268 TENNESSEE | -2.5 | 23 | -8 | 5.5 | | 270 NEW ENGLAND | -7 | 40 | -13 | 6.0 | | 272 SAN FRANCISCO | -3.5 | 31 | -4 | 0.5 | |
| Sun - 11/24,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Mon - 11/25,8:15 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | 1. CLEVELAND (-9) over MIAMI | | | | | 8 |
| 273 SEATTLE | | 26 | -1 | 4.0 | | 275 BALTIMORE | -1 | 33 | -6 | 5.0 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (-7) over DALLAS | | | | | 6 |
| 274 PHILADELPHIA | -3 | 25 | | | | 276 LA RAMS | | 27 | | | | 3. PITTSBURGH (-7) over | CINCIN | INATI | | | 6 |

2019 NFL STAFF PICKS STANDINGS

| All Games | w | L | т | Pct | Last Week | Best Bets | w | L | т | Pct | Last Week |
|--------------------|----|----|---|-------|-----------|---------------|----|----|---|-------|-----------|
| StatFox Tim | 81 | 79 | 2 | 50.6% | 7-7 | StatFox Scott | 23 | 32 | 0 | 41.8% | 2-3 |
| StatFox Brian | 77 | 83 | 2 | 48.1% | 9-5 | StatFox Brian | 22 | 32 | 1 | 40.7% | 2-3 |
| StatFox Gary | 77 | 83 | 2 | 48.1% | 5-9 | StatFox Tim | 22 | 33 | 0 | 40.0% | 1-4 |
| StatFox Scott | 74 | 86 | 2 | 46.3% | 8-6 | StatFox Gary | 20 | 34 | 1 | 37.0% | 0-5 |
| StatFox Forecaster | 73 | 87 | 2 | 45.6% | 8-6 | | | | | | |
| StatFox Consensus | 69 | 91 | 2 | 43.1% | 8-6 | | | | | | |

(109) INDIANAPOLIS (SU: 6-4, ATS: 5-5) at (110) HOUSTON (-3) (SU: 6-4, ATS: 5-5) - Thursday, 11/21/2019 8:20 PM

| | • | | | | Т ^с | eam Offense | | | - | | | Team Defense | | | |
|--------------|------|----|-----|----|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| INDIANAPOLIS | +2.1 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 31-141 (4.6) | 33-20-203 (6.2) | 64-344 (5.4) | 1-1 | 21 | 19 | 22-97 (4.3) | 35-24-229 (6.6) | 57-326 (5.7) | 0-1 | -3 |
| HOUSTON | +1.3 | 21 | 24 | 23 | 28-141 (5.0) | 33-23-240 (7.2) | 61-381 (6.2) | 1-1 | 23 | 21 | 22-102 (4.7) | 38-25-272 (7.1) | 60-374 (6.2) | 1-0 | +1 |

INDIANAPOLIS: 9-1 UNDER when playing against a team with a winning record in the second half of the season over the L3 seasons....21-7 OVER away when playing against a marginal winning team (Win Pct. 51% to 60%) since 1992....9-1 UNDER vs. awful passing defenses - allowing a comp. pct. of 64% or worse in the second half of the season over the L3 seasons....103-62 OVER away after the first month of the season since 1992.

HOUSTON: 16-2 ATS at home revenging a loss where opponent scored 28 or more points since 1992....13-2 ATS at home after allowing 400 or more total yards/game in their last 3 games since 1992....18-5 OVER off 3 or more consecutive unders since 1992....20-7 ATS after allowing 400 or more total yards/game in their last 3 games since 1992....14-6 UNDER against conference opponents over the L2 seasons.

Betting System: Favorites - revenging a close loss by 7 points or less to opponent, off a road loss. (46-15 ATS) Play = HOUSTON against the spread

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: HOUSTON is 3-7 ATS (4-8 SU) vs. INDIANAPOLIS (0-5 ATS, 1-5 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: HOUSTON 23, INDIANAPOLIS 21

(251) MIAMI (SU: 2-8, ATS: 5-5) at (252) CLEVELAND (-11) (SU: 4-6, ATS: 3-6) - Sunday, 11/24/2019 1:00 PM

| | | | | | Т | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|-----------|-------|----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| MIAMI | -16.6 | 21 | 14 | 17 | 20-60 (3.0) | 36-22-203 (5.6) | 56-263 (4.7) | 1-1 | 30 | 22 | 32-148 (4.7) | 32-20-246 (7.8) | 64-394 (6.2) | 0-1 | -13 |
| CLEVELAND | -3.6 | 23 | 19 | 18 | 25-122 (4.9) | 34-20-221 (6.4) | 59-343 (5.8) | 0-1 | 23 | 20 | 27-127 (4.8) | 33-20-217 (6.6) | 60-344 (5.7) | 0-1 | -4 |

MIAMI: 8-0 UNDER after a loss by 14 or more points over the L2 seasons....20-7 UNDER as an underdog of 10 or more points since 1992....15-4 UNDER as a road underdog of 10.5 to 14 points since 1992....246-202 UNDER in all lined games since 1992....56-32 UNDER in a road game where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points since 1992.

CLEVELAND: 28-9 UNDER vs. awful passing defenses - allowing a comp. pct. of 64% or worse in the second half of the season since 1992....21-5 UNDER at home versus poor rushing teams - averaging <=90 rushing yards/game since 1992....26-55 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 4 or 5 out of their last 6 games since 1992....112-81 UNDER in home lined games since 1992.

Betting System: Any team where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - after 1 or more consecutive wins, a marginal losing team (40% to 49%) playing a losing team in the second half of the season. (29-7 Under) Play = Under the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: CLEVELAND is 1-0 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. MIAMI (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: CLEVELAND 30, MIAMI 15

(253) DENVER (SU: 3-7, ATS: 6-4) at (254) BUFFALO (-4.5) (SU: 7-3, ATS: 6-3) - Sunday, 11/24/2019 1:00 PM

| | | | | | Т | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|---------|------|----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-----|----|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| DENVER | -2.5 | 21 | 17 | 18 | 26-113 (4.3) | 32-20-207 (6.4) | 58-320 (5.5) | 0-1 | 20 | 18 | 26-100 (3.9) | 33-22-210 (6.4) | 59-310 (5.3) | 0-0 | -2 |
| BUFFALO | +4.1 | 15 | 21 | 21 | 28-129 (4.6) | 33-20-217 (6.5) | 61-346 (5.7) | 0-1 | 17 | 18 | 24-106 (4.4) | 35-22-198 (5.7) | 59-304 (5.2) | 1-1 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | <u>م</u> - ۵ | | | 0 | a su su de la Ostar | alet energy and | 41 | 10 |

DENVER: 16-3 UNDER against conference opponents over the L2 seasons....8-0 UNDER after allowing 99 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games over the L2 seasons....9-1 UNDER when playing against a team with a winning record over the L2 seasons....19-7 UNDER in all lined games over the L2 seasons....8-1 UNDER away against conference opponents over the L2 seasons....66-39 OVER off a non-conference game since 1992.

BUFFALO: 46-77 ATS after covering the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games since 1992....60-37 OVER as a favorite of 3.5 to 9.5 points since 1992....29-15 OVER at home after having won 4 or 5 out of their last 6 games since 1992....12-27 ATS off a win by 10 points or more over a division rival since 1992....11-3 UNDER vs. good passing teams with a completion pct. of 61% or better. over the L2 seasons.

Betting System: Underdogs of 3.5 to 10 points - after covering the spread in 3 out of their last 4 games, team with a losing record in the second half of the season. (37-12 ATS) Play = DENVER against the spread

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: BUFFALO is 2-0 ATS (1-1 SU) vs. DENVER (1-0 ATS, 1-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: BUFFALO 20, DENVER 16

(255) PITTSBURGH (-7) (SU: 5-5, ATS: 5-4) at (256) CINCINNATI (SU: 0-10, ATS: 4-6) - Sunday, 11/24/2019 1:00 PM

| • | | | , | | í í í 1 | eam Offense | - | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|------------|-------|----|-----|----|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| PITTSBURGH | -0.2 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 23-81 (3.5) | 34-22-203 (5.9) | 57-284 (5.0) | 1-1 | 20 | 21 | 28-105 (3.7) | 35-22-223 (6.3) | 63-328 (5.2) | 1-1 | +9 |
| CINCINNATI | -12.9 | 23 | 15 | 19 | 21-81 (3.8) | 40-23-228 (5.7) | 61-309 (5.1) | 1-1 | 28 | 22 | 34-167 (5.0) | 29-20-258 (8.8) | 63-425 (6.7) | 0-0 | -11 |

PITTSBURGH: 13-1 UNDER away against conference opponents over the L3 seasons....11-0 UNDER away against teams who force 1 or less turnovers/game in the second half of the season since 1992....16-3 UNDER in road lined games over the L3 seasons....11-1 UNDER away after the first month of the season over the L3 seasons....17-4 UNDER away against teams who force 1 or less turnovers/game on the season since 1992.

CINCINNATI: 0-6 ATS off 1 or more consecutive unders this season....13-3 OVER at home after 6 or more consecutive losses since 1992....31-13 OVER at home after being outgained by opp by 100 or more total yards last game since 1992....37-20 OVER at home revenging a road loss against opponent since 1992....10-23 ATS at home off a cover where the team lost as an underdog since 1992.

Betting System: Home teams against the total - with a horrible scoring defense - allowing 27 or more points/game, after scoring 17 points or less in 4 straight games. (37-11 Under) Play = Under the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: CINCINNATI is 3-8 ATS (1-11 SU) vs. PITTSBURGH (1-4 ATS, 0-6 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: PITTSBURGH 21, CINCINNATI 18

(257) NY GIANTS (SU: 2-8, ATS: 3-7) at (258) CHICAGO (-6.5) (SU: 4-6, ATS: 3-7) - Sunday, 11/24/2019 1:00 PM

| | | | | | 1 | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|-----------|------|----|-----|----|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| NY GIANTS | -8.6 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 21-94 (4.4) | 39-24-228 (5.9) | 60-322 (5.4) | 1-1 | 29 | 20 | 30-123 (4.0) | 31-22-259 (8.3) | 61-382 (6.3) | 0-1 | -12 |
| CHICAGO | -0.5 | 21 | 17 | 17 | 23-80 (3.5) | 35-22-183 (5.3) | 58-263 (4.5) | 0-1 | 17 | 20 | 26-96 (3.6) | 36-24-227 (6.3) | 62-323 (5.2) | 1-1 | +4 |
| | | | e | | | | | | | | | | | | |

NY GIANTS: 83-49 UNDER after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games since 1992....0-7 ATS after 3 straight games where 50 total points or more were scored since 1992....43-22 ATS away vs. excellent punt coverage teams, allowing <= 7.5 yards per return since 1992....10-3 OVER in road lined games over the L2 seasons....64-43 UNDER off a non-conference game since 1992.

CHICAGO: 9-0 UNDER at home vs. very bad defensive teams who give up 27 or more points/game in the second half of the season since 1992....7-20 ATS at home against NFC East division opponents since 1992....18-5 UNDER when playing against a terrible team (Win Pct. <=25%) in the second half of the season since 1992.

Betting System: Road underdogs or pick - after 6 or more consecutive losses, in weeks 10 through 13. (38-13 ATS) Play = NY GIANTS against the spread Series History – Last 5 Seasons: CHICAGO is 1-1 ATS (0-2 SU) vs. NY GIANTS (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: CHICAGO 22, NY GIANTS 17

(259) OAKLAND (-3) (SU: 6-4, ATS: 6-4) at (260) NY JETS (SU: 3-7, ATS: 4-6) - Sunday, 11/24/2019 1:00 PM

| | | | | | Т | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|---------|------|----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| OAKLAND | -2.5 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 28-128 (4.5) | 31-23-244 (7.8) | 59-372 (6.3) | 0-0 | 25 | 22 | 25-106 (4.2) | 34-22-262 (7.6) | 59-368 (6.2) | 0-1 | +2 |
| NY JETS | -9.1 | 19 | 16 | 15 | 22-72 (3.2) | 31-20-176 (5.6) | 53-248 (4.7) | 1-1 | 26 | 20 | 26-79 (3.0) | 38-24-253 (6.7) | 64-332 (5.2) | 1-1 | -6 |

OAKLAND: 41-83 ATS when playing against a team with a losing record since 1992....33-69 ATS vs. excellent punt coverage teams, allowing <= 7.5 yards per return since 1992....18-44 ATS vs. excellent punt coverage teams, allowing <= 7.5 yards per return in the second half of the season since 1992....10-25 ATS away after covering the spread in 4 or 5 out of their last 6 games since 1992.

Betting System: Road teams - after covering the spread in 4 or 5 out of their last 6 games, good team, winning 60-75% or more of their games on the season. (264-374 ATS) Play = NY JETS against the spread

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: NY JETS is 0-3 ATS (1-2 SU) vs. OAKLAND (0-1 ATS, 1-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: OAKLAND 24, NY JETS 19

(261) CAROLINA (SU: 5-5, ATS: 5-5) at (262) NEW ORLEANS (-10) (SU: 8-2, ATS: 7-3) - Sunday, 11/24/2019 1:00 PM

| | | | | | T | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|-------------|------|----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|----|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| CAROLINA | -2.9 | 21 | 23 | 20 | 25-126 (5.1) | 37-22-220 (5.9) | 62-346 (5.6) | 1-1 | 26 | 22 | 26-128 (4.9) | 36-23-234 (6.5) | 62-362 (5.8) | 1-1 | 0 |
| NEW ORLEANS | +3.9 | 21 | 24 | 21 | 25-108 (4.3) | 37-26-256 (7.0) | 62-364 (5.9) | 0-0 | 20 | 18 | 20-85 (4.2) | 37-22-233 (6.3) | 57-318 (5.6) | 1-1 | +8 |

CAROLINA: 27-11 ATS after trailing in their previous game by 14 or more points at the half since 1992....120-90 ATS as an underdog since 1992.....82-59 ATS as a road underdog since 1992.....85-60 UNDER off a division game since 1992....36-19 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 3 out of their last 4 games since 1992.....23-11 ATS off a loss by 10 points or more to a division rival since 1992.

Betting System: Any team where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - after a game where they committed no turnovers against opponent after a game where they committed 3 or more turnovers. (49-16 Under) Play = Under the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: NEW ORLEANS is 7-4 ATS (6-5 SU) vs. CAROLINA (3-3 ATS, 3-3 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: NEW ORLEANS 25, CAROLINA 20

(263) TAMPA BAY (SU: 3-7, ATS: 2-8) at (264) ATLANTA (-4) (SU: 3-7, ATS: 3-6) - Sunday, 11/24/2019 1:00 PM

| | | | | | - | | Team Defense | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------|------|-----|------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | f SS | PPG | i FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| TAMPA BAY | -3.6 | 20 | 28 | 23 | 24-95 (3.9) | 41-24-286 (7.0) | 65-381 (5.9) | 1-2 | 31 | 22 | 23-81 (3.5) | 40-26-290 (7.2) | 63-371 (5.9) | 1-1 | -10 |
| ΔΤΙ ΔΝΙΤΔ | _1 2 | 22 | 22 | 24 | $21_7/(3.6)$ | 11-29-300 (7 3) | 62-374 (6 0) | 1_1 | 26 | 22 | 28-108 (3.0) | 35-24-262 (7 6) | 63-370 (5.9) | 0_1 | -8 |

TAMPA BAY: 0-11 ATS off 2 or more consecutive overs over the L2 seasons....11-0 OVER away off 1 or more straight overs over the L3 seasons....0-9 ATS after allowing 25 points or more in 2 straight games over the L2 seasons....11-0 OVER away after a playing a game where 50 total points or more were scored over the L3 seasons....0-8 ATS off 3 or more consecutive overs over the L2 seasons...

ATLANTA: 2-19 ATS at home after allowing 17 points or less in 2 straight games since 1992....0-8 ATS at home after allowing 14 points or less in 2 straight games since 1992....10-1 UNDER vs. good offensive teams - scoring 24 or more points/game in the second half of the season over the L3 seasons....24-9 UNDER off 4 or more consecutive unders since 1992....8-17 ATS in all lined games over the L2 seasons.

Betting System: Any team where the total is greater than or equal to 49.5 - in a game involving two average teams (+/- 0.4 YPP). (54-20 Under) Play = Under the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: ATLANTA is 5-5 ATS (7-3 SU) vs. TAMPA BAY (3-2 ATS, 3-2 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: ATLANTA 31, TAMPA BAY 26

(265) DETROIT (-3.5) (SU: 3-6-1, ATS: 4-6) at (266) WASHINGTON (SU: 1-9, ATS: 3-7) - Sunday, 11/24/2019 1:00 PM

| | | | | | | | Team Defense | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------|----|-----|----|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| DETROIT | -2.8 | 21 | 24 | 21 | 26-99 (3.8) | 36-23-281 (7.7) | 62-380 (6.1) | 1-1 | 27 | 24 | 28-124 (4.5) | 38-23-288 (7.6) | 66-412 (6.2) | 1-0 | +1 |
| WASHINGTON | -12.8 | 20 | 12 | 14 | 21-86 (4.2) | 29-19-170 (5.8) | 50-256 (5.1) | 0-1 | 25 | 22 | 32-134 (4.1) | 32-22-236 (7.5) | 64-370 (5.8) | 0-1 | -2 |

DETROIT: 0-9 ATS vs. very bad offensive teams - scoring 14 or less points/game in the second half of the season since 1992....19-45 ATS vs. excellent passing teams with a completion pct. of 64% or better in the second half of the season since 1992....12-28 ATS as a road favorite since 1992....11-27 ATS as a road favorite of 7 points or less since 1992....153 ATS as a favorite of 3.5 to 9.5 points since 1992.

WASHINGTON: 7-23 ATS at home when playing against a bad team (Win Pct. 25% to 40%) since 1992....17-4 ATS versus terrible defensive teams - allowing >=6 yards/play in the second half of the season since 1992....0-6 ATS after a loss by 10 or more points this season....17-5 UNDER at home after trailing in their previous game by 14 or more points at the half since 1992....92-124 ATS in home lined games since 1992.

Betting System: Home teams against the total - in conference games, off an upset loss by 10 points or more as a favorite. (28-6 Under) Play = Under the total Series History – Last 5 Seasons: WASHINGTON is 0-1 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. DETROIT (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: DETROIT 27, WASHINGTON 18

(267) JACKSONVILLE (SU: 4-6, ATS: 5-5) at (268) TENNESSEE (-3) (SU: 5-5, ATS: 4-5) - Sunday, 11/24/2019 4:05 PM

| · / | • | | | | · · · · | Team Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|--------------|------|----|-----|----|------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| JACKSONVILLE | -3.3 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 25-120 (4.7) | 36-23-251 (6.9) | 61-371 (6.1) | 1-0 | 22 | 20 | 26-135 (5.3) | 33-21-219 (6.6) | 59-354 (6.0) | 0-1 | -1 |
| TENNESSEE | ±0 6 | 20 | 20 | 10 | $26_{-}113(1/1)$ | 30-19-206 (7.0) | 56-310 (5 7) | 0_1 | 20 | 20 | 26-102 (A O) | 37-24-256 (6.9) | 63-358 (57) | 1_1 | +5 |

JACKSONVILLE: 8-0 UNDER versus good offensive teams - averaging >=5.65 yards/play over the L2 seasons....9-1 UNDER off 1 or more straight overs over the L2 seasons....10-1 UNDER off a road loss over the L3 seasons....10-2 UNDER after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games over the L3 seasons....25-45 ATS after allowing 150 or less passing yards in their last game since 1992.

TENNESSEE: 7-0 OVER at home vs. poor passing defenses - allowing a comp. pct. of 61% or worse over the L2 seasons....14-31 ATS revenging a loss where team scored less than 14 points since 1992....7-1 OVER at home when playing against a bad team (Win Pct. 25% to 40%) since 1992....7-0 OVER at home vs. excellent punt coverage teams, allowing <= 7.5 yards per return over the L3 seasons.

Betting System: Any team where the total is between 35.5 and 42 points - in a game involving two good passing teams (6.7-7.3 PYA). (26-4 Under) Play = Under the total

Series History – Last 5 Seasons: TENNESSEE is 5-5 ATS (7-4 SU) vs. JACKSONVILLE (3-1 ATS, 5-0 SU at home.) StatFox Forecaster: TENNESSEE 22, JACKSONVILLE 18

(269) DALLAS (SU: 6-4, ATS: 6-4) at (270) NEW ENGLAND (-5.5) (SU: 9-1, ATS: 7-3) - Sunday, 11/24/2019 4:25 PM

| | | | | | Т | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|-------------|-------|----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| DALLAS | +8.9 | 17 | 29 | 25 | 28-132 (4.6) | 37-25-313 (8.5) | 65-445 (6.8) | 0-1 | 20 | 20 | 25-105 (4.2) | 35-23-217 (6.3) | 60-322 (5.4) | 1-0 | -1 |
| NEW ENGLAND | +17.9 | 16 | 29 | 22 | 28-91 (3.3) | 41-26-269 (6.6) | 69-360 (5.2) | 0-1 | 11 | 15 | 21-97 (4.6) | 34-18-152 (4.5) | 55-249 (4.5) | 1-2 | +18 |

DALLAS: 12-1 UNDER vs. excellent passing defenses allowing 5.2 or less passing yards/att. since 1992....8-0 UNDER away vs. excellent passing defenses allowing 5.2 or less passing yards/att. since 1992....8-0 UNDER away vs. good passing defenses - allowing 5.7 or less passing yards/att. since 1992....8-0 UNDER away against teams who commit 1 or less turnovers/game in the second half of the season since 1992.

NEW ENGLAND: 31-9 ATS when playing against a marginal winning team (Win Pct. 51% to 60%) in the second half of the season since 1992....12-1 ATS at home vs. excellent passing teams averaging 260 or more passing yards/game after 8+ games since 1992....13-2 ATS at home off 1 or more consecutive unders over the L3 seasons....17-4 ATS after having won 4 or 5 out of their last 6 games over the L3 seasons.

Betting System: Any team where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - average passing team (5.9-6.7 PYA) against an average passing defense (5.9-6.7 PYA) after 8+ games, after gaining 5.5 or less passing yards/attempt in last game. (40-14 Under) Play = Under the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: NEW ENGLAND is 1-0 ATS (1-0 SU) vs. DALLAS (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: NEW ENGLAND 25, DALLAS 19

| (271) GREEN BAY | (SU: 8-2 | 2, AT | S: 7-3 | 3) at | (272) SAN FR | ANCISCO (-3) (S | U: 9-1, ATS: 5 | j-4) - | Sunda | y, 1′ | 1/24/2019 8:20 | PM | | | |
|-----------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|--------|-------|-------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | 1 | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| GREEN BAY | +4.5 | 22 | 25 | 20 | 24-102 (4.2) | 35-22-254 (7.3) | 59-356 (6.0) | 0-0 | 20 | 21 | 27-127 (4.8) | 35-22-258 (7.4) | 62-385 (6.2) | 1-1 | +9 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | +14.0 | 17 | 30 | 22 | 35-149 (4.3) | 32-22-238 (7.5) | 67-387 (5.8) | 1-1 | 16 | 15 | 23-110 (4.7) | 29-18-143 (4.9) | 52-253 (4.9) | 1-1 | +5 |

GREEN BAY: 11-2 OVER off a home win over the L3 seasons....10-2 OVER after playing a game at home over the L2 seasons....28-11 OVER away vs. good passing teams averaging 7 or more passing yards/att. in the second half of the season since 1992....28-10 OVER away versus good rushing teams - averaging >=130 rushing yards/game since 1992....264-209 OVER in all lined games since 1992.

SAN FRANCISCO: 20-40 ATS after allowing 25 points or more in 2 straight games since 1992....5-13 ATS against conference opponents over the L2 seasons....39-23 ATS off in 2 straight division games since 1992....10-24 ATS at home after allowing 25 points or more in 2 straight games since 1992....33-17 UNDER at home vs. good passing teams averaging 7 or more passing yards/att. since 1992.

Betting System: Any team against the total - after having won 3 out of their last 4 games against opponent after having won 4 out of their last 5 games. (53-22 Over) Play = Over the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: SAN FRANCISCO is 1-1 ATS (0-2 SU) vs. GREEN BAY (0-1 ATS, 0-1 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: SAN FRANCISCO 28, GREEN BAY 18

(273) SEATTLE (SU: 8-2, ATS: 5-4) at (274) PHILADELPHIA (-2) (SU: 5-5, ATS: 4-6) - Sunday, 11/24/2019 1:00 PM

| | | | | | T | Feam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|--------------|------|----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| SEATTLE | +2.1 | 21 | 28 | 23 | 31-133 (4.3) | 33-22-256 (7.8) | 64-389 (6.1) | 1-0 | 25 | 21 | 22-101 (4.5) | 39-25-272 (6.9) | 61-373 (6.1) | 1-1 | +6 |
| PHILADELPHIA | +0.4 | 21 | 23 | 21 | 29-123 (4.2) | 35-21-215 (6.2) | 64-338 (5.3) | 1-0 | 23 | 18 | 23-86 (3.8) | 36-22-238 (6.7) | 59-324 (5.5) | 0-1 | -2 |

SEATTLE: 7-0 OVER after a win by 3 or less points over the L2 seasons....9-1 ATS in a road game where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points over the L3 seasons....9-1 ATS in a road game where the total is between 45.5 and 49 points over the L3 seasons....9-1 ATS away off 1 or more straight overs over the L3 seasons.....9-1 OVER after a win by 6 or less points over the L2 seasons.

PHILADELPHIA: 9-0 UNDER at home vs. excellent punt coverage teams, allowing <= 7.5 yards per return over the L3 seasons....9-1 UNDER vs. poor passing defenses - allowing 235 or more passing yards/game over the L2 seasons....7-0 UNDER at home versus poor defensive teams - allowing >=5.65 yards/play over the L3 seasons....12-26 ATS in a home game where the total is between 45.5 and 49 points since 1992.

Betting System: Any team where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - in a game involving two average teams (+/- 3 PPG differential.), after scoring 25 points or more in 2 straight games. (36-10 Over) Play = Over the total

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: PHILADELPHIA is 0-3 ATS (0-3 SU) vs. SEATTLE (0-1 ATS, 0-1 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: PHILADELPHIA 26, SEATTLE 24

| (275) BALTIMORE (-3.5) (SU: 8-2, ATS: | 5-4) at (276) | LA RAMS (SU: 6-4 | l, ATS: 7-3) - | Mond | ay, 11/25/20 | 19 8:15 PM | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|------|--------------|------------|--------------|-------|--------------|
| | | Team Offense | | | | | Team Defense | | |
| PDif SS PPG FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I 1 |

| BALTIMORE | + 14.5 18 | 34 | 24 36-204 (5 | 7) 29-20-225 (7.7 | 7) 65-42 | 9 (6.6) 0-1 | 20 19 | 9 21-94 (4.4) | 36-21-239 (6.7) | 57-333 (5.8) | 1-1 | +6 |
|-----------|------------------|----|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|----|
| LA RAMS | +4.5 20 | 24 | 20 26-98 (3 | 8) 38-23-270 (7.2 | <u>2) 64-36</u> | 8 (5.8) 1-1 | 20 20 |) 27-89 (3.3) | 38-23-236 (6.3) | 65-325 (5.0) | 1-1 | -3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

TOD

BALTIMORE: 9-1 UNDER vs. good passing teams averaging 7 or more passing yards/att. over the L2 seasons....20-6 UNDER after 2 consecutive covers as a favorite since 1992....31-14 UNDER after scoring 25 points or more in 2 straight games since 1992....7-0 ATS away in the second half of the season over the L3 seasons....6-17 ATS away after a win by 21 or more points since 1992.

LA RAMS: 9-0 OVER at home after allowing 4 or less yards/play in their previous game since 1992....33-59 ATS after 2 consecutive games with a turnover margin of -1 or worse since 1992....54-77 ATS as an underdog of 3.5 to 9.5 points since 1992....200-243 ATS in all lined games since 1992....44-65 ATS in a home game where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points since 1992.

Betting System: Road favorites - dominant team - outscoring opponents by 10 or more points/game, after a win by 10 or more points. (18-53 ATS) Play = LA RAMS against the spread

Series History - Last 5 Seasons: LA RAMS is 0-0 ATS (0-1 SU) vs. BALTIMORE (0-0 ATS, 0-0 SU at home.)

StatFox Forecaster: LA RAMS 23, BALTIMORE 23

Top NFL Statistical Edges - Week 12

Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success.

| OFFENSIVE STATISTICS | | DEFENSIVE STATISTICS | | OFF/DEF DIFFERENTIALS | |
|---|-------|---|-------|--|-------|
| Points Scored (PPG) | W/L | Points Allowed (PPG) | W/L | Scoring Differential (PPG) | W/L |
| 1. DETROIT (vs WAS): +11.9 | | 1. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +11.5 | 11/E | 1. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +13.0 | 11/2 |
| 2. BALTIMORE (vs LA): +9.8 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +8.9 | | 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +12.7 | |
| 3. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +6.1 | | 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +7.7 | | 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +10.0 | |
| 4. TAMPA BAY (vs ATL): +5.7 | | 4. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +7.4 | | 4. BALTIMORE (vs LA): +10.0 | |
| 5. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +5.3 | | 5. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +5.8 | | 5. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +9.5 | |
| 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +5.3 | | 6. ATLANTA (vs TB): +5.1 | | 6. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +9.0 | |
| 7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +4.5 | | 7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +5.0 | | 7. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +8.1 | |
| | | | | | |
| Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG) | W/L | Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG) | W/L | Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG) | W/L |
| 1. BALTIMORE (vs LA): +106.2 | | 1. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +61.8 | | 1. BALTIMORE (vs LA): +101.0 | |
| 2. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +61.6 | | 2. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +43.0 | | 2. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +82.9 | |
| 3. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +56.1 | | 3. TENNESSEE (vs JAC): +32.1 | | 3. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +63.3 | |
| 4. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +46.9 | | 4. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +27.1 | | 4. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +61.8 | |
| 5. DALLAS (vs NE): +40.7 | | 5. NY JETS (vs OAK): +26.8 | | 5. TAMPA BAY (vs ATL): +47.0 | |
| 6. TAMPA BAY (vs ATL): +20.3 | | 6. TAMPA BAY (vs ATL): +26.7 | | 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +32.8 | |
| 7. CAROLINA (vs NO): +18.5 | | 7. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +21.3 | | 7. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +29.3 | |
| Vorde Der Duch Cained (VDD) | 14//1 | Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR) | 14//1 | Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) | \A//L |
| Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) 1. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +1.9 | W/L | 1. TENNESSEE (vs JAC): +1.3 | W/L | 1. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +1.8 | W/L |
| · · · · · | | | | 2. DALLAS (vs NE): +1.7 | |
| 2. BALTIMORE (vs LA): +1.9 | ┢═┥ | 2. NY JETS (vs OAK): +1.3 | _ | | |
| 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +1.3 | ┢━┥ | 3. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +1.2 | _ | 3. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +1.0 | |
| 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +1.3 | ┼─┤ | 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +1.1 | _ | 4. TENNESSEE (vs JAC): +0.9 | |
| 5. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +0.9 | ┢╾┥ | 5. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +0.8 | | 5. BALTIMORE (vs LA): +0.8 | |
| 6. CAROLINA (vs NO): +0.8 | ┢━┥ | 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +0.7 | _ | 6. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +0.8 | _ |
| 7. HOUSTON (vs IND): +0.5 | | 7. DENVER (vs BUF): +0.5 | | 7. TAMPA BAY (vs ATL): +0.7 | |
| Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) | W/L | Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) | W/L | Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) | W/L |
| | | | | | |
| 1. DETROIT (vs WAS): +111.7 | | 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +114.5 | | 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +98.3 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 | E | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 | |
| | E | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 | E | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 | E |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 | E |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +2.0 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 3. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +2.0 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +2.1 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +2.0 4. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.7 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 3. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +2.0 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +1.7 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +2.1 4. DETROIT (vs WAS): +1.9 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +2.0 4. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.7 5. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +1.1 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 3. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +2.0 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +1.7 5. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +1.2 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +2.1 4. DETROIT (vs WAS): +1.9 5. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.4 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +2.0 4. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.7 5. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +1.1 6. HOUSTON (vs IND): +1.0 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 3. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +2.0 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +1.7 5. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +1.2 6. NY JETS (vs OAK): +0.9 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +2.1 4. DETROIT (vs WAS): +1.9 5. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.4 6. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +1.4 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +2.0 4. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.7 5. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +1.1 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 3. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +2.0 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +1.7 5. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +1.2 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +2.1 4. DETROIT (vs WAS): +1.9 5. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.4 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +2.0 4. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.7 5. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +1.1 6. HOUSTON (vs IND): +1.0 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 3. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +2.0 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +1.7 5. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +1.2 6. NY JETS (vs OAK): +0.9 7. BUFFALO (vs DEN): +0.7 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +2.1 4. DETROIT (vs WAS): +1.9 5. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.4 6. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +1.4 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +2.0 4. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.7 5. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +1.1 6. HOUSTON (vs IND): +1.0 7. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +0.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. DETROIT (vs WAS): +124.5 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 3. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +2.0 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +1.7 5. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +1.2 6. NY JETS (vs OAK): +0.9 7. BUFFALO (vs DEN): +0.7 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +130.9 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +2.1 4. DETROIT (vs WAS): +1.9 5. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.4 6. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +1.4 7. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +1.3 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +161.6 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +2.0 4. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.7 5. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +1.1 6. HOUSTON (vs IND): +1.0 7. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +0.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 3. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +2.0 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +1.7 5. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +1.2 6. NY JETS (vs OAK): +0.9 7. BUFFALO (vs DEN): +0.7 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +2.1 4. DETROIT (vs WAS): +1.9 5. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.4 6. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +1.4 7. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +1.3 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +2.0 4. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.7 5. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +1.1 6. HOUSTON (vs IND): +1.0 7. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +0.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. DETROIT (vs WAS): +124.5 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +123.5 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +84.4 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 3. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +2.0 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +1.7 5. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +1.2 6. NY JETS (vs OAK): +0.9 7. BUFFALO (vs DEN): +0.7 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +130.9 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +97.0 3. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +72.5 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +2.1 4. DETROIT (vs WAS): +1.9 5. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.4 6. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +1.4 7. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +1.3 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +161.6 2. CLEVELAND (vs NYJ): +88.0 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +2.0 4. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.7 5. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +1.1 6. HOUSTON (vs IND): +1.0 7. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +0.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. DETROIT (vs WAS): +124.5 2. OAKLAND (vs NE): +84.4 4. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +79.9 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 3. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +2.0 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +1.7 5. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +1.2 6. NY JETS (vs OAK): +0.9 7. BUFFALO (vs DEN): +0.7 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +130.9 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +97.0 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +2.1 4. DETROIT (vs WAS): +1.9 5. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.4 6. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +1.4 7. OAKLAND (vs NYG): +1.3 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +161.6 2. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +130.3 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +2.0 4. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.7 5. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +1.1 6. HOUSTON (vs IND): +1.0 7. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +0.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. DETROIT (vs WAS): +124.5 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +123.5 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +84.4 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 3. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +2.0 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +1.7 5. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +1.2 6. NY JETS (vs OAK): +0.9 7. BUFFALO (vs DEN): +0.7 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +130.9 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +97.0 3. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +72.5 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +2.1 4. DETROIT (vs WAS): +1.9 5. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.4 6. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +1.4 7. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +1.3 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +161.6 2. CLEVELAND (vs NYJ): +88.0 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +67.4 3. NY GIANTS (vs CHI): +45.2 4. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +45.0 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +44.5 6. DALLAS (vs NE): +43.7 7. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +40.9 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +2.2 2. DETROIT (vs WAS): +2.0 3. DALLAS (vs NE): +2.0 4. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.7 5. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +1.1 6. HOUSTON (vs IND): +1.0 7. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +0.8 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. DETROIT (vs WAS): +124.5 2. OAKLAND (vs NE): +84.4 4. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +79.9 | | 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +64.6 3. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +52.9 4. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +43.6 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +36.7 6. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +35.2 7. PHILADELPHIA (vs SEA): +34.5 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.5 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.5 3. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +2.0 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +1.7 5. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +1.2 6. NY JETS (vs OAK): +0.9 7. BUFFALO (vs DEN): +0.7 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +130.9 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +97.0 3. NEW ENGLAND (vs DAL): +72.5 4. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +58.8 | | 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs TEN): +81.2 3. DETROIT (vs WAS): +58.8 4. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +58.7 5. LA RAMS (vs BAL): +48.1 6. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +47.4 7. ATLANTA (vs TB): +43.2 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +2.7 2. PITTSBURGH (vs CIN): +2.7 3. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +2.1 4. DETROIT (vs WAS): +1.9 5. SEATTLE (vs PHI): +1.4 6. CHICAGO (vs NYG): +1.4 7. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +1.3 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs GB): +161.6 2. CLEVELAND (vs MIA): +130.3 3. OAKLAND (vs NYJ): +88.0 4. DETROIT (vs WAS): +81.3 | |

DRF Sports Form / 7

Statfox presents the week's staff picks

| WEEK 13 COLLEGE FOOTB | ALL | StatFox | StatFox | StatFox | StatFox | StatFox | StatFox |
|------------------------------------|------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| (BB) = BEST BET | LINE | TIM | GARY | SCOTT | BRIAN | FORECASTER | CONSENSUS |
| • NC State at Georgia Tech | -2 | GEORGIA TECH | NC STATE | GEORGIA TECH | NC STATE | NC STATE | NC STATE |
| • Colorado State at Wyoming | -7 | WYOMING | COLORADO ST | WYOMING | WYOMING | WYOMING | WYOMING |
| • Nebraska at Maryland | +4 | NEBRASKA (BB) | MARYLAND | NEBRASKA | NEBRASKA (BB) | MARYLAND | NEBRASKA |
| • Michigan at Indiana | +8½ | MICHIGAN | INDIANA | INDIANA (BB) | MICHIGAN | INDIANA | INDIANA |
| • Kansas State at Texas Tech | pk | KANSAS ST | TEXAS TECH (BB) | KANSAS ST | TEXAS TECH | KANSAS ST | KANSAS ST |
| • Texas A&M at Georgia | -13 | GEORGIA | TEXAS A&M | TEXAS A&M | GEORGIA (BB) | GEORGIA | GEORGIA |
| • Duke at Wake Forest | -6½ | WAKE FOREST | DUKE | WAKE FOREST | WAKE FOREST | WAKE FOREST | WAKE FOREST |
| • Oklahoma State at West Virginia | +7½ | OKLAHOMA ST | WEST VIRGINIA | OKLAHOMA ST | OKLAHOMA ST | OKLAHOMA ST | OKLAHOMA ST |
| • Texas Christian at Oklahoma | -17½ | OKLAHOMA | TCU (BB) | TCU (BB) | OKLAHOMA | OKLAHOMA | OKLAHOMA |
| • Illinois at Iowa | -13½ | IOWA (BB) | ILLINOIS | ILLINOIS | IOWA | IOWA | IOWA |
| • Purdue at Wisconsin | -23 | WISCONSIN | PURDUE | WISCONSIN | PURDUE (BB) | WISCONSIN | WISCONSIN |
| • Minnesota at Northwestern | +13½ | MINNESOTA (BB) | MINNESOTA | MINNESOTA (BB) | MINNESOTA | MINNESOTA | MINNESOTA |
| • Oregon at Arizona State | +14½ | OREGON (BB) | OREGON | ARIZONA STATE | ARIZONA STATE | ARIZONA STATE | ARIZONA STATE |
| • Utah at Arizona | +22 | UTAH | ARIZONA | ARIZONA | UTAH (BB) | ARIZONA | ARIZONA |
| • Boston College at Notre Dame | -19½ | NOTRE DAME | NOTRE DAME | NOTRE DAME (BB) | NOTRE DAME (BB) | BOSTON COLLEGE | NOTRE DAME |
| • UCLA at Southern Cal | -14 | USC (BB) | UCLA | USC | USC | USC | USC |
| • Oregon State at Washington State | -12 | WASHINGTON ST | OREGON ST (BB) | OREGON ST | WASHINGTON ST | WASHINGTON ST | WASHINGTON ST |
| • Texas at Baylor | -6 | TEXAS | TEXAS (BB) | TEXAS | BAYLOR | BAYLOR | TEXAS |
| • Arkansas at LSU | -43½ | ARKANSAS | ARKANSAS (BB) | ARKANSAS | LSU | LSU | ARKANSAS |
| • Penn State at Ohio State | -18½ | OHIO STATE | PENN STATE | PENN STATE (BB) | OHIO STATE | PENN STATE | PENN STATE |

2019 COLLEGE FOOTBALL STAFF PICKS STANDINGS

| Featured Matchups | w | L | т | Pct | Last Week | Best Bets | w | L | т | Pct | Last Week |
|--------------------|-----|-----|---|-------|-----------|---------------|----|----|---|-------|-----------|
| StatFox Tim | 124 | 111 | 1 | 52.8% | 11-8-1 | StatFox Brian | 35 | 25 | 0 | 58.3% | 2-3 |
| StatFox Brian | 122 | 113 | 1 | 51.7% | 10-9-1 | StatFox Scott | 31 | 29 | 0 | 51.7% | 3-2 |
| StatFox Consensus | 117 | 118 | 1 | 49.8% | 10-9-1 | StatFox Tim | 30 | 30 | 0 | 50.0% | 3-2 |
| StatFox Scott | 117 | 118 | 1 | 49.8% | 9-10-1 | StatFox Gary | 28 | 31 | 1 | 47.5% | 1-3-1 |
| StatFox Forecaster | 112 | 123 | 1 | 47.7% | 9-10-1 | | | | | | |
| StatFox Gary | 107 | 128 | 1 | 45.5% | 8-11-1 | | | | | | |

College Football 2019 - Week #13

| Tuesday, N | ovei | nbe | er 19 | 9, 2 | 019 | |
|-------------------|-------|-----|------------------|------|-------------|---|
| 7:30 PM | | | | | | |
| 101 OHIO U | -17.5 | -18 | -18.5 | | | |
| 102 BOWLING GREEN | 57 | | 57 | | | |
| 7:30 PM | 1 | | | | T | |
| 103 E MICHIGAN | 54 | | 54 | | | |
| 104 N ILLINOIS | -4.5 | -7 | -4.5 | | | |
| Wednesday, | Nov | eml | ber | 20, | 20 1 | 9 |
| 7:30 PM | - | | | | - | |
| 105 TOLEDO | 54 | | 54.5 | | | |
| 106 BUFFALO | -7 | -11 | -7.5 | | | |
| 7:30 PM | | | | | | |
| 107 AKRON | 44 | | 45 | | | |
| 108 MIAMI OHIO | -30.5 | -33 | -30 | | | |
| Thursday, N | love | mb | er 2 | 1, 2 | 019 | |
| 8:00 PM | | | | | | |
| 111 NC STATE | 47 | | 47 | | | |
| 112 GEORGIA TECH | -1 | -5 | -2 | | | |
| Friday, No | vem | ber | [.] 22, | 20 | 19 | |
| 9:30 PM | | | | | | |
| 113 COLORADO ST | 49 | | 48.5 | | | |
| 114 WYOMING | -7 | -12 | -7 | | | |
| Saturday, N | ove | mb | er 2 | 3, 2 | 019 | |
| 3:30 PM | | | | | | |
| 115 NEBRASKA | -4.5 | | -4 | | | |
| 116 MARYLAND | | ev | | | | |
| 12:00 PM | | | | | | |
| 117 BALL ST | -3 | | -3 | | | |
| 118 KENT ST | | -1 | | | | |
| 4:00 PM | - | | | | - | |
| 119 MEMPHIS | -14 | -13 | -14.5 | | | |
| 120 S FLORIDA | | | | | | |
| 3:30 PM | | | | | | |
| 121 MICHIGAN | -8 | -10 | -8.5 | | | |
| 122 INDIANA | | | | | | |
| 7:00 PM | | | | | | |
| 123 KANSAS ST | -1.5 | | | | | |
| 124 TEXAS TECH | | -1 | pk | | | |

College Football 2019 - Week #13

| Saturday, No | ove | mbo | er 2 | 3, 2 | 019 |) |
|-------------------|-------|-----|-------|------|-----|---|
| 3:30 PM | | | | | | |
| 125 TEXAS A&M | | | | | | |
| 126 GEORGIA | -14 | -14 | -13 | | | |
| 7:30 PM | | | | | | |
| 127 DUKE | | | | | | |
| 128 WAKE FOREST | -6.5 | -7 | -6.5 | | | |
| 12:00 PM | | | | | | |
| 129 OKLAHOMA ST | -7 | -2 | -7.5 | | | |
| 130 W VIRGINIA | | | | | | |
| 12:00 PM | | 1 | | 0 | | |
| 131 E CAROLINA | -13 | -11 | -13.5 | | | |
| 132 CONNECTICUT | | | | | | |
| 12:00 PM | | | | 1 | | |
| 133 BYU | -39 | -40 | -39 | | | |
| 134 MASSACHUSETTS | | | | | | |
| 7:00 PM | | | | 1 | | |
| 135 TEMPLE | | | | | | |
| 136 CINCINNATI | -10 | -11 | -10 | | | |
| 8:00 PM | | | | | | |
| 137 TCU | | | | | | |
| 138 OKLAHOMA | -17 | -19 | -17.5 | | | |
| 5:00 PM | | | | | | |
| 139 TROY | | | | | | |
| 140 LA LAFAYETTE | -13 | -10 | -13.5 | | | |
| 12:00 PM | | | | | | |
| 141 LIBERTY | | | | | | |
| 142 VIRGINIA | -19 | -23 | -17.5 | | | |
| 12:00 PM | | | | | | |
| 143 ILLINOIS | | | | | | |
| 144 IOWA | -12 | -19 | -14 | | | |
| 4:00 PM | | | | | | |
| 145 PURDUE | | | | | | |
| 146 WISCONSIN | -21.5 | -19 | -23 | | | |
| 12:00 PM | | | | | | |
| 147 KANSAS | | | | | | |
| 148 IOWA ST | -22.5 | -24 | -23.5 | | | |
| 12:00 PM | | | | | | |
| 149 MINNESOTA | -12 | -12 | -13.5 | | | |
| 150 NORTHWESTERN | | | | | | |

College Football 2019 - Week #13

| Saturday, November 23, 2019 7:30 PM 151 OREGON -14 -13 -14.5 183 MICHIGAN ST -20 -15 -20.5 - 152 ARIZONA ST 184 RUTGERS 3:30 PM - <th></th> | |
|--|---|
| 151 OREGON -14 -13 -14.5 184 RUTGERS 1 1 1 152 ARIZONA ST 1 | |
| 10: 00 PM | |
| 10:00 PM -21 -24 -22 186 Rice 1 1 153 UTAH -21 -24 -22 186 Rice 1 1 154 ARIZONA 1 1 186 Rice 1 1 1 2:00 PM 1 187 PITTSBURGH 1 1 1 1 1 155 S ALABAMA 1 1 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 -4 -4 1 156 GEORGIA ST -11 1 1 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 -4 -4 1 10:00 PM 1 1 1 189 LOUISIANA TECH -3 -4 -4 1 10:00 PM 1 1 1 190 UAB 1 | |
| 154 ARIZONA 100 ML 1330 PM 2:00 PM 187 PITTSBURGH 1 155 S ALABAMA 1 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 -4 -4 156 GEORGIA ST -11 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 -4 -4 156 GEORGIA ST -11 188 VIRGINIA TECH -2 1 10:00 PM 13 -16 -14 190 UAB 1 1 157 WASHINGTON -13 -16 -14 190 UAB 1 1 158 COLORADO 1 1 191 SYRACUSE -2 1 1 2:30 PM 1 191 SYRACUSE -2 1 1 1 1 160 APPALACHIAN ST -28 -38 -28.5 7:30 PM 1 1 1 1 161 BOSTON COLLEGE 18 -17 -19.5 7:30 PM 1 | |
| 10: A MALONA 1 <t< td=""><td></td></t<> | |
| 2:00 PM 155 S ALABAMA 1 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 -4 -4 156 GEORGIA ST -11 1 3:30 PM 3:30 PM 3:30 PM 3:30 PM 10:00 PM 157 WASHINGTON -13 -16 -14 189 LOUISIANA TECH -2 1 158 COLORADO 10 100 UAB 100 UAB 100 UAB 100 UAB 100 UAB 2:30 PM 191 SYRACUSE -2 100 UAB 100 UAB 100 UAB 100 UAB 2:30 PM 191 SYRACUSE -2 100 UAB 100 UAB 100 UAB 100 UAB 2:30 PM 191 SYRACUSE -2 100 UAB 100 UAB 100 UAB 100 UAB 2:30 PM 191 SYRACUSE -2 100 UAB 100 UAB 100 UAB 100 UAB 100 UAB 2:30 PM 191 SYRACUSE -2 100 UAB | |
| 156 GEORGIA ST -11 3:30 PM 10:00 PM -13 -16 -14 189 LOUISIANA TECH -2 1 157 WASHINGTON -13 -16 -14 190 UAB 1 1 158 COLORADO 1 1 1 190 UAB 1 1 2:30 PM - 1 1 191 SYRACUSE -2 1 1 159 TEXAS ST 1 1 1 192 LOUISVILLE -8 -8 1 160 APPALACHIAN ST -28 -38 -28.5 192 LOUISVILLE -8 -8 1 2:30 PM - - 193 HOUSTON 1 1 1 1 1 161 BOSTON COLLEGE 1 1 195 TENNESSEE 1 1 -4 -1 -4 -1 10:30 PM - - 195 TENNESSEE 1 | |
| 10: 00 PM 11 | |
| 10:00 PM -13 -16 -14 190 UAB 1 1 157 WASHINGTON -13 -16 -14 190 UAB 1 1 158 COLORADO 1 1 190 UAB 1 1 1 2:30 PM 1 1 191 SYRACUSE -2 1 159 TEXAS ST 1 1 192 LOUISVILLE -8 -8 160 APPALACHIAN ST -28 -38 -28.5 192 LOUISVILLE -8 -8 2:30 PM 161 BOSTON COLLEGE 191 SYRACUSE 193 HOUSTON 1 1 1 161 BOSTON COLLEGE -18 -17 -19.5 194 TULSA -4 -1 -4 10:30 PM -18 -17 -19.5 195 TENNESSEE 1 1 1 163 NEVADA 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| 151 MACHINGTON 1 | |
| 100 COLUMPC 100 COLUMPC 100 COLUMPC 100 COLUMPC 100 COLUMPC 101 SYRACUSE -2 1 <th1< th=""> <th< td=""><td></td></th<></th1<> | |
| 2:30 PM Ist of Notool Ist of Notool 159 TEXAS ST Ist of Notool Ist of Notool 159 TEXAS ST Ist of Notool Ist of Notool 160 APPALACHIAN ST -28 -38 -28.5 2:30 PM Ist of Notool Ist of Notool Ist of Notool 161 BOSTON COLLEGE Ist of Notool Ist of Notool Ist of Notool 161 BOSTON COLLEGE Ist of Notool Ist of Notool Ist of Notool 161 BOSTON COLLEGE Ist of Notool Ist of Notool Ist of Notool 162 NOTRE DAME -18 -17 -19.5 Ist of Notool 10:30 PM Ist of Notool Ist of Notool Ist of Notool Ist of Notool 163 NEVADA Ist of Notool 163 NEVADA Ist of Notool 163 NEVADA Ist of Notool 163 NEVADA Ist of Notool Ist of Notool Ist of Notool Ist of Notool Ist of Notool <tr< td=""><td></td></tr<> | |
| 160 APPALACHIAN ST -28 -38 -28.5 7:30 PM 193 HOUSTON 193 HOUSTON 2:30 PM 161 BOSTON COLLEGE 193 HOUSTON 194 TULSA -4 -1 -4 161 BOSTON COLLEGE -18 -17 -19.5 194 TULSA -4 -1 -4 162 NOTRE DAME -18 -17 -19.5 7:30 PM | |
| 2:30 PM 193 HOUSTON 161 BOSTON COLLEGE 193 HOUSTON 162 NOTRE DAME -18 17 -19.5 10:30 PM 195 TENNESSEE 163 NEVADA 196 MISSOURI | |
| 2:30 PM 193 HOUSTON 1 1 161 BOSTON COLLEGE 194 TULSA -4 -1 -4 162 NOTRE DAME -18 -17 -19.5 7:30 PM 7:30 PM 10:30 PM 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI -4 -7 -5.5 | |
| 161 BOSTON COLLEGE 18 17 -19.5 194 TULSA -4 -1 1 -4 -1 1 -4 1 < | |
| 162 NOTRE DAME -18 -17 -19.5 7:30 PM 10:30 PM | |
| 10:30 PM 195 TENNESSEE 195 TENNESSEE 163 NEVADA 196 MISSOURI -4 -7 -5.5 | |
| 163 NEVADA 196 MISSOURI -4 -7 -5.5 | |
| | |
| | |
| 3:30 PM 197 ARKANSAS | |
| 165 UCLA 198 LSU -43.5 -44 -43.5 | |
| 166 USC -12.5 -18 -14 4:00 PM | |
| 4:00 PM 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 -6 | |
| 167 CALIFORNIA 200 UNLV -1 | |
| 168 STANFORD -2.5 -5 -2.5 4:00 PM | |
| 9:00 PM 201 UTEP | |
| 169 OREGON ST 202 NEW MEXICO ST -7 -6 -7.5 | |
| | _ |
| | |
| 3:00 PM 7:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 209 MIAMI -17.5 -19 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 176 CHARLOTTE 214 UTAH ST 11:00 DM | |
| 3:30 PM 11:00 PM 177 TEXAS 215 SAN DIEGO ST -3 | |
| | |
| 178 BAYLOR -3.5 -3 -6 216 HAWAII -1 -1 10.00 DM -0 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 | |
| 12:00 PM 2:00 PM 2:00 PM 2:00 PM 2:00 PM 2179 LICE -6 -10 -6 217 AIR FORCE -21.5 -24 -22 | |
| | |
| 180 TULANE 218 NEW MEXICO | |
| | |
| 181 SMU | |
| 182 NAVY -2.5 -5 -3 | |

DRF SPORTS FORM StatFox College Football Power Rating Lines - Week 13

OL PR PRL Edge W/L

23

** The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

- OL Opening Line
- PR StatFox Power Rating adjusted for home field advantage.

PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

| Wed - 11/20,7:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|----------|--------------|----------|------------|-------|
| 105 TOLEDO | | 26 | | | |
| 106 BUFFALO | -7 | 38 | -12 | 5 | |
| Fri - 11/22,9:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 113 COLORADO ST | | 28 | | | |
| 114 WYOMING | -7 | 42 | -14 | 7 | |
| Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 119 MEMPHIS | -14 | 46 | -14 | | |
| 120 S FLORIDA | | 32 | | 0 | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 125 TEXAS A&M | | 53 | | 2 | |
| 126 GEORGIA | -14 | 65 | -12 | | |
| Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 131 E CAROLINA | -13 | 19 | -11 | | |
| 132 CONNECTICUT | | 8 | | 2 | |
| Sat - 11/23,8:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 137 TCU | | 44 | | | |
| 138 OKLAHOMA | -17 | 63 | -19 | 2 | |
| Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 143 ILLINOIS | | 36 | | 3- | |
| 144 IOWA | -12 | 55 | -19 | 7 | |
| Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRI | Edge | W/L |
| 149 MINNESOTA | -12 | 49 | -14 | 2 | |
| 150 NORTHWESTERN | | 35 | | | |
| Sat - 11/23,2:00 PM | OL | PR | DDI | Edge | W/I |
| 155 S ALABAMA | | 16 | FNL | Luge | |
| 156 GEORGIA ST | | 26 | -10 | | |
| Set 44/22 2:20 DM | 0 | DD | וחח | Edaa | W//I |
| Sat - 11/23,2:30 PM 161 BOSTON COLLEGE | OL | PR 39 | PRL | Edge | VV/L |
| 162 NOTRE DAME | -18 | 57 | -18 | 0 | |
| 0.1.11/00.1.00.014 | 0 | | DDI | F 1 | \A//I |
| Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM 167 CALIFORNIA | OL | PR 34 | PRL | Edge | VV/L |
| 168 STANFORD | -2.5 | 40 | -6 | 3.5 | |
| | | - | | | 347/1 |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 173 W KENTUCKY | OL | PR 30 | PRL | Edge | VV/L |
| 174 SOUTHERN MISS | -5.5 | 38 | -8 | 2.5 | |
| | | | | | 14// |
| Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL -6 | PR | | Edge 2 | VV/L |
| 179 UCF 180 TULANE | -0 | 48 40 | -8 | 2 | |
| | | | | | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | | | Edge | W/L |
| 185 NORTH TEXAS 186 RICE | -4.5 | 22 21 | -1 | 3.5 | |
| | | | | | |
| Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM | OL | | | Edge | W/L |
| 191 SYRACUSE 192 LOUISVILLE | -8 | 38 | -3 | 11 | |
| | | 35 | | | |
| Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 197 ARKANSAS 198 LSU | -43.5 | 23 | -43 | 0.5 | |
| 190 L30 | -43.5 | 66 | | | |
| Sat - 11/23,4:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 203 OLD DOMINION | 12 | 13 | -18 | 5 | |
| 204 MIDDLE TENN ST | -13 | 31 | -1ŏ | | |
| Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| | -17.5 | 42 | -19 | 1.5 | |
| 210 FLA INTERNATION | L | 23 | <u> </u> | l | |
| Sat - 11/23,11:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 215 SAN DIEGO ST | | 31 | -5 | 6 | |
| 216 HAWAII | -1 | 26 | 1 | | |

| Tue - 11/19,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Tue - 11/19,7:30 PM 101 OHIO U -17.5 3019 1.5 103 EMICHICAN 102 BOWLING GREEN 11 104 NILLINOIS 104 NILLINOIS Wed - 11/20,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Thu - 11/21,8:00 PM 107 AKRON 2 1 111 NC STATE 112 GEORGIA TECH Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,1:2:00 PM 117 BALLS ST 116 MARYLAND 32 0 4.5 118 KENT ST 128 KARAS ST 122 INDIANA 46 0.5 113 KENT ST 124 TEXAS TECH 121 MICHIGAN -8 57 -11 3 112 KARASA ST 124 TEXAS TECH 122 INDIANA 46 0.5 130 W VIRGINIA 134 TEXAS TECH 132 MAKE FOREST -6. 4 0.5 130 W VIRGINIA 134 TEXAS TECH 133 BYU -39 37 4-41 2 136 CINCINNATI 135 TEMPLE 136 CINCINNATI 133 BYU -39 37 4-41 2 136 CINCINNATI 142 VIRGINIA 142 VIRGINIA </th <th>Tue - 11/19,7:30 PM</th> <th>OL</th> <th>PR</th> <th>PRI</th> <th>Edge</th> <th>W/I</th> <th>Tue - 11/19,7:30 PM</th> <th>T</th> | Tue - 11/19,7:30 PM | OL | PR | PRI | Edge | W/I | Tue - 11/19,7:30 PM | T |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------|----|-----|------------|-------|----------------------|---|
| 102 BOWLING GREEN 11 104 N ILLINOIS Wed - 11/20,7:30 PM 0L PR PRLEdge W/L Thu - 11/21,8:00 PM 107 AKRON 2 111 NC STATE 112 GEORGIA TECH Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 0L PR PRLEdge W/L Sat - 11/23,1:2:00 PM 115 NEBRASKA -4.5 32 0 4.5 118 KENT ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 0L PR PRLEdge W/L Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM 112 KENT ST 121 MICHIGAN -8 67 -11 3 123 KANSAS ST 123 KANSAS ST 122 IDJANA 46 0.5 129 OKLAHOMA ST 130 W VIRGINIA Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 0L PR PRLEdge W/L Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM 133 BYU -39 37 -41 2 136 CINCINNATI 135 TEMPLE 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 126 CINCINNATI 141 LIBERTY 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 Sat - 11/23,1:00 PM 145 PURDUE 38 2.5 147 KANSAS 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 141 LIBERTY 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 < | | | | | | | | Г |
| 107 AKRON 2 111 NC STATE 108 MIANI OHIO -30.5 35 -33 2.5 115 NEBRASKA -4.5 22 117 BALL ST 116 MARYLAND 32 0 4.5 116 MARYLAND 32 0 4.5 121 MICHIGAN -8 57 -11 121 MICHIGAN -8 57 -11 122 INDIANA 46 123 KANSAS ST 123 KANSAS ST 122 INDIANA 46 124 TEXAS TECH 123 WAKE FOREST -6.5 40 -6 133 BYU -39 37 -41 2 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 -4 135 TEMPLE 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 136 CINCINNATI 135 TEMPLE 38 2.5 142 VIRGINIA 141 LIBERTY 140 L LAFAYETTE -13 44 141 AL ALFAYETTE -13 42 142 VIRGINIA 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 148 IOWA ST 153 CLORADO -41 55 12 153 UTAH 154 ARIZONA 150 CLORADO | 102 BOWLING GREEN | | 11 | | | | 104 N ILLINOIS | |
| 107 AKRON 2 111 NC STATE 108 MIANI OHIO -30.5 35 -33 2.5 115 NEBRASKA -4.5 22 117 BALL ST 116 MARYLAND 32 0 4.5 116 MARYLAND 32 0 4.5 121 MICHIGAN -8 57 -11 121 MICHIGAN -8 57 -11 122 INDIANA 46 123 KANSAS ST 123 KANSAS ST 122 INDIANA 46 124 TEXAS TECH 123 WAKE FOREST -6.5 40 -6 133 BYU -39 37 -41 2 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 -4 135 TEMPLE 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 136 CINCINNATI 135 TEMPLE 38 2.5 142 VIRGINIA 141 LIBERTY 140 L LAFAYETTE -13 44 141 AL ALFAYETTE -13 42 142 VIRGINIA 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 148 IOWA ST 153 CLORADO -41 55 12 153 UTAH 154 ARIZONA 150 CLORADO | Wed - 11/20.7:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Thu - 11/21.8:00 PM | Т |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge WIL 32 Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 115 NEBRASKA 4.5 32 0 4.5 116 MARYLAND 32 0 4.5 117 BALL ST 118 KENT ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge WIL 128 KANSAS ST 121 MICHIGAN 4 6 112 Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 122 INDIANA 46 0.5 130 W VIRGINIA 122 WAKE FOREST -6.5 40 -6 133 BYU -39 37 -41 2 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 135 TEMPLE 136 CINCINNATI 134 TH23,12:00 PM 0L PR PRL Edge WIL 137 TKANSAS 141 LIBERTY 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 141 LIBERTY 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 141 LIBERTY 144 ORACONSIN -21.5 57 -19 148 IOWA ST 154 OREGON -14 55 -12 152 154 OREGON -14 55 - | | | | | | | | Γ |
| 115 NEBRASKA 4.5 32 117 BALL ST 116 MARYLAND 32 0 4.5 118 KENT ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM 121 MICHIGAN -8 57 -11 3 T22 KANSAS ST 122 INDIANA 46 120 KAKSAS ST T24 TEXAS TECH 124 TEXAS TECH 34 0.5 130 W VIRGINIA 128 WAKE FOREST -6.5 40 -6 130 W VIRGINIA 133 BYU -39 37 -41 2 135 TEMPLE 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 135 TEMPLE 136 CINCINNATI 135 TEMPLE 139 TROY 35 -4 141 LIBERTY 140 LALAFAYETTE 134 4-9 141 LIBERTY 140 LALAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 142 VIRGINIA Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 142 VIRGINIA Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 147 KANSAS 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 148 IUBERTY 140 LALAFAYETTE 150 OREGON -14 55 -12 153 UTAH <td>108 MIAMI OHIO</td> <td>-30.5</td> <td>35</td> <td>-33</td> <td>2.5</td> <td></td> <td>112 GEORGIA TECH</td> <td></td> | 108 MIAMI OHIO | -30.5 | 35 | -33 | 2.5 | | 112 GEORGIA TECH | |
| 115 NEBRASKA 4.5 32 117 BALL ST 116 MARYLAND 32 0 4.5 118 KENT ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM 121 MICHIGAN -8 57 -11 3 T22 KANSAS ST 122 INDIANA 46 120 KAKSAS ST T24 TEXAS TECH 124 TEXAS TECH 34 0.5 130 W VIRGINIA 128 WAKE FOREST -6.5 40 -6 130 W VIRGINIA 133 BYU -39 37 -41 2 135 TEMPLE 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 135 TEMPLE 136 CINCINNATI 135 TEMPLE 139 TROY 35 -4 141 LIBERTY 140 LALAFAYETTE 134 4-9 141 LIBERTY 140 LALAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 142 VIRGINIA Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 142 VIRGINIA Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 147 KANSAS 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 148 IUBERTY 140 LALAFAYETTE 150 OREGON -14 55 -12 153 UTAH <td>Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM</td> <td>OL</td> <td>PR</td> <td>PRL</td> <td>Edge</td> <td>W/L</td> <td>Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM</td> <td>Γ</td> | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | Γ |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 121 MICHIGAN Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 122 INDIANA 46 123 KANSAS ST 124 TEXAS TECH Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 120 OKLAHOMA ST 123 CANSAS ST 127 DUKE 34 0.5 129 OKLAHOMA ST 129 OKLAHOMA ST 128 WAKE FOREST -6.5 40 -6 130 W VIRGINIA 138 BYU -39 37 -41 2 136 CINCINNATI 138 BYU -39 37 -41 2 136 CINCINNATI 139 TROY 35 4 141 LIBERTY 141 LIBERTY 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 141 KANSAS 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 148 IOWA ST 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 163 UTAH 152 ORZONA ST 43 2 153 UTAH 154 COLORADO 34 159 TEXAS ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST 158 COLORADO 34 159 TEXAS ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST | | -4.5 | 32 | | | | | |
| 121 MICHIGAN -8 57 -11 3 123 KANSAS ST 122 INDIANA 46 124 TEXAS TECH Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR FRL Edge W/L 129 OKLAHOMA ST 127 DUKE 34 0.5 129 OKLAHOMA ST 129 OKLAHOMA ST 128 WAKE FOREST -6.5 40 -6 130 W VIRGINIA 138 MASSACHUSETTS -4 135 TEMPLE 135 TEMPLE 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 -4 135 TEMPLE 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 -4 141 LIBERTY 140 LALAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 141 LIBERTY 140 LALAFAYETTE -13 38 2.5 144 TKANSAS 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 147 KANSAS 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 147 KANSAS 150 REGON -14 55 -12 153 TEMPLE 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 154 RAIZONA 152 OLONAD 34 159 TEXAS ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST 153 CLAVADA 23 165 UCLA 166 USC < | 116 MARYLAND | | 32 | 0 | 4.5 | | 118 KENT ST | |
| 122 INDIANA 46 124 TEXAS TECH Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 128 WAKE FOREST -6.5 40 -6 130 W VIRGINIA Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 135 TEMPLE 133 BYU -33 37 -41 2 135 TEMPLE 136 CINCINNATI Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 139 TROY 35 4 141 LIBERTY 142 VIRGINIA 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 147 KANSAS 145 PURDUE -21.5 57 -19 147 KANSAS 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 148 IOWA ST 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 153 UTAH 153 UTAH 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 153 UTAH 154 ARIZONA 153 NEVADA 23 160 APPALACHIAN ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST 154 HESNO ST -12.5 30 165 UCLA 165 UCLA 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 2.7 0.5 177 TEXAS 174 RANSAS ST | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM | I |
| Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 127 DUKE 34 0.5 129 OKLAHOMA ST 128 WAKE FOREST -6.5 40 -6 130 W VIRGINIA 138 WU -39 37 -41 2 135 TEMPLE 135 TEMPLE 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 -4 -135 TEMPLE 136 CINCINATI 139 TROY -35 4 -1123,12:00 PM 141 LIBERTY 139 TROY -35 4 -141 Z VIRGINIA -142 VIRGINIA 139 TROY -38 2.5 147 KANSAS 144 IUBERTY 140 LALAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 -142 VIRGINIA 145 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 -148 IOWA ST 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 -148 IOWA ST 150 AREGON -14 43 2 -152 -163 UTAH 151 OREGON -14 49 -152 -160 APPALACHIAN ST 158 COLORADO 34 -164 IOVA -11/23,3:0 PM | | -8 | | -11 | 3 | | | |
| 127 DUKE 34 0.5 129 OKLAHOMA ST 128 WAKE FOREST -6.5 40 -6 130 W VIRGINIA Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL EdgeW/L Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM 133 BYU -39 37 -41 2 135 TEMPLE 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 -4 -4 135 TEMPLE 139 TROY 35 -4 -4 -4 -4 139 TROY 35 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 -44 -4 | 122 INDIANA | | | | | | 124 TEXAS TECH | |
| 128 WAKE FOREST -6.5 40 -6 130 W VIRGINIA 138 PU -39 37 -41 2 135 TEMPLE 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 -4 136 CINCINNATI 135 TEMPLE 139 TROY 35 4 -4 141 LIBERTY 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 142 VIRGINIA Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,1:00 PM 145 PURDUE 38 2.5 148 IOWA ST 153 UTAH 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 153 UTAH 154 ARIZONA 154 OREGON -14 35 12 153 UTAH 154 ARIZONA 153 REVADA 23 23 165 UCLA 165 UCLA 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 160 UAPPALACHIAN ST 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 17 | | OL | | PRL | | W/L | | |
| Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM 133 MASSACHUSETTS -4 -39 37 -41 2 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 -36 -37 -41 2 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 -4 -36 -36 -11/23,12:00 PM 139 TROY -35 -4 -1142 -11/23,12:00 PM -1142 -1142 -11/23,12:00 PM 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 -1142 | | 6 5 | - | 6 | 0.5 | | | ł |
| 133 BYU -39 37 -41 2 135 TEMPLE 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 136 CINCINNATI 136 CINCINNATI 139 TROY 35 4 141 LIBERTY 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 141 LIBERTY 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 147 KANSAS 145 PURDUE 38 2.5 147 KANSAS 147 KANSAS 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 147 KANSAS 150 REGON -14 55 -12 153 UTAH 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 2 154 RUIZ3,10:00 PM 157 WASHINGTON -13 49 -15 2 150 APPALACHIAN ST 158 COLORADO 34 159 TEXAS ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST 165 UCLA 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 166 USC 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 32 -7 0.5 177 EAXS ST 176 CHARLOTTE 25 -7 0.5 172 ARKANSAS ST 178 ASHAL 176 CHARLOTTE 25 -7 0.5 | | | | | | | | L |
| 134 MASSACHUSETTS -4 136 CINCINNATI Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 139 TROY 35 4 141 LIBERTY 142 VIRGINIA 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 142 VIRGINIA Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 145 PURDUE 38 2.5 147 KANSAS 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 148 IOWAST 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 153 UTAH 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 153 UTAH 154 OREGON -13 49 -15 2 157 WASHINGTON -13 49 -15 2 158 COLORADO 34 160 APPALACHIAN ST 159 TEXAS ST 160 AFREGON ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -25 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKAN | , | - | | | | W/L | , | |
| Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 139 TROY 35 4 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 145 PURDUE 38 2.5 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 Sat - 11/23,10:00 PM 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 Sat - 11/23,2:30 PM 153 UTAH 153 UTAH 153 UTAH 154 ARIZONA 154 ARIZONA 3 2 Sat - 11/23,2:30 PM 157 WASHINGTON -13 49 -15 2 158 COLORADO 34 150 UCA 160 APPALACHIAN ST 158 COLORADO 34 160 APPALACHIAN ST 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 | | -39 | - | -41 | 2 | | | ╞ |
| 139 TROY 35 4 141 LIBERTY 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 142 VIRGINIA Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 145 PURDUE 38 2.5 148 IOWA ST 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 141 LIBERTY 148 IOWA ST 38 2.5 148 IOWA ST 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 153 ARIZONA 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 Sat - 11/23,0:00 PM 0L Sat - 11/23,10:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 159 TEXAS ST 158 COLORADO 34 160 APPALACHIAN ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 163 NEVADA 23 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST 176 CHARLOTTE 25 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST | | | | | | | | L |
| 140 LA LAFAYETTE -13 44 -9 142 VIRGINIA 145 PURDUE 38 2.5 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 147 KANSAS 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 148 IOWA ST 148 IOWA ST 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 153 UTAH 153 UTAH 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 154 ARIZONA 154 ARIZONA 157 WASHINGTON -13 49 -15 2 160 APPALACHIAN ST 158 COLORADO 34 34 160 APPALACHIAN ST 165 UCLA 166 USC 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 166 USC 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -9.5 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST 176 OREGON ST 30 -9 -9 172 ARKANSAS ST 178 ASUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -9.5 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST 176 OLARLOTTE 25 -7 0.5 177 TREAS 178 ANICHIGAN ST 182 NUY -2.5 <td< td=""><td>,</td><td>OL</td><td></td><td>PRL</td><td></td><td>W/L</td><td>,</td><td></td></td<> | , | OL | | PRL | | W/L | , | |
| Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 145 PUDUE 38 2.5 147 KANSAS 148 IOWA ST 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 147 KANSAS 148 IOWA ST 148 IOWA ST 148 IOWA ST 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 153 UTAH 153 UTAH 154 ARIZONA 153 UTAH 157 WASHINGTON -13 49 -15 2 158 COLORADO 34 160 APPALACHIAN ST 159 TEXAS ST 163 NEVADA 23 160 APPALACHIAN ST 165 UCLA 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 166 USC Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 177 ZARKANSAS ST 175 MARSHALL -6.5 32 -7 0.5 177 TEXAS 177 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 178 BAYLOR Sat - | | -13 | | -9 | 4 | | | ╞ |
| 145 PURDUE 38 2.5 147 KANSAS 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 148 IOWA ST 141 OREGON -14 55 -12 153 UTAH 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 153 UTAH 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 154 ARIZONA Sat - 11/23,10:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,2:30 PM 157 WASHINGTON -13 49 -15 2 159 TEXAS ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST 163 NEVADA 23 165 UCLA 166 USC 166 USC Sat - 11/23,00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 175 MARSHALL -6.5 32 -7 0.5 177 TEXAS 178 DAYLOR | | | | | F 1 | 14//1 | - | T |
| 146 WISCONSIN -21.5 57 -19 148 IOWA ST Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 153 UTAH 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 154 ARIZONA Sat - 11/23,10:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 157 WASHINGTON -13 49 -15 2 159 TEXAS ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST 158 COLORADO 34 160 APPALACHIAN ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,0:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 177 TEXAS 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 -7 0.5 177 TEXAS 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 181 SMU 39 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM | | OL | | PRL | | VV/L | | F |
| 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 153 UTAH 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 154 ARIZONA Sat - 11/23,10:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,2:30 PM 157 WASHINGTON -13 49 -15 2 159 TEXAS ST 158 COLORADO 34 160 APPALACHIAN ST 159 TEXAS ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 166 USC 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 172 ARKANSAS ST 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 178 BAYLOR 183 MICHIGAN ST 183 MICHIGAN ST 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,1:00 PM 189 LOUISIANA TECH 183 NICHIGAN 33 3 190 UAB 190 UAB 190 UAB< | | -21.5 | | -19 | 2.5 | | | t |
| 151 OREGON -14 55 -12 153 UTAH 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 154 ARIZONA Sat - 11/23,10:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,2:30 PM 157 WASHINGTON -13 49 -15 2 159 TEXAS ST 158 COLORADO 34 160 APPALACHIAN ST 159 TEXAS ST 160 APPALACHIAN ST Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 166 USC 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 172 ARKANSAS ST 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 178 BAYLOR 183 MICHIGAN ST 183 MICHIGAN ST 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,1:00 PM 189 LOUISIANA TECH 183 NICHIGAN 33 3 190 UAB 190 UAB 190 UAB< | Set 44/00 7:00 DM | | DD | וחח | Edaa | W/I | Set 44/22 40:00 DM | T |
| 152 ARIZONA ST 43 2 154 ARIZONA Sat - 11/23,10:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 157 WASHINGTON -13 49 -15 2 158 COLORADO 34 160 APPALACHIAN ST Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 163 NEVADA 23 165 UCLA 160 UCLA 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 169 OREGON ST 30 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 175 MARSHALL -6.5 32 -7 0.5 177 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 183 MICHIGAN ST 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 187 PITTSBURGH 43 1 189 LOUISIANA TECH 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 46 3 190 UAB | | | | | Eage | VV/L | | t |
| 157 WASHINGTON -13 49 -15 2 159 TEXAS ST 158 COLORADO 34 160 APPALACHIAN ST Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 166 USC Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 165 UCLA 169 OREGON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 177 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 177 TEXAS 177 TEXAS 177 TEXAS 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 184 RUTGERS 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 46 -3 0 193 HOUSTON 33 3 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 190 UAB 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 | | | | | 2 | | | T |
| 157 WASHINGTON -13 49 -15 2 159 TEXAS ST 158 COLORADO 34 160 APPALACHIAN ST Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 166 USC Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 165 UCLA 169 OREGON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 177 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 177 TEXAS 177 TEXAS 177 TEXAS 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 184 RUTGERS 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 46 -3 0 193 HOUSTON 33 3 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 190 UAB 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 | Sat - 11/23 10:00 PM | 01 | DD | DDI | Edge | W/I | Sat - 11/23 2:30 PM | Т |
| 158 COLORADO 34 160 APPALACHIAN ST Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 163 NEVADA 23 165 UCLA 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 166 USC Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 166 USC Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 166 USC Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 177 TEXAS 177 TEXAS 175 MARSHALL -6.5 32 -7 0.5 177 TEXAS 177 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 I178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM NH 183 MICHIGAN ST 181 SMU 39 I83 MICHIGAN ST 183 MICHIGAN ST 184 RUTGERS 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 187 PITTSBURG | | | | | | | - | T |
| 163 NEVADA 23 165 UCLA 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 169 OREGON ST 30 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 175 MARSHALL -6.5 32 -7 0.5 177 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM NM 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 183 MICHIGAN ST 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 184 RUTGERS 33 0 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 187 PITTSBURGH 43 189 LOUISIANA TECH 189 LOUISIANA TECH 190 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 190 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 190 UAB 201 UTEP 193 HOUSTON 33 | 158 COLORADO | | 34 | | | | | I |
| 163 NEVADA 23 165 UCLA 164 FRESNO ST -12.5 38 -15 2.5 Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 169 OREGON ST 30 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 175 MARSHALL -6.5 32 -7 0.5 177 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM NM 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 183 MICHIGAN ST 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 184 RUTGERS 33 0 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 187 PITTSBURGH 43 189 LOUISIANA TECH 189 LOUISIANA TECH 190 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 190 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 190 UAB 201 UTEP 193 HOUSTON 33 | Sat - 11/23.10:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23.3:30 PM | Π |
| Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 169 OREGON ST 30 171 GA SOUTHERN 171 GA SOUTHERN 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM I77 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 178 BAYLOR 177 TEXAS 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 184 RUTGERS 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 183 PUTSON 33 3 190 UAB 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI 194 TULSA <td></td> <td></td> <td>23</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>Γ</td> | | | 23 | | | | - | Γ |
| 169 OREGON ST 30 171 GA SOUTHERN 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 175 MARSHALL -6.5 32 -7 0.5 176 CHARLOTTE 25 177 TEXAS 178 BAYLOR 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 183 MICHIGAN ST 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 183 MICHIGAN ST 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 184 RUTGERS 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 183 MICHIGAN ST 184 RUTGERS 187 PITTSBURGH 43 -1 189 LOUISIANA TECH 190 UAB 190 UAB 190 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI <td>164 FRESNO ST</td> <td>-12.5</td> <td>38</td> <td>-15</td> <td>2.5</td> <td></td> <td>166 USC</td> <td></td> | 164 FRESNO ST | -12.5 | 38 | -15 | 2.5 | | 166 USC | |
| 170 WASHINGTON ST -12.5 52 -22 9.5 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 175 MARSHALL -6.5 32 -7 0.5 177 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM NU Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 183 MICHIGAN ST 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 183 MICHIGAN ST 183 MICHIGAN ST 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 187 PITTSBURGH 43 189 LOUISIANA TECH 189 LOUISIANA TECH 190 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 195 TENNESSEE 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 196 MISSOURI 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge | Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 175 MARSHALL -6.5 32 -7 0.5 177 T7 EXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 178 BAYLOR 177 TEXAS 177 TEXAS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 183 MICHIGAN ST 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 184 RUTGERS 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 189 LOUISIANA TECH 187 PITTSBURGH 43 189 LOUISIANA TECH 189 LOUISIANA TECH 190 UAB 190 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 195 TENNESSEE 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 196 MISSOURI 201 UTEP 202 UNLV 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 202 NEW MEXICO ST 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 207 FL | | | | | | | | |
| 175 MARSHALL -6.5 32 -7 0.5 177 TEXAS 176 CHARLOTTE 25 178 BAYLOR 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 183 MICHIGAN ST 183 MICHIGAN ST 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 187 PITTSBURGH 43 1 189 LOUISIANA TECH 190 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 193 HOUSTON 33 3 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 196 MISSOURI 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 202 207 FLA ATLANTIC < | 170 WASHINGTON ST | -12.5 | 52 | -22 | 9.5 | | 172 ARKANSAS ST | |
| 176 CHARLOTTE 25 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 183 MICHIGAN ST 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 187 PITTSBURGH 43 189 LOUISIANA TECH 189 LOUISIANA TECH 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 46 -3 0 Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 193 HOUSTON 33 3 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 196 MISSOURI 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 203 UTSA 211 PENN ST 59 213 BOISE ST 213 BOISE ST | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 183 MICHIGAN ST 183 MICHIGAN ST 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 187 PITTSBURGH 43 189 LOUISIANA TECH 189 LOUISIANA TECH 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 46 -3 0 Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 193 HOUSTON 33 3 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI 193 HOUSTON 33 3 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 202 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 U | | -6.5 | | -7 | 0.5 | | | |
| 181 SMU 39 183 MICHIGAN ST 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 187 PITTSBURGH 43 189 LOUISIANA TECH 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 46 -3 0 193 HOUSTON 33 3 190 UAB 193 HOUSTON 33 3 195 TENNESSEE 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 195 MISSOURI Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 208 UTSA Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 203 UTSA 211 PENN ST 59 59 213 BOISE ST 138 OISE ST | 176 CHARLOTTE | | | | | | 178 BAYLOR | 1 |
| 182 NAVY -2.5 46 -7 4.5 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 187 PITTSBURGH 43 189 LOUISIANA TECH 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 46 -3 0 193 HOUSTON 33 3 190 UAB 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 195 TENNESSEE 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 196 MISSOURI 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 208 UTSA 211 PENN ST 59 213 BOISE ST 213 BOISE ST 213 BOISE ST | | OL | | PRL | Edge | W/L | | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 187 PITTSBURGH 43 1 189 LOUISIANA TECH 189 LOUISIANA TECH 190 UAB 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 46 -3 0 190 UAB 193 HOUSTON 33 3 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 196 MISSOURI 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 202 TELA ATLANTIC 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 208 UTSA Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 203 UTSA 211 PENN ST 59 213 BOISE ST 213 BOISE ST | | 2.5 | | 7 | 4.5 | | | ╞ |
| 187 PITTSBURGH 43 189 LOUISIANA TECH 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 46 -3 0 190 UAB 190 UAB 190 UAB 190 UAB 193 HOUSTON 33 3 195 TENNESSEE 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 196 MISSOURI 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 201 UTEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 208 UTSA 211 PENN ST 59 59 213 BOISE ST 213 BOISE ST | | | | | | | | L |
| 188 VIRGINIA TECH -3 46 -3 0 190 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 193 HOUSTON 33 3 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 196 MISSOURI 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 208 UTSA Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 208 UTSA 211 PENN ST 59 59 213 BOISE ST 213 BOISE ST | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | OL | | PRL | Edge | W/L | - | |
| Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 193 HOUSTON 33 3 195 TENNESSEE 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 196 MISSOURI 196 MISSOURI Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST 202 NEW MEXICO ST 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM 207 FLA ATLANTIC 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 208 UTSA Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM 211 PENN ST 59 | | -3 | | -3 | 0 | | | ╞ |
| 193 HOUSTON 33 3 195 TENNESSEE 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 196 MISSOURI 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 201 UTEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM 201 UTEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,10:00 PM 0L PR PRL Edge W/L 201 UTEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST 208 UTSA 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA -6 0.5 208 UTSA 208 UTSA 201 UTEP 201 UTEP 201 UTEP 201 UTEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 208 UTSA 211 PENN ST 59 213 BOISE ST 213 BOISE ST | | | | | | 14//1 | | T |
| 194 TULSA -4 34 -1 196 MISSOURI 193 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 208 UTSA Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 201 UTEP 201 UTEP 200 OT FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA 201 UTSA | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | OL | | PRL | | VV/L | | t |
| Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 211 PENN ST 59 213 BOISE ST 213 BOISE ST | | -4 | | -1 | 3 | | | t |
| 199 SAN JOSE ST -4.5 21 -1 201 UTEP 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 208 UTSA Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 213 BOISE ST | Set 11/22 4:00 DM | | DD | DDI | Edgo | W/I | | T |
| 200 UNLV 20 3.5 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 208 UTSA Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM 211 PENN ST 59 213 BOISE ST 213 BOISE ST 213 BOISE ST | | | | | Euge | VV/L | | T |
| 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 211 PENN ST 59 213 BOISE ST | | | | | 3.5 | | | T |
| 205 COASTAL CAROLIN 18 207 FLA ATLANTIC 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 211 PENN ST 59 213 BOISE ST | Sat - 11/23 5:00 PM | 01 | PR | PRI | Edge | W/I | Sat - 11/23 6:00 PM | T |
| 206 LA MONROE -5.5 24 -6 0.5 208 UTSA Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM 213 BOISE ST | | | | | Lage | | | t |
| 211 PENN ST 59 213 BOISE ST | | -5.5 | | -6 | 0.5 | | | t |
| 211 PENN ST 59 213 BOISE ST | Sat - 11/23.12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRI | Edae | W/L | Sat - 11/23.10:30 PM | T |
| 212 OHIO ST -18 78 -19 1 214 UTAH ST | | | | | | | | Í |
| | 212 OHIO ST | -18 | 78 | -19 | 1 | | 214 UTAH ST | |

| 03 E MICHIGAN | | 23 | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-------------------|
| 04 N ILLINOIS | -4.5 | 29 | -6 | 1.5 | |
| | 0 | | DDI | | 14// |
| Thu - 11/21,8:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | VV/L |
| 11 NC STATE | -1 | 28 | 5 | 4 | \vdash |
| I IZ GEURGIA TEUH | -1 | 33 | -5 | - | |
| Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 17 BALL ST | -3 | 24 | | | |
| 18 KENT ST | | 25 | -1 | 4 | ШĬ |
| Sat 11/22 7:00 DM | | PR | DDI | Edge | W//I |
| Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM 23 KANSAS ST | OL -1.5 | 44 | FRL | Euge | VV/L |
| 24 TEXAS TECH | -1.5 | 44 | -1 | 2.5 | |
| | | 40 | 1 | | |
| Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 29 OKLAHOMA ST | -7 | 45 | -2 | | |
| 30 W VIRGINIA | | 43 | | 5 | |
| Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM | OL | PR | PRI | Edge | W/L |
| 35 TEMPLE | | 34 | | 9- | |
| 36 CINCINNATI | -10 | 45 | -11 | 1 | \square |
| | | | | - | 14/1 |
| Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| | 40 | 24 | C 1 | - | \square |
| 42 VIRGINIA | -19 | 48 | -24 | 5 | |
| Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 47 KANSAS | | 30 | | | |
| 48 IOWA ST | -22.5 | 53 | -23 | 0.5 | |
| 0 1 44/00 10 00 5 | | | DE | | 14// |
| Sat - 11/23,10:00 PM | OL | PR | | Edge | WV/L |
| | -21 | 55 | -23 | 2 | \square |
| 54 ARIZONA | L | 32 | 1 | 1 | |
| Sat - 11/23,2:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| 59 TEXAS ST | | 13 | | | |
| 60 APPALACHIAN ST | -28 | F1 | -38 | 10 | |
| | -20 | 51 | -30 | 10 | |
| | | | | | W/I |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | PR | | Edge | W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 65 UCLA | OL | PR 32 | PRL | Edge | W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | | PR | PRL -19 | Edge 6.5 | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM | OL | PR 32 51 PR | PRL -19 | Edge | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN | OL -12.5 OL | PR 32 51 PR 30 | PRL -19 PRL | Edge 6.5 Edge | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM | OL -12.5 | PR 32 51 PR | PRL -19 | Edge 6.5 | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST | OL -12.5 OL -1 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 | PRL -19 PRL -1 | Edge 6.5 Edge 0 | W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL -12.5 OL | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR | PRL -19 PRL -1 | Edge 6.5 Edge 0 Edge | W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS | OL -12.5 OL -1 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 | PRL -19 PRL -1 | Edge 6.5 Edge 0 | W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR | OL -12.5 OL -1 OL -3.5 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 | Edge 6.5 Edge 0 Edge 2.5 | W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL -12.5 OL -1 OL -3.5 OL | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 PRL | Edge 6.5 Edge 0 Edge | W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST | OL -12.5 OL -1 OL -3.5 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 | Edge 6.5 Edge 0 Edge 2.5 Edge | W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL -12.5 OL -1 OL -3.5 OL | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 PRL -14 | Edge 6.5 Edge 0 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 | W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 71 GA SOUTHERN 72 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 77 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS | OL -12.5 OL -1 -1 -3.5 OL -20 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 PRL -14 | Edge 6.5 Edge 0 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 | W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 178 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST | OL -12.5 OL -1 OL -3.5 OL | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 PRL -14 | Edge 6.5 Edge 0 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 | W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 71 GA SOUTHERN 72 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 77 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL -12.5 OL -1 -1 -3.5 OL -20 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 PRL -14 | Edge 6.5 Edge 0 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 | W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 71 GA SOUTHERN 72 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 189 LOUISIANA TECH 90 UAB | OL -12.5 OL -1 -1 OL -3.5 OL -20 OL | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR 32 34 32 34 32 34 | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -2 | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge | W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 71 GA SOUTHERN 72 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 77 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 189 LOUISIANA TECH 90 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM | OL -12.5 OL -1 -1 -3.5 OL -20 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR 32 334 PR | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -2 | Edge 6.5 Edge 0 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 | W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 72 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 189 LOUISIANA TECH 90 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 95 TENNESSEE | OL -12.5 OL -1 -1 OL -20 OL OL | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR 32 334 PR 32 34 20 | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -11 PRL -14 PRL -2 PRL | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge Edge | W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 71 GA SOUTHERN 72 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 77 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 189 LOUISIANA TECH 90 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM | OL -12.5 OL -1 -1 OL -3.5 OL -20 OL | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR 32 334 PR | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -2 PRL -2 PRL -9 | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge Edge 5 | W/L W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 72 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 189 LOUISIANA TECH 90 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 95 TENNESSEE | OL -12.5 OL -1 -1 OL -20 OL OL | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR 32 334 PR 32 34 20 | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -2 PRL -2 PRL -9 | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge Edge | W/L W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 89 LOUISIANA TECH 90 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 95 TENNESSEE 96 MISSOURI | OL -12.5 OL -1 -1 OL -3.5 OL -20 OL -20 OL -4 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR 32 34 20 PR 32 34 PR 32 34 PR 38 47 | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -2 PRL -2 PRL -9 | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge Edge 5 | W/L W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 71 GA SOUTHERN 72 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 77 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 189 LOUISIANA TECH 90 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 95 TENNESSEE 96 MISSOURI Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM | OL -12.5 OL -1 -1 OL -3.5 OL -20 OL -20 OL -4 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR 32 34 20 PR 32 34 PR 38 47 PR 38 47 PR | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -2 PRL -2 PRL -9 | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge 5 Edge | W/L W/L W/L |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 183 MICHIGAN ST 184 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 190 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM 201 UTEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST | OL -12.5 OL -1 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR 32 34 20 PR 32 34 20 PR 32 34 PR 38 47 PR 7 13 | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -2 PRL -9 PRL -9 PRL -6 | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge 5 Edge 1 | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 189 LOUISIANA TECH 90 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM 201 UTEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM | OL -12.5 OL -1 OL -3.5 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -7 OL | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR 32 34 32 34 32 34 9R 38 47 PR 7 13 PR | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -2 PRL -9 PRL -9 PRL -6 PRL | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge 5 Edge 1 Edge | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 189 LOUISIANA TECH 90 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM 201 VIEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM | OL -12.5 OL -1 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR 32 34 20 PR 32 34 PR 32 34 PR 38 | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -2 PRL -9 PRL -9 PRL -6 | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge 5 Edge 1 | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 189 LOUISIANA TECH 90 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM 201 UTEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM | OL -12.5 OL -1 OL -3.5 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -7 OL | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR 32 34 32 34 32 34 9R 38 47 PR 7 13 PR | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -2 PRL -9 PRL -9 PRL -6 PRL | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge 5 Edge 1 Edge | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 89 LOUISIANA TECH 90 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 195 TENNESSEE 96 MISSOURI Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM 201 UTEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM | OL -12.5 OL -1 OL -3.5 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -7 OL | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 PR 34 20 PR 32 34 20 PR 32 34 PR 32 34 PR 38 | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -14 PRL -14 PRL -14 PRL -2 PRL -9 PRL -6 PRL -23 | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge 5 Edge 1 Edge | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 83 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 89 LOUISIANA TECH 90 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 195 TENNESSEE 96 MISSOURI Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM 201 UTEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM | OL -12.5 OL -1 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -1 -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 97 38 47 32 34 20 PR 32 34 20 PR 32 34 97 13 88 15 | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -14 PRL -14 PRL -14 PRL -2 PRL -9 PRL -6 PRL -23 | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge 5 Edge 1 Edge 4.5 | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA 166 USC Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM 171 GA SOUTHERN 172 ARKANSAS ST Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 177 TEXAS 78 BAYLOR Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM 183 MICHIGAN ST 84 RUTGERS Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 189 LOUISIANA TECH 190 UAB Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 195 TENNESSEE 196 MISSOURI Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM 201 UTEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM 207 FLA ATLANTIC 208 UTSA | OL -12.5 OL -1 -1 OL -20 OL -20 OL -20 OL -1 -1 -20 OL -1 -20 OL -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 | PR 32 51 PR 30 31 PR 47 48 9R 34 20 PR 34 20 PR 32 34 20 PR 32 34 7 13 PR 38 15 PR | PRL -19 PRL -1 PRL -14 PRL -14 PRL -14 PRL -14 PRL -2 PRL -9 PRL -6 PRL -23 PRL | Edge 6.5 Edge 2.5 Edge 6 Edge 5 Edge 1 Edge 4.5 | |

DRF Sports Form / 11

Statfox College Football Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 13

** The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS: OL - Opening Line OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating adjusted for home field advantage.

OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Line

| 1 0 | | | | 0 | | | | | | | OFL - Calculated | Outp | lay ⊢act | or Lir | าย |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------------|-------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|------------------|-----|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------|----------|------|
| Fri - 11/22,9:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | OF OFI | Edge | W/L |
| 113 COLORADO ST | | 18 | | | | 115 NEBRASKA | -4.5 | 26 | -4 | | 117 BALL ST | -3 | 25 -5 | 2.0 | |
| 114 WYOMING | -7 | 32 | -14 | 7.0 | | 116 MARYLAND | | 22 | | 0.5 | 118 KENT ST | | 20 | | |
| Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/I | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | OF OF | Edge | W/I |
| 119 MEMPHIS | -14 | 40 | -16 | | VV/L | 185 NORTH TEXAS | -4.5 | 19 | | Luge W/L | 187 PITTSBURGH | | 31 | 1.0 | VV/L |
| 120 S FLORIDA | - 14 | 24 | -10 | 2.0 | | 186 RICE | -4.5 | 20 | -1 | 5.5 | 188 VIRGINIA TECH | -3 | 33 -2 | 1.0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM | OL | | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM | OL | OF OFL | Edge | W/L |
| 189 LOUISIANA TECH | | 28 | | | | 191 SYRACUSE | _ | 23 | _ | 1.0 | 193 HOUSTON | | 25 | | |
| 190 UAB | | 29 | -1 | | | 192 LOUISVILLE | -8 | 30 | -7 | | 194 TULSA | -4 | 29 -4 | 0.0 | |
| Tue - 11/19,7:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Tue - 11/19,7:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge W/L | Wed - 11/20,7:30 PM | OL | OF OFI | Edge | W/L |
| 101 OHIO U | -17.5 | 24 | -19 | 1.5 | | 103 E MICHIGAN | | 22 | -2 | 6.5 | 105 TOLEDO | | 18 | | |
| 102 BOWLING GREEN | | 5 | | | | 104 N ILLINOIS | -4.5 | 20 | | | 106 BUFFALO | -7 | 32 -14 | 7.0 | |
| Wed - 11/20,7:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/I | Thu - 11/21,8:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23.12:00 PM | OL | OF OF | Edge | W/I |
| 107 AKRON | | -3 | | 0.5 | VV/L | 111 NC STATE | | 16 | | Luge W/L | 131 E CAROLINA | -13 | 15 -9 | Luge | VV/L |
| 108 MIAMI OHIO | -30.5 | 27 | -30 | | | 112 GEORGIA TECH | -1 | 19 | -3 | 2.0 | 132 CONNECTICUT | 10 | 6 | 4.0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | | | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM | OL | | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,8:00 PM | OL | OF OF | | W/L |
| 133 BYU | -39 | 28 | -39 | 0.0 | | 135 TEMPLE | 10 | 26 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 137 TCU | 47 | 34 | 3.0 | |
| 134 MASSACHUSETTS | I | -11 | I | 0.0 | | 136 CINCINNATI | -10 | 40 | -14 | 4.0 | 138 OKLAHOMA | -17 | 48 -14 | 1 | |
| Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | OF OF | Edge | W/L |
| 139 TROY | | 25 | | | | 141 LIBERTY | | 18 | | 1.0 | 143 ILLINOIS | | 27 | | |
| 140 LA LAFAYETTE | -13 | 41 | -16 | 3.0 | | 142 VIRGINIA | -19 | 36 | -18 | | 144 IOWA | -12 | 45 -18 | 6.0 | |
| Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM | OL | OF | OFI | Edge | W/I | Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFI | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | OF OF | Edge | W/I |
| 145 PURDUE | | 24 | | 90 | | 147 KANSAS | | 21 | | | 121 MICHIGAN | -8 | | 4.0 | |
| 146 WISCONSIN | -21.5 | 58 | -34 | 12.5 | | 148 IOWA ST | -22.5 | 44 | -23 | 0.5 | 122 INDIANA | Ť | 35 | 1 | |
| - | | | | | 14//1 | | | | • | | | | | I C day | 14// |
| Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM | OL -1.5 | 0F 33 | 0FL -2 | Edge 0.5 | VV/L | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | 0F 39 | UFL | Edge W/L 2.0 | Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM 127 DUKE | OL | OF OFI 22 | ∟dge | VV/L |
| 123 KANSAS ST 124 TEXAS TECH | -1.5 | 33 | -2 | 0.5 | | 125 TEXAS A&M 126 GEORGIA | -14 | 39 51 | -12 | 2.0 | 127 DUKE 128 WAKE FOREST | -6.5 | 31 -9 | 2.5 | |
| 124 TEXAS TECH | | | | | | 120 GLONGIA | -14 | | | | 120 WARE FOREST | -0.5 | | | |
| Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | | | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | | - | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | OF OFL | Edge | W/L |
| 129 OKLAHOMA ST | -7 | 33 | -9 | 2.0 | | 175 MARSHALL | -6.5 | 25 | -4 | | 177 TEXAS | | 37 | | |
| 130 W VIRGINIA | | 24 | | | | 176 CHARLOTTE | | 21 | | 2.5 | 178 BAYLOR | -3.5 | 41 -4 | 0.5 | |
| Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | OF OF | Edge | W/L |
| 179 UCF | -6 | 37 | -1 | | | 181 SMU | | 35 | | | 183 MICHIGAN ST | -20 | 29 -19 | | |
| 180 TULANE | | 36 | | 5.0 | | 182 NAVY | -2.5 | 44 | -9 | 6.5 | 184 RUTGERS | | 10 | 1.0 | |
| Sat - 11/23,4:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/I | Sat - 11/23,5:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,6:00 PM | OL | OF OF | Edge | W/I |
| 203 OLD DOMINION | | 13 | | 2.0 | | 205 COASTAL CAROLIN | | 22 | -4 | 9.5 | 207 FLA ATLANTIC | -18.5 | | | |
| 204 MIDDLE TENN ST | -13 | 24 | -11 | | | 206 LA MONROE | -5.5 | 18 | | 0.0 | 208 UTSA | | 12 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM | OL | | | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,12:00 PM | OL | | Edge | W/L |
| 209 MIAMI 210 FLA INTERNATIONA | -17.5 | 32 19 | -13 | 4 5 | | 211 PENN ST 212 OHIO ST | -18 | 51 73 | -22 | 4.0 | 149 MINNESOTA 150 NORTHWESTERN | -12 | 41 -20 21 | 8.0 | |
| 210 FLA INTERNATIONA | | 19 | | 4.5 | | 212 0110 31 | -10 | 13 | -22 | 4.0 | 150 NORTHWESTERN | | 21 | | |
| Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM | OL | | | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,10:00 PM | OL | | | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,2:00 PM | OL | OF OFL | Edge | W/L |
| 151 OREGON | -14 | | -14 | _ | | 153 UTAH | -21 | | -25 | 4.0 | 155 S ALABAMA | | 13 | | |
| 152 ARIZONA ST | | 31 | | 0.0 | | 154 ARIZONA | | 20 | I | | 156 GEORGIA ST | | 27 -14 | | |
| Sat - 11/23,10:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,2:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,2:30 PM | OL | OF OF | Edge | W/L |
| 157 WASHINGTON | -13 | 36 | -12 | _ | | 159 TEXAS ST | | 14 | | | 161 BOSTON COLLEGE | 1 | 24 | | |
| 158 COLORADO | | 24 | | 1.0 | | 160 APPALACHIAN ST | -28 | 44 | -30 | 2.0 | 162 NOTRE DAME | -18 | | 8.0 | |
| Cat 44/00 40:00 DM | | | | | 14/1 | Cat 44/00 0-00 DM | | | | | | | | | 14/1 |
| Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM 163 NEVADA | OL | 0F 12 | OFL | Edge | VV/L | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM 165 UCLA | OL | 0F 24 | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM | OL | OF OFI 27 | 2.5 | VV/L |
| 164 FRESNO ST | -12.5 | 29 | -17 | 4.5 | | 165 UCLA 166 USC | -12.5 | 24 39 | _15 | 2.5 | 167 CALIFORNIA 168 STANFORD | -2.5 | 27 0 | 2.3 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | L | |
| Sat - 11/23,9:00 PM | OL | | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,3:00 PM | OL | | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,3:30 PM | OL | OF OF | <u> </u> | W/L |
| 169 OREGON ST | | 24 | | | | 171 GA SOUTHERN | | 26 | | | 173 W KENTUCKY | | 29 | 1.5 | |
| 170 WASHINGTON ST | -12.5 | 40 | -16 | 3.5 | | 172 ARKANSAS ST | -1 | 31 | -5 | 4.0 | 174 SOUTHERN MISS | -5.5 | 33 -4 | 1 | |
| Sat - 11/23,7:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L | Sat - 11/23,7:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM | OL | OF OF | Edge | W/L |
| 195 TENNESSEE | 1 | 26 | | | | 197 ARKANSAS | | 17 | | 7.5 | 199 SAN JOSE ST | -4.5 | 19 -7 | - | |
| 196 MISSOURI | -4 | 33 | -7 | 3.0 | | 198 LSU | -43.5 | 53 | -36 | | 200 UNLV | | 12 | | |
| Sat - 11/23,4:00 PM | OL | OF | | Edge | W/I | Sat - 11/23,10:30 PM | OL | | | Edge W/L | Sat - 11/23,11:00 PM | OL | OF OF | Edac | W/I |
| 201 UTEP | | 5 | | Eage 5.0 | ₩₩/L | 213 BOISE ST | -8.5 | 0 F 39 | | Lage W/L | 215 SAN DIEGO ST | | 26 | Luye | VV/L |
| 201 OTEP 202 NEW MEXICO ST | -7 | 5 | -2 | 5.0 | | 213 BOISE ST 214 UTAH ST | -0.5 | 39 29 | -10 | 1.3 | 215 SAN DIEGO ST 216 HAWAII | -1 | 20 28 -2 | 10 | |
| | 1 1 | · / | L ² | I | | 21701/01 | | 20 | I | | 2.0170070 | I. '' | 20 -Z | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

12 / DRF Sports Form

(101) OHIO U (-18.5) (SU: 4-6, ATS: 2-8) at (102) BOWLING GREEN (SU: 3-7, ATS: 3-7) - Tuesday, 11/19/2019 7:30 PM Team Offense Team Defense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing F-I PPG FD Rushing Passing F-I TOD Total Total 27-16-210 (7.9) 66-410 (6.2) 1-1 OHIO U +0.1 28 30 22 39-200 (5.1) 30 21 38-192 (5.1) 31-19-240 (7.8) 69-432 (6.3) 0-0 -8 **BOWLING GREEN** 18 7 17 42-167 (3.9) 28-16-156 (5.5) 70-323 (4.6) 0-1 35 21 41-212 (5.2) 26-17-229 (8.8) 67-441 (6.6) 27 16 1-1 Key Game Trends: BOWLING GREEN is 8-0 UNDER after playing 2 straight conference games over the L2 seasons....BOWLING GREEN is 8-0 UNDER after having lost 5 or 6 out of their last 7 games over the L2 seasons....BOWLING GREEN is 7-22 ATS as an underdog over the L3 seasons....OHIO U is 0-7 ATS as a favorite this season....BOWLING GREEN is 10-24 ATS in all lined games over the L3 seasons. StatFox Forecaster: OHIO U 37, BOWLING GREEN 18 (103) E MICHIGAN (SU: 5-5, ATS: 4-6) at (104) N ILLINOIS (-4.5) (SU: 4-6, ATS: 6-4) - Tuesday, 11/19/2019 7:30 PM Team Offense Team Defense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing F-I PPG FD Rushing F-I TOD Total Passing Total E MICHIGAN -3.5 24 28 21 32-118 (3.7) 35-24-274 (7.8) 67-392 (5.9) 0-1 31 22 41-194 (4.7) 29-18-241 (8.3) 70-435 (6.2) 1-1 +2 **N ILLINOIS** 26 24 19 36-129 (3.6) 33-20-243 (7.3) 69-372 (5.4) 0-1 28 18 37-178 (4.8) 28-16-208 (7.6) 65-386 (5.9) 1-0 Key Game Trends: Chris Creighton is 12-0 ATS away vs. excellent punt coverage teams, allowing <= 7.5 yards per return Chris Creighton is 9-1 ATS away vs. excellent ball control teams, 32 or more possession minutes/game as coach of E MICHIGAN....Chris Creighton is 16-5 ATS away after a game where they forced 1 or less turnovers as coach of E MICHIGAN....Chris Creighton is 24-13 ATS in road games as coach of E MICHIGAN.

StatFox Forecaster: N ILLINOIS 32, E MICHIGAN 24

| (105) TOLEDO (SU: | 6-4, A | rs: 4 | I-6) at | (106 |) BUFFALO (| -7.5) (SU: 5-5, AT | S: 5-5) - Wed | nesd | ay, 11/ | 20/2 | 019 7:30 PM | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------|-------|---------|-------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|-------|---------|------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|-----|
| . , . | DD:f | ~~ | | | | Team Offense | Tetal | | | | Duching | Team Defense | Tatal | | TOD |
| | PDif | 22 | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| TOLEDO | +0.2 | 25 | 29 | 22 | 45-246 (5.4) | 28-16-209 (7.6) | 73-455 (6.2) | 1-1 | 29 | 22 | 38-199 (5.2) | 34-21-266 (7.9) | 72-465 (6.5) | 0-1 | -7 |
| BUFFALO | +4.9 | 25 | 28 | 21 | 51-226 (4.4) | 23-12-142 (6.3) | 74-368 (5.0) | 1-0 | 23 | 15 | 33-88 (2.7) | 28-17-204 (7.4) | 61-292 (4.8) | 1-1 | +6 |
| Key Game Trends | BUFFA | l O i | s 10_0 |) ΔΤ9 | S at home ana | inst conference or | nonents over | the l | 3 6026 | าทร | BLIEFAL O is | 9-0 ATS at home | after plaving a | a | |

ALO is 10-0 ATS at home against conference opponents over the L3 seasons....BUFFALO is 9-0 ATS at home after playing a conference game over the L3 seasons....BUFFALO is 9-0 ATS at home after a game where they committed 1 or less turnovers over the L3 seasons....BUFFALO is 13-3 OVER after a game where they committed 1 or less turnovers over the L2 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: BUFFALO 29, TOLEDO 22

| (107) AKRON (SU: (|)-10, A | rs: (|)-10) a | ıt (10 | 8) MIAMI OHI | O (-30) (SU: 6-4, | ATS: 6-4) - W | edne | sday, | 11/2 | 0/2019 7:30 P | M | | | |
|--------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------|------|
| | | | | | - | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| AKRON | -25.7 | 23 | 11 | 14 | 27-53 (1.9) | 35-19-211 (6.1) | 62-264 (4.3) | 1-1 | 36 | 22 | 47-207 (4.4) | 25-17-194 (7.7) | 72-401 (5.6) | 0-0 | -17 |
| MIAMI OHIO | -4.0 | 32 | 25 | 15 | 38-131 (3.4) | 24-13-177 (7.4) | 62-308 (5.0) | 1-1 | 29 | 22 | 43-190 (4.4) | 30-17-191 (6.3) | 73-381 (5.2) | 1-1 | +5 |
| Key Game Trends: | AKRO | V is (|)-10 A | TS ir | n all lined game | es this seasonA | KRON is 0-10 | ATS | in gan | nes p | played on turf | this seasonAKF | RON is 0-11 A | rS af | er 2 |

consecutive games with a turnover margin of -1 or worse over the L2 seasons.... MIAMI OHIO is 9-0 ATS after playing 2 straight conference games over the L2 seasons....AKRON is 0-9 ATS as an underdog this season....AKRON is 0-6 ATS against conference opponents this season.

StatFox Forecaster: MIAMI OHIO 33, AKRON 9

| (111) NC STATE (SU | J: 4-6, A | ATS: | 3-7) a | at (1 | 12) GEORGIA | TECH (-2) (SU: 2 | 2-8, ATS: 2-7) | - Thu | ırsday | , 11/ | 21/2019 8:00 | PM | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|------|---------|-------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------|--------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|----------|
| | | | | | | Team Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| NC STATE | -6.3 | 34 | 23 | 22 | 34-147 (4.3) | 40-21-236 (5.9) | 74-383 (5.2) | 1-1 | 29 | 21 | 36-128 (3.5) | 36-23-250 (6.9) | 72-378 (5.2) | 0-0 | -12 |
| GEORGIA TECH | -14.6 | 37 | 16 | 16 | 37-146 (4.0) | 23-11-144 (6.2) | 60-290 (4.8) | 1-1 | 31 | 22 | 45-214 (4.7) | 27-16-198 (7.4) | 72-412 (5.7) | 0-1 | -5 |
| Key Game Trends: | Dave D | oere | en is 9 | -0 UI | NDER versus p | poor rushing defe | nses - allowing | g >=2(|)0 rush | ning y | /ards/game as | coach of NC STA | TEDave Do | oerer | n is 9-0 |

UNDER away versus poor rushing defenses - allowing >=200 rushing yards/gameDave Doeren is 20-7 UNDER away against conference opponents as coach of NC STATE....Dave Doeren is 11-2 UNDER away in November games as coach of NC STATE.

StatFox Forecaster: GEORGIA TECH 24, NC STATE 23

| Team Offense Team Defense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing Total F-I PPG FD Rushing Passing Total F-I | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-------|--------|------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| COLORADO ST | -2.0 | 25 | 31 | 22 | 34-145 (4.2) | 38-24-316 (8.4) | 72-461 (6.4) | 1-1 | 33 | 20 | 41-207 (5.1) | 26-15-184 (7.0) | 67-391 (5.8) | 1-1 | -8 |
| WYOMING | +8.2 | 25 | 27 | 18 | 44-220 (4.9) | 20-9-134 (6.8) | 64-354 (5.5) | 0-1 | 19 | 22 | 35-98 (2.8) | 40-23-282 (7.0) | 75-380 (5.1) | 1-1 | +8 |
| Key Game Trends: | WYOM | ING | is 18- | 5 UN | IDER when pla | ying with 6 or less | s days rest ove | er the | L3 sea | ason | sWYOMING | is 8-0 UNDER v | s. excellent pu | nt | |
| coverage teams, allo | owina <= | : 7.5 | vards | per | return over the | L3 seasonsW | YOMING is 10 | -1 UN | DER \ | /ersu | is aood offensi | ve teams - average | aina >=5.9 var | ds/pl | av |

StatFox Forecaster: WYOMING 32, COLORADO ST 23

| (115) NEBRASKA (-4) (SU: 4-6, ATS: 1-9) at (116) MARYLAND (SU: 3-7, ATS: 4-6) | - Saturday, 11/23/2019 3:30 PM |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Team Offense | Team Defense |

| | | | | | | eani Onense | | | | | | ean Delense | | | |
|---------------------------|---------|------|--------|------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|---------|-------|------------------|------------------|--------------|-------|---------|
| PI | Dif S | SP | PG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| NEBRASKA -4 | .1 3 | 39 | 26 | 20 | 43-197 (4.6) | 27-16-222 (8.2) | 70-419 (6.0) | 1-1 | 30 | 22 | 41-188 (4.6) | 32-20-225 (7.1) | 73-413 (5.7) | 0-1 | -3 |
| MARYLAND -6 | 6.3 4 | 10 | 28 | 18 | 36-172 (4.7) | 29-15-188 (6.4) | 65-360 (5.5) | 0-1 | 34 | 22 | 41-174 (4.3) | 33-22-269 (8.1) | 74-443 (6.0) | 1-1 | -1 |
| Key Game Trends: NEE | BRAS | KA i | s 1-9 | AT: | S in all lined ga | ames this season. | NEBRASKA | \ is 0- | 7 ATS | agai | nst conference | opponents this s | easonNEBF | RASK | A is 1- |
| 9 ATS when playing on a | Satu | rday | / this | sea | sonNEBRA | SKA is 12-2 OVE | R off a loss ag | ainst | a confe | erenc | e rival over the | L3 seasonsN | EBRASKA is 7 | 7-0 O | VER |
| after 2 or more consecuti | ive str | aigh | nt up | loss | es over the L2 | seasonsNEBR | ASKA is 1-7 | ATS ir | n game | s pla | yed on turf this | season. | | | |

StatFox Forecaster: MARYLAND 33, NEBRASKA 32

| (117) BALL ST (-3) | (SU: 4- | •6, A | TS: 6- | 4) at | t (118) KENT S | T (SU: 4-6, ATS: | : 6-4) - Saturd | ay, 1 | 1/23/20 |)19 1 | 2:00 PM | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------|---------|-------|----------------|------------------|---------------|-------|------|
| | | | | | | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| BALL ST | +3.0 | 27 | 34 | 23 | 42-204 (4.8) | 31-20-240 (7.8) | 73-444 (6.1) | 1-1 | 31 | 23 | 41-180 (4.4) | 35-20-240 (6.9) | 76-420 (5.5) | 1-1 | +9 |
| KENT ST | -5.5 | 27 | 25 | 20 | 40-166 (4.2) | 28-18-198 (7.1) | 68-364 (5.4) | 1-0 | 31 | 24 | 49-254 (5.2) | 25-15-206 (8.3) | 74-460 (6.2) | 1-0 | +2 |
| Key Game Trends | : BALL S | ST is | 2-14 | ATS | off 1 or more s | traight overs over | the L3 seaso | nsE | BALL S | T is | 7-0 UNDER a | way when playing | on a Saturday | / ove | the |
| L2 seasonsBALL | ST is 2- | 12 A | TS af | ter a | playing a game | e where 60 total p | oints or more | were | scored | love | r the L3 seaso | onsBALL ST is 7 | 7-17 ATS whe | n pla | /ing |
| with 6 or less days | rest over | the | L3 sea | ason | S. | | | | | | | | | | - |

StatFox Forecaster: KENT ST 31, BALL ST 30

| (119) MEMPHIS (-14 | .5) (SL | 1: 9- | 1, ATS | S: 7∹ | | LORIDA (SU: 4-6, eam Offense | , ATS: 6-4) - 3 | Satur | day, 1 | 1/23/ | | Team Defense | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|---------|------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| MEMPHIS | +15.6 | 29 | 42 | 22 | 38-183 (4.9) | 29-20-298 (10.2 | 67-481 (7.2) | 1-0 | 26 | 21 | 42-171 (4.1) | 30-17-210 (6.9) | 72-381 (5.3) | 1-1 | -1 |
| S FLORIDA | -3.1 | 30 | 23 | 18 | 37-168 (4.5) | 29-16-188 (6.5) | 66-356 (5.4) | 1-1 | 26 | 22 | 46-195 (4.2) | 25-16-173 (6.8) | 71-368 (5.2) | 1-1 | +6 |
| Key Game Trends: | Mike No | orve | ll is 12 | -1 A | TS in Novembe | er games as coach | n of MEMPHI | SM | ike No | rvell | is 12-1 ATS in | weeks 10 through | n 13 as coach | of | |
| MEMPHISCharlie | Strong | s 9- | 0 UNE | ER (| after a loss by | 3 or less points | Charlie Stron | g is 1 | 3-1 UN | NDEF | R after a loss b | y 6 or less points | Mike Norve | Il is 1 | 6-3 |
| OVER after playing the | heir last | gan | ne on | the r | oad as coach o | of MEMPHISMil | ke Norvell is 1 | 0-1 C | VER o | off a i | oad win . | | | | |
| StatFox Forecaster: | : MEMF | PHIS | 38, S | FLC | ORIDA 21 | | | | | | | | | | |

| (121) MICHIGAN | (-8.5) (SL | J: 8-2 | 2, ATS | 6: 6-4 | 4) at (122) IND | IANA (SU: 7-3, A | TS: 7-3) - Sat | urday | , 11/2: | 3/20 [,] | 19 3:30 PM | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--------|----------|--------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|----------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-------|-----|
| | | | | | | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| MICHIGAN | +16.6 | 39 | 33 | 22 | 40-162 (4.1) | 30-17-236 (7.9) | 70-398 (5.7) | 1-0 | 16 | 16 | 38-107 (2.8) | 26-15-155 (5.9) | 64-262 (4.1) | 1-1 | +2 |
| INDIANA | +11.9 | 31 | 33 | 24 | 35-134 (3.8) | 37-26-314 (8.5) | 72-448 (6.2) | 0-1 | 21 | 18 | 36-140 (3.9) | 28-15-177 (6.4) | 64-317 (5.0) | 1-0 | 0 |
| Key Game Trend | ls: Jim Ha | rbau | igh is 2 | 26-8 | OVER after pla | aying 2 straight co | onference gam | es as | coach | of N | /ICHIGANJ | im Harbaugh is 18 | -4 OVER after | r hav | ng |
| won 4 or 5 out of | their last 6 | gan | nes as | coad | ch of MICHIGA | NJim Harbaug | h is 28-11 OV | ER af | ter play | /ing a | a conference | game as coach of | MICHIGAN | Jim | |
| Harbaugh is 16-4 | OVER aw | ay a | fter pla | aying | 2 straight cont | ference games as | coach of MIC | HIGA | N. | - | | | | | |

StatFox Forecaster: MICHIGAN 28, INDIANA 26

| (123) KANSAS ST (8 | SU: 6-4 | , A T | S: 7-3 |) at (| (124) TEXAS 1 | ECH (pk) (SU: 4 | I-6, ATS: 5-4) | - Sat | urday, | 11/2 | 3/2019 7:00 F | PM | | | |
|--------------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|--------|----------|-------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|--------|-----|
| | | | | | T | eam Öffense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| KANSAS ST | +9.7 | 36 | 31 | 20 | 42-191 (4.5) | 25-15-192 (7.7) | 67-383 (5.7) | 1-0 | 21 | 17 | 32-163 (5.2) | 26-16-199 (7.6) | 58-362 (6.2) | 0-1 | +3 |
| TEXAS TECH | +3.0 | 34 | 32 | 25 | 35-160 (4.6) | 42-28-312 (7.4) | 77-472 (6.1) | 0-1 | 28 | 22 | 39-168 (4.4) | 35-21-310 (9.0) | 74-478 (6.5) | 0-1 | +7 |
| Key Game Trends: | Matt W | ells | is 19-2 | 2 OV | ER at home of | f 1 or more straigh | nt oversMat | tt Wel | ls is 15 | 5-2 O | VER at home | after a playing a g | ame where 6 | 0 tota | al |

points or more were scoredTEXAS TECH is 7-0 OVER at home against conference opponents over the L2 seasons....TEXAS TECH is 7-0 OVER at home after playing a conference game over the L2 seasons....KANSAS ST is 13-3 UNDER against conference opponents over the L2 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: KANSAS ST 30, TEXAS TECH 28

| (125) TEXAS A&M | (SU: 7-3 | , AT | S: 5-4 | 1) at | (126) GEORG | A (-13) (SU: 9-1, | ATS: 6-4) - S | aturd | ay, 11/ | 23/2 | 019 3:30 PM | | | | |
|------------------|----------|------|---------|-------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|---------|-------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|-----|
| • • | • | | | | ד ' | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| TEXAS A&M | +13.7 | 36 | 34 | 24 | 34-175 (5.1) | 36-22-259 (7.3) | 70-434 (6.2) | 1-1 | 20 | 19 | 31-132 (4.3) | 34-18-195 (5.8) | 65-327 (5.0) | 0-1 | 0 |
| GEORGIA | +21.9 | 38 | 32 | 22 | 38-209 (5.4) | 29-19-220 (7.7) | 67-429 (6.4) | 0-0 | 10 | 15 | 28-76 (2.7) | 35-20-192 (5.5) | 63-268 (4.3) | 0-1 | +3 |
| Key Game Trends: | Kirby S | mar | t is 13 | -2 A | FS after having | won 5 or 6 out of | f their last 7 ga | mes | as coa | ch of | GEORGIA | GEORGIA is 7-0 | UNDER at hon | ne af | ter |

allowing 100 or less rushing yards last game over the L2 seasons....GEORGIA is 16-4 ATS when playing against a team with a winning record over the L3 seasons....Kirby Smart is 11-2 ATS after having won 6 or 7 out of their last 8 games as coach of GEORGIA.

StatFox Forecaster: GEORGIA 31, TEXAS A&M 17

| (127) DUKE (SU: 4-6 | , ATS: | 3-5 |) at (12 | 28) V | | Г (-6.5) (SU: 7-3, | ATS: 4-5) - S | aturd | ay, 11/ | 23/2 | 019 7:30 PM | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|------|----------|-------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|-------|----------|-------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------|------|
| • • • | , | | | | | eam Óffense | , | | • | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| DUKE | -4.5 | 38 | 25 | 20 | 42-155 (3.7) | 33-19-183 (5.6) | 75-338 (4.5) | 1-1 | 29 | 19 | 39-174 (4.4) | 29-16-194 (6.6) | 68-368 (5.4) | 1-1 | -8 |
| WAKE FOREST | +3.9 | 34 | 32 | 23 | 44-162 (3.7) | 36-22-287 (8.0) | 80-449 (5.6) | 0-1 | 28 | 21 | 39-164 (4.3) | 33-21-254 (7.6) | 72-418 (5.8) | 1-1 | +7 |
| Key Game Trends: | David (| Cutc | liffe is | 19-4 | ATS in games | played on turf as | s coach of DU | (E[| Dave C | laws | on is 21-5 UN | DER at home afte | r playing 2 stra | aight | |
| conference games | .Dave (| Claw | /son is | 8-0 | UNDER at hon | ne after being out | gained by 175 | or m | ore tota | al yd | s 2 consecutiv | e gamesDave | Clawson is 23 | -7 UI | √DER |

at home in the second half of the season Dave Clawson is 29-12 UNDER at home against conference opponents .

StatFox Forecaster: WAKE FOREST 32, DUKE 23

| (129) OKLAHOMA | ST (-7.5 |) (S | U: 7-3 | 8, A T |) W VIRGINIA (S eam Offense | U: 4-6, ATS: 4 | I-5) - | Saturd | lay, ′ | 00 PM Team Defense | | | |
|---|----------|------|--------|---------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| OKLAHOMA ST W VIRGINIA | | | | | | | | | | 37-22-273 (7.3) 31-20-254 (8.3) | | | |
| Key Game Trends: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| after a game where consecutive games | | | | | | | | | | | 5 or more yard | ls/pla | y in 3 |

StatFox Forecaster: OKLAHOMA ST 35, W VIRGINIA 24

| (131) E CAROLINA | (-13.5) | (SU | : 3-7, | ATS | | CONNECTICUT (eam Offense | SU: 2-8, ATS | : 4-6) | - Satu | rday | | 2:00 PM Team Defense | | | |
|----------------------|----------|-------|---------|-------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| E CAROLINA | -6.5 | 27 | 27 | 23 | 38-149 (3.9) | 35-21-278 (7.9) | 73-427 (5.8) | 1-1 | 33 | 24 | 42-209 (5.0) | 30-19-238 (7.9) | 72-447 (6.2) | 0-1 | -1 |
| CONNECTICUT | -22.0 | 30 | 19 | 19 | 37-137 (3.7) | 31-18-191 (6.2) | 68-328 (4.8) | 1-1 | 41 | 23 | 39-229 (5.9) | 26-17-223 (8.5) | 65-452 (7.0) | 1-0 | -6 |
| Key Game Trends: | E CAR | OLIN | IA is 7 | -0 O | VER after cove | ering the spread in | n 2 out of their | last 3 | 3 game | es ov | er the L2 seas | onsRandy Edsa | all is 21-6 ATS | after | |
| gaining 3.75 or less | yards/pl | ay in | their | previ | ious gameR | andy Edsall is 16 | -4 UNDER at | home | after f | ailing | g to cover the s | spread in 2 out of | their last 3 gai | nes | |
| Randy Edsall is 3 | 4-15 AT | S aft | er sco | ring | 14 points or les | ss last game . | | | | | - | | 0 | | |

StatFox Forecaster: E CAROLINA 36, CONNECTICUT 22

| (133) BYU (-39) (SU: 6-4, ATS: 4-6) at (134 | I) MASSACI | HUSETTS (SU: 1- | 10, ATS: 1-10 |) - Sa | turday, 11/2 | 3/2019 12:0 | 0 PM | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------|
| | | Team Offense | | | | | Team Defense | | |
| PDif SS PPG FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I TOD |

| BYU | +2.1 | 35 28 | 22 | 36-144 (4.0) | 34-22-278 (8.1) | 70-422 (6.0) | 1-1 2 | 6 22 | 42-193 (4.6) | 30-19-213 (7.1) | 72-406 (5.6) 1-1 | +6 |
|------------------|------------------|---------|--------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|----|
| MASSACHUSETTS | -33.0 | 19 19 | 16 | 36-123 (3.4) | 33-18-171 (5.2) | 69-294 (4.3) | 0-1 52 | 2 26 | 46-295 (6.4) | 26-18-258 (9.8) | 72-553 (7.7) 1-1 | +2 |
| Key Game Trends: | MASSAC | HUSE | TTS is | s 2-15 ATS as | an underdog over | the L2 season | sMASS | SACHU | JSETTS is 1-1 | 0 ATS in all lined | games this | |
| seasonMASSACH | USETTS | is 21-5 | OVE | R in games pla | ayed on turf over t | he L3 seasons | Kalani | Sitake | is 8-0 ATS aw | ay in November g | games as coach of | |
| BYUKalani Sitake | is 18-4 U | NDER | after | 1 or more cons | ecutive straight up | p wins as coach | n of BYU. | Walt | Bell is 1-9 ATS | S when playing or | n a Saturday . | |
| | B 1/11/10 | | | | | | | | | | | |

StatFox Forecaster: BYU 48, MASSACHUSETTS 17

| (135) TEMPLE (SU | : 7 - 3, A1 | 'S: 6 | -4) at | (136 |) CINCINNATI | (-10) (SU: 9-1, A | TS: 6-4) - Sat | urda | y, 11/2: | 3/20′ | 19 7:00 PM | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------|--------|-------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|----------|--------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------|---------|
| | | | - | | ר | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| TEMPLE | +1.7 | 31 | 27 | 21 | 38-137 (3.6) | 37-22-257 (7.0) | 75-394 (5.3) | 1-1 | 25 | 22 | 43-160 (3.8) | 36-18-224 (6.2) | 79-384 (4.9) | 1-1 | 0 |
| <u>CINCINNATI</u> | +10.8 | 34 | 31 | 20 | 43-205 (4.8) | 25-15-191 (7.6) | 68-396 (5.8) | 1-1 | 21 | 20 | 40-139 (3.5) | 32-17-231 (7.2) | 72-370 (5.1) | 1-1 | +8 |
| Key Game Trends: | TEMPL | .E is | 10-1 | ATS | vs. excellent p | unt coverage tear | ns, allowing <∺ | = 7.5 | yards p | ber re | eturn over the | L3 seasonsLuk | e Fickell is 1-8 | 3 ATS | 3 off 2 |
| straight wins agains | t confere | ence | rivals | R | d Carey is 12 | -3 ATS away in N | lovember gam | es | Rod C | arev | is 10-2 OVER | away off an upse | t win as an un | derdo | pc |
| Rod Carey is 25- | | | | | | | J | | | , | | | | | 5 |
| С4-4Г Г | | | | , TCI | | | | | | | | | | | |
| StatFox Forecaste | r: CINC | INNA | 11 20 | , IEI | MPLE 20 | | | | | | | | | | |
| (137) TCU (SU: 5-5 | ATC: 2 | 7) a | + /120 | | | 5) (SUL 0 4 AT | S. A. 6) Sotur | day | 11/22/2 | 010 | 9.00 DM | | | | |
| (137) 100 (30. 3-3 | , AIS. 3 | -1) a | 1 (130 |) UN | | eam Offense | 5. 4-0) - Salui | uay, | 11/23/2 | 019 | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushina | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushina | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| | | 00 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 100 |
| TCU | +5.4 | 37 | 32 | 23 | 45-216 (4.8) | 34-19-224 (6.6) | 79-440 (5.6) | 1-1 | 27 | 15 | 32-120 (3.8) | 30-17-209 (6.9) | 62-329 (5.3) | 0-1 | -3 |
| OKLAHOMA | +21.4 | 36 | 47 | 26 | 38-247 (6.5) | 28-20-334 (11.8 | 66-581 (8.8) | 1-1 | 26 | 21 | 34-142 (4.1) | 30-19-208 (6.8) | 64-350 (5.5) | 0-0 | -5 |

Key Game Trends: TCU is 7-0 OVER as an underdog over the L2 seasons....TCU is 7-0 OVER when playing with 6 or less days rest this season....TCU is 7-0 OVER vs. poor passing defenses - allowing a comp. pct. of 58% or worse this season....TCU is 7-0 OVER vs. excellent passing teams averaging 8.5 or more passing yards/att. over the L2 seasons....OKLAHOMA is 9-2 OVER at home when playing on a Saturday over the L2 seasons...

StatFox Forecaster: OKLAHOMA 47, TCU 27

| (139) TROY (SU: 5-5 | 5, ATS: | 4-6) | at (14 | 10) L | | E (-13.5) (SU: 8-2 'eam Offense | 2, ATS: 8-2) - \$ | Satur | day, 1′ | 1/23/ | 2019 5:00 PM | Team Defense | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|------|--------|-------|---------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------------|------------------|------------------|------|--------|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| TROY | +7.4 | 20 | 39 | 25 | 34-155 (4.5) | 40-27-323 (8.0) | 74-478 (6.5) | 1-1 | 32 | 21 | 37-145 (3.9) | 32-20-264 (8.3) | 69-409 (5.9) | 0-1 | +2 |
| LA LAFAYETTE | +20.1 | 25 | 38 | 25 | 42-278 (6.6) | 28-18-210 (7.6) | 70-488 (7.0) | 1-0 | 18 | 21 | 38-167 (4.3) | 28-16-184 (6.4) | 66-351 (5.3) | 1-1 | +1 |
| Key Game Trends: | LA LAF | AYE | TTE i | s 12· | -3 ATS when p | laying with 6 or le | ss days rest o | ver th | ie L2 si | easo | nsLA LAFA | YETTE is 16-7 AT | S in all lined g | game | s over |
| the L2 seasonsLA L2 seasonsTROY | | | | | | | | | | | | 1-6 ATS in games | played on turf | over | the |

StatFox Forecaster: LA LAFAYETTE 42, TROY 22

| (141) LIBERTY (SU | 6-4, A | TS: | 5-5) at | : (142 | 2) VIRGINIA (- | 17.5) (SU: 7-3, A | TS: 4-5) - Satı | urday | , 11/23 | 8/201 | 9 12:00 PM | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|------|---------|--------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|---------|-------|--------------|------------------|---------------|-----|-----|
| • • • | | | | • | Γ΄ Γ | eam Offense | | - | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| LIBERTY | +6.2 | 18 | 33 | 22 | 33-143 (4.4) | 34-21-300 (8.8) | 67-443 (6.6) | 1-0 | 27 | 22 | 41-193 (4.7) | 30-18-231 (7.8) | 71-424 (6.0) | 0-1 | +3 |
| VIRGINIA | +8.5 | 32 | 31 | 21 | 32-113 (3.5) | 36-24-255 (7.0) | 68-368 (5.4) | 1-1 | 22 | 17 | 36-123 (3.4) | 26-15-194 (7.3) | 62-317 (5.1) | 0-1 | -5 |
| Key Game Trends: | Hugh F | reez | e is 1 | 6-1 L | JNDER away v | when playing again | nst a team witl | n a wi | nning ı | ecor | dHugh Fre | eze is 14-0 UNDE | R away vs. go | od | |

offensive teams - scoring 31 or more points/gameBronco Mendenhall is 12-1 UNDER at home versus excellent offensive teams - averaging >=6.25 yards/playHugh Freeze is 16-2 UNDER away after gaining 475 or more total yards/game over their last 3 games .

StatFox Forecaster: VIRGINIA 34, LIBERTY 21

| (143) ILLINOIS (SU | : 6-4, A ⁻ | TS: 7 | 7-3) at | (144 | 4) IOWA (-14) | (SU: 7-3, ATS: 5- | 5) - Saturday | , 11/2 | 3/2019 | 12:0 | 00 PM | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------|---------|------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|---------|-------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|-----|
| | | | | | ۲ (T | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| ILLINOIS | +5.0 | 31 | 31 | 17 | 39-150 (3.9) | 27-15-183 (6.8) | 66-333 (5.0) | 1-0 | 26 | 22 | 46-198 (4.3) | 28-16-213 (7.6) | 74-411 (5.6) | 2-1 | +14 |
| IOWA | +11.6 | 41 | 24 | 20 | 36-137 (3.9) | 32-20-236 (7.3) | 68-373 (5.5) | 0-0 | 12 | 16 | 31-107 (3.5) | 31-18-196 (6.3) | 62-303 (4.9) | 0-1 | +4 |
| Key Game Trends: | IOWA i | is 7-(| 0 UND | ER v | when playing w | ith 6 or less days | rest this seas | onl | Kirk Fe | rentz | z is 31-16 UNE | DER as a favorite | of 10.5 to 21 p | oints | as |

coach of IOWA....Kirk Ferentz is 26-13 OVER at home off a win against a conference rival as coach of IOWA....Kirk Ferentz is 28-13 ATS after gaining 125 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games as coach of IOWA.

StatFox Forecaster: IOWA 30, ILLINOIS 16

| (145) PURDUE (SU: | : 4-6, A T | rs: 6 | 6-4) at | (146 | | (-23) (SU: 8-2, A eam Offense | ATS: 6-4) - Sat | turda | y, 11/2 | 3/20 | 19 4:00 PM | Team Defense | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------|---------|-------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------|----------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-------|------|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| PURDUE | -3.3 | 38 | 24 | 20 | 29-77 (2.7) | 43-26-298 (7.0) | 72-375 (5.2) | 1-1 | 28 | 20 | 38-172 (4.5) | 32-20-238 (7.4) | 70-410 (5.9) | 0-1 | -9 |
| WISCONSIN | +21.2 | 40 | 35 | 23 | 45-235 (5.2) | 24-18-190 (7.9) | 69-425 (6.2) | 1-0 | 13 | 14 | 30-103 (3.5) | 28-13-154 (5.5) | 58-257 (4.4) | 1-1 | +4 |
| Key Game Trends: | PURDU | JE is | ; 12-2 | UND | ER away wher | n playing on a Sa | turday over the | e L3 s | eason | sF | aul Chryst is 8 | 3-0 UNDER at hon | ne after gainin | g 300 |) or |
| more rushing yards I | ast gam | ie | Jeff B | rohm | n is 23-6 ATS v | s. good passing t | teams with a c | omple | etion po | ct. of | 58% or better | Jeff Brohm is | 15-3 ATS ves | us go | od |
| rushing teams - aver | aging > | =4.7 | 5 rush | ing y | ards/carryJ | eff Brohm is 13-3 | OVER off a r | bad w | in. | | | | | - | |

StatFox Forecaster: WISCONSIN 41, PURDUE 15

| (147) KANSAS (| SU: 3-7, A | TS: 4 | 4-5) at | (148 | | 23.5) (SU: 6-4, A1 eam Offense | ГS: 6-4) - Satu | irday | , 11/23 | /201 | | Team Defense | | | |
|-----------------|------------|-------|----------|-------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------|----------|-------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|------|------|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| KANSAS | -8.6 | 37 | 24 | 18 | 32-142 (4.5) | 31-20-233 (7.4) | 63-375 (6.0) | 0-1 | 33 | 26 | 47-236 (5.0) | 30-19-238 (7.9) | 77-474 (6.2) | 0-0 | -8 |
| IOWA ST | +10.5 | 39 | 35 | 25 | 33-152 (4.7) | 38-25-326 (8.6) | 71-478 (6.7) | 0-1 | 25 | 19 | 34-123 (3.6) | 36-23-234 (6.6) | 70-357 (5.1) | 1-0 | -2 |
| Key Game Tren | ds: IOWA | ST is | s 16-3 | UNE | ER after 1 or r | nore consecutive | straight up wi | ns ov | er the L | .3 se | asonsIOW | A ST is 13-2 UNDI | ER after 2 con | secu | tive |
| game where they | committed | 110 | r less | turnc | overs over the L | .3 seasonsIOW | VA ST is 10-1 | UNDE | ER vers | sus p | oor defensive | teams - allowing > | >=425 yards/g | ame | over |
| the L3 seasons | Matt Cam | obell | l is 23- | 9 A T | S after a game | where they com | mitted 1 or les | s turn | overs a | as co | bach of IOWA | ST. | | | |

StatFox Forecaster: IOWA ST 43, KANSAS 21

| (149) MINNESOTA | (-13.5) | (SU: | 9-1, / | ATS: | 6-3) at (150) I | ORTHWESTERN | I (SU: 2-8, A) | rs: 3- | 7) - Sa | turc | lay, 11/23/201 | 9 12:00 PM | | | |
|-----------------|---------|------|--------|------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|--------|---------|------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | - | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDit | 55 | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| MINNESOTA | | ~~ | ~~ | ~~ | 45 400 (4 4) | 23-16-251 (10.8 | | | 21 | 40 | 00 400 (0 0) | 30-16-184 (6.2) | 00 007 (5 0) | 04 | |

NORTHWESTERN -9.0 37 14 18 42-166 (4.0) 29-14-125 (4.3) 71-291 (4.1) 1-1 24 18 37-142 (3.8) 31-19-203 (6.5) 68-345 (5.1) 1-0 -12 Key Game Trends: P.J. Fleck is 11-1 ATS away after outgaining opp by 125 or more total yards in their previous gamePat Fitzgerald is 96-69 UNDER in all lined games as coach of NORTHWESTERN....Pat Fitzgerald is 53-31 UNDER in home games as coach of NORTHWESTERN....Pat Fitzgerald is 53-31 UNDER in home lined games as coach of NORTHWESTERN....P.J. Fleck is 10-1 OVER away when playing against a team with a losing record .

StatFox Forecaster: MINNESOTA 31, NORTHWESTERN 14

| . , . | <i>,</i> , | | | | | ZONA ST (SU: 5- eam Offense | , , | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--------|---------|--------|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|-------|--------|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| OREGON | +23.0 | 34 | 38 | 24 | 37-180 (4.8) | 33-23-281 (8.4) | 70-461 (6.6) | 0-0 | 15 | 19 | 32-101 (3.2) | 37-21-205 (5.6) | 69-306 (4.4) | 0-2 | +13 |
| ARIZONA ST | +1.2 | 33 | 25 | 18 | 33-115 (3.5) | 30-19-253 (8.3) | 63-368 (5.8) | 1-0 | 24 | 21 | 35-110 (3.1) | 36-24-271 (7.5) | 71-381 (5.4) | 1-0 | +5 |
| Key Game Trends: | Mario C | Cristo | obal is | 8-0 | UNDER after g | aining 6.25 or mo | ore yards/play | in 2 c | onsecu | tive | gamesMari | o Cristobal is 9-1 | UNDER after | outga | aining |
| opp by 175 or more to | Key Game Trends: Mario Cristobal is 8-0 UNDER after gaining 6.25 or more yards/play in 2 consecutive gamesMario Cristobal is 9-1 UNDER after outgaining pp by 175 or more total yards in their previous gameARIZONA ST is 6-0 UNDER as an underdog of 10.5 to 21 points over the L3 seasonsARIZONA ST is 6- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 UNDER vs. excelle | nt team | s - c | outsco | rina (| opponents by 1 | 17+ PPG on the se | eason over the | eL3 s | easons | S. | | | | | |

StatFox Forecaster: OREGON 30, ARIZONA ST 19

| (153) UTAH (-22) | (SU: 9-1, | ATS | S: 7-3) | at (* | 154) ARIZONA | (SU: 4-6, ATS: 2 | 2-8) - Saturda | y, 11 | 23/201 | 9 10 | :00 PM | | | | |
|----------------------|---|--------|---------|-------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|--------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-----|
| | | | | | - | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| UTAH | +23.4 | 34 | 35 | 22 | 43-206 (4.8) | 23-17-248 (10.9 | 66-454 (6.9) | 1-0 | 11 | 14 | 24-55 (2.3) | 34-19-193 (5.7) | 58-248 (4.3) | 1-1 | +10 |
| ARIZONA | -6.8 | 34 | 30 | 24 | 40-188 (4.7) | 36-22-283 (7.9) | 76-471 (6.2) | 1-1 | 37 | 24 | 36-166 (4.7) | 39-25-315 (8.2) | 75-481 (6.4) | 0-1 | -1 |
| Key Game Trends | s: Kyle W | hittir | ngham | is 20 | 0-3 ATS after a | llowing 125 or les | s rushing yard | ls in 3 | 3 straig | ht ga | mes as coac | n of UTAHUTAH | l is 11-2 ATS a | after | |
| playing 2 straight c | (ey Game Trends: Kyle Whittingham is 20-3 ATS after allowing 125 or less rushing yards in 3 straight games as coach of UTAHUTAH is 11-2 ATS after laving 2 straight conference games over the L2 seasonsUTAH is 7-0 ATS after allowing 125 or less rushing yards in 4 straight games over the L2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| seasonsUTAH is | s 8-0 UNE | DER | away | after | gaining 475 or | more total yards | in their previo | us ga | me ove | er the | L3 seasons. | | | | |

StatFox Forecaster: UTAH 40, ARIZONA 19

| (155) S ALABAMA | (SU: 1-9 |), AT | 'S: 6-4 | l) at | | A ST (nl) (SU: 6 eam Offense | -4, ATS: 5-3) | - Sati | urday, | 11/2 | | M Team Defense | | | |
|---------------------|----------|--------|---------|-------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-------|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| S ALABAMA | -13.8 | 28 | 17 | 18 | 42-173 (4.1) | 23-12-141 (6.2) | 65-314 (4.8) | 1-1 | 31 | 21 | 44-207 (4.7) | 26-17-205 (7.9) | 70-412 (5.9) | 1-1 | -4 |
| GEORGIA ST | -2.9 | 26 | 35 | 25 | 47-266 (5.6) | 30-19-203 (6.7) | 77-469 (6.1) | 1-1 | 38 | 23 | 39-215 (5.5) | 30-20-258 (8.6) | 69-473 (6.9) | 0-1 | -2 |
| Key Game Trends: | GEOR | GIA : | ST is ' | 1-11 | ATS after allow | ving 475 or more | total yards in t | heir p | revious | s gar | ne over the L2 | seasonsShaw | n Elliott is 0-8 | ATS | in |
| November games as | s coach | of G | EORG | SIA S | TShawn Elli | ott is 0-8 ATS in v | weeks 10 throu | ugh 1 | 3 as co | bach | of GEORGIA | STGEORGIA S | T is 2-11 ATS | after | а |
| game where they for | ced 1 o | r les: | s turno | overs | over the L2 se | easons. | | - | | | | | | | |

StatFox Forecaster: GEORGIA ST 37, S ALABAMA 20

| (157) WASHINGTO | N (-14) | (SU | : 6-4, / | ATS | : 6-4) at (158) | COLORADO (SU | : 4-6, ATS: 5- | 5) - S | aturda | y, 11 | /23/2019 10:0 | 0 PM | | | |
|------------------|---------|-----|----------|-------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|--------|-------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|-----|
| • • | • • | • | | | í í í | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| WASHINGTON | +12.1 | 35 | 33 | 21 | 36-167 (4.6) | 32-20-249(7.8) | 68-416 (6.1) | 0-1 | 21 | 19 | 35-133 (3.8) | 33-20-217 (6.6) | 68-350 (5.1) | 1-1 | +4 |
| COLORADO | -7.6 | 38 | 25 | 22 | 36-154 (4.2) | 36-22-248 (6.9) | 72-402 (5.6) | 0-1 | 32 | 23 | 34-161 (4.8) | 35-23-309 (8.7) | 69-470 (6.8) | 1-1 | +3 |
| Key Game Trends: | WASH | NG | FON is | s 8-0 | UNDER as a f | avorite of 10.5 to | 21 points over | the L | 2 seas | sons. | COLORADO | D is 4-15 ATS afte | r playing 2 stra | aight | |

conference games over the L3 seasons....Chris Petersen is 26-13 UNDER off 1 or more consecutive unders as coach of WASHINGTON....Chris Petersen is 36-16 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games .

StatFox Forecaster: WASHINGTON 36, COLORADO 23

| (159) TEXAS ST (SU | J: 3-7, A | ATS: | 0-7) a | nt (10 | 60) APPALAC | HIAN ST (-28.5) (| SU: 9-1, ATS | : 7-3) | - Satu | rday | , 11/23/2019 : | 2:30 PM | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|------|--------|--------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|--------|------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | T | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| TEXAS ST | -14.5 | 28 | 19 | 18 | 28-83 (2.9) | 37-24-245 (6.6) | 65-328 (5.0) | 0-2 | 33 | 21 | 45-210 (4.7) | 28-18-205 (7.2) | 73-415 (5.7) | 1-1 | -8 |
| APPALACHIAN ST | +18.5 | 25 | 38 | 20 | 42-227 (5.4) | 28-17-202 (7.3) | 70-429 (6.1) | 0-0 | 20 | 18 | 37-152 (4.2) | 30-16-178 (6.0) | 67-330 (4.9) | 1-1 | +9 |

Key Game Trends: APPALACHIAN ST is 9-1 ATS off 1 or more straight overs over the L2 seasons....TEXAS ST is 0-7 ATS in all lined games this season....TEXAS ST is 0-7 ATS in games played on turf this season....APPALACHIAN ST is 6-0 ATS after 2 consecutive game where they committed 1 or less turnovers this season....APPALACHIAN ST is 6-0 ATS vs. good passing teams with a completion pct. of 58% or better. this season.

StatFox Forecaster: APPALACHIAN ST 43, TEXAS ST 12

| PPG FD | | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Rusning | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | тор |
| 34 24 | 53-282 (5.3) | 25-14-202 (8.2) | 78-484 (6.2) | 0-0 | 32 | 22 | 40-185 (4.6) | 34-22-302 (8.8) | 74-487 (6.6) | 0-1 | +3 |
| 36 20 | 36-168 (4.7) | 32-19-253 (8.0) | 68-421 (6.2) | 0-1 | 19 | 18 | 42-169 (4.0) | 27-15-162 (5.9) | 69-331 (4.8) | 1-1 | +11 |
| s 13-1 UN | DER after scor | ing 50 points or n | nore last game | eBı | ian Ke | lly is | 12-2 UNDER | off a home blowo | ut win by 28 po | oints | or |
| | <u>36 20</u> s 13-1 UN | 36 20 36-168 (4.7) s 13-1 UNDER after scor | 36 20 36-168 (4.7) 32-19-253 (8.0) s 13-1 UNDER after scoring 50 points or n | <u>36 20 36-168 (4.7) 32-19-253 (8.0) 68-421 (6.2)</u> s 13-1 UNDER after scoring 50 points or more last game | <u>36 20 36-168 (4.7) 32-19-253 (8.0) 68-421 (6.2) 0-1</u> s 13-1 UNDER after scoring 50 points or more last gameBr | <u>36 20 36-168 (4.7) 32-19-253 (8.0) 68-421 (6.2) 0-1</u> 19 s 13-1 UNDER after scoring 50 points or more last gameBrian Ke | <u>36 20 36-168 (4.7) 32-19-253 (8.0) 68-421 (6.2) 0-1</u> 19 18 s 13-1 UNDER after scoring 50 points or more last gameBrian Kelly is | <u>36 20 36-168 (4.7) 32-19-253 (8.0) 68-421 (6.2) 0-1</u> 19 18 42-169 (4.0) s 13-1 UNDER after scoring 50 points or more last gameBrian Kelly is 12-2 UNDER | <u>36 20 36-168 (4.7) 32-19-253 (8.0) 68-421 (6.2) 0-1</u> 19 18 42-169 (4.0) 27-15-162 (5.9) s 13-1 UNDER after scoring 50 points or more last gameBrian Kelly is 12-2 UNDER off a home blowo | <u>36 20 36-168 (4.7) 32-19-253 (8.0) 68-421 (6.2) 0-1</u> 19 18 42-169 (4.0) 27-15-162 (5.9) 69-331 (4.8) s 13-1 UNDER after scoring 50 points or more last gameBrian Kelly is 12-2 UNDER off a home blowout win by 28 po | 34 24 53-282 (5.3) 25-14-202 (8.2) 78-484 (6.2) 0-0 32 22 40-185 (4.6) 34-22-302 (8.8) 74-487 (6.6) 0-1 36 20 36-168 (4.7) 32-19-253 (8.0) 68-421 (6.2) 0-1 19 18 42-169 (4.0) 27-15-162 (5.9) 69-331 (4.8) 1-1 s 13-1 UNDER after scoring 50 points or more last gameBrian Kelly is 12-2 UNDER off a home blowout win by 28 points or Brian Kelly is 34-15 UNDER off a home win as casch of NOTRE DAME. Brian Kelly is 5-17 ATS after scoring 20 points or |

more as coach of NOTRE DAME....Brian Kelly is 34-15 UNDER off a home win as coach of NOTRE DAME....Brian Kelly is 5-17 ATS after scoring 20 points or more in the first half in 2 straight games as coach of NOTRE DAME.

StatFox Forecaster: NOTRE DAME 38, BOSTON COLLEGE 26

| (163) NEVADA (SU: | 6-4, A1 | FS: 4 | 4-5) at | (164 |) FRESNO ST | (-13.5) (SU: 4-6, | ATS: 3-6) - S | aturo | lay, 11 | /23/2 | 2019 10:30 PM | l | | | |
|--|---------|--------------|---------|-------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|---------|-------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | T | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| NEVADA | -13.3 | 26 | 19 | 18 | 36-112 (3.1) | 36-22-233 (6.4) | 72-345 (4.8) | 1-1 | 32 | 20 | 34-143 (4.2) | 33-19-261 (7.9) | 67-404 (6.0) | 1-1 | -4 |
| FRESNO ST | +0.7 | 28 | 32 | 20 | 33-168 (5.1) | 31-19-226 (7.4) | 64-394 (6.2) | 1-1 | 31 | 23 | 38-156 (4.1) | 37-22-267 (7.2) | 75-423 (5.6) | 1-1 | +4 |
| Key Game Trends: | FRESN | 10 S | T is 1 | 7-6 L | JNDER after pl | aying a conference | ce game over t | the L3 | 3 seasc | ons | .FRESNO ST | is 16-5 UNDER o | ff 1 or more co | onsec | utive |
| unders over the L3 se defenses - allowing a | | | | | | | | coach | of FRE | ESNO | O STJeff Te | dford is 15-5 UNE | ER vs. poor p | assir | g |

StatFox Forecaster: FRESNO ST 36, NEVADA 17

| (165) UCLA (SU: 4-6 | 6, ATS: | 5-5) | at (16 | 6) U | ISC (-14) (SU: | 7-4, ATS: 5-5) - 3 | Saturday, 11/2 | 23/20 | 19 3:30 | PM | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------|------|--------|------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------|---------|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | | | • | | · · · 1 | eam Offense | - | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| UCLA | -7.0 | 39 | 27 | 22 | 42-159 (3.8) | 33-20-234 (7.1) | 75-393 (5.2) | 1-1 | 34 | 21 | 34-144 (4.2) | 33-22-298 (9.1) | 67-442 (6.6) | 1-0 | -8 |
| USC | +4.4 | 41 | 31 | 22 | 31-126 (4.1) | 38-27-320 (8.4) | 69-446 (6.5) | 1-1 | 27 | 23 | 36-167 (4.6) | 35-21-236 (6.8) | 71-403 (5.7) | 1-1 | -7 |

Key Game Trends: Clay Helton is 9-1 UNDER after gaining 450 or more total yards in 2 consecutive games as coach of USC....Clay Helton is 7-0 UNDER at home vs. bad defensive teams who give up 31 or more points/game as coach of USC....Clay Helton is 7-0 UNDER at home versus poor defensive teams - allowing >=5.9 yards/play as coach of USC....Chip Kelly is 19-4 OVER off 1 or more consecutive unders .

StatFox Forecaster: USC 43, UCLA 22

(167) CALIFORNIA (SU: 5-5, ATS: 4-6) at (168) STANFORD (-2.5) (SU: 4-6, ATS: 3-7) - Saturday, 11/23/2019 4:00 PM Team Offense Team Defense PDif SS PPG FD Rushing Passing PPG FD Rushing Total F-I Passing Total F-I TOD CALIFORNIA 28-14-177 (6.5) 65-304 (4.7) 0-1 36-23-252 (7.0) 72-388 (5.4) 1-0 -38 38 19 18 37-127 (3.5) 23 21 36-136 (37) 0 STANFORD 40 20 30-110 (3.7) 36-22-257 (7.1) 66-367 (5.6) 0-1 33-148 (4.5) 35-23-281 (8.0) 68-429 (6.3) 0-1 Key Game Trends: CALIFORNIA is 17-5 UNDER in all lined games over the L2 seasons....CALIFORNIA is 7-0 UNDER away against conference opponents over the L2 seasons....CALIFORNIA is 12-2 UNDER when playing with 6 or less days rest over the L2 seasons....CALIFORNIA is 7-0 UNDER away after playing a conference game over the L2 seasons....CALIFORNIA is 7-0 UNDER away after the first month of the season over the L2 seasons. StatFox Forecaster: STANFORD 21, CALIFORNIA 21

| (169) OREGON ST (SU: 5-5, ATS: 7-3) at (1 | 70) WASHINGTON | ST (-12) (SU: 5-5, A | TS: 4-6) - | Saturday, | 11/23/2019 | 9:00 PM | | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|------------|-----------|------------|--------------|-------|--|
| . , , . | Team O | fense | | | | Team Defense | | |
| | Puching Da | eina Total | E_I | DDC ED | Duching | Dessing | Total | |

OREGON ST 34 31 22 34-155 (4.5) 34-21-241 (7.1) 68-396 (5.8) 0-0 31 24 42-181 (4.3) 32-19-253 (8.0) 74-434 (5.9) 0-0 -0.1 +6 WASHINGTON ST +110 40 17-80 (4.8) 54-38-442 (8.2) 71-522 (7.4) 0-1 32-21-279 (8.6) 68-454 (6.7) 0 32 26 29 23 36-175 (4.9) 1-1 Key Game Trends: OREGON ST is 8-0 OVER vs. poor passing defenses - allowing 250 or more passing yards/game over the L3 seasons....Mike Leach is 21-6 ATS at home after gaining 375 or more passing yards in 2 straight gamesOREGON ST is 13-3 OVER as a road underdog over the L3 seasons....OREGON ST is 13-3 OVER in road games over the L3 seasons....OREGON ST is 13-3 OVER in road lined games over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: WASHINGTON ST 47, OREGON ST 32

| (171) GA SOUTHER | N (SU: | 6-4, | ATS: | 4-5) | at (172) ARK | ANSAS ST (-1) (S | SU: 6-4, ATS: | 4-6) - | Saturo | day, | 11/23/2019 3: | 00 PM | | | |
|------------------|--------|------|--------|------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|--------|---------|------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|------|-------|
| • • | • | | | | Ť | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| GA SOUTHERN | -1.6 | 29 | 28 | 16 | 52-256 (4.9) | 10-5-62 (6.2) | 62-318 (5.1) | 1-0 | 30 | 18 | 36-145 (4.0) | 32-19-235 (7.4) | 68-380 (5.6) | 1-1 | +7 |
| ARKANSAS ST | -1.5 | 26 | 34 | 21 | 35-134 (3.8) | 36-23-298 (8.4) | 71-432 (6.1) | 1-1 | 35 | 24 | 42-218 (5.1) | 36-22-263 (7.2) | 78-481 (6.2) | 0-1 | -4 |
| Koy Como Trondou | Chod | upof | ord in | 0 0 | ATC voreus po | or ruching defense | | -200 | ruching | | de/geme es e | | | ANIC | AC CT |

Key Game Trends: Chad Lunsford is 8-0 ATS versus poor rushing defenses - allowing >=200 rushing yards/game as coach of GA SOUTHERN....ARKANSAS ST is 8-0 UNDER off 2 straight wins against conference rivals over the L3 seasons....Blake Anderson is 9-1 UNDER at home when playing against a team with a winning record as coach of ARKANSAS ST....Blake Anderson is 30-16 ATS against conference opponents as coach of ARKANSAS ST.

StatFox Forecaster: ARKANSAS ST 32, GA SOUTHERN 25

| (173) W KENTUCKY | (SU: 6 | 6-4, <i>I</i> | ATS: 7 | '-3) a | at (174) SOUTI | HERN MISS (-4.5 |) (SU: 7-3, AT | S: 6- | 4) - Sat | turda | ay, 11/23/2019 | 9 3:30 PM | | | |
|--------------------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|--------|----------|-------|----------------|------------------|--------------|--------|-------|
| | • | | | | Т , Т | eam Offense | | | | | - | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| W KENTUCKY | +4.3 | 24 | 25 | 20 | 34-123 (3.6) | 36-23-249 (7.0) | 70-372 (5.3) | 1-1 | 20 | 16 | 36-139 (3.8) | 25-13-181 (7.2) | 61-320 (5.2) | 0-1 | -8 |
| SOUTHERN MISS | +5.7 | 26 | 31 | 19 | 34-131 (3.8) | 31-22-298 (9.5) | 65-429 (6.6) | 1-1 | 25 | 18 | 32-106 (3.3) | 30-18-247 (8.3) | 62-353 (5.7) | 0-1 | -3 |
| Key Game Trends: | W KEN | ITUC | CKY is | 10-1 | UNDER after | playing a non-cor | nference game | e over | the L3 | sea | sonsW KEN | ITUCKY is 6-0 UN | NDER away af | ter pl | aying |
| a non conforces an | | r the | 1200 | | | . , . | • | | | | | | 2 | • | |

a non-conference game over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: SOUTHERN MISS 27, W KENTUCKY 20

| (175) MARSHALL (-6 | 6.5) (S | U: 7 | -3, AT | S: 4 | -6) at (176) CH | ARLOTTE (SU: | 5-5, ATS: 4-6) | - Sat | urday | , 11/: | 23/2019 3:30 F | PM | | | |
|--------------------|---------|------|--------|------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|-------|--------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | T | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| MARSHALL | +4.1 | 27 | 27 | 22 | 40-200 (5.0) | 29-17-224 (7.7) | 69-424 (6.1) | 0-1 | 23 | 20 | 35-127 (3.6) | 32-19-233 (7.3) | 67-360 (5.4) | 0-1 | +1 |
| CHARLOTTE | -3.8 | 26 | 32 | 21 | 40-203 (5.1) | 26-16-222 (8.5) | 66-425 (6.4) | 0-1 | 36 | 20 | 39-198 (5.1) | 24-16-210 (8.8) | 63-408 (6.5) | 0-0 | -3 |

Key Game Trends: John Holliday is 8-21 ATS after 2 consecutive game where they committed 1 or less turnovers as coach of MARSHALL....John Holliday is 10-2 UNDER after 3 consecutive game where they committed 1 or less turnovers as coach of MARSHALL....John Holliday is 10-2 ATS versus good rushing teams - averaging >=200 rushing yards/game as coach of MARSHALL.

StatFox Forecaster: MARSHALL 30, CHARLOTTE 29

| (177) TEXAS (SU: | : 6-4, ATS | : 5-5 | 5) at (1 | 178) | | (SU: 9-1, ATS: 6- eam Offense | 4) - Saturday | , 11/2 | 3/2019 | 3:3 | 0 PM | Team Defense | | | |
|---|------------|-------|----------|-------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|-----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|--------|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| TEXAS | +6.2 | 42 | 36 | 25 | 36-161 (4.4) | 39-25-301 (7.8) | 75-462 (6.2) | 0-1 | 30 | 23 | 34-144 (4.3) | 37-24-305 (8.2) | 71-449 (6.3) | 0-1 | +4 |
| BAYLOR | +14.4 | 35 | 35 | 23 | 36-181 (5.0) | 31-20-264 (8.6) | 67-445 (6.6) | 1-0 | 20 | 20 | 39-137 (3.5) | 36-22-219 (6.0) | 75-356 (4.7) | 1-1 | +7 |
| Key Game Trends or more consecutiv seasonsMatt Rh | ve wins ag | jains | st the s | sprea | d as coach of | TEXĂSTEXAS | is 14-3 UNDE | R aft | | | | | | | fter 1 |

StatFox Forecaster: BAYLOR 37, TEXAS 23

| (179) UCF (-6) (| SU: 7-3, A | rs: 4 | 4-6) at | (180 | | | - Saturday, 1 | 1/23/2 | 2019 12 | 2:00 | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------|---------|------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|--------|---------|------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------|--------|
| | PDif | ss | PPG | FD | | eam Offense Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | | Team Defense Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| UCF | | | - | | | · · · J | | | - | | | 36-20-210 (5.8) | | | - |
| TULANE | +9.1 | 30 | 35 | 23 | 46-255 (5.6) | 25-15-204 (8.0) | 71-459 (6.5) | 1-1 | 26 | 19 | 37-161 (4.4) | 30-16-207 (6.8) | 67-368 (5.5) | 0-1 | -3 |
| Key Game Trend | ls: Willie F | ritz i | is 22-1 | 0 A1 | S in home gan | nesWillie Fritz | is 22-10 ATS | in ho | me line | d ga | mesWillie F | ritz is 29-15 ATS | when playing | with | δ or |
| less days rest teams with a com | | | | | | st 3 out of their las | st 4 games as | coac | n of TU | LAN | EWillie Fritz | is 10-2 UNDER a | at home vs. go | od pa | assing |

StatFox Forecaster: UCF 34, TULANE 33

| (181) SMU (SU: 9 | -1, ATS: 6 | -4) a | at (182 | 2) NA | AVY (-3) (SU: 7 | 7-2, ATS: 6-3) - Sa | aturday, 11/2 | 3/201 | 9 3:30 | ΡM | | | | | |
|------------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------|-------|--------|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| SMU | +12.4 | 30 | 45 | 26 | 44-194 (4.5) | 40-25-328 (8.3) | 84-522 (6.2) | 0-1 | 33 | 22 | 35-124 (3.5) | 39-23-302 (7.7) | 74-426 (5.8) | 1-1 | +5 |
| NAVY | +16.0 | 29 | 38 | 22 | 59-349 (5.9) | 8-4-97 (11.6 | 67-446 (6.7) | 1-0 | 22 | 16 | 34-109 (3.2) | 29-16-213 (7.4) | 63-322 (5.1) | 1-1 | -3 |

Key Game Trends: SMU is 9-0 OVER in all lined games this season....SMU is 9-0 OVER when playing on a Saturday this season....Ken Niumatalolo is 15-2 UNDER after allowing 24 points or more in the first half last game as coach of NAVY....Ken Niumatalolo is 12-1 UNDER after a loss by 17 or more points as coach of NAVY....SMU is 8-0 OVER off 1 or more straight overs this season....Sonny Dykes is 20-6 OVER off a home win .

StatFox Forecaster: NAVY 39, SMU 28

| (183) MICHIGAN ST | Г (-20.5) | (SL | J: 4-6, | ATS | S: 2-8) at (184) | RUTGERS (SU: | 2-8, ATS: 3-7 |) - Sa | turday | , 11/ | 23/2019 12:00 | PM | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-----|---------|-----|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|--------|-------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | 1 | Feam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| MICHIGAN ST | -3.8 | 45 | 22 | 20 | 34-123 (3.6) | 35-19-228 (6.4) | 69-351 (5.1) | 1-1 | 26 | 19 | 34-106 (3.1) | 33-21-232 (7.1) | 67-338 (5.0) | 1-1 | -3 |
| RUTGERS | -23.3 | 40 | 15 | 13 | 39-134 (3.5) | 23-13-141 (6.1) | 62-275 (4.4) | 1-1 | 39 | 23 | 40-200 (5.0) | 30-18-247 (8.3) | 70-447 (6.4) | 0-0 | -13 |

Key Game Trends: MICHIGAN ST is 3-13 ATS after the first month of the season over the L2 seasons....Mark Dantonio is 1-9 ATS off a blowout loss by 21 points or more to a conference rival as coach of MICHIGAN ST....Mark Dantonio is 1-9 ATS after a loss by 21 or more points as coach of MICHIGAN ST....Mark Dantonio is 1-9 ATS after being outgained by 175+ total yards in their previous game as coach of MICHIGAN ST.

StatFox Forecaster: MICHIGAN ST 30, RUTGERS 16

| (185) NORTH TEXA | S (-5) (| SU: | 4-6, A | TS: | 3-7) at (186) R | RICE (SU: 1-9, AT | S: 4-5) - Satu | rday, | 11/23 | /2019 | 9 3:30 PM | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|----------|--------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----|-------|
| | | | | | Τ ['] | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| NORTH TEXAS | -1.2 | 24 | 33 | 22 | 34-152 (4.5) | 39-24-297 (7.7) | 73-449 (6.2) | 1-1 | 34 | 22 | 40-194 (4.9) | 33-20-236 (7.1) | 73-430 (5.9) | 1-0 | -5 |
| RICE | -11.6 | 33 | 16 | 17 | 36-116 (3.3) | 28-15-167 (6.1) | 64-283 (4.4) | 1-0 | 28 | 20 | 36-149 (4.1) | 28-19-252 (9.0) | 64-401 (6.3) | 0-0 | -3 |
| Key Game Trends: | NORTH | 1 TE | XAS i | s 1-1 | 1 ATS vs. poo | r passing defense | es - allowing a | comp | . pct. c | of 58° | % or worse ov | er the L2 seasons | NORTH TE | XAS | is 2- |
| 13 ATS after the firs | t month | of th | ie sea | son (| over the L2 sea | sonsNORTH T | EXAS is 0-7 A | ATS a | way ag | gains | t conference o | pponents over the | e L2 seasons. | NO | RTH |

StatFox Forecaster: NORTH TEXAS 28, RICE 23

TEXAS is 2-11 ATS after playing a conference game over the L2 seasons.

| (187) PITTSBURGH | I (SU: 7 | -3, A | TS: 7 | -2) a | t (188) VIRGIN | IIA TECH (-4) (SU | J: 7-3, ATS: 5 | -4) - S | Saturda | ıy, 1 | 1/23/2019 3:3 | 0 PM | | | |
|------------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|---------|-------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | - | | | | 1 | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| PITTSBURGH | +1.4 | 36 | 22 | 22 | 36-132 (3.6) | 40-25-260 (6.4) | 76-392 (5.2) | 1-1 | 21 | 17 | 33-91 (2.8) | 35-17-207 (5.9) | 68-298 (4.4) | 0-1 | -4 |
| VIRGINIA TECH | +6.8 | 28 | 31 | 20 | 44-179 (4.1) | 25-14-214 (8.5) | 69-393 (5.7) | 1-1 | 24 | 19 | 35-124 (3.5) | 37-20-242 (6.6) | 72-366 (5.1) | 0-1 | -2 |

Key Game Trends: PITTSBURGH is 11-1 UNDER away after playing a conference game over the L3 seasons....PITTSBURGH is 9-0 UNDER off 1 or more straight overs over the L3 seasons....PITTSBURGH is 12-1 UNDER away after the first month of the season over the L3 seasons....PITTSBURGH is 9-0 UNDER away in the second half of the season over the L3 seasons....PITTSBURGH is 10-1 UNDER as a road underdog over the L3 seasons...

StatFox Forecaster: VIRGINIA TECH 27, PITTSBURGH 20

| (189) LOUISIANA T | ECH (S | U: 8 | -2, AT | S: 6 | -4) at (190) UA | B (nl) (SU: 7-3, | ATS: 6-4) - Sa | turda | ay, 11/ | 23/2 | 019 3:30 PM | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|------|--------|------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------|---------|-------|--------------|----------------------|---------------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | T | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| LOUISIANA TECH | +11.6 | 19 | 35 | 25 | 37-175 (4.8) | 36-23-282 (7.8) | 73-457 (6.3) | 1-1 | 24 | 22 | 38-141 (3.8) | 36-22-251 (6.9) | 74-392 (5.3) | 1-1 | +4 |
| UAB | +6.8 | 17 | 26 | 18 | 39-156 (4.0) | 25-14-214 (8.6) | 64-370 (5.8) | 0-2 | 19 | 16 | 33-99 (3.0) | 29-15-169 (5.9) | 62-268 (4.3) | 1-0 | -4 |
| Key Game Trends: | LOUIS | IAN/ | A TEC | H is | 9-0 UNDER av | vay off 1 or more | consecutive ur | nders | over th | ne L3 | seasonsLO | DUISIANA TECH | s 9-0 ATS awa | ay af | ter a |

game where they forced 1 or less turnovers over the L3 seasons...UAB is 14-3 ATS in home games over the L3 seasons...UAB is 13-2 ATS at home when playing on a Saturday over the L3 seasons...UAB is 14-3 ATS at home in games played on turf over the L3 seasons...

StatFox Forecaster: UAB 28, LOUISIANA TECH 19

| (191) SYRACUSE (S | U: 4-6, | ATS | 5: 3-6) | at (| 192) LOUISVII | LE (-8) (SU: 6-4 | , ATS: 6-4) - S | aturo | day, 11 | /23/2 | 2019 4:00 PM | | | | |
|-------------------|---------|-----|---------|------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-------|---------|-------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | T | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| SYRACUSE | -1.6 | 34 | 27 | 19 | 40-141 (3.5) | 34-21-238 (6.9) | 74-379 (5.1) | 1-0 | 28 | 23 | 41-190 (4.7) | 35-18-249 (7.1) | 76-439 (5.8) | 1-1 | +7 |
| LOUISVILLE | -0.4 | 38 | 32 | 20 | 43-199 (4.6) | 24-14-238 (10.1 | 67-437 (6.5) | 1-1 | 33 | 22 | 37-175 (4.7) | 35-21-257 (7.3) | 72-432 (6.0) | 1-1 | 0 |

Key Game Trends: Dino Babers is 11-0 UNDER away off a win against a conference rivalSYRACUSE is 8-0 UNDER away versus good offensive teams averaging >=425 yards/game over the L3 seasons....Dino Babers is 8-0 UNDER away off a win by 10 points or more over a conference rivalDino Babers is 7-0 UNDER off an upset win over a conference rival as an underdogDino Babers is 31-17 UNDER against conference opponents .

StatFox Forecaster: LOUISVILLE 41, SYRACUSE 24

| (193) HOUSTON (SU | : 3-7, / | ATS: | 5-5) a | at (1 | 94) TULSA (-4 |) (SU: 3-7, ATS: | 6-4) - Saturda | y, 11 | /23/201 | 19 7: | 30 PM | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|-------|---------|-------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | T | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| HOUSTON | -3.5 | 34 | 30 | 20 | 39-199 (5.1) | 27-14-195 (7.3) | 66-394 (6.0) | 1-1 | 34 | 22 | 34-173 (5.2) | 36-22-297 (8.2) | 70-470 (6.7) | 0-1 | -5 |
| TULSA | -7.4 | 39 | 25 | 22 | 44-132 (3.0) | 37-20-266 (7.2) | 81-398 (4.9) | 1-1 | 33 | 20 | 42-202 (4.8) | 28-16-211 (7.6) | 70-413 (5.9) | 1-0 | +3 |

Key Game Trends: Montgomery Philip is 11-2 ATS after allowing 31 points or more in 2 straight games as coach of TULSA....Dana Holgorsen is 13-2 OVER after gaining 100 or less rushing yards last gameMontgomery Philip is 10-2 ATS after allowing 17 points or more in the first half in 2 straight games as coach of TULSA....Montgomery Philip is 2-10 ATS after a game with a turnover margin of +2 or better as coach of TULSA.

StatFox Forecaster: TULSA 31, HOUSTON 28

| (195) TENNESSEE (| SU: 5-5 | 5, A1 | S: 6-4 | 1) at | (196) MISSOU | IRI (-5.5) (SU: 5- | 5, ATS: 4-6) - | Satur | day, 1 | 1/23 | /2019 7:30 PN | l | | | |
|-------------------|---------|-------|----------|-------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|-------|--------|------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-----|-------|
| | | | | | T | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| TENNESSEE | +0.9 | 40 | 24 | 18 | 35-133 (3.8) | 27-15-210 (7.9) | 62-343 (5.5) | 1-1 | 23 | 21 | 40-154 (3.9) | 28-16-194 (7.0) | 68-348 (5.1) | 0-1 | +2 |
| MISSOURI | +6.5 | 37 | 26 | 21 | 39-158 (4.0) | 32-19-230 (7.1) | 71-388 (5.5) | 1-1 | 20 | 15 | 36-132 (3.7) | 28-14-166 (6.0) | 64-298 (4.7) | 1-1 | +1 |
| Key Game Trends: | Barry C |)don | n is 7-0 |) UN | DER at home | after a game whe | re they forced | no tu | rnover | s as | coach of MISS | OURI MISSOU | RI is 10-3 UNI | DER | after |

playing a conference game over the L2 seasons....MISSOURI is 9-2 UNDER in the second half of the season over the L2 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: MISSOURI 28, TENNESSEE 20

(197) ARKANSAS (SU: 2-8, ATS: 2-8) at (198) LSU (-43.5) (SU: 10-0, ATS: 6-3) - Saturday, 11/23/2019 7:00 PM

| | | | | | 1 | Feam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|----------|-------|----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|----|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| ARKANSAS | -13.9 | 37 | 22 | 18 | 30-149 (4.9) | 35-18-205 (5.9) | 65-354 (5.4) | 1-2 | 36 | 23 | 42-225 (5.3) | 29-19-221 (7.7) | 71-446 (6.3) | 1-0 | -7 |
| 1 011 | 124.0 | 10 | 40 | 20 | 26 166 (1 6) | 27 29 200 /10 5 | 72 556 (7 6) | 0 1 | 24 | 10 | 24 121 (2 0) | 24 10 227 (6 0) | 69 269 (5 1) | 0 1 | 1.4 |

LSU +24.0 40 48 28 36-166 (4.6) 37-28-390 (10.5 73-556 (7.6) 0-1 24 19 34-131 (3.9) 34-19-237 (6.9) 68-368 (5.4) 0-1 +4 Key Game Trends: ARKANSAS is 1-10 ATS after playing a non-conference game over the L3 seasons....Ed Orgeron is 12-2 UNDER off a win by 10 points or more over a conference rival as coach of LSU....Ed Orgeron is 7-0 UNDER at home after gaining 6.75 or more yards/play in their previous game as coach of LSU....Ed Orgeron is 15-5 ATS after a game where they forced 1 or less turnovers as coach of LSU.

StatFox Forecaster: LSU 63, ARKANSAS 19

| (199) SAN JOSE ST | 「(-6) (S | U: 4 | -6, A⊺ | TS: 6 | -3) at (200) | UNLV (SU: 2- Team Offen | | S: 4-6) - Satu | rday, | 11/23/ | 2019 | | Team Defense | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | PDif | ss | PPG | FD | Rushing | | | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | тор |
| SAN JOSE ST | -2.0 | | | | | 4) 41-24-330 | (8.1) | | | 33 | | 50-234 (4.7) | 28-17-217 (7.8) | | | |
| UNLV | | | | | | <u>3) 33-17-204</u> | | | | | | 38-203 (5.4) | | | | -8 |
| Key Game Trends: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | poor | |
| rushing defenses - a seasonsSAN JOS | | | | | | | | | | | | | rence opponents o | over the L2 | | |
| | | | | | 0 0 | | ig yarc | is in 2 straigh | t yan | 163 0 00 | | LZ 36030113. | | | | |
| StatFox Forecaster | SAN | JOSI | EST | 33, U | NLV 29 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (201) UTEP (SU: 1-9 | | 3-6) | at (20 | 12) N | | 0 ST (-7 5) (S | 1. 1-0 | ATS: 4-6) - | Satur | dav 1 | 1/23/ | 2019 A·00 PM | | | | |
| 201) 0121 (00. 1- | , AIO. | J-0) | αι (Ζι | , , , , | | Team Offen | | , AIO. 4 -0) - 1 | Jatur | uay, i | 1/23/ | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passin | g | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| UTEP | | | | | 37-135 (3. | | | 63-307 (4.9) | | | | 37-192 (5.2) | | | | -4 |
| NEW MEXICO ST | | | | | |) 38-24-226 | | | | | | | 29-18-211 (7.2) | | | |
| Key Game Trends: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ATS at home vs. bad | | | | | | | | | | MEXIC | 0 S | ГDana Dime | el is 6-21 ATS whe | en playing aga | inst a | tea |
| with a losing record | NEW | MEY | (100) | QT ic | C 1C ATC : | ممالات مطعمه | | wholl 0 acco | one | | | | | | | |
| and a losing record | | | | 01 15 | 0-10 A15 I | i all lineu gam | es ove | er the LZ seas | 0113. | | | | | | | |
| StatFox Forecaster | | | | | | i ali lineu gari | es ove | er the L2 seas | 0113. | | | | | | | |
| StatFox Forecaster | : NEW | MEX | | ST 28 | 3, UTEP 21 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | : NEW | MEX | | ST 28 | 3, UTEP 21 | DDLE TENN S | 6T (-14 | | | -6) - S | aturo | | | | | |
| StatFox Forecaster | NEW | MEX 1-9, | ATS: | ST 28 : 4-6) | 3, UTEP 21 at (204) MI | DDLE TENN Team Offen | 6T (-14 se | 4) (SU: 3-7, A | TS: 4 | ' | | • | Team Defense | Total | EI | TO |
| StatFox Forecaster (203) OLD DOMINIC | : NEW DN (SU: PDif | MEX 1-9, SS | ATS: PPG | ST 28 : 4-6) FD | 3, UTEP 21 at (204) Mi Rushing | DDLE TENN Team Offen Passin | ST (-14 se g | 4) (SU: 3-7, A Total | TS: 4 F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Team Defense Passing | Total | | то |
| StatFox Forecaster (203) OLD DOMINIC | :: NEW ON (SU: PDif -12.6 | MEX 1-9, <u>SS</u> 27 | ATS: PPG | ST 28 : 4-6) FD 16 | 8, UTEP 21 at (204) Mi Rushing 36-88 (2.4 | DDLE TENN Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-162 | 6T (-14 se g (5.9) | 4) (SU: 3-7, A Total 63-250 (4.0) | TS: 4 F-I 0-1 | 28 | FD 20 | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) | 66-366 (5.5) | 1-1 | 0 |
| StatFox Forecaster (203) OLD DOMINIC OLD DOMINION MIDDLE TENN ST | :: NEW DN (SU: PDif -12.6 -5.9 | MEX 1-9, SS 27 30 | ATS: PPG 16 25 | ST 28 : 4-6) FD 16 20 | at (204) Mi Rushing 36-88 (2.3) 32-177 (5.3) | DDLE TENN Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-162 5) 30-19-227 | ST (-14 se g (5.9) (7.4) | 4) (SU: 3-7, A Total 63-250 (4.0) 62-404 (6.5) | TS: 4 F-I 0-1 0-1 | 28 31 | FD 20 24 | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) 42-205 (4.8) | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) | 66-366 (5.5) 74-461 (6.2) | 1-1 1-1 | 0 +3 |
| StatFox Forecaster (203) OLD DOMINIC OLD DOMINION MIDDLE TENN ST Key Game Trends: | :: NEW DN (SU: PDif -12.6 -5.9 Rick St | MEX 1-9, SS 27 30 ocks | ATS: PPG 16 25 till is | ST 28 : 4-6) FD 16 20 1-9 A | at (204) Mi at (204) Mi Rushing 36-88 (2. 32-177 (5.) TS after gai | DDLE TENN 3 Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-162 5) 30-19-227 ning 6.25 or m | ST (-14 se g (5.9) (7.4) ore ya | 4) (SU: 3-7, A Total 63-250 (4.0) 62-404 (6.5) ards/play in 2 | F-I 0-1 0-1 conse | PPG 28 31 ecutive | FD 20 24 game | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) 42-205 (4.8) es as coach of | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) MIDDLE TENN S | 66-366 (5.5) 74-461 (6.2) STRick Stoc | 1-1 <u>1-1</u> kstill | 0 +3 is 16 |
| StatFox Forecaster (203) OLD DOMINION OLD DOMINION MIDDLE TENN ST Key Game Trends: 4 OVER versus pool | The NEW (SU: PDIf -12.6 -5.9 Rick Str offensi | MEX 1-9, SS 27 30 ocks ve te | ATS: PPG 16 25 till is - ams - | ST 28 : 4-6) FD 16 20 1-9 A - aver | at (204) Mi Rushing 36-88 (2 32-177 (5 TS after gai raging <=31 | DDLE TENN 3 Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-162 5) 30-19-227 ning 6.25 or m 0 yards/game | ST (-14 g (5.9) (7.4) ore ya as coa | 4) (SU: 3-7, A <u>Total</u> 63-250 (4.0) 62-404 (6.5) ards/play in 2 ach of MIDDL | F-I 0-1 0-1 conse E TEN | PPG 28 31 cutive NN ST. | FD 20 24 game Bol | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) 42-205 (4.8) es as coach of oby Wilder is 1 | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) MIDDLE TENN \$ 14-3 OVER when | 66-366 (5.5) 74-461 (6.2) STRick Stoc | 1-1 <u>1-1</u> kstill | 0 +3 is 16 |
| StatFox Forecaster (203) OLD DOMINION OLD DOMINION MIDDLE TENN ST Key Game Trends: 4 OVER versus poor team (Win Pct. 25% | ••• NEW ••• NEW ••• NEW ••• PDif ••• 12.6 ••• -12.6 ••• -5.9 Rick Str ••• offensit to 40%) | MEX 1-9, SS 27 30 ocks ve te as c | ATS: PPG 16 25 till is - ams - coach | FD 16 20 1-9 A of Ol | B, UTEP 21 at (204) Mi Rushing 36-88 (2. 32-177 (5. TS after gai aging <=31 | DDLE TENN 3 Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-162 5) 30-19-227 ning 6.25 or m 0 yards/game ONBobby V | ST (-14 g (5.9) (7.4) ore ya as coa | 4) (SU: 3-7, A <u>Total</u> 63-250 (4.0) 62-404 (6.5) ards/play in 2 ach of MIDDL | F-I 0-1 0-1 conse E TEN | PPG 28 31 cutive NN ST. | FD 20 24 game Bol | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) 42-205 (4.8) es as coach of oby Wilder is 1 | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) MIDDLE TENN \$ 14-3 OVER when | 66-366 (5.5) 74-461 (6.2) STRick Stoc | 1-1 <u>1-1</u> kstill | 0 +3 is 16 |
| StatFox Forecaster | ••• NEW ••• NEW ••• NEW ••• PDif ••• 12.6 ••• -12.6 ••• -5.9 Rick Str ••• offensit to 40%) | MEX 1-9, SS 27 30 ocks ve te as c | ATS: PPG 16 25 till is - ams - coach | FD 16 20 1-9 A of Ol | B, UTEP 21 at (204) Mi Rushing 36-88 (2. 32-177 (5. TS after gai aging <=31 | DDLE TENN 3 Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-162 5) 30-19-227 ning 6.25 or m 0 yards/game ONBobby V | ST (-14 g (5.9) (7.4) ore ya as coa | 4) (SU: 3-7, A <u>Total</u> 63-250 (4.0) 62-404 (6.5) ards/play in 2 ach of MIDDL | F-I 0-1 0-1 conse E TEN | PPG 28 31 cutive NN ST. | FD 20 24 game Bol | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) 42-205 (4.8) es as coach of oby Wilder is 1 | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) MIDDLE TENN \$ 14-3 OVER when | 66-366 (5.5) 74-461 (6.2) STRick Stoc | 1-1 <u>1-1</u> kstill | 0 +3 is 16 |
| StatFox Forecaster (203) OLD DOMINION MIDDLE TENN ST Key Game Trends: 4 OVER versus pool team (Win Pct. 25% | : NEW DN (SU: PDif -12.6 -5.9 Rick St r offensit to 40%) : MIDD | MEX 1-9, 27 30 ocks ve te as c LE T | ATS: PPG 16 25 till is coach ENN | FD 16 20 1-9 A aver of Ol ST 3 | at (204) Mi Rushing 36-88 (2. 32-177 (5. TS after gai caging <=31 D DOMINI 1, OLD DO | DDLE TENN 3 Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-162 5) 30-19-227 ning 6.25 or m 0 yards/game ONBobby V MINION 15 | ST (-14 se g (5.9) (7.4) ore ya as coa /ilder is | 4) (SU: 3-7, A Total 63-250 (4.0) 62-404 (6.5) ards/play in 2 ach of MIDDL s 24-12 OVEI | F-I 0-1 0-1 conse E TEN R in ro | PPG 28 31 cutive NN ST. bad gar | FD 20 24 game Bol nes a | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) 42-205 (4.8) es as coach of oby oby Wilder is as coach of Ol | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) 5 MIDDLE TENN \$ 5 14-3 OVER when D D DOMINION. 5 | 66-366 (5.5) 74-461 (6.2) STRick Stoc | 1-1 <u>1-1</u> kstill | 0 +3 is 16 |
| StatFox Forecaster (203) OLD DOMINION MIDDLE TENN ST Key Game Trends: 4 OVER versus pool team (Win Pct. 25%) StatFox Forecaster | : NEW DN (SU: PDif -12.6 -5.9 Rick St r offensi to 40%) : MIDD ROLIN. | MEX 1-9, SS 27 30 ocks ve te as c LE T A (SI | ATS: PPG 16 25 till is ams - coach ENN U: 4-6 | ST 28 : 4-6) 16 20 1-9 A - aver of Ol ST 3 5, AT | 3, UTEP 21 at (204) Mi <u>Rushing</u> 36-88 (2. <u>32-177 (5.</u> TS after gai aging <=31 LD DOMINI 1, OLD DO S: 6-4) at (2 | DDLE TENN : Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-162 5) 30-19-227 ning 6.25 or m 0 yards/game ONBobby V MINION 15 06) LA MONF Team Offen | ST (-14 9 (5.9) (7.4) ore ya as coa /ilder is COE (-1 SOE (-1) | 4) (SU: 3-7, A <u>Total</u> 63-250 (4.0) 62-204 (6.5) urds/play in 2 ach of MIDDL s 24-12 OVEI 5.5) (SU: 4-6, | TS: 4 F-I 0-1 0-1 conse E TEN R in rc ATS | PPG 28 31 ecutive NN ST. bad gar : 3-7) - | FD 20 24 game Bol nes a | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) 42-205 (4.8) bes as coach of bby Wilder is as coach of Ol urday, 11/23/2 | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) MIDDLE TENN S 4-3 OVER when D DOMINION. 019 5:00 PM Team Defense | 66-366 (5.5) 74-461 (6.2) STRick Stoc playing agains | 1-1 <u>1-1</u> kstill t a ba | 0 +3 is 16 ad |
| StatFox Forecaster (203) OLD DOMINION MIDDLE TENN ST Key Game Trends: 4 OVER versus pool team (Win Pct. 25%) StatFox Forecaster | : NEW DN (SU: PDif -12.6 -5.9 Rick St r offensit to 40%) : MIDD | MEX 1-9, SS 27 30 ocks ve te as c LE T A (SI | ATS: PPG 16 25 till is ams - coach ENN U: 4-6 | ST 28 : 4-6) 16 20 1-9 A - aver of Ol ST 3 5, AT | at (204) Mi Rushing 36-88 (2. 32-177 (5. TS after gai caging <=31 D DOMINI 1, OLD DO | DDLE TENN : Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-162 5) 30-19-227 ning 6.25 or m 0 yards/game ONBobby V MINION 15 06) LA MONF Team Offen | ST (-14 9 (5.9) (7.4) ore ya as coa /ilder is COE (-1 SOE (-1) | 4) (SU: 3-7, A Total 63-250 (4.0) 62-404 (6.5) ards/play in 2 ach of MIDDL s 24-12 OVEI | F-I 0-1 0-1 conse E TEN R in ro | PPG 28 31 cutive NN ST. bad gar | FD 20 24 game Bol nes a | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) 42-205 (4.8) bby Wilder is as coach of Ol as coach of Ol | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) 5 (MIDDLE TENN S 14-3 OVER when D DOMINION. D DOMINION. 019 5:00 PM | 66-366 (5.5) 74-461 (6.2) STRick Stoc | 1-1 <u>1-1</u> kstill t a ba | 0 +3 is 16 ad |
| StatFox Forecaster (203) OLD DOMINION MIDDLE TENN ST Key Game Trends: 4 OVER versus pool team (Win Pct. 25%) StatFox Forecaster | :: NEW DN (SU: PDif -12.6 -5.9 Rick St r offensi to 40%) :: MIDD ROLINA PDif | MEX 1-9, 27 30 ocks ve te as c LE T A (SI SS | ATS: PPG 16 25 till is coach ENN U: 4-6 PPG | ST 28 : 4-6) FD 16 20 1-9 A - aver of Ol ST 3 ;, AT: FD | 3, UTEP 21 at (204) Mi <u>Rushing</u> 36-88 (2. <u>32-177 (5.</u> TS after gai aging <=31 LD DOMINI 1, OLD DO 5: 6-4) at (2 Rushing | DDLE TENN 3 Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-162 5) 30-19-227 ning 6.25 or n 0 yards/game ONBobby V MINION 15 06) LA MONF Team Offen Passin | ST (-14 se g (5.9) (7.4) ore ya as coa /ilder is : CDE (- se g | 4) (SU: 3-7, A <u>Total</u> 63-250 (4.0) 62-204 (6.5) ards/play in 2 ards/play in 2 ards/play in 2 ards/play in 2 ards/play in 2 ards/play in 2 5.5) (SU: 4-6, <u>Total</u> | TS: 4 F-I 0-1 0-1 conse E TEN R in ro ATS F-I | PPG 28 31 ecutive NN ST. bad gar : 3-7) - | FD 20 24 game Bol nes a Satu | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) 42-205 (4.8) bes as coach of bby Wilder is as coach of Ol urday, 11/23/2 | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) MIDDLE TENN S 14-3 OVER when D DOMINION. 019 5:00 PM Team Defense Passing | 66-366 (5.5) 74-461 (6.2) 5TRick Stoc playing agains Total | 1-1 <u>1-1</u> kstill t a ba | 0 +3 is 16 |
| StatFox Forecaster (203) OLD DOMINION MIDDLE TENN ST Key Game Trends: 4 OVER versus pool team (Win Pct. 25% StatFox Forecaster (205) COASTAL CA COASTAL CAROLIN LA MONROE | :: NEW DN (SU: PDif -12.6 -5.9 Rick St r offensi to 40%) :: MIDD ROLINA PDif N -0.2 -8.7 | MEX 1-9, 27 30 occkss ve te as c LE T A (SI SS 24 28 | ATS: PPG 16 25 till is ams - coach ENN J: 4-6 PPG 30 30 | ST 28 : 4-6) FD 16 20 1-9 A aver of Ol ST 3 ;, AT FD 22 22 | 3, UTEP 21 at (204) Mi <u>Rushing</u> 36-88 (2. 32-177 (5.) TS after gaing <=31 D DOMINI 1, OLD DO S: 6-4) at (2 <u>Rushing</u> 42-190 (4.) 38-205 (4.) | DDLE TENN 3 Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-162 5) 30-19-227 0 yards/game DNBobby V WINION 15 06) LA MONF Team Offen Passin 5) 29-19-200 3) 34-20-235 | ST (-14 g (5.9) (7.4) ore ya as coa /ilder is SE (-1 SE g (6.9) (6.9) | 4) (SU: 3-7, A <u>Total</u> 63-250 (4.0) 62-404 (6.5) wirds/play in 2 ach of MIDDL s 24-12 OVEI 5.5) (SU: 4-6, <u>Total</u> 71-390 (5.5) 72-440 (6.1) | TS: 4 F-I 0-1 0-1 conse E TEN R in ro ATS F-I 1-1 1-1 | PPG 28 31 ecutive NN ST. bad gar : 3-7) - PPG 30 39 | FD 20 24 game Bol mes a Satu FD 20 24 | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) 42-205 (4.8) as as coach of obby Wilder is ' as coach of Ol urday, 11/23/2 Rushing 38-170 (4.5) 44-254 (5.7) | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) MIDDLE TENN S (4-3 OVER when D DOMINION. 019 5:00 PM Team Defense Passing 26-18-205 (7.9) 29-18-228 (7.8) | 66-366 (5.5) 74-461 (6.2) 5TRick Stoc playing agains Total 64-375 (5.9) 73-482 (6.6) | 1-1 <u>1-1</u> kstill t a ba F-I 1-1 1-1 | 0 +3 is 16 ad TOI 0 0 |
| StatFox Forecaster (203) OLD DOMINION MIDDLE TENN ST Key Game Trends: 4 OVER versus pooi team (Win Pct. 25% StatFox Forecaster (205) COASTAL CA COASTAL CAROLIN LA MONROE Key Game Trends: | NEW No. (SU: <u>PDif</u> -12.6 -5.9 Rick St r offensi: to 40%) : MIDD ROLINA PDif N -0.2 -8.7 Matt Vi | MEX 1-9, SS 27 30 occks ve te as c LE T A (SI SS 24 28 ator | ATS: PPG 16 25 till is ams - coach ENN J: 4-6 PPG 30 30 is 0-8 | ST 28 : 4-6) FD 16 20 1-9 A aver of OI ST 3 ;, AT: FD 22 22 ATS | 3, UTEP 21 at (204) Mi Rushing 36-88 (2. 32-177 (5.) TS after gai aging <=31 LD DOMINI 1, OLD DO S: 6-4) at (2 Rushing 42-190 (4.) 38-205 (5.) after a gan | DDLE TENN 3 Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-162 5) 30-19-227 ning 6.25 or m 0 yards/game ONBobby V MINION 15 06) LA MONF Team Offen Passin 5) 29-19-200 3) 34-20-235 e where they | ST (-14 g (5.9) (7.4) ore ya as coa /ilder is SOE (-1 Se g (6.9) (6.9) (6.9) commi | 4) (SU: 3-7, A <u>Total</u> 63-250 (4.0) 62-404 (6.5) ards/play in 2 ards/play in 2 ards/play in 2 5.5) (SU: 4-6, <u>Total</u> 71-390 (5.5) 72-440 (6.1) 72-440 (6.1) | TS: 4 F-I 0-1 0-1 conse E TER R in ro ATS F-I 1-1 1-1 e turn | PPG 28 31 ecutive NN ST. bad gar : 3-7) - PPG 30 39 overs a | FD 20 24 game Bol nes a Satu FD 20 24 is co | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) 42-205 (4.8) as a coach of by Wilder is ' as coach of Ol rrday, 11/23/2 Rushing 38-170 (4.5) 44-254 (5.7) 44-254 (5.7) | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) MIDDLE TENN S 14-3 OVER when D DOMINION. 019 5:00 PM Team Defense Passing 26-18-205 (7.9) 29-18-228 (7.8) NROELA MON | 66-366 (5.5) 74-461 (6.2) STRick Stoc playing agains Total 64-375 (5.9) 73-482 (6.6) ROE is 1-10 A | 1-1 <u>1-1</u> kstill it a ba F-I 1-1 <u>1-1</u> TS a | 0 +3 is 16 ad TOI 0 0 fter |
| StatFox Forecaster 203) OLD DOMINION MIDDLE TENN ST Cey Game Trends: 4 OVER versus pool eam (Win Pct. 25% StatFox Forecaster 205) COASTAL CAROLIN COASTAL CAROLIN A MONROE | : NEW PDif -12.6 -5.9 Rick Str r offensis to 40%) : MIDD ROLINA PDif V -0.2 -8.7 Matt Vine on th | MEX 1-9, SS 27 30 occkss ve te as c LE T A (SI SS 24 28 ator i e roa | ATS: PPG 16 25 till is ams - coach ENN U: 4-6 PPG 30 30 is 0-8 ad over | ST 28 : 4-6) FD 16 20 1-9 A - aver of Ol ST 3 5, AT: FD 22 22 ATS er the | B. UTEP 21 at (204) MI Rushing 36-88 (2 32-177 (5 32177 (5 TS after gai aging <=31 | DDLE TENN i Team Offen Passin 4) 27-15-166 5) 30-19-227 5) 30-19-227 WINION 15 06) LA MONF Team Offen Passin 5) 29-19-200 3) 29-19-200 3) 34-20-235 e where they COASTAL | 5T (-14 9 (5.9) (7.4) ore ya as coa /ilder is 5DE (-1 5E 9 (6.9) (6.9) (6.9) (6.9) CARC | 4) (SU: 3-7, A Total 63-250 (4.0) 62-404 (6.5) 62-404 (6.5) 1000 (1 | TS: 4 F-I 0-1 0-1 conse E TER R in ro ATS F-I 1-1 1-1 e turn | PPG 28 31 ecutive NN ST. bad gar : 3-7) - PPG 30 39 overs a | FD 20 24 game Bol nes a Satu FD 20 24 is co | Rushing 38-139 (3.7) 42-205 (4.8) as a coach of by Wilder is ' as coach of Ol rrday, 11/23/2 Rushing 38-170 (4.5) 44-254 (5.7) 44-254 (5.7) | Team Defense Passing 28-18-227 (8.0) 32-21-256 (8.0) MIDDLE TENN S 14-3 OVER when D DOMINION. 019 5:00 PM Team Defense Passing 26-18-205 (7.9) 29-18-228 (7.8) NROELA MON | 66-366 (5.5) 74-461 (6.2) STRick Stoc playing agains Total 64-375 (5.9) 73-482 (6.6) ROE is 1-10 A | 1-1 <u>1-1</u> kstill it a ba F-I 1-1 <u>1-1</u> TS a | 0 +3 is 16 ad TOI 0 0 fter |

StatFox Forecaster: LA MONROE 33, COASTAL CAROLINA 32

| (207) FLA ATLAN | ГIC (-19) | (SU | J: 7-3, | ATS | : 7-3) at (208) | UTSA (SU: 4-6, | ATS: 5-5) - Sa | turda | ay, 11/2 | 23/20 | 019 6:00 PM | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----|---------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|----------|-------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | | • | | | Ľ Í | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| FLA ATLANTIC | +9.4 | 29 | 34 | 23 | 40-152 (3.8) | 36-23-283 (7.8) | 76-435 (5.7) | 0-1 | 24 | 20 | 35-139 (3.9) | 34-20-255 (7.5) | 69-394 (5.7) | 1-1 | +13 |
| | 13 5 | 25 | 10 | 18 | 38 163 (1 3) | 20 17 170 (5.8) | 67 333 (5.0) | 1 1 | 33 | 22 | 38 202 (5 4) | 26-16-210 (8 O) | 64 412 (6 4) | 1 1 | 1 |

UISA -13.5 25 19 18 38-163 (4.3) 29-17-170(5.8) 67-333 (5.0) 1-1 33 22 38-202 (5.4) 26-16-270 (8.0) 64-412 (6.4) 1-1 -4 Key Game Trends: Lane Kiffin is 9-0 OVER away after having won 5 or 6 out of their last 7 gamesLane Kiffin is 17-3 OVER away after outgaining opp by 125 or more total yards in their previous gameUTSA is 1-9 ATS as an underdog of 10.5 to 21 points over the L2 seasons....UTSA is 2-12 ATS at home when playing with 6 or less days rest over the L3 seasons....UTSA is 3-13 ATS in home games over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: FLA ATLANTIC 33, UTSA 22

| (209) MIAMI (-18.5) (| (SU: 6- | -4, A | TS: 6 | -4) v | s. (210) FLA II | NTERNATIONAL | (SU: 5-5, ATS | 5: 2-8 |) - Satı | urda | y, 11/23/2019 | 7:00 PM | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------|----------|------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| | | | | | | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| MIAMI | +10.1 | 35 | 29 | 20 | 31-121 (3.9) | 33-21-268 (8.1) | 64-389 (6.1) | 0-0 | 19 | 17 | 35-105 (3.0) | 30-17-205 (7.0) | 65-310 (4.8) | 1-1 | +8 |
| FLA INTERNATIONA | -0.5 | 20 | 26 | 20 | 39-180 (4.6) | 28-16-204 (7.2) | 67-384 (5.7) | 1-0 | 27 | 20 | 39-205 (5.3) | 28-16-180 (6.5) | 67-385 (5.7) | 0-1 | +1 |
| Key Game Trends: N | MAIN | is 12 | 2-0 UN | IDEF | R away after the | e first month of the | e season over | the L | 3 seas | ons | MIAMI is 11- | 0 UNDER away a | after playing a | confe | erence |
| name over the L3 sea | sons | MD | AMI is | 18-4 | LINDER after | nlaving a confere | nce dame ove | r the I | 3 sea | sons | MIAMI is 9-(| UNDER away a | fter playing 2 | strain | ht |

game over the L3 seasons....MIAMI is 18-4 UNDER after playing a conference game over the L3 seasons....MIAMI is 9-0 UNDER away after playing 2 straight conference games over the L3 seasons....MIAMI is 9-0 UNDER away in the second half of the season over the L3 seasons.

StatFox Forecaster: MIAMI 31, FLA INTERNATIONAL 17

| (211) PENN ST (S | SU: 9-1, A | TS: | 5-5) at | (212 | | 8.5) (SU: 10-0, A eam Offense | ATS: 8-2) - Sat | urday | y, 11/23 | 3/20 1 | | Team Defense | | | |
|---|------------|------|----------|------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|------|-------|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| PENN ST | +23.3 | 37 | 37 | 22 | 38-173 (4.5) | 31-18-254 (8.3) | 69-427 (6.2) | 0-1 | 14 | 17 | 35-76 (2.2) | 35-21-240 (6.9) | 70-316 (4.5) | 1-1 | +6 |
| OHIO ST | +41.7 | 35 | 52 | 29 | 47-288 (6.2) | 28-19-254 (9.1) | 75-542 (7.2) | 1-0 | 10 | 14 | 36-90 (2.5) | 26-13-126 (4.9) | 62-216 (3.5) | 1-1 | +13 |
| Key Game Trend | s: James | Frar | nklin is | 17-2 | 2 ATS off a no- | cover where the t | team won as a | favor | iteJ | ame | s Franklin is 9 | -1 OVER away af | ter having wor | 4 or | 5 out |
| of their last 6 gam Franklin is 24-9 A | | | | | | | | von 5 | or 6 ou | ut of | their last 7 gar | mes as coach of F | PENN STJa | mes | |

StatFox Forecaster: OHIO ST 30, PENN ST 21

| (213) BOISE ST (-8.5 |) (SU: | 9-1 | , ATS: | 6-4) |) at (214) UTA | H ST (SU: 6-4, A | TS: 6-4) - Satu | urday | , 11/23 | 8/201 | 9 10:30 PM | | | | |
|----------------------|--------|------|--------|------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------|---------|-------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|------|--------|
| | | | | | T | eam Offense | | - | | | | Team Defense | | | |
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| BOISE ST | +14.8 | 27 | 36 | 24 | 39-173 (4.5) | 33-20-282 (8.5) | 72-455 (6.3) | 1-1 | 21 | 18 | 34-117 (3.4) | 32-18-221 (6.9) | 66-338 (5.1) | 1-0 | -2 |
| UTAH ST | +1.3 | 33 | 28 | 22 | 35-147 (4.3) | 38-24-283 (7.4) | 73-430 (5.9) | 1-1 | 27 | 23 | 46-180 (4.0) | 33-19-251 (7.7) | 79-431 (5.5) | 1-1 | -1 |
| Key Game Trends: | BOISE | ST i | s 7-0 | UND | ER after having | g won 6 or 7 out c | of their last 8 g | ames | over t | he L2 | 2 seasonsB | ryan Harsin is 8-0 | ATS away vs. | exce | ellent |

passing teams with a completion pct. of 62% or betterUTAH ST is 7-0 UNDER vs. excellent passing teams averaging 275 or more passing yards/game. over the L3 seasons....Gary Andersen is 20-7 UNDER after covering the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games .

StatFox Forecaster: BOISE ST 29, UTAH ST 26

| (215) SAN DIEGO ST | • | , | - | | | eam Offense | | | | | | Team Defense | | | |
|--|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|---------|------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|---------|----------|
| | PDif | SS | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | PPG | FD | Rushing | Passing | Total | F-I | TOD |
| SAN DIEGO ST | +6.7 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 43-143 (3.3) | 30-19-194 (6.5) | 73-337 (4.6) | 0-0 | 14 | 14 | 27-66 (2.4) | 33-20-204 (6.2) | 60-270 (4.5) | 1-1 | +11 |
| HAWAII | +2.1 | 28 | 36 | 26 | 29-151 (5.2) | 43-27-341 (7.9) | 72-492 (6.8) | 1-1 | 34 | 23 | 34-197 (5.7) | 33-19-234 (7.1) | 67-431 (6.4) | 0-1 | -14 |
| Key Game Trends: N | lick Ro | lovid | ch is 1 | -13 / | ATS at home a | fter playing 2 stra | ight conferenc | e gar | nes as | coad | h of HAWAII | SAN DIEGO ST | is 9-1 UNDEF | R in a | II lined |
| games this seasonS ATS at home when pla | | | | | | | sive teams - a | verag | ing >=6 | 6.25 | yards/play ove | er the L3 seasons. | Nick Rolovic | ch is 4 | 4-15 |

StatFox Forecaster: HAWAII 24, SAN DIEGO ST 22

Top College Football Statistical Edges - Week 13

Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success.

| OFFENSIVE STATISTICS | | DEFENSIVE STATISTICS | | OFF/DEF DIFFERENTIALS | |
|---|------|---|-----|---|---------|
| Points Scored (PPG) | W/L | Points Allowed (PPG) | W/L | Scoring Differential (PPG) | W/L |
| 1. LSU (vs ARK): +25.5 | 11/2 | 1. BYU (vs MAS): +26.8 | | 1. LSU (vs ARK): +37.9 | 11/2 |
| 2. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +21.2 | | 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +25.7 | | 2. BYU (vs MAS): +35.1 | |
| 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs TSU): +19.7 | | 3. SAN DIEGO ST (vs HAW): +20.0 | | 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs TSU): +33.0 | |
| 4. MEMPHIS (vs USF): +18.2 | | 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +15.5 | | 4. UTAH (vs ARI): +30.2 | |
| 5. GEORGIA ST (vs SAL): +17.9 | | 5. WISCONSIN (vs PUR): +14.4 | | 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +28.3 | |
| 6. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +16.7 | | 6. WYOMING (vs CSU): +13.9 | | 6. WISCONSIN (vs PUR): +24.5 | |
| 7. HAWAII (vs SDS): +15.4 | | 7. LA LAFAYETTE (vs TRO): +13.5 | | 7. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +23.8 | |
| 7. HAWAII (V3 000). 113.4 | | <u>[]. LA LAI ATETTE (13 110). 13.5</u> | | 7. MININE COTA (V3 NW). 120.0 | |
| Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG) | W/L | Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG) | W/L | Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG) | W/L |
| 1. OKLAHOMA ST (vs WV): +188.5 | | 1. SAN DIEGO ST (vs HAW): +131.2 | | 1. WISCONSIN (vs PUR): +227.2 | |
| 2. WISCONSIN (vs PUR): +158.3 | | 2. IOWA ST (vs KAN): +113.3 | | 2. OKLAHOMA ST (vs WV): +205.3 | |
| 3. NAVY (vs SMU): +155.2 | | 3. BUFFALO (vs TOL): +110.7 | | 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs TSU): +201.9 | |
| 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs TSU): +144.4 | | 4. UTAH (vs ARI): +110.4 | | 4. GA SOUTHERN (vs AKS): +195.5 | |
| 5. LA LAFAYETTE (vs TRO): +123.5 | | 5. WYOMING (vs CSU): +108.4 | | 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +187.3 | |
| 6. GA SOUTHERN (vs AKS): +122.3 | | 6. BYU (vs MAS): +102.1 | | 6. WYOMING (vs CSU): +183.2 | |
| 7. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +122.1 | | 7. MIAMI (vs FIU): +100.2 | | 7. NAVY (vs SMU): +170.6 | |
| | | | | | |
| Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) | W/L | Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR) | W/L | Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) | W/L |
| 1. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs ODU): +3.1 | | 1. SAN DIEGO ST (vs HAW): +3.3 | | 1. WISCONSIN (vs PUR): +3.6 | |
| 2. OKLAHOMA ST (vs WV): +3.0 | | 2. BUFFALO (vs TOL): +2.5 | | 2. WYOMING (vs CSU): +3.0 | |
| 3. WISCONSIN (vs PUR): +2.6 | | 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 | | 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs TSU): +3.0 | |
| 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs TSU): +2.5 | | 4. MIAMI (vs FIU): +2.3 | | 4. OKLAHOMA ST (vs WV): +2.8 | |
| 5. LA LAFAYETTE (vs TRO): +2.1 | | 5. WYOMING (vs CSU): +2.3 | | 5. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.5 | |
| 6. HOUSTON (vs TLS): +2.0 | | 6. MICHIGAN ST (vs RUT): +1.9 | | 6. BYU (vs MAS): +2.3 | |
| 7. FRESNO ST (vs NEV): +2.0 | | 7. BYU (vs MAS): +1.8 | | 7. GA SOUTHERN (vs AKS): +2.2 | |
| | | | | | |
| Passing Vards Gained (PYPG) | W/I | Passing Vards Allowed (PYPG) | W/I | Passing Vds Differential (PYPG) | W/I |
| Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) | W/L | Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) | W/L | Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) | W/L |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 | W/L | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 | W/L | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 | W/L |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 | W/L | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 | W/L | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 | W/L |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 | W/L | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 | W/L | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 | W/L |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 | W/L | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 | W/L | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 | W/L |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 | W/L | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 | W/L | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 | W/L |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 | W/L | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 | W/L | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 | W/L |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 | W/L | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 | W/L | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 | W/L |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) | W/L |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 3. LSU (vs ARK): +4.7 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 3. BYU (vs MAS): +5.5 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 3. LSU (vs ARK): +4.7 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +4.0 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 4. USC (vs UCL): +2.3 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 3. BYU (vs MAS): +5.5 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +5.5 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 3. LSU (vs ARK): +4.7 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +4.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs USF): +3.7 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 4. USC (vs UCL): +2.3 5. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +2.1 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 3. BYU (vs MAS): +5.5 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +5.5 5. UTAH (vs ARI): +5.4 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 3. LSU (vs ARK): +4.7 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +4.0 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 4. USC (vs UCL): +2.3 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 3. BYU (vs MAS): +5.5 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +5.5 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 3. LSU (vs ARK): +4.7 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +4.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs USF): +3.7 6. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.3 7. LOUISVILLE (vs SYR): +3.2 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 4. USC (vs UCL): +2.3 5. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +2.1 6. BAYLOR (vs TEX): +2.1 7. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +1.9 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 3. BYU (vs MAS): +5.5 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +5.5 5. UTAH (vs ARI): +5.4 6. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.2 7. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.7 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 3. LSU (vs ARK): +4.7 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +4.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs USF): +3.7 6. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.3 7. LOUISVILLE (vs SYR): +3.2 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 4. USC (vs UCL): +2.3 5. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +2.1 6. BAYLOR (vs TEX): +2.1 7. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +1.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 3. BYU (vs MAS): +5.5 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +5.5 5. UTAH (vs ARI): +5.4 6. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.2 7. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.7 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 3. LSU (vs ARK): +4.7 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +4.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs USF): +3.7 6. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.3 7. LOUISVILLE (vs SYR): +3.2 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LSU (vs ARK): +202.5 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 4. USC (vs UCL): +2.3 5. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +2.1 6. BAYLOR (vs TEX): +2.1 7. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +1.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. UTAH (vs ARI): +232.0 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 3. BYU (vs MAS): +5.5 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +5.5 5. UTAH (vs ARI): +5.4 6. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.2 7. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.7 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. LSU (vs ARK): +281.4 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 3. LSU (vs ARK): +4.7 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +4.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs USF): +3.7 6. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.3 7. LOUISVILLE (vs SYR): +3.2 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LSU (vs ARK): +202.5 2. OKLAHOMA ST (vs WV): +169.5 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 4. USC (vs UCL): +2.3 5. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +2.1 6. BAYLOR (vs TEX): +2.1 7. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +1.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. UTAH (vs ARI): +232.0 2. BUFFALO (vs TOL): +172.5 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 3. BYU (vs MAS): +5.5 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +5.5 5. UTAH (vs ARI): +5.4 6. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.2 7. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.7 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. LSU (vs MAS): +275.9 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 3. LSU (vs ARK): +4.7 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +4.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs USF): +3.7 6. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.3 7. LOUISVILLE (vs SYR): +3.2 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LSU (vs ARK): +202.5 2. OKLAHOMA ST (vs WV): +169.5 3. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +166.5 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 4. USC (vs UCL): +2.3 5. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +2.1 6. BAYLOR (vs TEX): +2.1 7. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +1.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. UTAH (vs ARI): +232.0 2. BUFFALO (vs TOL): +172.5 3. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +171.4 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 3. BYU (vs MAS): +5.5 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +5.5 5. UTAH (vs ARI): +5.4 6. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.2 7. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.7 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. LSU (vs ARK): +281.4 2. BYU (vs MAS): +275.9 3. IOWA ST (vs KAN): +220.0 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +16.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 3. LSU (vs ARK): +4.7 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +4.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs USF): +3.7 6. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.3 7. LOUISVILLE (vs SYR): +3.2 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LSU (vs ARK): +202.5 2. OKLAHOMA ST (vs WV): +169.5 3. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +166.5 4. HAWAII (vs SDS): +155.5 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 4. USC (vs UCL): +2.3 5. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +2.1 6. BAYLOR (vs TEX): +2.1 7. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +1.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. UTAH (vs ARI): +232.0 2. BUFFALO (vs TOL): +172.5 3. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +171.4 4. SAN DIEGO ST (vs HAW): +161.4 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 3. BYU (vs MAS): +5.5 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +5.5 5. UTAH (vs ARI): +5.4 6. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.2 7. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.7 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. LSU (vs ARK): +281.4 2. BYU (vs MAS): +275.9 3. IOWA ST (vs KAN): +220.0 4. UTAH (vs ARI): +216.6 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 3. LSU (vs ARK): +4.7 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +4.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs USF): +3.7 6. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.3 7. LOUISVILLE (vs SYR): +3.2 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LSU (vs ARK): +202.5 2. OKLAHOMA ST (vs WV): +169.5 3. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +166.5 4. HAWAII (vs SDS): +155.5 5. GEORGIA ST (vs SAL): +154.6 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 4. USC (vs UCL): +2.3 5. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +2.1 6. BAYLOR (vs TEX): +2.1 7. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +1.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. UTAH (vs ARI): +232.0 2. BUFFALO (vs TOL): +172.5 3. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +171.4 4. SAN DIEGO ST (vs HAW): +161.4 5. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +155.8 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 3. BYU (vs MAS): +5.5 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +5.5 5. UTAH (vs ARI): +5.4 6. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.2 7. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.7 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. LSU (vs ARK): +281.4 2. BYU (vs MAS): +275.9 3. IOWA ST (vs KAN): +216.6 5. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +215.7 | |
| 1. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +235.8 2. SMU (vs NAV): +231.4 3. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +200.8 4. LSU (vs ARK): +185.5 5. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +181.3 6. HAWAII (vs SDS): +147.1 7. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +130.0 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.4 2. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.1 3. LSU (vs ARK): +4.7 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +4.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs USF): +3.7 6. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.3 7. LOUISVILLE (vs SYR): +3.2 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LSU (vs ARK): +202.5 2. OKLAHOMA ST (vs WV): +169.5 3. NORTH TEXAS (vs RIC): +166.5 4. HAWAII (vs SDS): +155.5 | | 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +139.8 2. UTAH (vs ARI): +121.6 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +114.3 4. KANSAS ST (vs TT): +111.1 5. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +106.2 6. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +97.6 7. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +91.9 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +2.9 2. BYU (vs MAS): +2.6 3. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.4 4. USC (vs UCL): +2.3 5. WASHINGTON (vs COL): +2.1 6. BAYLOR (vs TEX): +2.1 7. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +1.9 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. UTAH (vs ARI): +232.0 2. BUFFALO (vs TOL): +172.5 3. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +171.4 4. SAN DIEGO ST (vs HAW): +161.4 | | 1. COLORADO ST (vs WYO): +278.9 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +207.7 3. NOTRE DAME (vs BC): +191.5 4. WASHINGTON ST (vs ORS): +175.3 5. LSU (vs ARK): +169.8 6. TULSA (vs HOU): +158.2 7. BYU (vs MAS): +152.8 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. MINNESOTA (vs NW): +6.7 2. LSU (vs ARK): +5.5 3. BYU (vs MAS): +5.5 4. AIR FORCE (vs NM): +5.5 5. UTAH (vs ARI): +5.4 6. OKLAHOMA (vs TCU): +5.2 7. NAVY (vs SMU): +3.7 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. LSU (vs ARK): +281.4 2. BYU (vs MAS): +275.9 3. IOWA ST (vs KAN): +220.0 4. UTAH (vs ARI): +216.6 | |

20 / DRF Sports Form