statfox.com

ISSUE 8
OCTOBER 18 - 24, 2016

No.1 RATED BETTING GUIDE!

NFL & COLLEGE FOOTBALL

# 2016 PLATINUM SHEET

*Every Week:*

- STATFOX.COM BEST BETS
- TOP WEEKLY PICKS
- EXCLUSIVE POWER RATINGS
- HANDICAPPING SYSTEMS
- SUPER SITUATIONS
- HEAD-TO-HEAD TRENDS

ISSUE #8 • OCTOBER 18 - OCTOBER 24, 2016



**MANAGING EDITOR**
Scott Gramling

**EXECUTIVE EDITOR**
Jeff Makinen

**CONTRIBUTING EDITORS**
Dave Bartman
Gary Bennett
Brian Graham

**CREATIVE DIRECTOR**
Ian Knowles

**ASSISTANT EDITOR**
Zach "Dutch Touch" Cohen

**EDITORIAL DIRECTION**
10Ten Media

**DAILY RACING FORM LLC**
Jim Kostas, President
Todd Unger, President, SIG Digital
Jacob Luft, Director, Digital Products

The Platinum Sheet is published by Daily Racing Form LLC, 708 Third Avenue, 12th Floor, New York, NY 10017. 

**SUBSCRIPTIONS:**
www.StatFox.com/store
1-877-514-4220

## StatFox NFL Power Rating Lines - Week 7

** The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

*ABBREVIATIONS:*
OL - Opening Line
PR - StatFox Power Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.
PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

| Thu - 10/20,8:25 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 301 CHICAGO | | 13 | | | |
| 302 GREEN BAY | -9 | 28 | -15 | **6** | |

| Sun - 10/23,9:30 AM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 451 NY GIANTS | -3 | 14 | | | |
| 452 LA RAMS | | 17 | -3 | **6** | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 453 MINNESOTA | -2.5 | 28 | -3 | **0.5** | |
| 454 PHILADELPHIA | | 25 | | | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 455 NEW ORLEANS | | 15 | | | |
| 456 KANSAS CITY | -7 | 29 | -14 | **7** | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 457 WASHINGTON | | 18 | | | |
| 458 DETROIT | -1 | 21 | -3 | **2** | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 459 CLEVELAND | | 10 | | | |
| 460 CINCINNATI | -10 | 28 | -18 | **8** | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 461 BUFFALO | -3 | 27 | -6 | **3** | |
| 462 MIAMI | | 21 | | | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 463 OAKLAND | | 15 | -4 | **5** | |
| 464 JACKSONVILLE | -1 | 11 | | | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 465 INDIANAPOLIS | | 15 | -3 | **5.5** | |
| 466 TENNESSEE | -2.5 | 12 | | | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 467 BALTIMORE | | 18 | | | |
| 468 NY JETS | pk | 24 | -6 | **6** | |

| Sun - 10/23,4:05 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 469 SAN DIEGO | | 20 | | | |
| 470 ATLANTA | -6 | 27 | -7 | **1** | |

| Sun - 10/23,4:05 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 471 TAMPA BAY | -2.5 | 14 | | | |
| 472 SAN FRANCISCO | | 16 | -2 | **4.5** | |

| Sun - 10/23,4:25 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 473 NEW ENGLAND | -7 | 29 | | | |
| 474 PITTSBURGH | | 31 | -2 | **9** | |

| Sun - 10/23,8:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 475 SEATTLE | | 30 | -2 | **3.5** | |
| 476 ARIZONA | -1.5 | 28 | | | |

| Mon - 10/24,8:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 477 HOUSTON | | 18 | | | |
| 478 DENVER | -6.5 | 30 | -12 | **5.5** | |

# statfox PRESENTS THE WEEK'S STAFF PICKS

| WEEK 7 NFL MATCHUPS: OCTOBER 20 - 24 | | StatFox DAVE | StatFox GARY | StatFox SCOTT | StatFox BRIAN | StatFox FORECASTER | StatFox CONSENSUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| • (301) CHICAGO at (302) GREEN BAY | -9 | CLICK FOR BEST BET | CHICAGO | CLICK FOR BEST BET | CHICAGO | CHICAGO | CHICAGO |
| • (451) NEW YORK GIANTS vs. (452) LOS ANGELES in London | +2½ | LOS ANGELES | NEW YORK GIANTS | NEW YORK GIANTS | CLICK FOR BEST BET | NEW YORK GIANTS | NEW YORK GIANTS |
| • (453) MINNESOTA at (454) PHILADELPHIA | +2½ | CLICK FOR BEST BET | CLICK FOR BEST BET | PHILADELPHIA | PHILADELPHIA | PHILADELPHIA | PHILADELPHIA |
| • (455) NEW ORLEANS at (456) KANSAS CITY | -7 | KANSAS CITY | KANSAS CITY | NEW ORLEANS | CLICK FOR BEST BET | KANSAS CITY | KANSAS CITY |
| • (457) WASHINGTON at (458) DETROIT | -1½ | WASHINGTON | WASHINGTON | DETROIT | DETROIT | WASHINGTON | WASHINGTON |
| • (459) CLEVELAND at (460) CINCINNATI | -9½ | CINCINNATI | CINCINNATI | CLEVELAND | CINCINNATI | CINCINNATI | CINCINNATI |
| • (461) BUFFALO at (462) MIAMI | +2½ | BUFFALO | BUFFALO | BUFFALO | BUFFALO | BUFFALO | BUFFALO |
| • (463) OAKLAND at (464) JACKSONVILLE | -1 | JACKSONVILLE | JACKSONVILLE | OAKLAND | CLICK FOR BEST BET | JACKSONVILLE | JACKSONVILLE |
| • (465) INDIANAPOLIS at (466) TENNESSEE | -2½ | CLICK FOR BEST BET | CLICK FOR BEST BET | CLICK FOR BEST BET | CLICK FOR BEST BET | TENNESSEE | |
| • (467) BALTIMORE at (468) NEW YORK JETS | -1 | NEW YORK JETS | NEW YORK JETS | NEW YORK JETS | BALTIMORE | NEW YORK JETS | NEW YORK JETS |
| • (469) SAN DIEGO at (470) ATLANTA | -6½ | ATLANTA | SAN DIEGO | CLICK FOR BEST BET | SAN DIEGO | SAN DIEGO | SAN DIEGO |
| • (471) TAMPA BAY at (472) SAN FRANCISCO | +2 | TAMPA BAY | CLICK FOR BEST BET | SAN FRANCISCO | CLICK FOR BEST BET | SAN FRANCISCO | |
| • (473) NEW ENGLAND at (474) PITTSBURGH | +7 | NEW ENGLAND | NEW ENGLAND | NEW ENGLAND | PITTSBURGH | PITTSBURGH | NEW ENGLAND |
| • (475) SEATTLE at (476) ARIZONA | -1 | ARIZONA | CLICK FOR BEST BET | ARIZONA | SEATTLE | SEATTLE | |
| • (477) HOUSTON at (478) DENVER | -7½ | CLICK FOR BEST BET | DENVER | HOUSTON | HOUSTON | HOUSTON | HOUSTON |

## Statfox NFL Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 7

** The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

*ABBREVIATIONS:*

OL - Opening Line

OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Line

| Thu - 10/20,8:25 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 301 CHICAGO | | 16 | | | |
| 302 GREEN BAY | -9 | 28 | -12 | **3.0** | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 461 BUFFALO | -3 | 28 | -6 | **3.0** | |
| 462 MIAMI | | 22 | | | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 467 BALTIMORE | | 20 | -2 | **2.0** | |
| 468 NY JETS | pk | 18 | | | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 459 CLEVELAND | | 11 | | | |
| 460 CINCINNATI | -10 | 22 | -11 | **1.0** | |

| Sun - 10/23,4:25 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 473 NEW ENGLAND | -7 | 31 | -1 | | |
| 474 PITTSBURGH | | 30 | | **6.0** | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 463 OAKLAND | | 19 | -4 | **5.0** | |
| 464 JACKSONVILLE | -1 | 15 | | | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 465 INDIANAPOLIS | | 19 | | **2.5** | |
| 466 TENNESSEE | -2.5 | 19 | 0 | | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 455 NEW ORLEANS | | 19 | | **1.0** | |
| 456 KANSAS CITY | -7 | 25 | -6 | | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 453 MINNESOTA | -2.5 | 30 | | | |
| 454 PHILADELPHIA | | 31 | -1 | **3.5** | |

| Sun - 10/23,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 457 WASHINGTON | | 22 | | **1.0** | |
| 458 DETROIT | -1 | 22 | 0 | | |

| Sun - 10/23,4:05 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 469 SAN DIEGO | | 23 | | **1.0** | |
| 470 ATLANTA | -6 | 28 | -5 | | |

| Sun - 10/23,8:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 475 SEATTLE | | 23 | | | |
| 476 ARIZONA | -1.5 | 28 | -5 | **3.5** | |

| Sun - 10/23,4:05 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 471 TAMPA BAY | -2.5 | 12 | | | |
| 472 SAN FRANCISCO | | 18 | -6 | **8.5** | |

| Sun - 10/23,9:30 AM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 451 NY GIANTS | -3 | 20 | -5 | **2.0** | |
| 452 LA RAMS | | 15 | | | |

| Mon - 10/24,8:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 477 HOUSTON | | 19 | | | |
| 478 DENVER | -6.5 | 28 | -9 | **2.5** | |

## 2016 NFL STAFF PICKS STANDINGS

| All Games | W | L | T | Pct | Last Week |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| StatFox Forecaster | 53 | 37 | 2 | 58.9% | 8-6-1 |
| StatFox Scott | 46 | 44 | 2 | 51.1% | 4-10-1 |
| StatFox Consensus | 45 | 45 | 2 | 50.0% | 6-8-1 |
| StatFox Gary | 43 | 47 | 2 | 47.8% | 7-7-1 |
| StatFox Dave | 40 | 50 | 2 | 44.4% | 7-7-1 |
| StatFox Brian | 33 | 57 | 2 | 36.7% | 5-9-1 |

| Best Bets (ATS & Over/Under) | W | L | T | Pct | Last Week |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| StatFox Scott | 22 | 17 | 1 | 56.4% | 4-3 |
| StatFox Gary | 18 | 20 | 0 | 47.4% | 3-3 |
| StatFox Dave | 10 | 13 | 1 | 43.5% | 2-2 |
| StatFox Brian | 15 | 21 | 0 | 41.7% | 2-4 |

# NFC NORTH *Divisional Showdown*

## CHICAGO BEARS | VS | GREEN BAY PACKERS

**LINE:** GREEN BAY BY 9

• **(301) CHICAGO (SU: 1-5, ATS: 1-5) at (302) GREEN BAY (SU: 3-2, ATS: 3-2) - Thursday, 10/20/2016 8:25 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| CHICAGO | -7.0 | 18.2 | 17 | 20 | 21–90 (4.2) | 26–39–285 (7.3) | 60–375 (6.2) | 1–0 | 24 | 20 | 28–108 (3.9) | 22–34–234 (7.0) | 62–342 (5.5) | 0–1 | -1 |
| GREEN BAY | +0.2 | 19.2 | 23 | 20 | 26–105 (4.1) | 22–36–227 (6.3) | 62–332 (5.4) | 1–1 | 23 | 19 | 24–72 (3.0) | 22–35–266 (7.7) | 59–338 (5.7) | 1–1 | -3 |

**GB is 8–2 SU & 8–2 ATS in its L10 games vs. CHI**

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11/26/15 | GB -7½ | CHI 17 at GB 13 | CHI | U (45) |
| 9/13/15 | CHI +6 | GB 31 at CHI 23 | GB | O (48½) |
| 11/9/14 | GB -9 | CHI 14 at GB 55 | GB | O (53) |
| 9/28/14 | CHI +2 | GB 38 at CHI 17 | GB | O (51) |
| 12/29/13 | CHI +3 | GB 33 at CHI 28 | GB | O (51) |
| 11/4/13 | GB -9½ | CHI 27 at GB 20 | CHI | U (50½) |
| 12/16/12 | CHI +3 | GB 21 at CHI 13 | GB | U (43½) |
| 9/13/12 | GB -5 | CHI 10 at GB 23 | GB | U (50½) |
| 12/25/11 | GB -13 | CHI 21 at GB 35 | GB | O (41) |
| 9/25/11 | CHI +4½ | GB 27 at CHI 17 | GB | U (45½) |

**BETTING SYSTEM:**

Road underdogs of 3.5 to 10 points - bad team - outscored by opponents by 7 or more points/game, after a loss by 6 or less points. (86-41 ATS)

Play = CHICAGO against the spread

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**

- CHICAGO is 7-21 ATS in a road game where the total is between 45.5-49 points since 1992.
- CHICAGO is 10-25 ATS in a road game where the total is 45.5+ since 1992.
- CHICAGO is 12-25 ATS off an upset loss as a home favorite since 1992.
- GREEN BAY is 8-0 UNDER at home vs. good passing teams with a completion pct. of 61% or better over the L2 seasons.
- GREEN BAY is 8-0 UNDER at home after the first month of the season over the L2 seasons.
- GREEN BAY is 13-3 UNDER vs. teams with a completion pct. of 61% or better over L2 seasons.

statfox *Forecaster*

**Chicago Bears** ..... **18**
**Green Bay Packers** ..... **25**

# AFC NORTH *Divisional Showdown*

## CLEVELAND BROWNS | VS | CINCINNATI BENGALS

**LINE:** CINCINNATI BY 9½

• **(459) CLEVELAND (SU: 0-6, ATS: 2-4) at (460) CINCINNATI (SU: 2-4, ATS: 1-4-1) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 1:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| CLEVELAND | -10.5 | 19.7 | 19 | 18 | 24–111 (4.7) | 22–36–237 (6.7) | 60–348 (5.8) | 0–1 | 29 | 22 | 30–118 (4.0) | 23–36–285 (8.0) | 66–403 (6.1) | 0–1 | -1 |
| CINCINNATI | -6.0 | 24.0 | 18 | 21 | 26–90 (3.5) | 24–36–275 (7.6) | 62–365 (5.9) | 0–0 | 24 | 19 | 26–108 (4.2) | 20–32–247 (7.8) | 58–355 (6.1) | 0–1 | +2 |

**CIN is 7–3 SU & 5–4–1 ATS in its L10 games vs. CLE**

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12/6/15 | CLE +7½ | CIN 37 at CLE 3 | CIN | U (44½) |
| 11/5/15 | CIN -13 | CLE 10 at CIN 31 | CIN | U (46) |
| 12/14/14 | CLE -2 | CIN 30 at CLE 0 | CIN | U (44) |
| 11/6/14 | CIN -6 | CLE 24 at CIN 3 | CLE | U (46) |
| 11/17/13 | CIN -4½ | CLE 20 at CIN 41 | CIN | O (39½) |
| 9/29/13 | CLE +3½ | CIN 6 at CLE 17 | CLE | U (42½) |
| 10/14/12 | CLE +1½ | CIN 24 at CLE 34 | CLE | O (42) |
| 9/16/12 | CIN -7 | CLE 27 at CIN 34 | Push | O (39½) |
| 11/27/11 | CIN -6½ | CLE 20 at CIN 23 | CLE | O (38½) |
| 9/11/11 | CLE -6½ | CIN 27 at CLE 17 | CIN | O (36½) |

**BETTING SYSTEM:**

Underdogs of 3.5 to 10 points - with a poor first half defense - 14 or more points per game, after a loss by 6 or less points. (74-32 ATS)

Play = CLEVELAND against the spread

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**

- CLEVELAND is 7-0 ATS away off an extremely close road loss by 3 points or less since 1992.
- CLEVELAND is 2-10 ATS vs. DEFs allowing a comp. pct. of 61% or worse over the L2 seasons.
- CLEVELAND is 30-13 UNDER versus poor rushing teams - averaging <=90 rushing yards/game since 1992.
- CINCINNATI is 15-2 ATS after allowing 350+ passing yards in their last game since 1992.
- CINCINNATI is 20-7 ATS after allowing 375+ total yards in 2 consecutive games since 1992.
- CINCINNATI is 12-2 ATS after allowing 400+ total yards in 2 consecutive games since 1992.

statfox *Forecaster*

**Cleveland Browns** ..... **17**
**Cincinnati Bengals** ..... **27**

# AFC EAST *Divisional Showdown*

## BUFFALO BILLS | VS | MIAMI DOLPHINS

**LINE:** BUFFALO BY 2½

• **(461) BUFFALO (SU: 4-2, ATS: 4-2) at (462) MIAMI (SU: 2-4, ATS: 2-4) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 1:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| BUFFALO | +9.8 | 20.5 | 27 | 18 | 30–166 (5.6) | 17–28–171 (6.1) | 58–337 (5.8) | 0–0 | 17 | 20 | 28–103 (3.8) | 21–34–242 (7.1) | 62–345 (5.6) | 1–1 | +8 |
| MIAMI | -2.7 | 22.0 | 20 | 16 | 21–97 (4.6) | 21–31–235 (7.5) | 52–332 (6.4) | 1–1 | 22 | 21 | 33–147 (4.5) | 23–35–237 (6.7) | 68–384 (5.6) | 0–0 | -5 |

**MIA is 4–6 SU & 4–6 ATS in its L10 games vs. BUF**

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11/8/15 | BUF -3½ | MIA 17 at BUF 33 | BUF | O (44) |
| 9/27/15 | MIA -1 | BUF 41 at MIA 14 | BUF | O (43½) |
| 11/13/14 | MIA -4 | BUF 9 at MIA 22 | MIA | U (41) |
| 9/14/14 | BUF -1½ | MIA 10 at BUF 29 | BUF | U (43) |
| 12/22/13 | BUF -1 | MIA 0 at BUF 19 | BUF | U (41½) |
| 10/20/13 | MIA -5½ | BUF 23 at MIA 21 | BUF | O (43½) |
| 12/23/12 | MIA -5 | BUF 10 at MIA 24 | MIA | U (43) |
| 11/15/12 | BUF -2½ | MIA 14 at BUF 19 | BUF | U (46) |
| 12/18/11 | BUF +1 | MIA 30 at BUF 23 | MIA | O (42½) |
| 11/20/11 | MIA -3 | BUF 8 at MIA 35 | MIA | U (44) |

**BETTING SYSTEM:**

Any team where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points - after gaining 175 or more rushing yards last game against opponent after outrushing opponent by 100 or more yards last game. (29-7 Under) Play = Under the total

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**

- BUFFALO is 12-25 ATS away after covering in 4 or 5 out of their last 6 games since 1992.
- BUFFALO is 16-6 OVER after covering the spread in 4 out of their last 5 games since 1992.
- BUFFALO is 25-11 OVER after 3 or more consecutive wins against the spread since 1992.
- MIAMI is 6-21 ATS at home vs. teams averaging 175 or less passing yards/game since 1992.
- MIAMI is 1-9 ATS in a home game where total is between 42.5 and 45 points over L3 seasons.
- MIAMI is 4-13 ATS against conference opponents over the L2 seasons.

statfox *Forecaster*

**Buffalo Bills** ..... **24**
**Miami Dolphins** ..... **21**

# AFC SOUTH *Divisional Showdown*

## INDIANAPOLIS COLTS | VS | TENNESSEE TITANS

**LINE:** TENNESSEE BY 2½

• **(465) INDIANAPOLIS (SU: 2-4, ATS: 2-3-1) at (466) TENNESSEE (SU: 3-3, ATS: 2-4) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 1:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| JACKSONVILLE | -5.2 | 17.6 | 20 | 19 | 21–71 (3.3) | 24–39–249 (6.5) | 60–320 (5.3) | 0–1 | 25 | 21 | 27–103 (3.8) | 25–38–218 (5.7) | 65–321 (4.9) | 0–1 | -4 |
| INDIANAPOLIS | -2.3 | 17.8 | 27 | 22 | 24–102 (4.2) | 25–40–262 (6.6) | 64–364 (5.7) | 0–1 | 29 | 24 | 25–118 (4.8) | 26–38–294 (7.8) | 63–412 (6.5) | 1–0 | EVEN |

**TEN is 1–9 SU & 2–8 ATS in its L10 games vs. IND**

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1/3/16 | IND -4½ | TEN 24 at IND 30 | IND | O (39½) |
| 9/27/15 | TEN +3 | IND 35 at TEN 33 | TEN | O (46½) |
| 12/28/14 | TEN +7½ | IND 27 at TEN 10 | IND | U (46½) |
| 9/28/14 | IND -7 | TEN 17 at IND 41 | IND | O (45½) |
| 12/1/13 | IND -3½ | TEN 14 at IND 22 | IND | U (46½) |
| 11/14/13 | TEN +2½ | IND 30 at TEN 27 | IND | O (42) |
| 12/9/12 | IND -3½ | TEN 23 at IND 27 | IND | O (47) |
| 10/28/12 | TEN -3 | IND 19 at TEN 13 | IND | U (46½) |
| 12/18/11 | IND +6½ | TEN 13 at IND 27 | IND | U (41½) |
| 10/30/11 | TEN -7½ | IND 10 at TEN 27 | TEN | U (43½) |

**BETTING SYSTEM:**

Home teams where the line is +3 to -3 - in conference games, off a no-cover where the team won as a favorite. (6-28 ATS)
Play = INDIANAPOLIS against the spread

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**

- INDIANAPOLIS is 10-1 OVER away in the first half of the season over the L3 seasons.
- INDIANAPOLIS is 8-1 OVER in games played on a grass field over the L2 seasons.
- INDIANAPOLIS is 33-15 OVER away in October games since 1992.
- TENNESSEE is 0-12 ATS after gaining 6+ yards/play in previous game over the L3 seasons.
- TENNESSEE is 4-22 ATS against conference opponents over the L3 seasons.
- TENNESSEE is 1-11 ATS versus division opponents over the L3 seasons.

statfox *Forecaster*

**Indianapolis Colts** ..... **23**
**Tennessee Titans** ..... **26**

## NFC WEST *Divisional Showdown*

**SEATTLE SEAHAWKS** | VS | **ARIZONA CARDINALS**

**LINE:** ARIZONA BY 1

• **(475) SEATTLE (SU: 4-1, ATS: 2-3) at (476) ARIZONA (SU: 3-3, ATS: 3-3) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 8:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| SEATTLE | +5.4 | 18.8 | 21 | 20 | 28–89 (3.2) | 24–36–264 (7.4) | 64–353 (5.5) | 1–0 | 16 | 16 | 23–75 (3.3) | 20–33–209 (6.3) | 56–284 (5.1) | 0–1 | +1 |
| ARIZONA (thru Wk 6) | +4.8 | 20.4 | 25 | 22 | 26–114 (4.3) | 21–39–249 (6.3) | 65–363 (5.6) | 1–1 | 20 | 19 | 29–118 (4.1) | 20–34–190 (5.5) | 63–308 (4.9) | 1–1 | +2 |

**ARI is 4–6 SU & 4–6 ATS in its L10 games vs. SEA**

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1/3/16 | ARI -6 | SEA 36 at ARI 6 | SEA | U (47) |
| 11/15/15 | SEA -3 | ARI 39 at SEA 32 | ARI | O (43½) |
| 12/21/14 | ARI +9½ | SEA 35 at ARI 6 | SEA | O (36½) |
| 11/23/14 | SEA -7½ | ARI 3 at SEA 19 | SEA | U (42) |
| 12/22/13 | SEA -8 | ARI 17 at SEA 10 | ARI | U (42½) |
| 10/17/13 | ARI +5 | SEA 34 at ARI 22 | SEA | O (41) |
| 12/9/12 | SEA -11½ | ARI 0 at SEA 58 | SEA | O (36) |
| 9/9/12 | ARI +2½ | SEA 16 at ARI 20 | ARI | U (41) |
| 1/1/12 | ARI -2½ | SEA 20 at ARI 23 | ARI | O (40½) |
| 9/25/11 | SEA +3 | ARI 10 at SEA 13 | SEA | U (42½) |

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**

- SEATTLE is 31-59 ATS in October games since 1992.
- SEATTLE is 15-4 UNDER after scoring 25 points or more in 3 straight games since 1992.
- SEATTLE is 115-85 OVER as an underdog since 1992.
- SEATTLE is 17-34 ATS away in October games since 1992.
- SEATTLE is 31-17 ATS after gaining 99 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games since 1992.
- SEATTLE is 75-53 OVER off a home win since 1992.
- ARIZONA is 30-12 ATS when playing against a top-level team (Win Pct. > 75%) since 1992.
- ARIZONA is 39-23 ATS at home vs. good passing teams with a completion pct. of 61% or better since 1992.
- ARIZONA is 28-12 ATS at home vs. good passing teams averaging 235 or more passing yards/game since 1992.
- ARIZONA is 16-5 ATS at home when playing against a top-level team (Win Pct. > 75%) since 1992.

statfox *Forecaster*

**Seattle Seahawks** ..... **23**
**Arizona Cardinals** ..... **18**

## NFC *Conference Showdown*

**MINNESOTA VIKINGS** | VS | **PHILADELPHIA EAGLES**

**LINE:** MINNESOTA BY 2½

• **(453) MINNESOTA (SU: 5-0, ATS: 5-0) at (454) PHILADELPHIA (SU: 3-2, ATS: 3-2) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 1:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| MINNESOTA | +11.2 | 18.4 | 24 | 17 | 29–71 (2.5) | 21–32–232 (7.3) | 61–303 (5.0) | 0–0 | 13 | 18 | 21–78 (3.7) | 22–40–210 (5.2) | 61–288 (4.7) | 1–1 | +11 |
| PHILADELPHIA | +11.4 | 17.8 | 27 | 20 | 28–114 (4.1) | 20–31–225 (7.2) | 59–339 (5.7) | 0–0 | 16 | 18 | 22–105 (4.8) | 19–32–207 (6.6) | 54–312 (5.8) | 1–1 | +6 |

**PHI is 7–3 SU & 7–3 ATS in its L10 games vs. MIN**

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12/15/13 | MIN +7 | PHI 30 at MIN 48 | MIN | O (53) |
| 12/28/10 | PHI -14 | MIN 24 at PHI 14 | MIN | U (44) |
| 1/4/09 | MIN +3½ | PHI 26 at MIN 14 | PHI | U (41) |
| 10/28/07 | MIN +1 | PHI 23 at MIN 16 | PHI | O (37½) |
| 1/16/05 | PHI -7½ | MIN 14 at PHI 27 | PHI | U (47) |
| 9/20/04 | PHI -3 | MIN 16 at PHI 27 | PHI | U (47½) |
| 11/11/01 | PHI -4½ | MIN 17 at PHI 48 | PHI | O (40½) |
| 9/28/97 | MIN -2½ | PHI 19 at MIN 28 | MIN | O (43½) |
| 12/6/92 | PHI -6 | MIN 17 at PHI 28 | PHI | No Line |
| 10/15/90 | PHI -5½ | MIN 24 at PHI 32 | PHI | No Line |

**BETTING SYSTEM:**

Underdogs or pick - off an upset loss to a division rival as a favorite, winning between 51% and 60% of their games on the season. (44-16 ATS)
Play = PHILADELPHIA against the spread

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**

- MINNESOTA is 19-3 ATS in all lined games over the L2 seasons.
- MINNESOTA is 10-0 ATS after playing a game at home over the L2 seasons.
- MINNESOTA is 15-2 ATS after 1 or more consecutive wins against the spread over the L2 seasons.
- PHILADELPHIA is 13-2 OVER after 1 or more consecutive losses over the L3 seasons.
- PHILADELPHIA is 46-29 ATS off 2 or more consecutive overs since 1992.
- PHILADELPHIA is 8-1 OVER off a road loss over the L3 seasons.

statfox *Forecaster*

**Minnesota Vikings** ..... **21**
**Philadelphia Eagles** ..... **20**

# AFC Conference Showdown

## NEW ENGLAND PATRIOTS | VS | PITTSBURGH STEELERS

**LINE:** NEW ENGLAND BY 7

• **(473) NEW ENGLAND (SU: 5-1, ATS: 5-1) at (474) PITTSBURGH (SU: 4-2, ATS: 4-2) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 4:25 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| NEW ENGLAND | +9.7 | 21.0 | 25 | 21 | 31–120 (3.8) | 22–32–268 (8.4) | 63–388 (6.2) | 1–0 | 15 | 21 | 25–92 (3.7) | 25–38–255 (6.7) | 63–347 (5.5) | 1–1 | +4 |
| PITTSBURGH | +5.2 | 21.8 | 26 | 20 | 24–106 (4.5) | 24–38–265 (7.0) | 62–371 (6.0) | 0–1 | 20 | 21 | 22–101 (4.6) | 27–41–294 (7.1) | 63–395 (6.3) | 0–0 | -2 |

**PIT is 3–7 SU & 3–6–1 ATS in its L10 games vs. NE**

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9/10/15 | NE -7 | PIT 21 at NE 28 | PUSH | U (51) |
| 11/3/13 | NE -6 | PIT 31 at NE 55 | NE | O (43) |
| 10/30/11 | PIT +3 | NE 17 at PIT 25 | PIT | U (51½) |
| 11/14/10 | PIT -4 | NE 39 at PIT 26 | NE | O (45) |
| 11/30/08 | NE -1 | PIT 33 at NE 10 | PIT | O (39) |
| 12/9/07 | NE -11 | PIT 13 at NE 34 | NE | U (49½) |
| 9/25/05 | PIT -3 | NE 23 at PIT 20 | NE | O (42) |
| 1/23/05 | PIT +2 | NE 41 at PIT 27 | NE | O (38) |
| 10/31/04 | PIT +3 | NE 20 at PIT 34 | PIT | O (42) |
| 9/9/02 | NE +2½ | PIT 14 at NE 30 | NE | O (37½) |

**BETTING SYSTEM:**
Home teams against the total — after a game where they forced 1 or less turnovers against opponent after 3 consecutive game where they committed 1 or less turnovers. (60-26 Under)
Play = Under the total

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**
- NEW ENGLAND is 38-17 ATS vs. teams averaging 260+ passing yards/game. since 1992.
- NEW ENGLAND is 38-18 ATS versus excellent offensive teams - averaging >=6 yards/play since 1992.
- NEW ENGLAND is 14-3 ATS after playing game where 50+ total points were scored over L3 seasons.
- PITTSBURGH is 54-25 ATS vs. teams averaging 7 or more passing yards/att. since 1992.
- PITTSBURGH is 24-8 ATS vs. teams averaging 7.5 or more passing yards/att. since 1992.
- PITTSBURGH is 73-51 ATS as an underdog since 1992.

## statfox Forecaster

**New England Patriots ..... 21**
**Pittsburgh Steelers ..... 24**

## NEW YORK GIANTS (-2½) | VS | LOS ANGELES RAMS

• **(451) NEW YORK GIANTS (SU: 3-3, ATS: 2-4) vs. (452) LOS ANGELES (SU: 3-3, ATS: 3-2-1) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 9:30 AM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| NY GIANTS | -2.5 | 21.2 | 19 | 20 | 21–76 (3.6) | 25–39–288 (7.4) | 60–364 (6.1) | 1–1 | 22 | 21 | 27–97 (3.5) | 25–42–267 (6.3) | 69–364 (5.3) | 0–0 | -10 |
| LOS ANGELES | -4.5 | 21.3 | 18 | 17 | 26–81 (3.1) | 19–31–220 (7.2) | 57–301 (5.3) | 0–1 | 23 | 23 | 28–116 (4.2) | 24–38–246 (6.4) | 66–362 (5.5) | 1–1 | EVEN |

**HEAD-TO-HEAD:** LOS ANGELES is 0–2 SU & 0–2 ATS vs. NY GIANTS since 2009.

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**
- NY GIANTS are 47-19 UNDER away after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games since 1992.
- NY GIANTS are 74-41 UNDER after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games since 1992.
- NY GIANTS are 42-18 UNDER away after having lost 2 out of their last 3 games since 1992.
- LA RAMS is 136-170 ATS when playing with 6 or less days rest since 1992.
- LA RAMS is 18-34 ATS against NFC East division opponents since 1992.
- LA RAMS is 57-85 ATS in games played on a grass field since 1992.

**BETTING SYSTEM:** Any team where the total is between 42.5 and 49 points — in a game involving two average offensive teams (18-23 PPG), after a playing a game where 50 total points or more were scored. (147-82 Under) Play = Under the total

statfox Forecaster | **New York Giants ..... 24**
**Los Angeles Rams ..... 21**

## TAMPA BAY BUCCANEERS (-2) | VS | SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS

• **(471) TAMPA BAY (SU: 2-3, ATS: 2-3) at (472) SAN FRANCISCO (SU: 1-5, ATS: 1-5) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 4:05 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| TAMPA BAY | -9.6 | 23.2 | 19 | 21 | 27–89 (3.3) | 24–42–247 (5.9) | 69–336 (4.9) | 1–2 | 28 | 20 | 28–103 (3.7) | 19–32–263 (8.3) | 60–366 (6.1) | 1–1 | -5 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | -9.7 | 24.5 | 21 | 18 | 32–123 (3.9) | 17–30–170 (5.7) | 62–293 (4.7) | 1–1 | 31 | 21 | 35–174 (5.0) | 19–32–216 (6.6) | 67–390 (5.8) | 1–1 | EVEN |

**HEAD-TO-HEAD:** SAN FRANCISCO is 2–1 SU & 2–1 ATS vs. TAMPA BAY since 2009, which includes a 1–1 ATS mark (1–1 SU) at home.

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**
- TAMPA BAY is 2-12 ATS off a win over a division rival as an underdog of 6 or more point since 1992.
- TAMPA BAY is 18-37 ATS vs. poor passing teams averaging 5.7 or less passing yards/att. since 1992.
- TAMPA BAY is 220-174 UNDER in all lined games since 1992.
- SAN FRANCISCO is 13-2 UNDER at home against conference opponents over the L3 seasons.
- SAN FRANCISCO is 8-0 UNDER at home after allowing 200 or more rushing yards last game since 1992.
- SAN FRANCISCO is 15-4 UNDER in home lined games over the L3 seasons.

**BETTING SYSTEM:** Any team — off a win over a division rival as an underdog of 6 or more points. (6-26 ATS)
Play = SAN FRANCISCO against the spread

statfox Forecaster | **Tampa Bay Bucs ..... 21**
**San Francisco 49ers ..... 24**

## OAKLAND RAIDERS vs JACKSONVILLE JAGUARS (-1)

• **(463) OAKLAND (SU: 4-2, ATS: 3-3) at (464) JACKSONVILLE (SU: 2-3, ATS: 2-2-1) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 1:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| OAKLAND | -1.8 | 19.2 | 25 | 20 | 23–110 (4.8) | 25–38–264 (7.0) | 61–374 (6.1) | 0–0 | 27 | 23 | 28–132 (4.8) | 24–36–313 (8.8) | 64–445 (7.0) | 1–1 | +5 |
| JACKSONVILLE | -5.2 | 17.6 | 20 | 19 | 21–71 (3.3) | 24–39–249 (6.5) | 60–320 (5.3) | 0–1 | 25 | 21 | 27–103 (3.8) | 25–38–218 (5.7) | 65–321 (4.9) | 0–1 | -4 |

**HEAD-TO-HEAD:** JACKSONVILLE is 1–2 SU & 2–1 ATS vs. OAKLAND since 2009, which includes a 1–0 ATS mark (1–0 SU) at home.

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**

- OAKLAND is 8-0 ATS away against conference opponents over the L2 seasons.
- OAKLAND is 20-4 UNDER away off a home loss against a division rival since 1992.
- OAKLAND is 7-0 ATS as a road underdog over the L2 seasons.
- JACKSONVILLE is 1-8 ATS off a non-conference game over the L3 seasons.
- JACKSONVILLE is 9-22 ATS at home after gaining 75 or less rushing yards last game since 1992.
- JACKSONVILLE is 22-38 ATS when playing against a good team (Win Pct. 60% to 75%) since 1992.

**BETTING SYSTEM:** Favorites — after covering the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games, a bad team (25% to 40%) playing a team with a winning record. (42-14 ATS) Play = JACKSONVILLE against the spread

statfox Forecaster | **Oakland Raiders 24** | **Jacksonville Jaguars 27**

## HOUSTON TEXANS vs DENVER BRONCOS (-7½)

• **(477) HOUSTON (SU: 4-2, ATS: 3-2-1) at (478) DENVER (SU: 4-2, ATS: 4-2) - Monday, 10/24/2016 8:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| HOUSTON | -3.2 | 20.2 | 18 | 19 | 27–111 (4.1) | 22–38–216 (5.7) | 65–327 (5.0) | 0–1 | 21 | 17 | 30–126 (4.2) | 17–29–189 (6.5) | 59–315 (5.3) | 0–0 | -4 |
| DENVER | +5.3 | 20.0 | 23 | 20 | 26–98 (3.8) | 22–35–225 (6.4) | 61–323 (5.3) | 1–1 | 18 | 18 | 28–113 (4.0) | 18–33–182 (5.6) | 61–295 (4.8) | 1–1 | +3 |

**HEAD-TO-HEAD:** DENVER is 2–1 SU & 2–1 ATS vs. HOUSTON since 2009, which includes a 1–1 ATS mark (1–1 SU) at home.

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**

- HOUSTON is 7-0 OVER away vs. mistake prone teams - 60+ penalty yards per game over the L3 seasons.
- HOUSTON is 18-7 UNDER away after scoring 7 points or less in the first half in 2 straight games since 1992.
- Bill O'Brien is 12-4 OVER vs. mistake prone teams - 60+ penalty yards per game as coach of HOUSTON.
- DENVER is 58-32 OVER after having lost 2 out of their last 3 games since 1992.
- DENVER is 89-53 OVER after 1 or more consecutive losses since 1992.
- DENVER is 12-4 UNDER as a favorite over the L2 seasons.

**BETTING SYSTEM:** Favorites — off a road loss, good team, winning 60-75% or more of their games on the season. (44-18 ATS) Play = DENVER against the spread

statfox Forecaster | **Houston Texans 14** | **Denver Broncos 21**

## NEW ORLEANS SAINTS vs KANSAS CITY CHIEFS (-7)

• **(455) NEW ORLEANS (SU: 2-3, ATS: 3-2) at (456) KANSAS CITY (SU: 3-2, ATS: 2-3) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 1:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| NEW ORLEANS | -2.6 | 19.6 | 31 | 24 | 23–78 (3.5) | 30–45–335 (7.5) | 68–413 (6.1) | 0–1 | 34 | 25 | 27–118 (4.3) | 26–40–302 (7.6) | 67–420 (6.3) | 1–0 | +2 |
| KANSAS CITY | +1.4 | 20.6 | 22 | 20 | 25–109 (4.4) | 26–38–243 (6.4) | 63–352 (5.6) | 1–0 | 20 | 18 | 26–117 (4.5) | 22–35–237 (6.8) | 61–354 (5.8) | 1–2 | +5 |

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**

- NEW ORLEANS is 11-3 ATS as an underdog over the L3 seasons.
- NEW ORLEANS is 59-38 OVER after gaining 300 or more passing yards in last game since 1992.
- NEW ORLEANS is 25-12 ATS off a home win against a division rival since 1992.
- KANSAS CITY is 6-0 UNDER at home vs. teams averaging 235+ passing yards/game over the L3 seasons.
- KANSAS CITY is 31-15 ATS at home in non-conference games since 1992.
- KANSAS CITY is 15-5 ATS off 1 or more consecutive unders over the L3 seasons.

**BETTING SYSTEM:** Road teams against the total — after going over the total by 49 or more points total in their last five games, with a losing record. (38-13 Under) Play = Under the total

statfox Forecaster | **New Orleans Saints 20** | **Kansas City Chiefs 30**

## SAN DIEGO CHARGERS vs ATLANTA FALCONS (-6½)

• **(469) SAN DIEGO (SU: 2-4, ATS: 4-2) at (470) ATLANTA (SU: 4-2, ATS: 5-1) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 4:05 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| SAN DIEGO | +3.0 | 18.0 | 29 | 20 | 26–92 (3.6) | 22–34–264 (7.8) | 60–356 (5.9) | 2–0 | 26 | 21 | 22–84 (3.8) | 28–44–280 (6.4) | 66–364 (5.5) | 1–1 | -2 |
| ATLANTA | +5.5 | 20.7 | 33 | 23 | 26–112 (4.3) | 24–35–330 (9.4) | 61–442 (7.2) | 0–0 | 28 | 24 | 24–94 (4.0) | 29–42–285 (6.8) | 66–379 (5.7) | 0–1 | +1 |

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**

- SAN DIEGO is 7-0 ATS off a division game over the L2 seasons.
- SAN DIEGO is 21-6 OVER away vs. awful passing defenses - allowing a comp. pct. of 64% or worse since 1992.
- SAN DIEGO is 7-0 ATS away after the first month of the season over the L2 seasons.
- ATLANTA is 13-1 UNDER after the first month of the season over the L2 seasons.
- ATLANTA is 2-15 ATS at home after 3 or more consecutive wins against the spread since 1992.
- ATLANTA is 1-9 ATS as a favorite over the L2 seasons.

**BETTING SYSTEM:** Favorites — off a road loss, good team, winning 60-75% or more of their games on the season. (44-18 ATS) Play = ATLANTA against the spread

statfox Forecaster | **San Diego Chargers 23** | **Atlanta Falcons 25**

## BALTIMORE RAVENS | vs | NEW YORK JETS (-1)

• **(467) BALTIMORE (SU: 3-3, ATS: 2-3-1) at (468) NEW YORK JETS (SU: 1-5, ATS: 1-4-1) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 1:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| BALTIMORE | +0.3 | 16.8 | 20 | 20 | 24–99 (4.0) | 28–44–248 (5.6) | 68–347 (5.1) | 1–1 | 19 | 15 | 21–70 (3.4) | 24–36–225 (6.3) | 57–295 (5.2) | 0–1 | +3 |
| NY JETS (thru Week 6) | -8.8 | 26.8 | 18 | 21 | 25–104 (4.2) | 22–38–247 (6.4) | 63–351 (5.6) | 1–2 | 27 | 21 | 23–68 (3.0) | 25–35–302 (8.7) | 58–370 (6.4) | 0–0 | -9 |

**HEAD-TO-HEAD:** NY JETS are 0–3 SU & 0–3 ATS vs. BALTIMORE since 2009, which includes a 0–1 ATS mark (0–1 SU) at home.

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**

- BALTIMORE is 7-0 ATS after a game with a turnover margin of +2 or better over the L3 seasons.
- BALTIMORE is 47-29 ATS after a playing a game where 50 total points or more were scored since 1992.
- John Harbaugh is 11-2 OVER away after allowing 75 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games as coach of BALTIMORE.
- NY JETS are 0-6 ATS versus good rushing defenses - allowing <=90 rushing yards/game over the L3 seasons.
- NY JETS are 4-13 against the 1H line in games where the line is +3 to -3 over the L3 seasons.
- NY JETS are 76-103 against the 1H line in the first half of the season since 1992.

**BETTING SYSTEM:** Home teams where the line is +3 to -3 — off 1 or more consecutive unders, poor offensive team — scoring 17 or less points/game. (20-50 ATS)
Play = BALTIMORE against the spread

statfox Forecaster | **Baltimore Ravens 16** | **New York Jets 25**

## WASHINGTON REDSKINS | vs | DETROIT LIONS (-1½)

• **(457) WASHINGTON (SU: 4-2, ATS: 4-2) at (458) DETROIT (SU: 3-3, ATS: 2-3-1) - Sunday, 10/23/2016 1:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| WASHINGTON | EVEN | 19.8 | 24 | 22 | 23–110 (4.8) | 25–38–277 (7.3) | 61–387 (6.3) | 0–1 | 24 | 22 | 25–124 (5.0) | 24–36–242 (6.8) | 61–366 (6.0) | 1–1 | +1 |
| DETROIT | -0.5 | 17.2 | 25 | 22 | 24–89 (3.8) | 24–35–261 (7.4) | 59–350 (5.9) | 0–1 | 26 | 21 | 23–108 (4.7) | 25–34–271 (7.9) | 57–379 (6.6) | 0–0 | -1 |

**HEAD-TO-HEAD:** DETROIT is 3–0 SU & 3–0 ATS vs. WASHINGTON since 2009, which includes a 2–0 ATS mark (2–0 SU) at home.

**STATFOX POWER TRENDS:**

- WASHINGTON is 14-3 OVER after 1 or more consecutive wins against the spread over the L3 seasons.
- WASHINGTON is 19-5 ATS away vs. excellent punt return teams, more than 12 yards per return since 1992.
- WASHINGTON is 7-0 ATS versus poor defensive teams - allowing >=350 yards/game over the L2 seasons.
- DETROIT is 8-0 OVER at home against conference opponents over the L2 seasons.
- DETROIT is 14-3 OVER after gaining 99 or less rushing yards in 4 straight games since 1992.
- DETROIT is 50-26 OVER vs. poor kickoff coverage teams, allowing >= 24 yards per return since 1992.

**BETTING SYSTEM:** Any team — off an upset win over a division rival as a home underdog, with a winning record on the season. (30-75 ATS)
Play = DETROIT against the spread

statfox Forecaster | **Washington Redskins 26** | **Detroit Lions 27**

## statfox TOP-RATED NFL SUPER SITUATIONS

***Situational analysis uncovers conditions and patterns in which teams have consistently outperformed or underperformed their normal levels. These systems are not team specific—the principle is that teams fall into patterns regardless of personnel (i.e. good passing teams, teams seeking revenge, etc.).***

• **Play Against -** Any team (WASHINGTON) - off an upset win over a division rival as a home underdog, with a winning record on the season.

• **The situation's record** is 75-30 since 1983 (71.4%, +42 units).

**RATING = ***

• **Play Against -** Home teams where the line is +3 to -3 (TENNESSEE) - in conference games, off a no-cover where the team won as a favorite.

• **The situation's record** is 28-6 over the last 10 seasons (82.4%, +21.4 units).

**RATING = ***

• **Play On -** Favorites (JACKSONVILLE) - after covering the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games, a bad team (25% to 40%) playing a team with a winning record.

• **The situation's record** is 42-14 since 1983 (75.0%, +26.6 units).

**RATING = ***

## statfox TOP-RATED NFL TEAM POWER TRENDS

***The Team Power Trends uncover situations where a team outperforms or underperforms their normal level of play. Unlike the Super Situations, all trend records listed apply to the team in question. These trends are great indicators of how teams react to certain situations (i.e. coming off a close win, after a loss, etc.)***

• **MINNESOTA** is 10-0 ATS since the start of last season after having played its previous game at home.

**The average score** was MINNESOTA 27.1, OPPONENT 15.6

PLAY ON MINNESOTA
**RATING = *****

• **ATLANTA** is 2-15 ATS (-14.5 Units) in home games after 3 or more consecutive wins against the spread since 1992.

**The average score** was ATLANTA 19.9, OPPONENT 24.8

PLAY ON SAN DIEGO
**RATING = ***

• **CINCINNATI** is 15-2 ATS (+12.8 Units) after allowing 350 or more passing yards in their last game since 1992.

**The average score** was CINCINNATI 27.9, OPPONENT 16.4

PLAY ON CINCINNATI
**RATING = ***

# Top NFL Statistical Edges - Week 7

Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success.

| OFFENSIVE STATISTICS | |
|---|---|
| **Points Scored (PPG)** | **W/L** |
| 1. NEW ORLEANS (vs KC): +9.2 | |
| 2. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +7.3 | |
| 3. INDIANAPOLIS (vs TEN): +6.7 | |
| 4. GREEN BAY (vs CHI): +6.0 | |
| 5. DENVER (vs HOU): +5.3 | |
| 6. OAKLAND (vs JAC): +5.1 | |
| 7. ATLANTA (vs SD): +4.3 | |

| DEFENSIVE STATISTICS | |
|---|---|
| **Points Allowed (PPG)** | **W/L** |
| 1. KANSAS CITY (vs NO): +13.2 | |
| 2. BALTIMORE (vs NYJ): +8.0 | |
| 3. TENNESSEE (vs IND): +7.8 | |
| 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs PIT): +5.3 | |
| 5. CINCINNATI (vs CLE): +5.2 | |
| 6. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +5.2 | |
| 7. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +4.6 | |

| OFF/DEF DIFFERENTIALS | |
|---|---|
| **Scoring Differential (PPG)** | **W/L** |
| 1. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +12.5 | |
| 2. BALTIMORE (vs NYJ): +9.1 | |
| 3. DENVER (vs HOU): +8.5 | |
| 4. GREEN BAY (vs CHI): +7.2 | |
| 5. NEW ENGLAND (vs PIT): +4.5 | |
| 6. CINCINNATI (vs CLE): +4.5 | |
| 7. KANSAS CITY (vs NO): +4.0 | |

| **Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +69.0 | |
| 2. TENNESSEE (vs IND): +44.8 | |
| 3. PHILADELPHIA (vs MIN): +43.0 | |
| 4. OAKLAND (vs JAC): +39.2 | |
| 5. SAN FRANCISCO (vs TB): +34.7 | |
| 6. KANSAS CITY (vs NO): +30.8 | |
| 7. ARIZONA (vs SEA): +25.4 | |

| **Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. TAMPA BAY (vs SF): +71.3 | |
| 2. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +43.8 | |
| 3. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +43.6 | |
| 4. GREEN BAY (vs CHI): +35.3 | |
| 5. JACKSONVILLE (vs OAK): +29.2 | |
| 6. TENNESSEE (vs IND): +29.2 | |
| 7. MINNESOTA (vs PHI): +26.8 | |

| **Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +112.8 | |
| 2. TENNESSEE (vs IND): +74.0 | |
| 3. GREEN BAY (vs CHI): +50.3 | |
| 4. TAMPA BAY (vs SF): +36.6 | |
| 5. KANSAS CITY (vs NO): +32.0 | |
| 6. NEW ENGLAND (vs PIT): +22.7 | |
| 7. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +18.2 | |

| **Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. PHILADELPHIA (vs MIN): +1.7 | |
| 2. OAKLAND (vs JAC): +1.5 | |
| 3. CLEVELAND (vs CIN): +1.2 | |
| 4. ARIZONA (vs SEA): +1.2 | |
| 5. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +1.0 | |
| 6. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +1.0 | |
| 7. KANSAS CITY (vs NO): +1.0 | |

| **Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. TAMPA BAY (vs SF): +1.3 | |
| 2. MINNESOTA (vs PHI): +1.1 | |
| 3. JACKSONVILLE (vs OAK): +1.0 | |
| 4. NEW ENGLAND (vs PIT): +0.9 | |
| 5. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +0.9 | |
| 6. GREEN BAY (vs CHI): +0.8 | |
| 7. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +0.7 | |

| **Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +1.8 | |
| 2. CLEVELAND (vs CIN): +1.4 | |
| 3. TENNESSEE (vs IND): +1.4 | |
| 4. NY GIANTS (vs LA): +1.1 | |
| 5. KANSAS CITY (vs NO): +0.8 | |
| 6. TAMPA BAY (vs SF): +0.8 | |
| 7. GREEN BAY (vs CHI): +0.7 | |

| **Passing Yards Gained (PYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. NEW ORLEANS (vs KC): +92.2 | |
| 2. TAMPA BAY (vs SF): +77.7 | |
| 3. NY GIANTS (vs LA): +67.3 | |
| 4. ATLANTA (vs SD): +65.5 | |
| 5. MIAMI (vs BUF): +64.3 | |
| 6. CHICAGO (vs GB): +58.4 | |
| 7. INDIANAPOLIS (vs TEN): +42.8 | |

| **Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. JACKSONVILLE (vs OAK): +94.3 | |
| 2. BALTIMORE (vs NYJ): +77.2 | |
| 3. KANSAS CITY (vs NO): +65.0 | |
| 4. TENNESSEE (vs IND): +58.7 | |
| 5. SAN FRANCISCO (vs TB): +47.7 | |
| 6. CINCINNATI (vs CLE): +38.5 | |
| 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs PIT): +38.3 | |

| **Passing Yds Differential (PYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. CHICAGO (vs GB): +91.1 | |
| 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs OAK): +79.4 | |
| 3. BALTIMORE (vs NYJ): +78.0 | |
| 4. CINCINNATI (vs CLE): +76.2 | |
| 5. MIAMI (vs BUF): +69.3 | |
| 6. ATLANTA (vs SD): +59.8 | |
| 7. NY GIANTS (vs LA): +46.7 | |

| **Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. ATLANTA (vs SD): +1.6 | |
| 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs PIT): +1.4 | |
| 3. MIAMI (vs BUF): +1.4 | |
| 4. NEW ORLEANS (vs KC): +1.1 | |
| 5. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +1.0 | |
| 6. CHICAGO (vs GB): +1.0 | |
| 7. CINCINNATI (vs CLE): +0.9 | |

| **Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. JACKSONVILLE (vs OAK): +3.0 | |
| 2. BALTIMORE (vs NYJ): +2.5 | |
| 3. SAN FRANCISCO (vs TB): +1.6 | |
| 4. MINNESOTA (vs PHI): +1.3 | |
| 5. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +1.2 | |
| 6. TENNESSEE (vs IND): +0.9 | |
| 7. DENVER (vs HOU): +0.9 | |

| **Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. JACKSONVILLE (vs OAK): +2.5 | |
| 2. NEW ENGLAND (vs PIT): +1.8 | |
| 3. MIAMI (vs BUF): +1.8 | |
| 4. CHICAGO (vs GB): +1.7 | |
| 5. BALTIMORE (vs NYJ): +1.6 | |
| 6. DENVER (vs HOU): +1.6 | |
| 7. MINNESOTA (vs PHI): +1.5 | |

| **Total Yards Gained (TYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. ATLANTA (vs SD): +85.7 | |
| 2. NY GIANTS (vs LA): +62.5 | |
| 3. NEW ORLEANS (vs KC): +61.4 | |
| 4. OAKLAND (vs JAC): +54.0 | |
| 5. CHICAGO (vs GB): +43.4 | |
| 6. TAMPA BAY (vs SF): +43.0 | |
| 7. WASHINGTON (vs DET): +37.8 | |

| **Total Yards Allowed (TYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. JACKSONVILLE (vs OAK): +123.4 | |
| 2. TENNESSEE (vs IND): +87.8 | |
| 3. BALTIMORE (vs NYJ): +76.0 | |
| 4. KANSAS CITY (vs NO): +66.2 | |
| 5. CINCINNATI (vs CLE): +48.3 | |
| 6. NEW ENGLAND (vs PIT): +47.5 | |
| 7. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +38.8 | |

| **Total Yds Differential (TYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. TENNESSEE (vs IND): +89.8 | |
| 2. BALTIMORE (vs NYJ): +71.2 | |
| 3. JACKSONVILLE (vs OAK): +69.4 | |
| 4. ATLANTA (vs SD): +69.3 | |
| 5. TAMPA BAY (vs SF): +66.6 | |
| 6. CINCINNATI (vs CLE): +65.2 | |
| 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs PIT): +64.0 | |

| **Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. ATLANTA (vs SD): +1.2 | |
| 2. CHICAGO (vs GB): +0.8 | |
| 3. OAKLAND (vs JAC): +0.8 | |
| 4. PHILADELPHIA (vs MIN): +0.7 | |
| 5. NY GIANTS (vs LA): +0.7 | |
| 6. NEW ORLEANS (vs KC): +0.5 | |
| 7. NY JETS (vs BAL): +0.5 | |

| **Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. JACKSONVILLE (vs OAK): +2.0 | |
| 2. BALTIMORE (vs NYJ): +1.6 | |
| 3. BUFFALO (vs MIA): +1.3 | |
| 4. TENNESSEE (vs IND): +1.2 | |
| 5. CINCINNATI (vs CLE): +1.1 | |
| 6. TAMPA BAY (vs SF): +1.0 | |
| 7. NEW ENGLAND (vs PIT): +0.9 | |

| **Yards Per Play Differential (YPP)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. TENNESSEE (vs IND): +1.4 | |
| 2. JACKSONVILLE (vs OAK): +1.1 | |
| 3. ATLANTA (vs SD): +1.1 | |
| 4. TAMPA BAY (vs SF): +1.1 | |
| 5. CINCINNATI (vs CLE): +1.1 | |
| 6. NY GIANTS (vs LA): +1.1 | |
| 7. BALTIMORE (vs NYJ): +1.1 | |

# WANNA PLAY?


## FIND THE RIGHT SHOPS...
## FROM THE RIGHT SOURCE!

OFF SHORE GAMING ASSOCIATION

OSGA

WWW.OSGA.COM

## statfox PRESENTS THE WEEK'S STAFF PICKS

| WEEK 8 COLLEGE FOOTBALL MATCHUPS: OCTOBER 19 - 22 | | StatFox DAVE | StatFox GARY | StatFox SCOTT | StatFox BRIAN | StatFox FORECASTER | StatFox CONSENSUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| • (303) MIAMI FLORIDA at (304) VIRGINIA TECH | -5 | MIAMI FLORIDA | VIRGINIA TECH | MIAMI FLORIDA | VIRGINIA TECH | MIAMI FLORIDA | MIAMI FLORIDA |
| • (307) BRIGHAM YOUNG at (308) BOISE STATE | -7 | BRIGHAM YOUNG | BOISE STATE | BRIGHAM YOUNG | BOISE STATE | BOISE STATE | BOISE STATE |
| • (309) SOUTH FLORIDA at (310) TEMPLE | +6½ | SOUTH FLORIDA | TEMPLE | TEMPLE | CLICK FOR BEST BET | SOUTH FLORIDA | |
| • (313) SAN JOSE STATE at (314) SAN DIEGO STATE | -23½ | CLICK FOR BEST BET | SAN JOSE STATE | SAN JOSE STATE | SAN DIEGO STATE | SAN JOSE STATE | SAN JOSE STATE |
| • (325) TCU at (326) WEST VIRGINIA | -5 | TCU | WEST VIRGINIA | WEST VIRGINIA | WEST VIRGINIA | WEST VIRGINIA | WEST VIRGINIA |
| • (333) WISCONSIN at (334) IOWA | +3 | IOWA | WISCONSIN | WISCONSIN | CLICK FOR BEST BET | WISCONSIN | WISCONSIN |
| • (337) NC STATE at (338) LOUISVILLE | -20 | NC STATE | LOUISVILLE | NC STATE | LOUISVILLE | LOUISVILLE | LOUISVILLE |
| • (363) WASHINGTON ST at (364) ARIZONA STATE | +7 | WASHINGTON STATE | WASHINGTON STATE | ARIZONA STATE | WASHINGTON STATE | WASHINGTON STATE | WASHINGTON STATE |
| • (365) OKLAHOMA at (366) TEXAS TECH | +13½ | OKLAHOMA | OKLAHOMA | CLICK FOR BEST BET | CLICK FOR BEST BET | TEXAS TECH | |
| • (367) ILLINOIS at (368) MICHIGAN | -35 | MICHIGAN | ILLINOIS | ILLINOIS | ILLINOIS | ILLINOIS | ILLINOIS |
| • (387) TEXAS at (388) KANSAS STATE | -3 | CLICK FOR BEST BET | KANSAS STATE | CLICK FOR BEST BET | TEXAS | KANSAS STATE | |
| • (389) COLORADO at (390) STANFORD | -2 | STANFORD | STANFORD | COLORADO | CLICK FOR BEST BET | COLORADO | |
| • (399) MISSISSIPPI at (400) LSU | -5½ | LSU | CLICK FOR BEST BET | MISSISSIPPI | MISSISSIPPI | MISSISSIPPI | MISSISSIPPI |
| • (401) ARKANSAS at (402) AUBURN | -9½ | AUBURN | CLICK FOR BEST BET | ARKANSAS | ARKANSAS | AUBURN | |
| • (403) OHIO STATE at (404) PENN STATE | +19½ | PENN STATE | PENN STATE | PENN STATE | PENN STATE | OHIO STATE | PENN STATE |
| • (405) TEXAS A&M at (406) ALABAMA | -17 | ALABAMA | ALABAMA | TEXAS A&M | ALABAMA | TEXAS A&M | ALABAMA |



### 2016 COLLEGE FOOTBALL STAFF PICKS STANDINGS

| Featured Games | W | L | T | Pct | Last Week |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| StatFox Brian | 53 | 52 | 6 | 50.5% | 6-9-1 |
| StatFox Scott | 51 | 54 | 6 | 48.6% | 8-7-1 |
| StatFox Forecaster | 50 | 55 | 6 | 47.6% | 4-11-1 |
| StatFox Consensus | 49 | 56 | 6 | 46.7% | 5-10-1 |
| StatFox Gary | 45 | 60 | 6 | 42.9% | 6-9-1 |
| StatFox Dave | 41 | 64 | 6 | 39.0% | 4-11-1 |

| CFB ATS Best Bets | W | L | T | Pct | Last Week |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| StatFox Scott | 31 | 21 | 2 | 59.6% | 5-3 |
| StatFox Gary | 31 | 26 | 3 | 54.4% | 6-3-1 |
| StatFox Brian | 12 | 22 | 2 | 35.3% | 1-3 |
| StatFox Dave | 11 | 29 | 0 | 27.5% | 0-6 |

# StatFox College Football Power Rating Lines - Week 8

** The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

*ABBREVIATIONS:*

OL - Opening Line

PR - StatFox Power Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

| Thu - 10/20,7:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 303 MIAMI | | 49 | | **3** | |
| 304 VIRGINIA TECH | -4 | 50 | -1 | | |

| Fri - 10/21,7:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 309 S FLORIDA | -7 | 46 | -7 | | |
| 310 TEMPLE | | 39 | | **0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 315 NORTH TEXAS | | 17 | | | |
| 316 ARMY | -17 | 34 | -17 | **0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 321 RUTGERS | | 21 | | | |
| 322 MINNESOTA | | 41 | -20 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 327 INDIANA | | 38 | | **3.5** | |
| 328 NORTHWESTERN | -4.5 | 39 | -1 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 333 WISCONSIN | -3 | 52 | -9 | **6** | |
| 334 IOWA | | 43 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 339 C MICHIGAN | | 30 | | | |
| 340 TOLEDO | -10 | 47 | -17 | **7** | |

| Sat - 10/22,2:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 345 HAWAII | | 17 | | | |
| 346 AIR FORCE | -16.5 | 39 | -22 | **5.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 351 MASSACHUSETTS | | 15 | | | |
| 352 S CAROLINA | -21 | 39 | -24 | **3** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 357 BUFFALO | | 15 | | **4.5** | |
| 358 N ILLINOIS | -19.5 | 30 | -15 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,10:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 363 WASHINGTON ST | -7 | 47 | -3 | | |
| 364 ARIZONA ST | | 44 | | **4** | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 369 HOUSTON | -21 | 50 | -27 | **6** | |
| 370 SMU | | 23 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:45 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 375 TULANE | | 22 | | | |
| 376 TULSA | -11.5 | 35 | -13 | **1.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 381 MISSISSIPPI ST | -3.5 | 39 | -5 | **1.5** | |
| 382 KENTUCKY | | 34 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 387 TEXAS | | 42 | | | |
| 388 KANSAS ST | -2.5 | 49 | -7 | **4.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,4:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 393 MIDDLE TENN ST | | 38 | | **2** | |
| 394 MISSOURI | -6 | 42 | -4 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,9:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 399 OLE MISS | | 53 | | | |
| 400 LSU | -4 | 60 | -7 | **3** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 405 TEXAS A&M | | 55 | | | |
| 406 ALABAMA | -16.5 | 75 | -20 | **3.5** | |

| Thu - 10/20,7:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 305 TROY | -8.5 | 35 | -10 | **1.5** | |
| 306 S ALABAMA | | 25 | | | |

| Fri - 10/21,10:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 311 OREGON | | 40 | | **2** | |
| 312 CALIFORNIA | -3 | 41 | -1 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 317 E MICHIGAN | | 21 | | | |
| 318 W MICHIGAN | -22.5 | 53 | -32 | **9.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 323 E CAROLINA | | 31 | | **1** | |
| 324 CINCINNATI | -3 | 33 | -2 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 329 PURDUE | | 24 | | **0.5** | |
| 330 NEBRASKA | -24.5 | 48 | -24 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 335 N CAROLINA | -10 | 46 | -8 | | |
| 336 VIRGINIA | | 38 | | **2** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 341 AKRON | | 26 | -1 | **3** | |
| 342 BALL ST | -2 | 25 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 347 LA LAFAYETTE | -6.5 | 14 | | | |
| 348 TEXAS ST | | 16 | -2 | **8.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,5:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 353 CHARLOTTE | | 5 | | | |
| 354 MARSHALL | -18.5 | 34 | -29 | **10.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 359 UCF | -2 | 23 | | | |
| 360 CONNECTICUT | | 30 | -7 | **9** | |

| Sat - 10/22,8:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 365 OKLAHOMA | -13.5 | 54 | -14 | **0.5** | |
| 366 TEXAS TECH | | 40 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 371 MEMPHIS | -1.5 | 43 | | | |
| 372 NAVY | | 48 | -5 | **6.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,6:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 377 OREGON ST | | 26 | | | |
| 378 WASHINGTON | -31.5 | 64 | -38 | **6.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 383 UTEP | | 11 | | | |
| 384 UTSA | -9.5 | 23 | -12 | **2.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 389 COLORADO | | 48 | | | |
| 390 STANFORD | -3.5 | 56 | -8 | **4.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,8:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 395 GA SOUTHERN | -14 | 33 | -24 | **10** | |
| 396 NEW MEXICO ST | | 9 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,6:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 401 ARKANSAS | | 49 | | **1.5** | |
| 402 AUBURN | -7.5 | 55 | -6 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,5:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 407 COLORADO ST | | 26 | | **2** | |
| 408 UNLV | -2 | 26 | 0 | | |

| Thu - 10/20,10:15 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 307 BYU | | 49 | | **6.5** | |
| 308 BOISE ST | -7.5 | 50 | -1 | | |

| Fri - 10/21,10:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 313 SAN JOSE ST | | 18 | | | |
| 314 SAN DIEGO ST | -22 | 47 | -29 | **7** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 319 SYRACUSE | | 31 | | **1** | |
| 320 BOSTON COLLEGE | -4 | 34 | -3 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 325 TCU | | 46 | | | |
| 326 W VIRGINIA | -4.5 | 54 | -8 | **3.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 331 OKLAHOMA ST | -25 | 41 | -21 | | |
| 332 KANSAS | | 20 | | **4** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 337 NC STATE | | 45 | | **4.5** | |
| 338 LOUISVILLE | -16.5 | 57 | -12 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 343 LOUISIANA TECH | -14 | 39 | -23 | **9** | |
| 344 FLA INTERNATION | | 16 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 349 IDAHO | | 18 | | **3** | |
| 350 APPALACHIAN ST | -20 | 35 | -17 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,9:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 355 LA MONROE | | 12 | | | |
| 356 NEW MEXICO | -12.5 | 28 | -16 | **3.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,1:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 361 OHIO U | -3 | 23 | -2 | | |
| 362 KENT ST | | 21 | | **1** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 367 ILLINOIS | | 31 | | **1.5** | |
| 368 MICHIGAN | -34.5 | 64 | -33 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,10:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 373 WYOMING | -3.5 | 24 | | | |
| 374 NEVADA | | 26 | -2 | **5.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 379 MIAMI OHIO | | 19 | | | |
| 380 BOWLING GREEN | -4 | 28 | -9 | **5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 385 OLD DOMINION | | 22 | | | |
| 386 W KENTUCKY | -14 | 46 | -24 | **10** | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 391 MICHIGAN ST | | 38 | -5 | | |
| 392 MARYLAND | | 33 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,4:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 397 UTAH | | 45 | | | |
| 398 UCLA | | 51 | -6 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,8:00 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 403 OHIO ST | -18.5 | 64 | -23 | **4.5** | |
| 404 PENN ST | | 41 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,10:30 PM | OL | PR | PRL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 409 FRESNO ST | | 18 | | | |
| 410 UTAH ST | -14 | 37 | -19 | **5** | |

# Statfox College Football Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 8

** The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

*ABBREVIATIONS:*

OL - Opening Line

OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Line

| Thu - 10/20,7:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 303 MIAMI | | 39 | | **2.0** | |
| 304 VIRGINIA TECH | -4 | 41 | -2 | | |

| Fri - 10/21,7:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 309 S FLORIDA | -7 | 36 | | | |
| 310 TEMPLE | | 36 | 0 | **7.0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 315 NORTH TEXAS | | 15 | | | |
| 316 ARMY | -17 | 33 | -18 | **1.0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 321 RUTGERS | | 18 | | | |
| 322 MINNESOTA | | 36 | -18 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 327 INDIANA | | 35 | | **0.5** | |
| 328 NORTHWESTERN | -4.5 | 39 | -4 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 333 WISCONSIN | -3 | 50 | -14 | **11.0** | |
| 334 IOWA | | 36 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 339 C MICHIGAN | | 27 | | | |
| 340 TOLEDO | -10 | 39 | -12 | **2.0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,2:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 345 HAWAII | | 15 | | | |
| 346 AIR FORCE | -16.5 | 37 | -22 | **5.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 351 MASSACHUSETTS | | 15 | | **12.0** | |
| 352 S CAROLINA | -21 | 24 | -9 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 357 BUFFALO | | 14 | | **4.5** | |
| 358 N ILLINOIS | -19.5 | 29 | -15 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,10:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 363 WASHINGTON ST | -7 | 40 | -7 | | |
| 364 ARIZONA ST | | 33 | | **0.0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 369 HOUSTON | -21 | 42 | -20 | | |
| 370 SMU | | 22 | | **1.0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:45 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 375 TULANE | | 25 | | **2.5** | |
| 376 TULSA | -11.5 | 34 | -9 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 381 MISSISSIPPI ST | -3.5 | 23 | | | |
| 382 KENTUCKY | | 26 | -3 | **6.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 387 TEXAS | | 29 | | | |
| 388 KANSAS ST | -2.5 | 35 | -6 | **3.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,4:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 393 MIDDLE TENN ST | | 26 | | **1.0** | |
| 394 MISSOURI | -6 | 31 | -5 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,9:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 399 OLE MISS | | 40 | | | |
| 400 LSU | -4 | 48 | -8 | **4.0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 405 TEXAS A&M | | 40 | | | |
| 406 ALABAMA | -16.5 | 63 | -23 | **6.5** | |

| Thu - 10/20,7:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 305 TROY | -8.5 | 36 | -12 | **3.5** | |
| 306 S ALABAMA | | 24 | | | |

| Fri - 10/21,10:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 311 OREGON | | 28 | | **1.0** | |
| 312 CALIFORNIA | -3 | 30 | -2 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 317 E MICHIGAN | | 22 | | | |
| 318 W MICHIGAN | -22.5 | 48 | -26 | **3.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 323 E CAROLINA | | 21 | | | |
| 324 CINCINNATI | -3 | 29 | -8 | **5.0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 329 PURDUE | | 19 | | | |
| 330 NEBRASKA | -24.5 | 44 | -25 | **0.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 335 N CAROLINA | -10 | 30 | -3 | | |
| 336 VIRGINIA | | 27 | | **7.0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 341 AKRON | | 23 | | **2.0** | |
| 342 BALL ST | -2 | 23 | 0 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 347 LA LAFAYETTE | -6.5 | 15 | -5 | | |
| 348 TEXAS ST | | 10 | | **1.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,5:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 353 CHARLOTTE | | 9 | | **8.5** | |
| 354 MARSHALL | -18.5 | 19 | -10 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 359 UCF | -2 | 33 | -9 | **7.0** | |
| 360 CONNECTICUT | | 24 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,8:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 365 OKLAHOMA | -13.5 | 44 | -12 | | |
| 366 TEXAS TECH | | 32 | | **1.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 371 MEMPHIS | -1.5 | 41 | -2 | **0.5** | |
| 372 NAVY | | 39 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,6:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 377 OREGON ST | | 21 | | **0.5** | |
| 378 WASHINGTON | -31.5 | 52 | -31 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 383 UTEP | | 5 | | | |
| 384 UTSA | -9.5 | 26 | -21 | **11.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 389 COLORADO | | 44 | -5 | **8.5** | |
| 390 STANFORD | -3.5 | 39 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,8:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 395 GA SOUTHERN | -14 | 20 | -16 | **2.0** | |
| 396 NEW MEXICO ST | | 4 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,6:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 401 ARKANSAS | | 35 | | | |
| 402 AUBURN | -7.5 | 50 | -15 | **7.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,5:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 407 COLORADO ST | | 23 | -3 | **5.0** | |
| 408 UNLV | -2 | 20 | | | |

| Thu - 10/20,10:15 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 307 BYU | | 34 | | | |
| 308 BOISE ST | -7.5 | 45 | -11 | **3.5** | |

| Fri - 10/21,10:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 313 SAN JOSE ST | | 8 | | | |
| 314 SAN DIEGO ST | -22 | 32 | -24 | **2.0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 319 SYRACUSE | | 23 | -1 | **5.0** | |
| 320 BOSTON COLLEGE | -4 | 22 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 325 TCU | | 29 | | | |
| 326 W VIRGINIA | -4.5 | 45 | -16 | **11.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 331 OKLAHOMA ST | -25 | 31 | -15 | | |
| 332 KANSAS | | 16 | | **10.0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 337 NC STATE | | 37 | | | |
| 338 LOUISVILLE | -16.5 | 58 | -21 | **4.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 343 LOUISIANA TECH | -14 | 28 | -18 | **4.0** | |
| 344 FLA INTERNATION | | 10 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 349 IDAHO | | 21 | | **8.0** | |
| 350 APPALACHIAN ST | -20 | 33 | -12 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,9:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 355 LA MONROE | | 7 | | **1.5** | |
| 356 NEW MEXICO | -12.5 | 18 | -11 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,1:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 361 OHIO U | -3 | 18 | | | |
| 362 KENT ST | | 18 | 0 | **3.0** | |

| Sat - 10/22,3:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 367 ILLINOIS | | 20 | | | |
| 368 MICHIGAN | -34.5 | 71 | -51 | **16.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,10:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 373 WYOMING | -3.5 | 26 | -10 | **6.5** | |
| 374 NEVADA | | 16 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,12:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 379 MIAMI OHIO | | 19 | -1 | **5.0** | |
| 380 BOWLING GREEN | -4 | 18 | | | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 385 OLD DOMINION | | 26 | | **7.0** | |
| 386 W KENTUCKY | -14 | 33 | -7 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,7:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 391 MICHIGAN ST | | 20 | | | |
| 392 MARYLAND | | 33 | -13 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,4:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 397 UTAH | | 30 | | | |
| 398 UCLA | | 37 | -7 | | |

| Sat - 10/22,8:00 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 403 OHIO ST | -18.5 | 55 | -13 | | |
| 404 PENN ST | | 42 | | **5.5** | |

| Sat - 10/22,10:30 PM | OL | OF | OFL | Edge | W/L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 409 FRESNO ST | | 15 | | **5.0** | |
| 410 UTAH ST | -14 | 24 | -9 | | |

# Top College Football Statistical Edges - Week 8



Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success.

| OFFENSIVE STATISTICS | |
|---|---|
| **Points Scored (PPG)** | **W/L** |
| 1. WASHINGTON (vs ORS): +24.3 | |
| 2. MICHIGAN (vs ILL): +24.0 | |
| 3. LOUISVILLE (vs NCS): +21.2 | |
| 4. LOUISIANA TECH (vs FIU): +20.3 | |
| 5. COLORADO (vs STA): +20.0 | |
| 6. HOUSTON (vs SMU): +19.5 | |
| 7. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +18.8 | |

| DEFENSIVE STATISTICS | |
|---|---|
| **Points Allowed (PPG)** | **W/L** |
| 1. GA SOUTHERN (vs NMS): +21.3 | |
| 2. MIAMI OHIO (vs BG): +18.7 | |
| 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs IDA): +16.9 | |
| 4. WASHINGTON (vs ORS): +16.7 | |
| 5. MINNESOTA (vs RUT): +16.4 | |
| 6. LSU (vs MIS): +16.3 | |
| 7. NEBRASKA (vs PUR): +16.0 | |

| OFF/DEF DIFFERENTIALS | |
|---|---|
| **Scoring Differential (PPG)** | **W/L** |
| 1. WASHINGTON (vs ORS): +41.0 | |
| 2. MICHIGAN (vs ILL): +39.8 | |
| 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +34.5 | |
| 4. HOUSTON (vs SMU): +33.2 | |
| 5. MINNESOTA (vs RUT): +30.3 | |
| 6. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +25.7 | |
| 7. GA SOUTHERN (vs NMS): +24.7 | |

| **Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. ARMY (vs NTX): +220.5 | |
| 2. NEW MEXICO (vs LAM): +173.7 | |
| 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +148.3 | |
| 4. GA SOUTHERN (vs NMS): +143.5 | |
| 5. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +121.3 | |
| 6. LOUISVILLE (vs NCS): +119.5 | |
| 7. MARYLAND (vs MSY): +113.8 | |

| **Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. SAN DIEGO ST (vs SJS): +130.3 | |
| 2. MINNESOTA (vs RUT): +130.2 | |
| 3. NEBRASKA (vs PUR): +123.7 | |
| 4. TROY (vs SAL): +121.3 | |
| 5. UTAH ST (vs FRS): +118.2 | |
| 6. NEW MEXICO (vs LAM): +117.0 | |
| 7. AIR FORCE (vs HAW): +109.6 | |

| **Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. ARMY (vs NTX): +322.8 | |
| 2. NEW MEXICO (vs LAM): +290.7 | |
| 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +236.2 | |
| 4. GA SOUTHERN (vs NMS): +235.7 | |
| 5. TROY (vs SAL): +221.8 | |
| 6. SAN DIEGO ST (vs SJS): +215.6 | |
| 7. NEBRASKA (vs PUR): +208.3 | |

| **Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. LOUISVILLE (vs NCS): +2.8 | |
| 2. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +2.1 | |
| 3. ARMY (vs NTX): +2.1 | |
| 4. TROY (vs SAL): +2.1 | |
| 5. NEW MEXICO (vs LAM): +2.0 | |
| 6. MARYLAND (vs MSY): +2.0 | |
| 7. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +2.0 | |

| **Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. SAN DIEGO ST (vs SJS): +2.3 | |
| 2. MINNESOTA (vs RUT): +2.2 | |
| 3. AIR FORCE (vs HAW): +2.0 | |
| 4. LA LAFAYETTE (vs TSU): +1.9 | |
| 5. BOSTON COLLEGE (vs SYR): +1.8 | |
| 6. TROY (vs SAL): +1.8 | |
| 7. HOUSTON (vs SMU): +1.8 | |

| **Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. TROY (vs SAL): +3.9 | |
| 2. ARMY (vs NTX): +3.7 | |
| 3. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +3.5 | |
| 4. SAN DIEGO ST (vs SJS): +3.5 | |
| 5. LSU (vs MIS): +3.5 | |
| 6. NEW MEXICO (vs LAM): +3.4 | |
| 7. OKLAHOMA (vs TT): +3.3 | |

| **Passing Yards Gained (PYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. TEXAS TECH (vs OKL): +188.0 | |
| 2. SYRACUSE (vs BC): +181.0 | |
| 3. LOUISIANA TECH (vs FIU): +171.6 | |
| 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs ): +153.5 | |
| 5. TULSA (vs TUL): +152.8 | |
| 6. MEMPHIS (vs NAV): +144.4 | |
| 7. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +140.8 | |

| **Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. S ALABAMA (vs TRO): +141.8 | |
| 2. BOSTON COLLEGE (vs SYR): +128.6 | |
| 3. BUFFALO (vs NIL): +124.4 | |
| 4. MIAMI OHIO (vs BG): +122.4 | |
| 5. NEVADA (vs WYO): +110.3 | |
| 6. WASHINGTON ST (vs AZS): +106.3 | |
| 7. MICHIGAN (vs ILL): +89.7 | |

| **Passing Yds Differential (PYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. WASHINGTON ST (vs AZS): +230.7 | |
| 2. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +214.3 | |
| 3. COLORADO (vs STA): +203.1 | |
| 4. TEXAS TECH (vs OKL): +191.0 | |
| 5. LA MONROE (vs NM): +169.8 | |
| 6. E CAROLINA (vs CIN): +141.7 | |
| 7. S ALABAMA (vs TRO): +138.8 | |

| **Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. WASHINGTON (vs ORS): +5.2 | |
| 2. AIR FORCE (vs HAW): +4.4 | |
| 3. ARMY (vs NTX): +3.5 | |
| 4. BOISE ST (vs BYU): +3.5 | |
| 5. LOUISIANA TECH (vs FIU): +3.0 | |
| 6. TOLEDO (vs CM): +2.8 | |
| 7. NAVY (vs MEM): +2.7 | |

| **Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. MICHIGAN (vs ILL): +2.9 | |
| 2. MIAMI OHIO (vs BG): +2.6 | |
| 3. S CAROLINA (vs MAS): +2.5 | |
| 4. SAN DIEGO ST (vs SJS): +2.5 | |
| 5. BOISE ST (vs BYU): +2.4 | |
| 6. MEMPHIS (vs NAV): +2.3 | |
| 7. BOSTON COLLEGE (vs SYR): +2.2 | |

| **Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. BOISE ST (vs BYU): +5.9 | |
| 2. MIAMI OHIO (vs BG): +5.1 | |
| 3. AIR FORCE (vs HAW): +5.0 | |
| 4. WASHINGTON (vs ORS): +4.7 | |
| 5. ARMY (vs NTX): +4.4 | |
| 6. COLORADO (vs STA): +4.2 | |
| 7. MICHIGAN (vs ILL): +3.6 | |

| **Total Yards Gained (TYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. COLORADO (vs STA): +208.7 | |
| 2. LOUISVILLE (vs NCS): +192.5 | |
| 3. LOUISIANA TECH (vs FIU): +188.7 | |
| 4. TEXAS (vs KSU): +157.2 | |
| 5. SYRACUSE (vs BC): +155.2 | |
| 6. N ILLINOIS (vs BUF): +134.0 | |
| 7. WASHINGTON (vs ORS): +132.2 | |

| **Total Yards Allowed (TYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. BOSTON COLLEGE (vs SYR): +221.6 | |
| 2. MIAMI OHIO (vs BG): +184.0 | |
| 3. MICHIGAN (vs ILL): +175.7 | |
| 4. ARMY (vs NTX): +171.2 | |
| 5. ALABAMA (vs TAM): +162.8 | |
| 6. HOUSTON (vs SMU): +156.1 | |
| 7. GA SOUTHERN (vs NMS): +156.0 | |

| **Total Yds Differential (TYPG)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. MICHIGAN (vs ILL): +274.3 | |
| 2. ARMY (vs NTX): +267.5 | |
| 3. COLORADO (vs STA): +264.3 | |
| 4. HOUSTON (vs SMU): +245.9 | |
| 5. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +226.0 | |
| 6. WASHINGTON (vs ORS): +225.7 | |
| 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs AZS): +223.7 | |

| **Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. LOUISIANA TECH (vs FIU): +2.4 | |
| 2. WASHINGTON (vs ORS): +2.4 | |
| 3. LOUISVILLE (vs NCS): +2.2 | |
| 4. ARMY (vs NTX): +1.6 | |
| 5. MIAMI (vs VAT): +1.6 | |
| 6. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +1.3 | |
| 7. BOISE ST (vs BYU): +1.3 | |

| **Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. MICHIGAN (vs ILL): +3.6 | |
| 2. AIR FORCE (vs HAW): +2.7 | |
| 3. BALL ST (vs AKR): +2.5 | |
| 4. COLORADO (vs STA): +2.5 | |
| 5. HOUSTON (vs SMU): +2.4 | |
| 6. ARMY (vs NTX): +2.2 | |
| 7. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +2.1 | |

| **Yards Per Play Differential (YPP)** | **W/L** |
|---|---|
| 1. MICHIGAN (vs ILL): +3.8 | |
| 2. ARMY (vs NTX): +3.8 | |
| 3. COLORADO (vs STA): +3.5 | |
| 4. WASHINGTON (vs ORS): +3.4 | |
| 5. HOUSTON (vs SMU): +2.9 | |
| 6. OHIO ST (vs PSU): +2.9 | |
| 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs AZS): +2.8 | |

# COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 8: THURSDAY, OCTOBER 20

## BYU COUGARS | vs | BOISE STATE BRONCOS

**LINE:** BOISE STATE BY 7

• **(307) BYU (SU: 4-3, ATS: 5-2) at (308) BOISE ST (SU: 6-0, ATS: 2-4) - Thursday, 10/20/2016 10:15 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| BYU | +3.0 | 42.3 | 28 | 23 | 40–200 (5.0) | 20–33–204 (6.1) | 73–404 (5.5) | 0–1 | 25 | 21 | 37–131 (3.5) | 22–33–271 (8.3) | 70–402 (5.7) | 1–2 | +6 |
| BOISE ST | +16.0 | 29.2 | 35 | 23 | 37–166 (4.5) | 20–31–300 (9.6) | 68–466 (6.9) | 0–0 | 19 | 20 | 36–142 (3.9) | 25–39–229 (5.8) | 75–371 (4.9) | 0–0 | -3 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 09/12/15 | BYU +2 | BSU 24 at BYU 35 | BYU | O (56) |
| 10/24/14 | BSU –7 | BYU 30 at BSU 55 | BSU | O (58) |
| 10/25/13 | BYU –6½ | BSU 20 at BYU 37 | BYU | U (61) |
| 09/20/12 | BSU –6 | BYU 6 at BSU 7 | BYU | U (50) |
| 09/24/04 | BSU –21 | BYU 27 at BSU 28 | BYU | U (64) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Bryan Harsin is 9-0 OVER as a favorite of 3.5 to 10 points.
- Bryan Harsin is 7-0 OVER off a home no-cover where the team won as a favorite as coach of BOISE ST.
- BOISE ST is 8-1 UNDER as a home favorite over the L2 seasons.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| BYU Cougars | 21 |
| Boise State Broncos | 34 |

## MIAMI FLORIDA | vs | VIRGINIA TECH (-5)

• **(303) MIAMI (SU: 4-2, ATS: 4-2) at (304) VIRGINIA TECH (SU: 4-2, ATS: 3-3) - Thursday, 10/20/2016 7:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| MIAMI | +22.7 | 30.8 | 37 | 19 | 33–188 (5.7) | 17–27–234 (8.6) | 60–422 (7.0) | 0–1 | 14 | 18 | 44–132 (3.0) | 18–31–182 (5.9) | 75–314 (4.2) | 0–1 | +2 |
| VIRGINIA TECH | +17.5 | 30.3 | 36 | 21 | 49–189 (3.9) | 17–28–233 (8.3) | 77–422 (5.5) | 2–0 | 18 | 15 | 36–114 (3.1) | 15–33–178 (5.4) | 69–292 (4.2) | 1–1 | -1 |

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| Miami (FL) | 27 |
| Virginia Tech | 23 |

- VIRGINIA TECH is 15-4 UNDER against conference opponents over the L3 seasons.
- VIRGINIA TECH is 9-1 UNDER in October games over the L3 seasons.
- VIRGINIA TECH is 9-2 UNDER after the first month of the season over the L2 seasons.

## TROY (-9½) | vs | SOUTH ALABAMA

• **(305) TROY (SU: 5-1, ATS: 4-2) at (306) S ALABAMA (SU: 3-3, ATS: 2-4) - Thursday, 10/20/2016 7:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| TROY | +19.5 | 22.2 | 39 | 24 | 40–200 (5.0) | 24–37–264 (7.1) | 77–464 (6.0) | 0–1 | 20 | 21 | 32–99 (3.0) | 24–44–285 (6.4) | 76–384 (5.1) | 0–2 | +8 |
| S ALABAMA | -1.7 | 26.3 | 24 | 19 | 34–100 (2.9) | 20–34–261 (7.7) | 68–361 (5.3) | 0–1 | 26 | 20 | 45–220 (4.9) | 13–20–143 (7.1) | 65–363 (5.6) | 1–0 | -1 |

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| Troy | 28 |
| South Alabama | 19 |

- S ALABAMA is 0-7 ATS off 1 or more consecutive unders over the L2 seasons.
- S ALABAMA is 2-13 ATS after a game where they forced 1 or less turnovers over the L3 seasons.
- TROY is 7-0 ATS away when playing with 6 or less days rest over the L2 seasons.

# statfox SUPER SITUATIONS

***Situational analysis uncovers conditions and patterns where teams have consistently outperformed or underperformed their normal levels. These systems are not team specific—the principle is that teams fall into patterns regardless of personnel (i.e. good passing teams, teams seeking revenge, cold teams on a losing streak, etc.).***

• **Play Against -** All teams where the line is +3 to -3 (KANSAS STATE) - good team - outscoring opponents by 7 or more points/game, after allowing 31 points or more in 2 straight games.

• **The situation's record** is 29-4 over the last 5 seasons (87.9%, +24.6 units).

**RATING = ★★★★**

• **Play Against -** Road underdogs (ARKANSAS, EASTERN MICHIGAN) - off win over conference rival as an underdog of 6 or more points vs. opponent off win by 10 points or more over a conference rival.

• **The situation's record** is 28-4 over the last 10 seasons (87.5%, +23.6 units).

**RATING = ★★★★**

• **Play Against -** Home underdogs of 10½ to 21 points (TEXAS TECH) - averaging 125 or less rushing yards/game, after being outrushed by 150 or more yards in 2 straight games.

• **The situation's record** is 23-3 over the last 10 seasons (88.5%, +19.7 units).

**RATING = ★★★**

# COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 8: FRIDAY, OCTOBER 21

## OREGON DUCKS | vs | CALIFORNIA GOLDEN BEARS

**LINE:** CALIFORNIA BY 3

• **(311) OREGON (SU: 2-4, ATS: 0-5) at (312) CALIFORNIA (SU: 3-3, ATS: 3-3) - Friday, 10/21/2016 10:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| OREGON | -5.0 | 38.5 | 37 | 22 | 42–257 (6.1) | 19–30–237 (8.0) | 72–494 (6.9) | 1–0 | 42 | 29 | 43–238 (5.6) | 24–38–284 (7.4) | 81–522 (6.4) | 0–1 | -1 |
| CALIFORNIA | +2.3 | 35.3 | 42 | 26 | 32–152 (4.8) | 31–50–378 (7.5) | 82–530 (6.5) | 0–1 | 40 | 27 | 48–284 (5.9) | 19–32–211 (6.6) | 80–495 (6.2) | 0–1 | +1 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11/07/15 | ORE –3½ | CAL 28 at ORE 44 | ORE | U (76) |
| 10/24/14 | CAL +17½ | ORE 59 at CAL 41 | ORE | O (78) |
| 09/28/13 | ORE –38½ | CAL 16 at ORE 55 | ORE | U (81½) |
| 11/10/12 | CAL +31½ | ORE 59 at CAL 17 | ORE | O (67½) |
| 10/06/11 | ORE –24 | CAL 15 at ORE 43 | ORE | U (65½) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• OREGON is 11-2 ATS in the second half of the season over the L3 seasons.
• Sonny Dykes is 22-7 OVER as a favorite.
• Sonny Dykes is 17-5 OVER after scoring and allowing 30 pts or more last game.

statfox Forecaster | **Oregon Ducks** 53 | **California Golden Bears** 48

## SAN JOSE STATE | vs | SAN DIEGO STATE (-23½)

• **(313) SAN JOSE ST (SU: 2-5, ATS: 2-4) at (314) SAN DIEGO ST (SU: 5-1, ATS: 3-3) - Friday, 10/21/2016 10:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| SAN JOSE ST | -10.7 | 25.1 | 25 | 20 | 41–161 (3.9) | 16–28–215 (7.7) | 69–376 (5.4) | 0–2 | 36 | 20 | 43–228 (5.4) | 15–24–216 (8.8) | 67–444 (6.6) | 1–1 | EVEN |
| SAN DIEGO ST | +10.8 | 21.2 | 31 | 22 | 48–246 (5.1) | 13–20–165 (8.1) | 68–411 (6.0) | 1–0 | 20 | 16 | 32–98 (3.1) | 17–32–200 (6.4) | 64–298 (4.7) | 0–2 | +6 |

statfox Forecaster | **San Jose State** 13 | **San Diego State** 36

• SAN JOSE ST is 3-14 ATS as an underdog over the L3 seasons.
• Rocky Long is 14-1 UNDER vs. poor teams - outscored by 10+ points per game on the season as coach of SAN DIEGO ST.
• Rocky Long is 19-6 UNDER when playing against a team with a losing record as coach of SAN DIEGO ST.

## SOUTH FLORIDA (-6½) | vs | TEMPLE

• **(309) S FLORIDA (SU: 6-1, ATS: 5-2) at (310) TEMPLE (SU: 4-3, ATS: 6-1) - Friday, 10/21/2016 7:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| S FLORIDA | +18.3 | 27.1 | 44 | 24 | 43–269 (6.2) | 18–29–237 (8.1) | 72–506 (7.0) | 1–1 | 26 | 24 | 45–190 (4.2) | 23–40–246 (6.1) | 85–436 (5.1) | 1–1 | +3 |
| TEMPLE | +9.0 | 22.9 | 32 | 20 | 36–148 (4.1) | 17–31–230 (7.4) | 67–378 (5.6) | 0–1 | 23 | 18 | 40–150 (3.7) | 14–26–167 (6.4) | 66–317 (4.8) | 1–1 | +1 |

statfox Forecaster | **South Florida** 35 | **Temple** 26

• S FLORIDA is 9-0 ATS off 1 or more straight overs over the L2 seasons.
• Willie Taggart is 21-3 ATS away after playing a game at home.
• Willie Taggart is 30-7 ATS in road games.

# statfox SITUATIONAL TEAM POWER TRENDS

***The StatFox Situational Team Power Trends uncover certain situations where a team outperforms or underperforms their normal level of play. Unlike the StatFox Super Situations, all trend records listed apply to the team in question. These trends are great indicators of how teams react to certain situations (i.e. coming off a close win, against division opponents, after a loss giving up a high number passing yards, etc.)***

• TULANE is 4-26 ATS (-24.6 Units) versus excellent offensive teams - averaging >=450 yards/game since 1992.

**The average score** was TULANE 15.9, OPPONENT 43.3

PLAY ON TULSA
**RATING = *******

• SYRACUSE is 0-10 ATS (-11 Units) off an upset win by 14 points or more as an underdog since 1992.

**The average score** was SYRACUSE 12.3, OPPONENT 43.1

PLAY ON BOSTON COLLEGE
**RATING = ******

• BOWLING GREEN is 9-0 ATS (+9 Units) after gaining 6.25 or more yards/play in their previous game over the last 2 seasons.

**The average score** was BOWLING GREEN 47.8, OPPONENT 23.6

PLAY ON BOWLING GREEN
**RATING = ******

# COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 8: OCTOBER 22ND ACC SHOWDOWNS

## NC STATE WOLFPACK | VS | LOUISVILLE CARDINALS

**LINE:** LOUISVILLE BY 20

- **(337) NC STATE (SU: 4-2, ATS: 5-1) at (338) LOUISVILLE (SU: 5-1, ATS: 3-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 12:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| NC STATE | +12.5 | 31.0 | 31 | 23 | 42–186 (4.5) | 20–31–249 (8.0) | 73–435 (6.0) | 1–0 | 19 | 18 | 32–95 (3.0) | 22–36–220 (6.2) | 68–315 (4.6) | 1–1 | +4 |
| LOUISVILLE | +28.0 | 34.7 | 52 | 30 | 42–306 (7.2) | 21–35–322 (9.2) | 77–628 (8.2) | 2–1 | 24 | 18 | 38–135 (3.6) | 16–30–177 (5.8) | 68–312 (4.6) | 1–1 | -2 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FOUR MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/03/15 | NCST –3 | UL 20 at NCST 13 | UL | U (47) |
| 10/18/14 | UL –19 | NCST 18 at UL 30 | NCST | P (48) |
| 12/27/11 | NCST –1½ | UL 24 at NCST 31 | NCST | O (44) |
| 09/29/07 | NCST +9½ | UL 29 at NCST 10 | UL | U (70) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- LOUISVILLE is 1-10 ATS vs. teams allowing <= 7.5 yards per punt return over the L3 seasons.
- NC STATE is 7-0 UNDER as a road underdog over the L3 seasons.
- NC STATE is 7-0 ATS in games played on turf over the L3 seasons.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| North Carolina State Wolfpack | 22 |
| Louisville Cardinals | 48 |

## NORTH CAROLINA TAR HEELS | VS | VIRGINIA CAVALIERS

**LINE:** NORTH CAROLINA BY 8

- **(335) N CAROLINA (SU: 5-2, ATS: 4-3) at (336) VIRGINIA (SU: 2-4, ATS: 4-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 3:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| N CAROLINA | +3.3 | 36.6 | 32 | 21 | 31–131 (4.3) | 26–37–300 (8.0) | 68–431 (6.3) | 1–0 | 29 | 22 | 48–216 (4.5) | 16–27–201 (7.4) | 75–417 (5.6) | 1–0 | -2 |
| VIRGINIA | -4.0 | 29.0 | 28 | 21 | 30–114 (3.8) | 23–41–289 (7.1) | 71–403 (5.7) | 0–1 | 32 | 22 | 40–170 (4.2) | 21–35–281 (8.0) | 75–451 (6.0) | 0–1 | -1 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/24/15 | UNC –16 | UVA 13 at UNC 26 | UVA | U (58½) |
| 10/25/14 | UVA –7 | UNC 28 at UVA 27 | UNC | U (60) |
| 11/09/13 | UNC –12 | UVA 14 at UNC 45 | UNC | O (52½) |
| 11/15/12 | UVA +3½ | UNC 37 at UVA 13 | UNC | U (61½) |
| 09/17/11 | UNC –10 | UVA 17 at UNC 28 | UNC | U (48) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Bronco Mendenhall is 15-4 UNDER versus excellent offensive teams - averaging >=6.25 yards/play.
- Larry Fedora is 8-1 ATS after a game where they committed no turnovers as coach of N CAROLINA.
- VIRGINIA is 12-4 ATS when playing on a Saturday over the L2 seasons.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| North Carolina Tar Heels | 40 |
| Virginia Cavaliers | 28 |

## SYRACUSE ORANGE | VS | BOSTON COLLEGE EAGLES

**LINE:** BOSTON COLLEGE BY 5

- **(319) SYRACUSE (SU: 3-4, ATS: 3-4) at (320) BOSTON COLLEGE (SU: 3-3, ATS: 2-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 12:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| SYRACUSE | -6.9 | 35.4 | 26 | 24 | 40–136 (3.4) | 29–46–346 (7.5) | 86–482 (5.6) | 1–1 | 33 | 22 | 40–197 (4.9) | 19–33–278 (8.5) | 73–475 (6.5) | 1–1 | EVEN |
| BOSTON COLLEGE | -2.5 | 28.8 | 21 | 16 | 44–162 (3.6) | 12–23–165 (7.1) | 67–327 (4.9) | 1–1 | 24 | 13 | 34–104 (3.1) | 12–24–149 (6.3) | 58–253 (4.4) | 1–0 | -3 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11/28/15 | SYR +1½ | BC 17 at SYR 20 | SYR | U (39) |
| 11/29/14 | BC –11 | SYR 7 at BC 28 | BC | U (45) |
| 11/30/13 | SYR +2½ | BC 31 at SYR 34 | SYR | O (51) |
| 11/27/10 | SYR –3½ | BC 16 at SYR 7 | BC | U (38) |
| 11/27/04 | BC –10 | SYR 43 at BC 17 | SYR | O (44) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- BOSTON COLLEGE is 9-1 UNDER after 1 or more consecutive straight up losses over the L2 seasons.
- BOSTON COLLEGE is 8-1 UNDER after playing a conference game over the L2 seasons.
- BOSTON COLLEGE is 10-2 UNDER in games played on turf over the L2 seasons.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| Syracuse Orange | 19 |
| Boston College Eagles | 26 |

# COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 8: OCTOBER 22ND BIG 12 SHOWDOWNS

## OKLAHOMA SOONERS | VS | TEXAS TECH RED RAIDERS

**LINE:** OKLAHOMA BY 13½

- **(365) OKLAHOMA (SU: 4-2, ATS: 2-4) at (366) TEXAS TECH (SU: 3-3, ATS: 4-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 8:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| OKLAHOMA | +7.2 | 43.8 | 40 | 24 | 40–203 (5.0) | 23–32–323 (10.0) | 72–526 (7.3) | 1–1 | 33 | 20 | 37–136 (3.6) | 21–36–277 (7.7) | 73–413 (5.7) | 0–0 | -7 |
| TEXAS TECH | +8.7 | 31.5 | 49 | 31 | 29–94 (3.3) | 39–55–511 (9.3) | 84–605 (7.2) | 0–1 | 40 | 25 | 40–205 (5.2) | 22–37–274 (7.4) | 77–479 (6.2) | 0–0 | -2 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/24/15 | OU –14 | TT 27 at OU 63 | OU | O (72) |
| 11/15/14 | TT +14 | OU 42 at TT 30 | TT | O (65) |
| 10/26/13 | OU –7 | TT 30 at OU 38 | OU | O (59) |
| 10/06/12 | TT +4 | OU 41 at TT 20 | OU | O (57½) |
| 10/22/11 | OU –29 | TT 41 at OU 38 | TT | O (69½) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Kliff Kingsbury is 9-0 OVER vs. teams averaging >=4.75 rushing yards/carry as coach of TEXAS TECH.
- OKLAHOMA is 11-1 OVER away against conference opponents over the L3 seasons.
- Bob Stoops is 8-0 OVER as a road favorite of 10.5 to 14 points as coach of OKLAHOMA.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| Oklahoma Sooners | 55 |
| Texas Tech Red Raiders | 43 |

## TCU HORNED FROGS | VS | WEST VIRGINIA MOUNTAINEERS

**LINE:** WEST VIRGINIA BY 5

- **(325) TCU (SU: 4-2, ATS: 1-5) at (326) W VIRGINIA (SU: 5-0, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 3:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| TCU | +10.2 | 28.8 | 40 | 24 | 33–168 (5.0) | 28–44–362 (8.1) | 77–530 (6.9) | 0–1 | 30 | 20 | 42–151 (3.6) | 21–34–273 (8.0) | 76–424 (5.6) | 1–1 | -1 |
| W VIRGINIA | +13.4 | 32.8 | 33 | 26 | 42–216 (5.1) | 24–36–318 (8.7) | 78–534 (6.8) | 1–1 | 19 | 22 | 39–160 (4.1) | 21–40–251 (6.2) | 79–411 (5.2) | 0–1 | EVEN |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FOUR MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/29/15 | TCU –12 | WV 10 at TCU 40 | TCU | U (72½) |
| 11/01/14 | WV +3½ | TCU 31 at WV 30 | WV | U (68½) |
| 11/02/13 | TCU –12 | WV 30 at TCU 27 | WV | O (46½) |
| 11/03/12 | WV –4 | TCU 39 at WV 38 | TCU | O (69½) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- W VIRGINIA is 16-4 UNDER after the first month of the season over the L3 seasons.
- Dana Holgorsen is 9-1 UNDER off 2 straight wins against conference rivals as coach of W VIRGINIA.
- Dana Holgorsen is 5-17 ATS vs. mistake prone teams - 60+ penalty yards per game w/W VIRGINIA.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| TCU Horned Frogs | 26 |
| West Virginia Mountaineers | 35 |

## TEXAS LONGHORNS | VS | KANSAS STATE WILDCATS

**LINE:** KANSAS STATE BY 3

- **(387) TEXAS (SU: 3-3, ATS: 4-2) at (388) KANSAS ST (SU: 3-3, ATS: 2-4) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 12:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| TEXAS | +4.7 | 37.7 | 39 | 27 | 50–239 (4.8) | 21–34–261 (7.8) | 84–500 (6.0) | 0–1 | 34 | 21 | 44–166 (3.7) | 20–31–278 (9.1) | 75–444 (5.9) | 1–0 | -3 |
| KANSAS ST | +10.3 | 34.2 | 31 | 19 | 37–176 (4.8) | 14–26–167 (6.3) | 63–343 (5.4) | 0–0 | 21 | 18 | 30–90 (3.0) | 22–32–253 (7.8) | 62–343 (5.5) | 1–1 | +7 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/24/15 | TEX –7 | KSU 9 at TEX 23 | TEX | U (46½) |
| 10/25/14 | KSU –9½ | TEX 0 at KSU 23 | KSU | U (47½) |
| 09/21/13 | TEX –6 | KSU 21 at TEX 31 | TEX | U (60½) |
| 12/01/12 | KSU –10½ | TEX 24 at KSU 42 | KSU | O (59) |
| 11/19/11 | TEX –7 | KSU 17 at TEX 13 | KSU | U (54) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Bill Snyder is 14-1 ATS off a blowout loss by 21 points or more to a conference rival.
- Bill Snyder is 10-0 ATS off a road blowout loss by 21 points or more.
- Bill Snyder is 22-8 ATS in October games as coach of KANSAS ST.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| Texas Longhorns | 26 |
| Kansas State Wildcats | 31 |

# COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 8: OCTOBER 22ND BIG TEN SHOWDOWNS

## OHIO STATE BUCKEYES | VS | PENN STATE NITTANY LIONS

**LINE:** OHIO STATE BY 19½

• **(403) OHIO ST (SU: 6-0, ATS: 4-2) at (404) PENN ST (SU: 4-2, ATS: 1-4) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 8:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| OHIO ST | +36.5 | 36.2 | 49 | 26 | 50–300 (6.0) | 18–28–216 (7.8) | 78–516 (6.6) | 0–1 | 13 | 16 | 37–121 (3.2) | 14–30–160 (5.3) | 67–281 (4.2) | 0–2 | +8 |
| PENN ST | +2.0 | 37.3 | 30 | 20 | 37–152 (4.1) | 17–30–239 (8.1) | 67–391 (5.8) | 1–0 | 28 | 19 | 43–209 (4.8) | 18–28–173 (6.1) | 71–382 (5.4) | 0–1 | -2 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/17/15 | OSU –18 | PSU 10 at OSU 38 | OSU | U (50) |
| 10/25/14 | PSU +13½ | OSU 31 at PSU 24 | PSU | O (53) |
| 10/26/13 | OSU –16 | PSU 14 at OSU 63 | OSU | O (56) |
| 10/27/12 | PSU +1 | OSU 35 at PSU 23 | OSU | O (51) |
| 11/19/11 | OSU –6½ | PSU 20 at OSU 14 | PSU | U (37½) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- OHIO ST is 9-1 ATS away after a game where they committed 1 or less turnovers over the L3 seasons.
- James Franklin is 9-1 OVER vs. excellent ball control teams, 32 or more possession minutes/game.
- Urban Meyer is 28-10 ATS after covering the spread in 4 or 5 out of their last 6 games.

statfox Forecaster

**Ohio State Buckeyes** ..... **42**
**Penn State Nittany Lions** ..... **20**

## WISCONSIN BADGERS | VS | IOWA HAWKEYES

**LINE:** WISCONSIN BY 3

• **(333) WISCONSIN (SU: 4-2, ATS: 5-1) at (334) IOWA (SU: 5-2, ATS: 3-4) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 12:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| WISCONSIN | +10.3 | 44.0 | 26 | 20 | 44–174 (4.0) | 16–28–201 (7.2) | 72–375 (5.2) | 0–1 | 15 | 17 | 32–106 (3.4) | 17–30–205 (6.8) | 62–311 (5.0) | 0–1 | EVEN |
| IOWA | +11.7 | 23.3 | 31 | 18 | 38–180 (4.8) | 15–25–184 (7.3) | 63–364 (5.8) | 0–1 | 19 | 20 | 39–152 (3.9) | 17–33–219 (6.7) | 72–371 (5.2) | 1–1 | +6 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/03/15 | WIS –5 | IOWA 10 at WIS 6 | IOWA | U (46) |
| 11/22/14 | IOWA +9½ | WIS 26 at IOWA 24 | IOWA | P (50) |
| 11/02/13 | IOWA +9½ | WIS 28 at IOWA 9 | WIS | U (48) |
| 10/23/10 | IOWA –6½ | WIS 31 at IOWA 30 | WIS | O (47½) |
| 10/17/09 | WIS –2 | IOWA 20 at WIS 10 | IOWA | U (46½) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Kirk Ferentz is 23-9 UNDER vs. excellent ball control teams, 32+ possession MPG as coach of IOWA.
- Paul Chryst is 8-0 UNDER away in October games.
- WISCONSIN is 8-1 UNDER after a game where they committed 1 or less turnovers over the L2 seasons.

statfox Forecaster

**Wisconsin Badgers** ..... **24**
**Iowa Hawkeyes** ..... **19**

## ILLINOIS FIGHTING ILLINI | VS | MICHIGAN WOLVERINES

**LINE:** MICHIGAN BY 35

• **(367) ILLINOIS (SU: 2-4, ATS: 3-3) at (368) MICHIGAN (SU: 6-0, ATS: 4-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 3:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| ILLINOIS | -0.2 | 30.0 | 26 | 16 | 34–190 (5.7) | 16–27–182 (6.8) | 61–372 (6.1) | 0–0 | 26 | 21 | 43–185 (4.3) | 18–27–203 (7.5) | 70–388 (5.5) | 1–1 | +8 |
| MICHIGAN | +39.7 | 33.0 | 50 | 23 | 45–255 (5.7) | 18–29–215 (7.4) | 74–470 (6.4) | 0–0 | 10 | 11 | 35–99 (2.9) | 10–25–114 (4.6) | 60–213 (3.6) | 1–1 | +6 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/13/12 | MICH –24 | ILL 0 at MICH 45 | MICH | U (48) |
| 11/12/11 | ILL –1 | MICH 31 at ILL 14 | MICH | U (47½) |
| 11/06/10 | MICH –3 | ILL 65 at MICH 67 | ILL | O (58) |
| 10/31/09 | ILL +7 | MICH 13 at ILL 38 | ILL | U (53½) |
| 10/04/08 | MICH –2 | ILL 45 at MICH 20 | ILL | O (49) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- MICHIGAN is 8-1 OVER after playing a conference game over the L2 seasons.
- MICHIGAN is 6-0 OVER after playing 3 straight conference games over the L2 seasons.
- MICHIGAN is 6-0 OVER in the second half of the season over the L2 seasons.

statfox Forecaster

**Illinois Fighting Illini** ..... **14**
**Michigan Wolverines** ..... **45**

# COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 8: OCTOBER 22ND PAC-12 SHOWDOWNS

## UTAH UTES | VS | UCLA BRUINS

NO LINE

• **(397) UTAH (SU: 6-1, ATS: 3-4) at (398) UCLA (SU: 3-4, ATS: 2-5) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 4:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| UTAH | +8.4 | 30.4 | 27 | 23 | 46–194 (4.2) | 17–30–224 (7.4) | 76–418 (5.5) | 1–1 | 18 | 17 | 30–130 (4.3) | 16–31–212 (6.8) | 61–342 (5.6) | 1–2 | +5 |
| UCLA | +2.9 | 41.9 | 26 | 21 | 32–91 (2.8) | 24–41–319 (7.9) | 73–410 (5.6) | 1–1 | 23 | 20 | 37–146 (4.0) | 19–38–198 (5.2) | 75–344 (4.6) | 1–1 | -2 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11/21/15 | Pick'em | UCLA 17 at UTAH 9 | UCLA | U (55½) |
| 10/04/14 | UCLA –13 | UTAH 30 at UCLA 28 | UTAH | U (64½) |
| 10/03/13 | UTAH +5 | UCLA 34 at UTAH 27 | UCLA | U (65) |
| 10/13/12 | UCLA –9½ | UTAH 14 at UCLA 21 | UTAH | U (51½) |
| 11/12/11 | UTAH –6½ | UCLA 6 at UTAH 31 | UTAH | U (45½) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- UCLA is 2-12 ATS in games played on a grass field over the L2 seasons.
- UCLA is 7-0 UNDER at home when playing against a team with a winning record over the L3 seasons.
- Kyle Whittingham is 30-15 UNDER in October games as coach of UTAH.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| Utah Utes | 19 |
| UCLA Bruins | 26 |

## WASHINGTON ST COUGARS | VS | ARIZONA STATE SUN DEVILS

**LINE:** WASHINGTON STATE BY 7

• **(363) WASHINGTON ST (SU: 4-2, ATS: 4-2) at (364) ARIZONA ST (SU: 5-2, ATS: 4-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 10:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| WASHINGTON ST | +15.7 | 32.7 | 41 | 28 | 31–140 (4.5) | 36–51–361 (7.1) | 82–501 (6.1) | 0–1 | 25 | 20 | 28–104 (3.7) | 23–36–278 (7.8) | 64–382 (6.0) | 1–2 | +8 |
| ARIZONA ST | +2.3 | 34.4 | 36 | 21 | 42–164 (3.9) | 19–34–237 (7.0) | 76–401 (5.3) | 1–1 | 34 | 22 | 33–122 (3.7) | 27–43–384 (8.9) | 76–506 (6.7) | 1–1 | EVEN |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11/07/15 | WSU –2½ | ASU 24 at WSU 38 | WSU | U (65½) |
| 11/22/14 | ASU –14½ | WSU 31 at ASU 52 | ASU | O (71½) |
| 10/31/13 | WSU +11 | ASU 55 at WSU 21 | ASU | O (69) |
| 11/17/12 | ASU –22 | WSU 7 at ASU 46 | ASU | U (60) |
| 11/12/11 | WSU +11½ | ASU 27 at WSU 37 | WSU | O (59) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- WASHINGTON ST is 8-0 ATS away when playing on a Saturday over the L2 seasons.
- Mike Leach is 10-0 OVER after 4 or more consecutive straight up wins.
- WASHINGTON ST is 7-0 ATS when playing against a team with a winning record over the L2 seasons.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| Washington State Cougars | 40 |
| Arizona State Sun Devils | 31 |

## OREGON STATE BEAVERS | VS | WASHINGTON HUSKIES

**LINE:** WASHINGTON BY 36

• **(377) OREGON ST (SU: 2-4, ATS: 4-2) at (378) WASHINGTON (SU: 6-0, ATS: 4-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 6:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| OREGON ST | -5.7 | 35.0 | 25 | 18 | 38–206 (5.4) | 16–31–144 (4.6) | 69–350 (5.1) | 1–1 | 31 | 23 | 46–234 (5.1) | 19–34–177 (5.2) | 80–411 (5.1) | 1–1 | +1 |
| WASHINGTON | +35.3 | 26.2 | 50 | 23 | 39–229 (5.9) | 19–26–252 (9.8) | 65–481 (7.4) | 0–0 | 14 | 18 | 41–140 (3.4) | 19–31–177 (5.7) | 72–317 (4.4) | 2–1 | +13 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11/21/15 | ORST +14 | UW 52 at ORST 7 | UW | O (48½) |
| 11/22/14 | UW –6½ | ORST 13 at UW 37 | UW | U (53½) |
| 11/23/13 | ORST –1½ | UW 69 at ORST 27 | UW | O (59½) |
| 10/27/12 | UW +3 | ORST 17 at UW 20 | UW | U (48½) |
| 11/19/11 | ORST +1 | UW 21 at ORST 38 | ORST | O (56½) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Chris Petersen is 13-3 OVER off a road blowout win by 28 points or more.
- Chris Petersen is 10-1 OVER after 5 consecutive games where they forced 2 or more turnovers.
- Gary Andersen is 7-0 ATS as a road underdog of 21.5 or more points.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| Oregon State Beavers | 15 |
| Washington Huskies | 52 |

# COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 8: OCTOBER 22ND SEC SHOWDOWNS

## MISSISSIPPI REBELS | VS | LSU TIGERS

**LINE:** LSU BY 5½

• **(399) OLE MISS (SU: 3-3, ATS: 3-3) at (400) LSU (SU: 4-2, ATS: 2-4) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 9:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| OLE MISS | +9.3 | 41.5 | 40 | 24 | 33–157 (4.8) | 23–37–320 (8.6) | 70–477 (6.8) | 0–1 | 30 | 24 | 45–213 (4.7) | 21–35–233 (6.7) | 80–446 (5.6) | 1–1 | -1 |
| LSU | +14.5 | 35.0 | 28 | 19 | 36–228 (6.4) | 14–24–180 (7.4) | 60–408 (6.8) | 1–1 | 14 | 17 | 36–104 (2.9) | 19–33–208 (6.3) | 69–312 (4.5) | 1–1 | EVEN |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11/21/15 | MISS –7 | LSU 17 at MISS 38 | MISS | U (58) |
| 10/25/14 | LSU +3½ | MISS 7 at LSU 10 | LSU | U (45) |
| 10/19/13 | MISS +9½ | LSU 24 at MISS 27 | MISS | U (60) |
| 11/17/12 | LSU –18 | MISS 35 at LSU 41 | MISS | O (48½) |
| 11/19/11 | MISS +31½ | LSU 52 at MISS 3 | LSU | O (46) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Hugh Freeze is 14-1 UNDER away when playing against a team with a winning record as coach of OLE MISS.
- Hugh Freeze is 12-0 UNDER away in weeks 5 through 9.
- OLE MISS is 10-1 UNDER in October games over the L3 seasons.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| Mississippi Rebels | 29 |
| LSU Tigers | 32 |

## ARKANSAS RAZORBACKS | VS | AUBURN TIGERS

**LINE:** AUBURN BY 9½

• **(401) ARKANSAS (SU: 5-2, ATS: 3-4) at (402) AUBURN (SU: 4-2, ATS: 5-1) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 6:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| ARKANSAS | +7.0 | 38.7 | 35 | 24 | 42–180 (4.3) | 20–31–266 (8.5) | 73–446 (6.1) | 1–1 | 28 | 17 | 32–176 (5.6) | 17–30–223 (7.4) | 62–399 (6.4) | 1–1 | +1 |
| AUBURN | +16.3 | 40.8 | 32 | 25 | 55–263 (4.8) | 17–25–216 (8.7) | 80–479 (6.0) | 1–0 | 16 | 16 | 36–147 (4.1) | 18–33–200 (6.0) | 69–347 (5.0) | 1–0 | -1 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/24/15 | ARK –7 | AUB 46 at ARK 54 | ARK | O (54½) |
| 08/30/14 | AUB –17½ | ARK 21 at AUB 45 | AUB | O (56) |
| 11/02/13 | ARK +8½ | AUB 35 at ARK 17 | AUB | U (54½) |
| 10/06/12 | AUB –7½ | ARK 24 at AUB 7 | ARK | U (55½) |
| 10/08/11 | ARK –10 | AUB 14 at ARK 38 | ARK | U (65) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Gus Malzahn is 8-0 ATS after 3 or more consecutive wins against the spread as coach of AUBURN.
- AUBURN is 0-8 ATS after playing their last game on the road over the L3 seasons.
- Bret Bielema is 31-16 OVER in road games.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| Arkansas Razorbacks | 24 |
| Auburn Tigers | 34 |

## MISSISSIPPI STATE BULLDOGS | VS | KENTUCKY WILDCATS

**LINE:** MISSISSIPPI STATE BY 3

• **(381) MISSISSIPPI ST (SU: 2-4, ATS: 3-3) at (382) KENTUCKY (SU: 3-3, ATS: 3-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 7:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| MISSISSIPPI ST | -1.7 | 37.5 | 25 | 22 | 40–192 (4.8) | 20–34–210 (6.2) | 74–402 (5.4) | 1–1 | 26 | 20 | 38–136 (3.6) | 20–32–225 (7.0) | 70–361 (5.2) | 1–1 | +2 |
| KENTUCKY | -6.8 | 37.3 | 24 | 18 | 41–186 (4.5) | 12–21–159 (7.4) | 62–345 (5.6) | 2–1 | 31 | 24 | 44–189 (4.2) | 18–32–248 (7.7) | 76–437 (5.8) | 0–1 | -8 |

### HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

| DATE | HOME LINE | SU RESULT | ATS WIN | O/U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/24/15 | MSU –13½ | UK 16 at MSU 42 | MSU | U (59) |
| 10/25/14 | UK +14½ | MSU 45 at UK 31 | UK | O (59) |
| 10/24/13 | MSU –12½ | UK 22 at MSU 28 | UK | U (53½) |
| 10/06/12 | UK +10 | MSU 27 at UK 14 | MSU | U (48) |
| 10/29/11 | UK +10 | MSU 28 at UK 16 | MSU | O (41½) |

### STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Mark Stoops is 8-19 ATS as an underdog as coach of KENTUCKY.
- Mark Stoops is 2-10 ATS as a home underdog as coach of KENTUCKY.
- Dan Mullen is 21-8 UNDER after playing a non-conference game as coach of MISSISSIPPI ST.

statfox Forecaster

| | |
|---|---|
| Mississippi State Bulldogs | 32 |
| Kentucky Wildcats | 27 |

# COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 8: SATURDAY, OCTOBER 22

## TEXAS A&M vs ALABAMA (-17)

• **(405) TEXAS A&M (SU: 6-0, ATS: 4-2) at (406) ALABAMA (SU: 7-0, ATS: 5-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 3:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| TEXAS A&M | +21.0 | 37.3 | 40 | 24 | 40–274 (6.8) | 20–38–258 (6.8) | 78–532 (6.8) | 1–1 | 19 | 24 | 41–159 (3.9) | 24–41–278 (6.8) | 82–437 (5.3) | 2–1 | +6 |
| ALABAMA | +30.4 | 41.7 | 45 | 22 | 43–266 (6.2) | 19–30–239 (8.1) | 73–505 (6.9) | 1–0 | 15 | 14 | 31–65 (2.1) | 18–33–210 (6.3) | 64–275 (4.3) | 1–1 | +4 |

statfox Forecaster | **ALABAMA 35, TEXAS A&M 19**

**KEY GAME TREND:** TEXAS A&M is 0-8 ATS after playing 2 straight conference games over the L2 seasons.

## COLORADO vs STANFORD (-2)

• **(389) COLORADO (SU: 5-2, ATS: 7-0) at (390) STANFORD (SU: 4-2, ATS: 4-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 3:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| COLORADO | +19.0 | 34.4 | 39 | 26 | 48–216 (4.5) | 23–34–298 (8.7) | 82–514 (6.3) | 1–0 | 20 | 16 | 34–135 (4.0) | 17–33–177 (5.3) | 67–312 (4.7) | 1–1 | +6 |
| STANFORD | -3.0 | 49.3 | 19 | 16 | 35–146 (4.2) | 14–24–160 (6.7) | 59–306 (5.2) | 1–1 | 22 | 18 | 33–126 (3.8) | 20–32–241 (7.5) | 65–367 (5.6) | 0–1 | -4 |

statfox Forecaster | **STANFORD 26, COLORADO 25**

**KEY GAME TREND:** David Shaw is 15-3 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games as coach of STANFORD.

## PURDUE vs NEBRASKA (-24)

• **(329) PURDUE (SU: 3-3, ATS: 2-3) at (330) NEBRASKA (SU: 6-0, ATS: 4-1) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 3:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| PURDUE | -6.8 | 25.0 | 28 | 24 | 33–136 (4.1) | 26–47–306 (6.5) | 80–442 (5.5) | 0–2 | 34 | 22 | 45–264 (5.9) | 16–27–182 (6.7) | 72–446 (6.2) | 0–1 | -7 |
| NEBRASKA | +17.0 | 31.3 | 35 | 23 | 47–221 (4.7) | 15–27–233 (8.7) | 74–454 (6.1) | 1–1 | 18 | 18 | 31–141 (4.5) | 20–33–203 (6.2) | 64–344 (5.4) | 0–2 | +4 |

statfox Forecaster | **NEBRASKA 44, PURDUE 19**

**KEY GAME TREND:** PURDUE is 6-0 ATS as an underdog of 21.5 to 31 points over the L3 seasons.

## RUTGERS vs MINNESOTA

• **(321) RUTGERS (SU: 2-5, ATS: 2-5) at (322) MINNESOTA (SU: 4-2, ATS: 3-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 12:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| RUTGERS | -21.1 | 40.0 | 17 | 17 | 44–172 (3.9) | 12–26–127 (4.9) | 70–299 (4.3) | 1–0 | 38 | 19 | 45–264 (5.9) | 13–24–170 (7.1) | 69–434 (6.3) | 0–1 | -5 |
| MINNESOTA | +9.2 | 26.3 | 30 | 22 | 44–207 (4.8) | 16–28–182 (6.5) | 72–389 (5.4) | 0–1 | 21 | 19 | 37–134 (3.6) | 19–36–221 (6.1) | 73–355 (4.9) | 1–1 | +6 |

statfox Forecaster | **MINNESOTA 34, RUTGERS 14**

**KEY GAME TREND:** RUTGERS is 0-6 ATS after scoring 3 points or less in the first half last game over the L2 seasons.

## MICHIGAN STATE vs MARYLAND

• **(391) MICHIGAN ST (SU: 2-4, ATS: 1-5) at (392) MARYLAND (SU: 4-2, ATS: 2-4) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 7:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| MICHIGAN ST | -5.8 | 35.2 | 24 | 19 | 36–136 (3.8) | 19–31–248 (8.0) | 67–384 (5.7) | 1–1 | 30 | 20 | 40–148 (3.7) | 19–31–230 (7.4) | 71–378 (5.3) | 0–1 | -3 |
| MARYLAND | +11.7 | 22.0 | 33 | 19 | 43–250 (5.8) | 14–24–154 (6.5) | 67–404 (6.0) | 1–1 | 21 | 19 | 44–180 (4.0) | 15–30–176 (5.9) | 74–356 (4.8) | 1–0 | -1 |

statfox Forecaster | **MARYLAND 31, MICHIGAN ST 24**

**KEY GAME TREND:** Mark Dantonio is 29-15 ATS in road games as coach of MICHIGAN ST.

## INDIANA vs NORTHWESTERN (-1½)

• **(327) INDIANA (SU: 3-3, ATS: 3-3) at (328) NORTHWESTERN (SU: 3-3, ATS: 3-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 12:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| INDIANA | +0.5 | 36.2 | 26 | 20 | 40–150 (3.8) | 20–33–285 (8.6) | 73–435 (6.0) | 0–2 | 25 | 18 | 41–167 (4.1) | 16–31–206 (6.6) | 72–373 (5.2) | 1–1 | EVEN |
| NORTHWESTERN | +3.0 | 34.2 | 26 | 20 | 38–140 (3.6) | 20–34–234 (6.8) | 72–374 (5.2) | 0–1 | 23 | 22 | 37–140 (3.8) | 24–37–276 (7.4) | 74–416 (5.6) | 1–1 | +2 |

statfox Forecaster | **INDIANA 29, NORTHWESTERN 25**

**KEY GAME TREND:** NORTHWESTERN is 8-0 UNDER as a favorite over the L2 seasons.

# COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 8: SATURDAY, OCTOBER 22

## OKLAHOMA STATE (-24) vs KANSAS

• **(331) OKLAHOMA ST (SU: 4-2, ATS: 3-3) at (332) KANSAS (SU: 1-5, ATS: 2-4) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 12:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| OKLAHOMA ST | +12.0 | 32.5 | 41 | 24 | 38-131 (3.5) | 25-41-350 (8.6) | 79-481 (6.1) | 1-0 | 29 | 21 | 42-179 (4.2) | 19-32-269 (8.4) | 74-448 (6.1) | 1-1 | +5 |
| KANSAS | -13.7 | 33.0 | 22 | 18 | 34-95 (2.8) | 24-38-255 (6.7) | 72-350 (4.9) | 2-2 | 36 | 22 | 42-197 (4.7) | 19-34-228 (6.8) | 76-425 (5.6) | 1-1 | -11 |

statfox Forecaster | **OKLAHOMA ST 34, KANSAS 25**

**KEY GAME TREND:** KANSAS is 2-11 ATS when playing with 6 or less days rest over the L2 seasons.

## MEMPHIS (-3) vs NAVY

• **(371) MEMPHIS (SU: 5-1, ATS: 2-4) at (372) NAVY (SU: 4-1, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 3:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| MEMPHIS | +20.8 | 25.5 | 40 | 22 | 37-164 (4.5) | 22-34-274 (8.1) | 71-438 (6.2) | 1-1 | 19 | 21 | 34-140 (4.1) | 24-43-248 (5.8) | 77-388 (5.0) | 1-2 | +7 |
| NAVY | +7.8 | 26.6 | 32 | 19 | 52-262 (5.1) | 7-12-130 (10.8) | 64-392 (6.1) | 1-0 | 24 | 21 | 41-158 (3.9) | 19-30-241 (8.1) | 71-399 (5.6) | 1-1 | +1 |

statfox Forecaster | **MEMPHIS 29, NAVY 26**

**KEY GAME TREND:** NAVY is 8-1 ATS at home when playing on a Saturday over the L2 seasons.

## EAST CAROLINA vs CINCINNATI (-1½)

• **(323) E CAROLINA (SU: 2-4, ATS: 2-4) at (324) CINCINNATI (SU: 3-3, ATS: 1-5) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 7:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| E CAROLINA | -4.7 | 32.2 | 28 | 26 | 34-137 (4.0) | 32-49-378 (7.7) | 83-515 (6.2) | 1-1 | 33 | 17 | 38-195 (5.2) | 16-28-225 (8.0) | 66-420 (6.4) | 0-0 | -9 |
| CINCINNATI | -2.3 | 26.7 | 23 | 21 | 35-125 (3.5) | 23-40-274 (6.8) | 75-399 (5.3) | 1-2 | 25 | 23 | 42-156 (3.8) | 20-35-262 (7.5) | 77-418 (5.4) | 0-2 | +1 |

statfox Forecaster | **E CAROLINA 26, CINCINNATI 25**

**KEY GAME TREND:** CINCINNATI is 9-0 UNDER when playing against a team with a losing record over the L3 seasons.

## CENTRAL FLORIDA (-3½) vs CONNECTICUT

• **(359) UCF (SU: 3-3, ATS: 4-2) at (360) CONNECTICUT (SU: 3-4, ATS: 2-5) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 12:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| UCF | +8.5 | 28.0 | 34 | 20 | 48-208 (4.3) | 14-28-195 (7.1) | 76-403 (5.3) | 1-1 | 25 | 20 | 40-127 (3.2) | 19-37-226 (6.2) | 77-353 (4.6) | 1-1 | EVEN |
| CONNECTICUT | -5.3 | 32.6 | 21 | 20 | 39-126 (3.2) | 20-33-238 (7.3) | 72-364 (5.1) | 1-1 | 26 | 21 | 32-130 (4.1) | 24-38-286 (7.5) | 70-416 (5.9) | 0-1 | -1 |

statfox Forecaster | **UCF 23, CONNECTICUT 19**

**KEY GAME TREND:** CONNECTICUT is 15-6 UNDER as an underdog over the L3 seasons.

## TULANE vs TULSA (-11½)

• **(375) TULANE (SU: 3-3, ATS: 4-1) at (376) TULSA (SU: 4-2, ATS: 3-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 3:45 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| TULANE | +5.5 | 24.3 | 28 | 18 | 51-234 (4.6) | 9-20-110 (5.6) | 71-344 (4.8) | 1-0 | 23 | 16 | 39-142 (3.7) | 15-28-182 (6.6) | 67-324 (4.8) | 2-1 | +8 |
| TULSA | +5.0 | 28.5 | 38 | 28 | 49-209 (4.2) | 21-37-263 (7.1) | 86-472 (5.5) | 1-1 | 33 | 20 | 42-174 (4.1) | 20-36-236 (6.5) | 78-410 (5.3) | 1-1 | -2 |

statfox Forecaster | **TULSA 33, TULANE 24**

**KEY GAME TREND:** TULSA is 12-1 OVER after playing their last game on the road over the L3 seasons.

## HOUSTON (-20½) vs SMU

• **(369) HOUSTON (SU: 6-1, ATS: 4-2) at (370) SMU (SU: 2-4, ATS: 3-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 7:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| HOUSTON | +23.7 | 27.7 | 43 | 28 | 48-200 (4.2) | 26-38-305 (8.0) | 86-505 (5.9) | 1-1 | 19 | 15 | 32-87 (2.7) | 17-30-212 (7.0) | 62-299 (4.8) | 1-1 | -2 |
| SMU | -9.5 | 30.5 | 23 | 20 | 39-176 (4.5) | 20-39-240 (6.1) | 78-416 (5.3) | 0-2 | 33 | 24 | 42-192 (4.5) | 23-40-263 (6.5) | 82-455 (5.5) | 0-2 | +2 |

statfox Forecaster | **HOUSTON 37, SMU 19**

**KEY GAME TREND:** SMU is 1-10 ATS vs. excellent punt coverage teams, allowing <= 7.5 yards per return over the L3 seasons.

# WEEK 8 SECOND-TIER COLLEGE GAMES: SATURDAY, OCTOBER 22

## MIDDLE TENNESSEE ST vs MISSOURI (-7)

• (393) MIDDLE TENN ST (SU: 4-2, ATS: 2-2) at (394) MISSOURI (SU: 2-4, ATS: 3-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 4:00 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| MIDDLE TENN ST | +12.0 | 26.3 | 38 | 27 | 32-175 (5.4) | 32-49-366 (7.5) | 81-541 (6.7) | 0-1 | 26 | 22 | 38-147 (3.8) | 23-40-249 (6.3) | 78-396 (5.1) | 1-1 | EVEN |
| MISSOURI | +7.0 | 34.5 | 33 | 23 | 38-176 (4.6) | 21-38-308 (8.0) | 76-484 (6.4) | 1-1 | 26 | 22 | 42-205 (4.9) | 21-39-233 (6.0) | 81-438 (5.4) | 1-2 | +2 |

statfox Forecaster | MISSOURI 34, MIDDLE TENN ST 29

**KEY GAME TREND:** Rick Stockstill is 1-9 ATS after scoring and allowing 30 pts or more last game as coach of MIDDLE TENN ST.

## MASSACHUSETTS vs SOUTH CAROLINA (-20½)

• (351) MASSACHUSETTS (SU: 1-6, ATS: 3-4) at (352) S CAROLINA (SU: 2-4, ATS: 3-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 12:00 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| MASSACHUSETTS | -13.6 | 30.9 | 20 | 18 | 32-100 (3.1) | 19-33-218 (6.6) | 65-318 (4.9) | 1-1 | 33 | 24 | 42-207 (4.9) | 21-33-262 (8.0) | 75-469 (6.3) | 1-0 | -4 |
| S CAROLINA | -6.2 | 39.2 | 14 | 16 | 31-92 (3.0) | 19-33-212 (6.4) | 64-304 (4.8) | 1-0 | 20 | 23 | 43-223 (5.2) | 18-31-173 (5.6) | 74-396 (5.4) | 1-1 | +1 |

statfox Forecaster | S CAROLINA 26, MASSACHUSETTS 15

**KEY GAME TREND:** Will Muschamp is 2-11 ATS at home in the second half of the season.

## LOUISIANA-MONROE vs NEW MEXICO (-17)

• (355) LA MONROE (SU: 2-4, ATS: 3-3) at (356) NEW MEXICO (SU: 3-3, ATS: 2-4) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 9:00 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| LA MONROE | -12.5 | 27.8 | 26 | 22 | 41-184 (4.5) | 19-33-230 (7.0) | 74-414 (5.6) | 1-1 | 38 | 26 | 48-268 (5.6) | 17-29-207 (7.1) | 77-475 (6.2) | 1-0 | -6 |
| NEW MEXICO | +0.2 | 20.2 | 37 | 22 | 55-357 (6.5) | 8-16-104 (6.4) | 71-461 (6.5) | 0-1 | 37 | 20 | 36-151 (4.2) | 16-28-250 (8.9) | 64-401 (6.3) | 0-0 | -2 |

statfox Forecaster | NEW MEXICO 40, LA MONROE 29

**KEY GAME TREND:** LA MONROE is 1-10 ATS in weeks 5 through 9 over the L3 seasons.

## LOUISIANA TECH (-14½) vs FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL

• (343) LOUISIANA TECH (SU: 4-3, ATS: 4-3) at (344) FLA INTERNATIONAL (SU: 3-4, ATS: 2-5) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 7:00 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| LOUISIANA TECH | +8.9 | 27.0 | 42 | 27 | 30-167 (5.6) | 28-41-383 (9.3) | 71-550 (7.7) | 1-1 | 33 | 25 | 35-142 (4.0) | 25-38-294 (7.7) | 73-436 (6.0) | 1-1 | -2 |
| FLA INTERNATIONAL | -11.1 | 20.1 | 21 | 18 | 35-150 (4.3) | 19-34-211 (6.3) | 69-361 (5.2) | 0-1 | 32 | 22 | 42-212 (5.0) | 17-29-205 (7.1) | 71-417 (5.9) | 1-0 | -6 |

statfox Forecaster | LA TECH 43, FL INTERNATIONAL 22

**KEY GAME TREND:** Troy Calhoun is 5-17 ATS vs. poor passing defenses - allowing 8 or more passing yards/att. as coach of AIR FORCE.

## CHARLOTTE vs MARSHALL (-14)

• (353) CHARLOTTE (SU: 2-5, ATS: 3-4) at (354) MARSHALL (SU: 2-4, ATS: 2-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 5:30 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| CHARLOTTE | -14.3 | 22.7 | 24 | 21 | 42-185 (4.5) | 19-34-199 (5.9) | 76-384 (5.1) | 1-1 | 39 | 24 | 34-153 (4.5) | 23-37-299 (8.0) | 71-452 (6.4) | 1-1 | EVEN |
| MARSHALL | -3.8 | 25.7 | 34 | 21 | 37-117 (3.2) | 20-36-274 (7.7) | 73-391 (5.4) | 0-1 | 38 | 24 | 41-208 (5.1) | 18-30-272 (9.0) | 71-480 (6.8) | 2-0 | +2 |

statfox Forecaster | MARSHALL 37, CHARLOTTE 22

**KEY GAME TREND:** CHARLOTTE is 1-9 ATS when playing with 6 or less days rest over the L2 seasons.

## UTEP vs UTSA (-9)

• (383) UTEP (SU: 1-5, ATS: 2-4) at (384) UTSA (SU: 3-3, ATS: 2-4) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 7:00 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| UTEP | -22.0 | 27.7 | 16 | 16 | 32-156 (4.9) | 16-29-178 (6.1) | 61-334 (5.5) | 1-1 | 38 | 22 | 42-231 (5.5) | 17-27-206 (7.6) | 69-437 (6.3) | 0-0 | -6 |
| UTSA | +1.7 | 22.3 | 26 | 17 | 35-142 (4.0) | 17-28-228 (8.2) | 63-370 (5.9) | 1-0 | 24 | 23 | 41-184 (4.4) | 18-33-223 (6.7) | 74-407 (5.5) | 1-0 | EVEN |

statfox Forecaster | UTSA 26, UTEP 16

**KEY GAME TREND:** UTSA is 0-8 ATS versus poor rushing defenses - allowing >=200 rushing yards/game over the L3 seasons.

## OLD DOMINION vs WESTERN KENTUCKY (-13)

• (385) OLD DOMINION (SU: 4-2, ATS: 4-2) at (386) W KENTUCKY (SU: 4-3, ATS: 1-5) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 7:00 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| OLD DOMINION | +8.5 | 19.3 | 34 | 22 | 39-207 (5.3) | 18-32-226 (7.1) | 71-433 (6.1) | 0-0 | 26 | 22 | 42-158 (3.8) | 18-33-223 (6.8) | 75-381 (5.1) | 1-1 | +9 |
| W KENTUCKY | +7.9 | 30.3 | 38 | 23 | 30-133 (4.4) | 24-37-334 (9.1) | 67-467 (7.0) | 1-1 | 30 | 23 | 37-113 (3.1) | 25-40-311 (7.8) | 77-424 (5.5) | 0-1 | -4 |

statfox Forecaster | W KENTUCKY 42, OLD DOMINION 24

**KEY GAME TREND:** W KENTUCKY is 10-0 OVER after allowing 6.25 or more yards/play in their previous game over the L3 seasons.

# WEEK 8 SECOND-TIER COLLEGE GAMES: SATURDAY, OCTOBER 22

## OHIO (-3) vs KENT STATE

• **(361) OHIO U (SU: 4-3, ATS: 3-4) at (362) KENT ST (SU: 2-5, ATS: 5-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 1:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| OHIO U | +4.3 | 19.3 | 31 | 24 | 43-189 (4.4) | 21-36-234 (6.4) | 79-423 (5.4) | 0-1 | 26 | 20 | 34-109 (3.2) | 23-35-259 (7.4) | 69-368 (5.3) | 1-1 | +9 |
| KENT ST | -5.0 | 22.1 | 23 | 15 | 42-172 (4.0) | 12-23-139 (6.1) | 65-311 (4.8) | 1-1 | 28 | 20 | 39-143 (3.7) | 17-33-210 (6.4) | 72-353 (4.9) | 1-1 | +2 |

statfox Forecaster | **KENT ST 22, OHIO U 21**

**KEY GAME TREND:** OHIO U is 6-0 UNDER when playing with 6 or less days rest this season.

## AKRON vs BALL STATE (-2)

• **(341) AKRON (SU: 4-3, ATS: 2-5) at (342) BALL ST (SU: 4-3, ATS: 5-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 3:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| AKRON | -2.3 | 27.9 | 32 | 20 | 29-130 (4.5) | 19-32-265 (8.2) | 61-395 (6.5) | 1-1 | 35 | 26 | 45-203 (4.5) | 22-36-280 (7.9) | 81-483 (6.0) | 1-1 | -3 |
| BALL ST | +4.4 | 20.3 | 28 | 24 | 47-222 (4.8) | 19-33-218 (6.6) | 80-440 (5.5) | 1-1 | 24 | 20 | 36-151 (4.2) | 23-37-278 (7.5) | 73-429 (5.9) | 1-1 | -4 |

statfox Forecaster | **BALL ST 30, AKRON 29**

**KEY GAME TREND:** Terry Bowden is 10-1 UNDER off a loss by 10 points or more to a conference rival as coach of AKRON.

## BUFFALO vs NORTHERN ILLINOIS (-21½)

• **(357) BUFFALO (SU: 1-5, ATS: 2-4) at (358) N ILLINOIS (SU: 1-6, ATS: 2-5) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 3:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| BUFFALO | -15.5 | 20.5 | 16 | 17 | 35-140 (4.0) | 17-33-181 (5.5) | 68-321 (4.7) | 0-1 | 32 | 21 | 54-285 (5.3) | 12-20-148 (7.5) | 74-433 (5.9) | 1-0 | EVEN |
| N ILLINOIS | -10.0 | 30.0 | 27 | 22 | 40-218 (5.4) | 21-36-237 (6.7) | 76-455 (6.0) | 0-1 | 37 | 26 | 47-224 (4.7) | 19-32-273 (8.5) | 79-497 (6.3) | 0-1 | -5 |

statfox Forecaster | **N ILLINOIS 36, BUFFALO 19**

**KEY GAME TREND:** Rod Carey is 3-13 ATS vs. poor punt return teams, less than 7.5 yards per return as coach of N ILLINOIS.

## EASTERN MICHIGAN vs WESTERN MICHIGAN (-22½)

• **(317) E MICHIGAN (SU: 5-2, ATS: 6-1) at (318) W MICHIGAN (SU: 7-0, ATS: 6-1) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 3:30 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| E MICHIGAN | +3.3 | 22.1 | 32 | 21 | 38-156 (4.1) | 22-34-271 (7.9) | 72-427 (5.9) | 0-1 | 28 | 25 | 39-140 (3.6) | 23-39-262 (6.7) | 78-402 (5.2) | 1-1 | EVEN |
| W MICHIGAN | +26.7 | 26.0 | 44 | 26 | 50-266 (5.4) | 17-25-228 (9.1) | 75-494 (6.6) | 0-0 | 18 | 17 | 33-131 (4.0) | 16-29-207 (7.2) | 62-338 (5.5) | 1-1 | +12 |

statfox Forecaster | **W MICHIGAN 38, E MICHIGAN 19**

**KEY GAME TREND:** W MICHIGAN is 11-1 ATS after scoring 24 points or more in the first half last game over the L3 seasons.

## CENTRAL MICHIGAN vs TOLEDO (-10)

• **(339) C MICHIGAN (SU: 5-2, ATS: 4-3) at (340) TOLEDO (SU: 5-1, ATS: 4-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 12:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| C MICHIGAN | +4.0 | 28.0 | 32 | 22 | 36-148 (4.1) | 21-35-293 (8.4) | 71-441 (6.2) | 1-1 | 28 | 18 | 34-147 (4.3) | 19-33-204 (6.2) | 67-351 (5.2) | 0-1 | EVEN |
| TOLEDO | +19.7 | 22.0 | 43 | 26 | 45-219 (4.9) | 22-31-346 (11.2) | 76-565 (7.4) | 0-1 | 23 | 16 | 34-155 (4.5) | 15-29-205 (7.1) | 63-360 (5.7) | 0-0 | -4 |

statfox Forecaster | **TOLEDO 37, C MICHIGAN 23**

**KEY GAME TREND:** TOLEDO is 8-0 ATS after playing a game at home over the L2 seasons.

## MIAMI OHIO vs BOWLING GREEN (-4)

• **(379) MIAMI OHIO (SU: 1-6, ATS: 4-3) at (380) BOWLING GREEN (SU: 1-6, ATS: 2-5) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 12:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| MIAMI OHIO | -10.0 | 25.0 | 17 | 19 | 36-97 (2.7) | 15-28-235 (8.3) | 64-332 (5.2) | 1-1 | 27 | 19 | 40-158 (3.9) | 15-27-177 (6.6) | 67-335 (5.0) | 1-1 | -4 |
| BOWLING GREEN | -25.1 | 32.7 | 21 | 22 | 38-149 (3.9) | 22-41-239 (5.8) | 79-388 (4.9) | 0-2 | 46 | 25 | 44-219 (5.0) | 22-32-299 (9.3) | 76-518 (6.8) | 0-1 | -13 |

statfox Forecaster | **MIAMI OHIO 25, BOWLING GREEN 25**

**KEY GAME TREND:** BOWLING GREEN is 9-0 ATS after gaining 6.25 or more yards/play in their previous game over the L2 seasons.

## NORTH TEXAS vs ARMY (-18)

• **(315) NORTH TEXAS (SU: 3-3, ATS: 4-1) at (316) ARMY (SU: 4-2, ATS: 4-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 12:00 PM**

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| NORTH TEXAS | -2.8 | 24.3 | 26 | 20 | 38-145 (3.9) | 20-36-205 (5.7) | 74-350 (4.7) | 0-1 | 29 | 21 | 40-189 (4.7) | 18-34-230 (6.8) | 74-419 (5.7) | 0-1 | EVEN |
| ARMY | +21.5 | 18.3 | 36 | 24 | 62-366 (5.9) | 4-9-81 (9.2) | 71-447 (6.3) | 1-0 | 14 | 12 | 28-87 (3.1) | 15-28-161 (5.9) | 56-248 (4.4) | 0-1 | +5 |

statfox Forecaster | **ARMY 35, NORTH TEXAS 10**

**KEY GAME TREND:** NORTH TEXAS is 0-6 ATS away versus good offensive teams - averaging >=425 yards/game over the L3 seasons.

# WEEK 8 SECOND-TIER COLLEGE GAMES: SATURDAY, OCTOBER 22

## WYOMING (-4) vs NEVADA

• (373) WYOMING (SU: 4-2, ATS: 3-3) at (374) NEVADA (SU: 3-4, ATS: 1-6) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 10:30 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| WYOMING | +3.5 | 24.7 | 33 | 21 | 45-197 (4.4) | 14-25-201 (8.0) | 70-398 (5.7) | 1-1 | 30 | 19 | 38-141 (3.8) | 18-34-290 (8.6) | 72-431 (6.0) | 1-2 | +3 |
| NEVADA | -4.6 | 18.7 | 21 | 20 | 41-175 (4.3) | 16-27-179 (6.7) | 68-354 (5.2) | 0-0 | 25 | 22 | 48-251 (5.2) | 13-22-180 (8.1) | 70-431 (6.2) | 1-0 | +5 |

statfox Forecaster | WYOMING 27, NEVADA 22

**KEY GAME TREND:** NEVADA is 7-0 UNDER in October games over the L2 seasons.

## COLORADO STATE vs UNLV (-2)

• (407) COLORADO ST (SU: 3-4, ATS: 5-2) at (408) UNLV (SU: 3-4, ATS: 4-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 5:30 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| COLORADO ST | -4.0 | 29.1 | 25 | 21 | 41-186 (4.5) | 16-31-215 (7.0) | 72-401 (5.6) | 1-1 | 29 | 21 | 44-192 (4.4) | 18-28-234 (8.4) | 72-426 (5.9) | 0-1 | -2 |
| UNLV | +1.7 | 24.1 | 33 | 20 | 44-248 (5.6) | 11-24-157 (6.6) | 68-405 (6.0) | 0-1 | 31 | 21 | 38-163 (4.3) | 18-36-250 (7.0) | 74-413 (5.6) | 1-0 | +1 |

statfox Forecaster | UNLV 33, COLORADO ST 28

**KEY GAME TREND:** UNLV is 8-1 OVER in home games over the L2 seasons.

## FRESNO STATE vs UTAH STATE (-16)

• (409) FRESNO ST (SU: 1-6, ATS: 3-3) at (410) UTAH ST (SU: 2-4, ATS: 3-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 10:30 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| FRESNO ST | -13.0 | 29.1 | 21 | 17 | 35-122 (3.5) | 18-37-233 (6.4) | 72-355 (4.9) | 1-1 | 34 | 22 | 53-276 (5.2) | 12-21-163 (7.7) | 74-439 (5.9) | 0-0 | -7 |
| UTAH ST | -1.7 | 30.2 | 23 | 20 | 36-173 (4.9) | 21-36-218 (6.1) | 72-391 (5.4) | 0-1 | 25 | 20 | 42-158 (3.7) | 17-28-208 (7.4) | 70-366 (5.2) | 0-1 | EVEN |

statfox Forecaster | UTAH ST 33, FRESNO ST 20

**KEY GAME TREND:** FRESNO ST is 6-0 OVER away after playing a game at home over the L2 seasons

## HAWAII vs AIR FORCE (-16½)

• (345) HAWAII (SU: 3-4, ATS: 4-2) at (346) AIR FORCE (SU: 4-2, ATS: 3-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 2:00 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| HAWAII | -8.4 | 27.6 | 30 | 21 | 36-198 (5.5) | 17-29-225 (7.8) | 65-423 (6.5) | 1-1 | 39 | 25 | 43-239 (5.6) | 20-31-249 (8.1) | 74-488 (6.6) | 0-1 | -6 |
| AIR FORCE | +9.5 | 24.2 | 34 | 22 | 58-275 (4.8) | 7-15-184 (12.2) | 73-459 (6.3) | 0-1 | 25 | 17 | 36-129 (3.6) | 15-29-215 (7.4) | 65-344 (5.3) | 0-0 | -1 |

statfox Forecaster | AIR FORCE 42, HAWAII 24

**KEY GAME TREND:** Troy Calhoun is 5-17 ATS vs. poor passing defenses - allowing 8 or more passing yards/att. as coach of AIR FORCE.

## GEORGIA SOUTHERN (-13½) vs NEW MEXICO STATE

• (395) GA SOUTHERN (SU: 3-3, ATS: 1-5) at (396) NEW MEXICO ST (SU: 2-4, ATS: 3-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 8:00 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| GA SOUTHERN | +6.8 | 25.2 | 30 | 24 | 58-267 (4.6) | 11-19-155 (8.2) | 77-422 (5.5) | 1-0 | 24 | 18 | 36-153 (4.3) | 15-27-211 (7.9) | 63-364 (5.8) | 1-1 | +3 |
| NEW MEXICO ST | -17.8 | 22.5 | 27 | 23 | 36-123 (3.5) | 23-42-309 (7.4) | 78-432 (5.5) | 0-2 | 45 | 27 | 46-245 (5.3) | 20-31-275 (8.8) | 77-520 (6.8) | 1-1 | -2 |

statfox Forecaster | GA SOUTHERN 41, NEW MEXICO ST 25

**KEY GAME TREND:** NEW MEXICO ST is 8-0 OVER after 1 or more consecutive losses against the spread over the L2 seasons.

## IDAHO vs APPALACHIAN STATE (-21)

• (349) IDAHO (SU: 4-3, ATS: 3-4) at (350) APPALACHIAN ST (SU: 4-2, ATS: 4-2) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 3:30 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| IDAHO | -10.7 | 26.1 | 25 | 20 | 36-142 (4.0) | 20-35-233 (6.7) | 71-375 (5.3) | 1-1 | 36 | 24 | 36-181 (5.0) | 23-36-292 (8.0) | 72-473 (6.6) | 1-1 | +3 |
| APPALACHIAN ST | +4.5 | 29.7 | 23 | 22 | 46-206 (4.5) | 15-25-172 (6.9) | 71-378 (5.3) | 0-1 | 19 | 20 | 33-135 (4.1) | 21-36-230 (6.4) | 69-365 (5.3) | 0-2 | +4 |

statfox Forecaster | APPALACHIAN ST 37, IDAHO 14

**KEY GAME TREND:** Paul Petrino is 0-11 ATS when playing against a team with a winning record as coach of IDAHO.

## LOUISIANA-LAFAYETTE (-6½) vs TEXAS STATE

• (347) LA LAFAYETTE (SU: 2-4, ATS: 3-3) at (348) TEXAS ST (SU: 2-4, ATS: 3-3) - Saturday, 10/22/2016 7:00 PM

| | | | TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | TEAM DEFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVERAGES) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PDIF | SS | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | PPG | FD | RUSHING | PASSING | TOTAL | FUM-INT | TOD |
| LA LAFAYETTE | -9.0 | 21.3 | 23 | 19 | 45-173 (3.9) | 19-32-201 (6.4) | 77-374 (4.9) | 0-1 | 32 | 23 | 41-125 (3.1) | 22-35-282 (8.0) | 76-407 (5.4) | 0-1 | -2 |
| TEXAS ST | -15.5 | 26.2 | 28 | 21 | 35-89 (2.6) | 25-40-278 (6.9) | 75-367 (4.9) | 0-1 | 43 | 26 | 47-234 (4.9) | 22-33-281 (8.5) | 80-515 (6.4) | 1-0 | -2 |

statfox Forecaster | TEXAS ST 31, LA LAFAYETTE 24

**KEY GAME TREND:** Mark Hudspeth is 13-3 ATS away after playing 2 straight conference games as coach of LA LAFAYETTE.

# NBA REGULAR SEASON WIN TOTAL PROPS

## ATLANTA HAWKS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 43½

**BACKCOURT:** Long-time starting PG Jeff Teague is gone to Indiana, and Dennis Schroder will take over the job in his fourth NBA season. He's ready to do so, but his improvement is still essential to the team's success. G/F Kent Bazemore impressed in his first season with an expanded role last year, and he and Schroder form a formidable defensive backcourt. SG Kyle Korver dipped below 40% from three last year, and a rebound would be huge for this offense.
**FRONTCOURT:** It's a homecoming for Atlanta native C Dwight Howard, who arrives with many questions about where he fits in the pecking order of NBA centers and on the roster of this particular team. He can still dominate in the deep post, but his presence may mark the end of the pace-and-space style that's defined HC Mike Budenholzer's time as coach. PF Paul Millsap is one of the NBA's most underrated—he dropped 45 in a playoff game six months ago.
**BOTTOM LINE:** While fans are familiar with the players replacing Teague and Horford in the Hawks' once-dominant starting five, both Schroder and Howard represent downgrades at their position. If things don't go smoothly, Millsap could be traded. **UNDER 43½**

## BOSTON CELTICS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 52½

**BACKCOURT:** It's hard to believe PG Isaiah Thomas was once a bench player for this team, as he proved himself to be the lifeblood of the Celtics offense in making the All-Star team last year. In SG Avery Bradley and PG Marcus Smart, Boston has two of the best defending guards in the league. If Smart can learn to shoot as well as Bradley, his ceiling goes up considerably. Second-year PG Terry Rozier took strides over the summer and will see minutes this season.
**FRONTCOURT:** While Danny Ainge couldn't quite lure KD to Boston, C Al Horford is by no means a consolation prize. He fits perfectly in the offense and instantly becomes the team's best player. SF Jae Crowder is the perfect mentor for rookie SF Jaylen Brown, as both are gritty defenders with the ability to put the ball in the basket. People seem to have forgotten about PF Kelly Olynyk, who's become a better offensive player every season.
**BOTTOM LINE:** The Celtics added a four-time All-Star and an NBA-ready rookie to a team that won 48 games last season. Throughout his career, Brad Stevens has led his teams to overachieve. It will be interesting to see what that means for a Boston team that's now loaded with talent. **OVER 52½**

## BROOKLYN NETS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 20½

**BACKCOURT:** Linsanity II: The Gentrification is fully underway. PG Jeremy Lin is back in the city that made him famous, and he immediately becomes the face of the franchise for a team that desperately needed one. SG Rondae Hollis-Jefferson can't shoot, but he has time to improve and is already excellent as a defender. PG Greivis Vasquez will get the team fired up off the bench, and rookie PG Isaiah Whitehead brings scoring and Brooklyn toughness.
**FRONTCOURT:** While Lin might be the biggest name, C Brook Lopez is pretty easily the Nets' best player. He has his limitations, but he still appears to be adding to his game and is the clear focal point for the offense. PF Trevor Booker adds to the toughness that pervades the roster, and PF Luis Scola brings some fancy post moves. Look for PF Chris McCullough to surprise some people after sitting for most of his rookie season.
**BOTTOM LINE:** The Nets are really, really bad. The pieces on the roster, if they can really be called that, don't fit together particularly well and, even if they did, they'd still be at a significant talent deficiency. At least they can look forward to making the Celtics' first round pick. **UNDER 20½**

## CHARLOTTE HORNETS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 42½

**BACKCOURT:** Considering his rise to national fame years ago at UConn and his tendency to make huge plays, it's a mystery as to why PG Kemba Walker remains one of the league's most underrated players. He averaged over 20 points per game last season and is ready to make the jump to All-Star status. SG Nicolas Batum is an above-average NBA player at pretty much every basketball skill, and he has a new $120 million contract to prove it.
**FRONTCOURT:** SF Michael Kidd-Gilchrist is allegedly healthy this year. If it's true, he'll be a huge asset as one of the league's most physical and versatile outside defenders. PF Marvin Williams is a perfect 21st-century power forward, as his three-and-D-and-re(bound) skill set is hard to come by. PF Frank Kaminsky can also stretch the floor, but new starting center Roy Hibbert cannot. Hibbert is no longer good at basketball, but he is still tall.
**BOTTOM LINE:** The Hornets' young core got invaluable experience last season, participating in a tight Eastern Conference playoff chase and a first-round Game 7. Walker, Batum, Kidd-Gilchrist and Kaminsky should all have improved their games since last season. **OVER 42½**

## CHICAGO BULLS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 38½

**BACKCOURT:** Lots going on here. The Bulls added one of the greatest basketball players of all time in SG Dwyane Wade, and someone who considers himself to be one of the greatest basketball players of all time in PG Rajon Rondo. They're both quick to say that it's SG Jimmy Butler's team, and it will have to be if they want to succeed. Despite already being a superstar, Butler is still getting better. This backcourt is uniquely bad at shooting.
**FRONTCOURT:** C Robin Lopez joins his fifth team in six seasons, this time replacing an iconic Bull in Joakim Noah. He's a perfectly good center. PF Nikola Mirotic has the potential to be a game-changing player, but has been inconsistent as a shooter—and this team desperately needs a shooter. Taj Gibson and Bobby Portis are more traditional power forwards, and also probably better ones at this point. SF Doug McDermott will benefit from more minutes.
**BOTTOM LINE:** This will be a fun team to watch for the personalities involved, if perhaps not for the style of play. The Bulls roster is simply not currently constructed to keep up with the modern NBA, and they'll struggle from night to night until that changes. **UNDER 38½**

# NBA REGULAR SEASON WIN TOTAL PROPS

## CLEVELAND CAVALIERS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 56½

**BACKCOURT:** PG Kyrie Irving became an instant legend with his Finals-winning shot in Game 7 last year. While he didn't lack for confidence before, that added status will benefit a player who needs to play different roles at different times. SG J.R. Smith, too, is viewed through a new lens now that he's a champion. Smith came up huge for the Cavs in last year's Finals and hasn't put on a shirt since. SG Iman Shumpert really needs to improve his shooting.
**FRONTCOURT:** Basic knowledge of the human body tells us that SF LeBron James has to slow down sometime, but he's shown few actual signs of doing so. Continuing to rest him in the regular season would be very wise of coach Tyronn Lue. It's easy to forget how bad things got with PF Kevin Love last year, but we'll see if his defense on Steph Curry really did heal the situation. When it counts, C Tristan Thompson performs his low-post role to perfection.
**BOTTOM LINE:** With a full offseason as head coach of strategizing under his belt, Lue should be able to create a monster out of a group that few remember seemed lost at times last year. But don't be surprised if James sees more rest than ever. **UNDER 56½**

## DALLAS MAVERICKS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 38½

**BACKCOURT:** Point guards Deron Williams, Devin Harris and J.J. Barea have lived 97 years of life between the three of them, so expect plenty of responsible decision-making on the court and very few fast breaks. Williams' health is critical to the Mavericks' success, and easing off the mid-range jumpers wouldn't hurt. SG Wes Matthews was visibly less athletic last season than he once was, but a return to pre-injury form still isn't out of the question.
**FRONTCOURT:** PF Dirk Nowitzki's adapted old man game is as good as they come, guaranteeing him further success for the near future. The questions in the frontcourt are all regarding offseason acquisitions from Golden State. SF Harrison Barnes will either benefit from a lower-pressure situation or crumble under the expectations of an increased role. C Andrew Bogut will be reliable if he's on the court. The question is whether he can stay there.
**BOTTOM LINE:** Rick Carlisle is one of the best coaches in the NBA, and he frequently has his teams exceeding expectations. The veteran roster offers many mentors for Barnes, meaning he has both the talent and resources to succeed. **OVER 38½**

## DENVER NUGGETS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 37

**BACKCOURT:** PG Emmanuel Mudiay was kind of what everyone expected him to be last year: talented and productive but inconsistent and inefficient. If he can improve as much as SG Gary Harris did in his sophomore campaign last year, he'll be in good shape. SG Will Barton was a revelation as a sixth man last season, and he's a veteran in this backcourt at 25 years old. Rookie guards Jamal Murray and Malik Beasley enter with lots of potential.
**FRONTCOURT:** SF Danilo Gallinari did everything for the Nugs last year before getting injured after the All Star break. His health is a serial problem, but he's the team's leader if he's on the court. C Nikola Jokic is the complete package as a center, and C Jusuf Nurkic is a bully off the bench. PF Kenneth Faried's style of play isn't en vogue in a league that wants shooters at every position, but he dominates when he plays up to his ability.
**BOTTOM LINE:** The Nuggets are loaded with young talent, but they're developing almost all of it simultaneously. Wins shouldn't be a priority for a team this young, and Gallinari and Faried are frequent subjects of trade rumors. **UNDER 37**

## DETROIT PISTONS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 44½

**BACKCOURT:** Emotional leader Reggie Jackson is supposed to miss 15-20 games to start the season, and Ish Smith will start in his absence. Smith showed he could be a playmaker in Philadelphia, which, one assumes, means he can definitely do it here. Kentavious Caldwell-Pope put up 14.5 points per game while regularly guarding the opponent's best player, but only shot 30.9% from deep.
**FRONTCOURT:** Andre Drummond puts up 20-20 stat lines in a way that no one else in the NBA can. The biggest possible step forward for him after his first All-Star campaign would be to improve his free throw shooting. Hybrid F Tobias Harris looked energized in his 25 games as a Piston last season, and remains a unique young talent. SFs Marcus Morris and Stanley Johnson revel in jawing with opponents. PF/C Jon Leuer should contribute as a stretch big.
**BOTTOM LINE:** If anyone can guide a group as unusual as this one, it's probably Stan Van Gundy. The Eastern Conference's crowded middle class presents something of a crapshoot, but Detroit's depth and talent make the Pistons likely to succeed. **OVER 44½**

## GOLDEN STATE WARRIORS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 66½

**BACKCOURT:** PG Steph Curry had the single greatest regular season in the history of basketball, so, uh, there's that. He'll get fewer shots this year, but more open looks, as well. There's an argument to be made that SG Klay Thompson is the best two-way guard in the league, and he may now find himself focusing more on the defensive end of the floor. It's already consensus that rookie SG Patrick McCaw was an absolute steal in the second round.
**FRONTCOURT:** If you checked the transactions wire this offseason, you may have noticed that the Warriors signed SF Kevin Durant. No one knows exactly how the offense will function with him in the mix; they just know it will be dominant. PF David West also joins the fold as a veteran backup to PF Draymond Green. SF Andre Iguodala's still around, too. C Zaza Pachulia is the team's best center, which no one seems concerned about, for some reason.
**BOTTOM LINE:** It's easy to forget just how effortless some of the Warriors' wins were last year. They're not chasing a record anymore, but they won't need to do so to hit another ungodly win total with KD onboard. **OVER 66½**

# NBA REGULAR SEASON WIN TOTAL PROPS

## HOUSTON ROCKETS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 44

**BACKCOURT:** SG James Harden will again be the lead ball handler for the Rockets, and the coaching of Mike D'Antoni means he'll have even more opportunities to score than before. For many, he's an easy preseason favorite for MVP. New SG Eric Gordon has never fulfilled his potential, but, if healthy, can spread the floor both alongside Harden and for a bench unit.
**FRONTCOURT:** C Dwight Howard's departure leaves the Rockets bereft of rim protection and rebounding, but opens up greater possibilities on offense. C Clint Capela and C Nene will fill his shoes in the post. Like Gordon, offseason acquisition PF Ryan Anderson will improve the team's spacing. SFs Trevor Ariza and Corey Brewer are both solid defenders who can shoot, although Ariza looked like he may have lost a step last season.
**BOTTOM LINE:** D'Antoni's arrival and Howard's exit indicate that the Rockets will feature a fun, faster-paced offense that Harden should thrive in. But some bad defenders will have to play not-bad defense if Houston is to thrive. **UNDER 44**

## INDIANA PACERS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 44½

**BACKCOURT:** Swapping in PG Jeff Teague for George Hill at point guard is an offensive upgrade, considering Teague's 40% mark from three last year. SG Monta Ellis looked something close to washed up last year, but apparently put in serious work this offseason. SG Rodney Stuckey, his backup, will look to bounce back after a season in which he was heavily affected by injury.
**FRONTCOURT:** SF Paul George is as good of a centerpiece as any franchise could hope for, and there's a high ceiling on any team he's part of. PF Thad Young didn't get much attention in Brooklyn (or Philly before that), but will remind many of his ability now that he's back on a playoff team. C Myles Turner is one of the league's most exciting big men and is still only 20. C Al Jefferson should fit well as a post scorer off the bench.
**BOTTOM LINE:** It's hard to know what to expect out of a completely revamped Pacers squad. If new head coach Nate McMillan can fit the pieces together, there's more than enough talent for this team to land in the East's top four. **OVER 44½**

## LOS ANGELES CLIPPERS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 53½

**BACKCOURT:** PG Chris Paul remains the ideal point guard, an elite distributor for SG J.J. Redick and the team's bigs who can score with the best of them. Redick is pretty prototypical at his position, too, as an excellent shooter and solid defender. Neither, however is getting any younger. Neither is SG Jamal Crawford, who the Clippers re-signed for big money in the offseason.
**FRONTCOURT:** Between injuries and off-the-court incidents, the 2015-16 season was one to forget for PF Blake Griffin. If he can stay on the court, there's no good reason for him not to dominate. C DeAndre Jordan is a known entity at this point. The offense will never run through him, but it certainly needs him underneath. Luc Mbah a Moute, Alan Anderson and Paul Pierce will all get minutes at an understaffed SF position..
**BOTTOM LINE:** The composition of Doc Rivers' group hasn't changed much in recent years, and the window's closing as Paul ages. The talent is there; is this the year the chemistry and luck fall into place? **OVER 53½**

## LOS ANGELES LAKERS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 24½

**BACKCOURT:** No single player in the league may benefit more than PG D'Angelo Russell does from offseason changes. Without coach Byron Scott and Kobe Bryant in town, he can develop his considerable talent in earnest. SG Jordan Clarkson is the leading returning scorer, and SG Lou Williams is the definition of "instant offense". They have to be happy to see Bryant go, too.
**FRONTCOURT:** SF Brandon Ingram is the latest addition to the youth movement at Staples Center, but his slight frame means he likely won't be a game-changer right off the bat. Veteran SF Luol Deng will be an excellent mentor for him. PF Julius Randle took plenty of steps in the right direction last year and will continue to develop. C Timofey Mozgov is a perfectly fine big man for now.
**BOTTOM LINE:** Come to think of it, pretty much everyone will benefit from Kobe and Scott leaving. New head coach Luke Walton has the proper patient temperament to develop this young team and get some wins in the process. **OVER 24½**

## MEMPHIS GRIZZLIES

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 42½

**BACKCOURT:** PG Mike Conley's huge contract raised some eyebrows, but he earned it. He's suffered from playing too many minutes the past couple seasons, so the team's hoping rookie PG Wade Baldwin is a capable backup right away. If not, Conley will again have to spend too much time on the court. With SG Tony Allen and SG Vince Carter at the 2, the Grizzlies could use some youth there, too. Perhaps sharpshooting SG Troy Daniels can help.
**FRONTCOURT:** PF Zach Randolph looks like an anachronism in today's league, but his scoring moves defy changing play and his own aging. JaMychal Green proved himself capable at the same position, adding depth and versatility to the lineup. C Marc Gasol and SF Chandler Parsons are both recovering from surgery, and both have skill sets that don't exist elsewhere on this roster.
**BOTTOM LINE:** Betting on this team's health is a precarious proposition, but so is betting against their grit. They'll almost certainly be healthier than last year, and can put out a wider variety of lineups than in years past. **OVER 42½**

# NBA REGULAR SEASON WIN TOTAL PROPS

## MIAMI HEAT

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 34½

**BACKCOURT:** PG Goran Dragic has never played up to the level of his Phoenix days since he arrived in Miami, but that could change this year. His best skills are wasted in the halfcourt, and he should be free to run more now that Dwyane Wade is out of town. His backup, the once-unheralded PG Tyler Johnson, suddenly faces expectations after signing a big contract. SG Josh Richardson is injured to start the season, but Dion Waiters is a capable fill-in.
**FRONTCOURT:** Along with Richardson, SF Justise Winslow helped to form a dynamic rookie duo last season. He's already there on defense and showed more than enough promise on offense. C Hassan Whiteside can dominate games like no one else in the league, but he needs to be more consistent and perhaps even a leader on a team that lost Wade and Chris Bosh.
**BOTTOM LINE:** Almost everything about this team is unpredictable, from post-Wade style of play to Whiteside's attitude from night-to-night. They could possibly compete for the playoffs, but developing Winslow and Richardson is more important. **UNDER 34½**

## MILWAUKEE BUCKS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 34½

**BACKCOURT:** The best part of writing about basketball in 2016 is referring to 6'11" Giannis Antetokounmpo as a point guard. The offense excelled with him there last year, and the prospect of a full season of it has hoops nerds drooling. The news of SG Khris Middleton's long-term injury, however, was one of the biggest bummers of the offseason. PG Matthew Dellavedova has turned into a legitimately good defender and shooter.
**FRONTCOURT:** Middleton's injury does open up greater opportunities for PF Jabari Parker to get reps as the No. 1 scoring option many believe he's destined to be. C Greg Monroe can score and rebound with the best of them, but has drawn little interest in the trade market because of his inability to protect the rim. Post D is C John Henson's best skill, so perhaps he'll see more time in the lineup.
**BOTTOM LINE:** The young Bucks were a sexy pick for a mid-range playoff seed in the East last season, but ultimately disappointed. With Middleton out, it's hard not to think their fate this season comes down to the performance of Parker. **UNDER 34½**

## MINNESOTA TIMBERWOLVES

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 40½

**BACKCOURT:** PG Ricky Rubio catches flak for his inability to shoot, but he's uniquely well-suited to this team. His savant-like court vision and highly underrated defense would be missed if he were moved. SG Zach LaVine appears to have improved in the offseason and may move up on the team's list of scoring options. Rookie PG Kris Dunn is NBA-ready, but how many minutes will he get in a crowded backcourt?
**FRONTCOURT:** Many have C Karl-Anthony Towns among their top ten players in the league right now. That's a little much, but it seems like he's not that far away, either. SF Andrew Wiggins averaged 20 a game in his second season but still showed plenty of room for improvement, which says good things about his ceiling. PF Gorgui Dieng is a good role player alongside lots of guys who need the ball.
**BOTTOM LINE:** How much better can a young team get in a single season? Oozing with talent, Minnesota is a good candidate for a case study to answer that question. New coach Tom Thibodeau will keep the best players on the court plenty, but expectations are a bit too high. **UNDER 40½**

## NEW ORLEANS PELICANS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 37

**BACKCOURT:** PG Jrue Holiday is out indefinitely taking care of his wife, who is ill. His presence will make a significant impact when he returns, as the offense runs through him. G Tyreke Evans can do a lot of things, but is much less steady as a ball handler. No one doubts rookie SG Buddy Hield can shoot, but he has a lot to prove in other areas. New Orleans also signed SG Lance Stephenson in the offseason. Why not?
**FRONTCOURT:** It should tell you something that PF Anthony Davis had something of a disappointing season with over 24 points and 10 rebounds per game. He's still only 23, and ought to improve for years to come. Perhaps coach Alvin Gentry should move him to center, because Omer Asik and Alexis Ajinca are both weak spots for New Orleans when they're on the floor there.
**BOTTOM LINE:** It was an underwhelming season in New Orleans last year, in no small part due to injuries. Their ability to go over the total would seem, right now, highly dependent on when Holiday returns. Frankly, he has more important issues to deal with. **UNDER 37**

## NEW YORK KNICKS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 38½

**BACKCOURT:** We've all accepted that PG Derrick Rose will never recover his MVP form, but he can still be a difference-maker if he plays within his own limitations. PG Brandon Jennings has already earned the love of MSG in the preseason, and he'll bring emotional leadership and quick scoring off the bench. SG Courtney Lee is a steady but unexciting option on the wing, which is maybe what this backcourt needs.
**FRONTCOURT:** SF Carmelo Anthony tends to be the best version of himself when surrounded with a competitive roster, which he is now. PF Kristaps Porzingis was everything Knicks fans dreamed of in his rookie campaign, looking highly skilled and entirely unafraid against NBA competition. He faded somewhat over the course of the long season, which is common for rooks. Just how much gas C Joakim Noah has left in the tank is to be determined.
**BOTTOM LINE:** How these pieces will gel under coach Jeff Hornacek is anyone's guess—there are plenty of volatile personalities. The talent is there, though, and almost everyone here is playing with a chip on his shoulder. **OVER 38½**

# NBA REGULAR SEASON WIN TOTAL PROPS

## OKLAHOMA CITY THUNDER

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 43½

**BACKCOURT:** It's not hard to see why PG Russell Westbrook is an MVP favorite: He's smack in the middle of his prime and he's entering a season as the undisputed No. 1 option for the first time in his career. He's never had a backcourt partner as good as SG Victor Oladipo, who is underrated after getting little national attention in Orlando. SG/SF Andre Roberson may start alongside them, creating terror on the defensive perimeter.
**FRONTCOURT:** It's a new-look frontcourt without Kevin Durant and Serge Ibaka. Centers Steven Adams and Enes Kanter are a great defense-offense combo, respectively, but are too lumbering to see the court together regularly. Rookie PF Domantas Sabonis looks ready to contribute right away, which he will probably need to. SF Kyle Singler has quietly improved over the course of his young career.
**BOTTOM LINE:** It's worth tuning in on any given night for the possibility of Russ putting up a 40-15-15 line, but how much can he do by himself? Watching him dominate the ball will be fun for a while, but the role players here don't inspire a ton of confidence. **UNDER 43½**

## ORLANDO MAGIC

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 37½

**BACKCOURT:** By trading Victor Oladipo and re-signing SG Evan Fournier to a big contract, the Magic showed serious faith in the Frenchman. His ability to shoulder a greater bulk of the scoring load will decide a lot. PG Elfrid Payton showed the full skill set of a pure point last season, now he just needs to refine all its elements. Veterans PG D.J. Augustin and SG Jodie Meeks are reliable.
**FRONTCOURT:** Has any one team ever acquired so much rim protection in an offseason? The answer will be "no" if PF Serge Ibaka and C Bismack Biyombo play up to expectations. SF Aaron Gordon came into his own in the second half of last year. Now SF Mario Hezonja needs to make similar strides in his second season. C Nikola Vucevic brings size and passing skill to the post.
**BOTTOM LINE:** It's entirely unclear what the long-term plans are in Orlando, but they certainly seem to be trending upwards. They could greatly exceed expectations if all the young guys make the next step in their progressions at once. **OVER 37½**

## PHILADELPHIA 76ERS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 24½

**BACKCOURT:** The Sixers' talent isn't in their backcourt, but their veteran leadership is. PG Jerryd Bayless comes over from Milwaukee to run the point, and is the serviceable type of player you want alongside young guys. So is SG Gerald Henderson, who arrives by way of Portland. Both are 28 years old, but are the elder statesmen of the team. Rookie SG Timothe Luwawu probably won't contribute right away, but is a nice prospect for a team that can afford to wait.
**FRONTCOURT:** Some of the worst news of the offseason was No. 1 overall pick F Ben Simmons' fractured foot, which will sideline him for three months. F Dario Saric will start in Simmons' absence now that he's finally stateside. There's a huge logjam at center, where Jahlil Okafor, Nerlens Noel and Joel Embiid will all vie for minutes. It's only a matter of time before Philly trades one of them. Like Saric, Embiid's arrival on the court was years in the making.
**BOTTOM LINE:** Embiid and Saric are The Process incarnate, and they bring genuine hope to a team that's needed it. Simmons will be an impact player upon his return, but who knows when that will be. **UNDER 24½**

## PHOENIX SUNS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 30

**BACKCOURT:** At the age of 19, SG Devin Booker is one of the most exciting young players in the NBA. He averaged 19 points per game after the All-Star break last year. PG Eric Bledsoe has fallen out of public discussion somewhat recently, but he's one of the league's best scoring point guards and defenders, too. PG Brandon Knight has had a rough go in Phoenix, but coming off the bench might be exactly what he needs. He also may hate it and ask for a trade.
**FRONTCOURT:** Rookie PFs Marquese Chriss and Dragan Bender join the fold as top-eight draft picks. Both are longer-term projects, but have immense talent and skills that could potentially be useful for the team right away. C Tyson Chandler is still starting down low, but the Suns would love the younger C Alex Len to give the team a reason to put him in the starting lineup instead. SFs P.J. Tucker and T.J. Warren will likely compete to start throughout the year.
**BOTTOM LINE:** Everyone's excited about Devin Booker, and with plenty of good reason. Bledsoe, too, seems like a sure thing. If things break right for at least two of the Chriss-Bender-Knight-Warren group, the Suns could surprise the way they did three years ago. **OVER 30**

## PORTLAND TRAIL BLAZERS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 45½

**BACKCOURT:** PG Damian Lillard was a snub for the All-Star Game last season, but was awarded Second Team All-NBA status at the year's end, joining the likes of Chris Paul and Kevin Durant. He's one of the best leaders a team could ask for. He and SG C.J. McCollum will dominate the ball, with McCollum being a surprisingly efficient scorer. SG Allen Crabbe, his backup, returns on a big contract and likely with a larger role.
**FRONTCOURT:** PF Al-Farouq Aminu is the best defensive player on the roster, and he averaged nearly 15 points and nine rebounds per game in the postseason last year. C Ed Davis is the other outstanding defender on the front line. SF Evan Turner arrives via free agency to do a little bit of everything except, hopefully, shoot three-pointers. C Mason Plumlee and PF Meyers Leonard both look to take steps forward.
**BOTTOM LINE:** After tons of talent left in free agency left last offseason, the Blazers greatly exceeded expectations by winning 44 games and a playoff series. Their core is young, so there's no reason for them to not keep improving. **OVER 45½**

# NBA REGULAR SEASON WIN TOTAL PROPS

## SACRAMENTO KINGS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 34

**BACKCOURT:** PG Darren Collison replaces Rajon Rondo in the starting lineup, or at least he will after serving an eight-game suspension for domestic violence. PG Ty Lawson will sub in for him—if he can make it to the regular season without getting cut, that is. Maybe it will be PG Jordan Farmar? No one will blame SG Arron Afflalo for not putting forth full effort for this team, but SG Ben McLemore needs to prove he belongs in an NBA rotation.
**FRONTCOURT:** A happy, productive C DeMarcus Cousins is something of a mythical creature in Sacramento, but has been seen before. There's no reason to believe Cousins will be happy this year, though, and he's right not to be. SF Rudy Gay has expressed similar discontent, although it's fair to ask if he can be a part of a winning team anywhere. It would be nice to see C Willie Cauley-Stein have a more polished offensive game in his second season.
**BOTTOM LINE:** Lawson's preseason drama (in which he missed a team flight) is just the latest example of dysfunction for the Kings. It seems likely that Gay will be gone before the season's up, and wholly possible that Cousins will be, too. **UNDER 34**

## SAN ANTONIO SPURS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 56½

**BACKCOURT:** PG Tony Parker has clearly lost a step from the days of his prime. He can still capably run the offense, but stands little chance guarding the league's best point guards—most of whom are in the West. Lovable as he is, SG Manu Ginobili is even further over the hill. SG Danny Green was only 33.2% from deep last year and needs to bounce back. PGs Patty Mills and rookie Dejounte Murray should see plenty of time behind Parker.
**FRONTCOURT:** Tim Duncan had been such a large part of the identity of this Spurs team, and now he's gone. That's why they brought in PF LaMarcus Aldridge last offseason, though, and he assimilated to the team fairly well in his first year. C Pau Gasol comes via free agency to likely start at center. SF Kawhi Leonard is an MVP favorite, and will take his best shot at a third straight Defensive Player of the Year award.
**BOTTOM LINE:** Duncan's departure is going to hurt more than many people realize, but Gasol can still make a big difference in the right situation. Just as they are for seemingly everyone else, the Spurs are that right situation for Gasol. **OVER 56½**

## TORONTO RAPTORS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 50½

**BACKCOURT:** PG Kyle Lowry looked like an improved player in every possible way last season in earning his way to a Third Team All-NBA nod. It's hard to believe that he's just now reaching his prime at the age of 30. SG DeMar DeRozan had a breakout season, too, finishing second in the Eastern Conference with 23.5 points per game. Local boy PG Cory Joseph is an elite backup point guard behind Lowry.
**FRONTCOURT:** C Jonas Valanciunas is one of the NBA's more underappreciated talents, likely because his post moves are a little old fashioned. The other possible reason is his not-so-good defense. The Raps drafted similarly tall C Jakob Poeltl to back him up, and he's at least interesting as a prospect. SF DeMarre Carroll missed most of his first season in Toronto with an injury, and his full-time presence will help on both ends of the floor.
**BOTTOM LINE:** Losing playoff stud Bismack Biyombo hurts, but Carroll was the team's big signing a year ago and adds incredibly valuable depth on the wing. If there's a drop-off here, it will be slight. **OVER 50½**

## UTAH JAZZ

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 49

**BACKCOURT:** PG Dante Exum is back at full health and looked it in the preseason, showing the explosiveness that made him a top-five pick. He'll back up PG George Hill, an underrated point guard and a huge upgrade at the position for Utah. SG Rodney Hood broke out in his second year in the league, regularly stringing together 20-plus-point performances in the season's second half.
**FRONTCOURT:** C Rudy "The French Rejection" Gobert was third in the league with 2.21 blocks per game last year, and he's the kind of rim protector other teams would kill for. He was also sixth with 11.0 rebounds per game. PF Derrick Favors also does both those things well alongside him, and rookie PF Trey Lyles impressed at the end of his rookie year. Star SF Gordon Hayward is uncertain when he'll return from a finger injury, and his absence will be felt.
**BOTTOM LINE:** Fans and talking heads have high expectations for the Jazz this season, and they have good reason to. Almost everyone on the roster is still improving. Hayward's injury may hold them back in the early going, however. **UNDER 49**

## WASHINGTON WIZARDS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over/Under 42½

**BACKCOURT:** While the Wizards' collective performance last year was disappointing, John Wall's was not. He averaged career highs in points, assists, rebounds and steals. Washington's acquisition of PG Trey Burke to back up Wall was somewhat underwhelming, but maybe Burke will thrive with a change of scenery. SG Bradley Beal hasn't proven himself to be a $128-million player, but the Wiz are betting that he will soon.
**FRONTCOURT:** It's a two-man race for the starting small forward spot between Otto Porter and Kelly Oubre, Jr. New head coach Scott Brooks has to be hoping that the competition pushes them both to be better, no matter who's on the floor when the season tips off. With PF Markieff Morris stretching the floor, C Marcin Gortat needed some help down low. Newly-acquired PF Ian Mahinmi should solve that issue.
**BOTTOM LINE:** This is absolutely a roster that should be in the playoffs. We still haven't seen the full potential of a Wall-Beal duo. If Beal's healthy—admittedly a big "if"—we'll see it this year. **OVER 42½**