statfox.com

RATED BETTING GUIDING ANTE OF THE SECOND

COLLEGE

2017 ATINUM SHEET



STATFOX.COM BEST BETS TOP WEEKLY PICKS EXCLUSIVE POWER RATINGS HANDICAPPING SYSTEMS SUPER SITUATIONS HEAD-TO-HEAD TRENDS

SEPTEMBER 10: 25: 2017

ISSUE #4 • SEPTEMBER 19 - 25, 2017

StatFox NFL Power Rating Lines	2
NFL Best Bets / StatFox Staff Selections	3
StatFox NFL Outplay Factor Rating Lines	3
NFL Game-by-Game Breakdowns	4
NFL Top Statistical Edges	10
NFL & College Football Top-Rated Super Situations	11
NFL & College Football Top-Rated Team Power Trends .	11
College Football Best Bets / StatFox Staff Selections	12
StatFox College Football Power Rating Lines	13
StatFox College Outplay Factor Rating Lines	14
College Football Top Statistical Edges	15
College Football Game-by-Game Breakdowns	16

MANAGING EDITOR

Scott Gramling

EXECUTIVE EDITOR

Jeff Makinen

ASSOCIATE EDITOR
Zach "Dutch Touch" Cohen

CREATIVE DIRECTOR

Ian Knowles

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS

Dave Bartman Gary Bennett Brian Graham

ASSISTANT EDITOR Sam "T-Rex Pincer" Chase

EDITORIAL DIRECTION
10Ten Media

DRF PRESIDENTJim Kostas

DRF DIRECTOR OF DIGITAL PRODUCTS Jacob Luft

The Platinum Sheet is published by Daily Racing Form LLC, 708 Third Avenue, 12th Floor, New York, NY 10017. All rights reserved. Daily Racing Form LLC reserves the right to deny any paid advertisements and is not responsible for any contracts entered in with such advertisers.

SUBSCRIPTIONS:

www.StatFox.com/store 1-877-514-4220

StatFox NFL Power Rating Lines - Week 3

** The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

PR - StatFox Power Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

Thu - 9/21,8:25 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/I	Sun - 9/24,9:30 AM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/I	Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/I
301 LA RAMS	-3	9	I\L	Luge	VV/L	461 BALTIMORE	-4	24	-11	7	VV/L	463 CLEVELAND	-2.5	10	IIL	Luge	VV/L
302 SAN FRANCISCO	J	13	-4	7		462 JACKSONVILLE	-7	13	-11	<u>'</u>		464 INDIANAPOLIS	-2.0	21	-11	13.5	
Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
465 PITTSBURGH	-8	25	-14	6		467 MIAMI	-4	18	-5	1		469 DENVER		27	-7	7	П
466 CHICAGO		11				468 NY JETS		13				470 BUFFALO	pk	20			
Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
471 HOUSTON		16		Ť		473 NEW ORLEANS		18		Ť		475 TAMPA BAY		22		Ť	
472 NEW ENGLAND	-10.5	37	-21	10.5		474 CAROLINA	-6	27	-9	3		476 MINNESOTA		25	-3		
Sun - 9/24.1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/I	Sun - 9/24.1:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/I	Sun - 9/24,4:05 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/I
477 ATLANTA	-3.5	32	-9	5.5		479 NY GIANTS	-	18		Lugo		481 SEATTLE	-2.5	23	-4	1.5	
478 DETROIT		23				480 PHILADELPHIA	-3.5	27	-9	5.5		482 TENNESSEE		19			
Sun - 9/24,4:25 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,4:25 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,8:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
483 KANSAS CITY	-3.5	31	-11	7.5		485 CINCINNATI		21		0.5		487 OAKLAND	-3	22	-2	Ť	
484 LA CHARGERS		20				486 GREEN BAY	-8.5	29	-8			488 WASHINGTON		20		1	
Mon - 9/25,8:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L												

24

489 DALLAS

490 ARIZONA

Statfox PRESENTS THE WEEK'S STAFF PICKS

WEEK 3 NFL MATCHUPS: SEPTEMBER 21 - 25 * = Estimated line (MIN QB Sam Bradford questionable)		Stat Fox DAVE	StatFox GARY	StatFox SCOTT	StatFox BRIAN	StatFox FORECASTER	StatFox CONSENSUS
• (301) LA RAMS at (302) SAN FRANCISCO	+2	LA RAMS	LA RAMS	SAN FRANCISCO	LA RAMS	SAN FRANCISCO	LA RAMS
• (461) BALTIMORE vs. (462) JACKSONVILLE in London	+4	BALTIMORE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	JACKSONVILLE	BALTIMORE	JACKSONVILLE	
(463) CLEVELAND at (464) INDIANAPOLIS	+1	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLEVELAND	INDIANAPOLIS	CLICK FOR BEST BET	INDIANAPOLIS	
• (465) PITTSBURGH at (466) CHICAGO	+7½	PITTSBURH	CHICAGO	CHICAGO	CHICAGO	CHICAGO	CHICAGO
(467) MIAMI at (468) NEW YORK JETS	+6	MIAMI	MIAMI	MIAMI	MIAMI	NEW YORK JETS	MIAMI
• (469) DENVER at (470) BUFFALO	+3	DENVER	DENVER	BUFFALO	CLICK FOR BEST BET	BUFFALO	
• (471) HOUSTON at (472) NEW ENGLAND	-13	HOUSTON	NEW ENGLAND	NEW ENGLAND	NEW ENGLAND	HOUSTON	NEW ENGLAND
• (473) NEW ORLEANS at (474) CAROLINA	-6	CAROLINA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CAROLINA	NEW ORLEANS	
• (475) TAMPA BAY at (476) MINNESOTA	+3*	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA	TAMPA BAY	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA
• (477) ATLANTA at (478) DETROIT	+3	DETROIT	ATLANTA	DETROIT	ATLANTA	ATLANTA	ATLANTA
• (479) NEW YORK GIANTS at (480) PHILADELPHIA	-5½	PHILADELPHIA	PHILADELPHIA	PHILADELPHIA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	NEW YORK GIANTS	PHILADELPHIA
• (481) SEATTLE at (482) TENNESSEE	-2½	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE
• (483) KANSAS CITY at (484) LA CHARGERS	+3	KANSAS CITY	LA CHARGERS	KANSAS CITY	KANSAS CITY	KANSAS CITY	KANSAS CITY
• (485) CINCINNATI at (486) GREEN BAY	-9	GREEN BAY	CINCINNATI	CLICK FOR BEST BET	GREEN BAY	CINCINNATI	
• (487) OAKLAND at (488) WASHINGTON	+3½	OAKLAND	WASHINGTON	CLICK FOR BEST BET	OAKLAND	WASHINGTON	
• (489) DALLAS at (490) ARIZONA	+3½	DALLAS	CLICK FOR BEST BET	DALLAS	ARIZONA	ARIZONA	

Statfox NFL Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 3

489 DALLAS

490 ARIZONA

StatEox Scott

26

2017 NFL STAFF PICKS STANDINGS

13

18

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating -adjusted for home field advantage.

OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Line

Th 0/24 0.25 DM		ΔΓ	OFI	L d a. a	14// 1	C 0/24 0.20 AM	OL	0 E	OFI	Calara	\A//I	C 0/24 4:00 DM	ΟL	OF	ΔFI	C d as a	\A//I
Thu - 9/21,8:25 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	VV/L	Sun - 9/24,9:30 AM	OL			Edge	VV/L	Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL		UFL	Edge	VV/L
301 LA RAMS	-3	9.6				461 BALTIMORE	-4	25	-9	5.3		463 CLEVELAND	-2.5	8.6			
302 SAN FRANCISCO		11	-2	4.8		462 JACKSONVILLE		16				464 INDIANAPOLIS		23	-15	17.3	
Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
465 PITTSBURGH	-8	28	-14	6.0		467 MIAMI	-4	18	-5	1.4		469 DENVER		27	-7	7.2	
466 CHICAGO		14				468 NY JETS		13				470 BUFFALO	pk	20			
0 0/04 4 00 000			la =:	I- ·		0 0/0// 00 000	٠.			I		0 0/0// 00 00/	•		la =:	I= .	h
Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,1:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L
471 HOUSTON		19				473 NEW ORLEANS		22		1.0		475 TAMPA BAY		24	-1		
472 NEW ENGLAND	-10.5	38	-19	8.6		474 CAROLINA	-6	27	-5			476 MINNESOTA		22			
Sun - 9/24.1:00 PM		OF	ОГІ	Edge	\A//I	Sun - 9/24.1:00 PM	OL	OF	OF!	Edge	\A//I	Sun - 9/24.4:05 PM	OL	OF	OF!	Edge	\A//I
,	OL				VV/L		OL		OFL	Eage	VV/L					Eage	VV/L
477 ATLANTA	-3.5	32	-10	6.9		479 NY GIANTS		20				481 SEATTLE	-2.5	25	-2		
478 DETROIT		21				480 PHILADELPHIA	-3.5	25	-6	2.2		482 TENNESSEE		23		0.8	
Sun - 9/24,4:25 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,4:25 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,8:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
483 KANSAS CITY	-3.5	33	-14	10.6		485 CINCINNATI		22				487 OAKLAND	-3	24	-1		
484 LA CHARGERS		19				486 GREEN BAY	-8.5	32	-10	1.5		488 WASHINGTON		23		1.8	
Mon - 9/25,8:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L												

w NFL Best Bets (ATS) w т All Games L т Pct Last Week Pct Last Week 11 64.5% 10-6 StatFox Brian 3 0 75.0% 2-0 StatFox Gary StatFox Scott 5 StatFox Consensus 15 16 0 48.4% 11-5 2 0 71.4% 4-1 StatFox Forecaster 15 16 48.4% 10-6 StatFox Gary 5 62.5% 1-2 3 9-7 3 50.0% StatFox Brian 14 17 0 45.2% StatFox Dave 3 0 2-1 StatFox Dave 7-9 14 17 45.2%

9-7

41 9%

0

^{**} The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

NFC WEST Thursday Night Showdown



LOS ANGELES



SAN FRANCISCO



LINE: LOS ANGELES RAMS BY 2

(301) LA RAMS (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (302) SAN FRANCISCO (SU: 0-2, ATS: 1-1) - Thursday, 9/21/2017 8:25 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL I	FUM-INT	TOD
LA RAMS	+15.0 17.5	33	16	27-78 (2.9)	19-28-275 (9.8)	55-353 (6.4)	1-0	18	16	32-152 (4.8)	14-24-153 (6.4)	56-305 (5.4)	0-1	EVEN
SAN FRANCISCO	-11.5 22.0	6	12	17-105 (6.2)	20-31-128 (4.1)	48-233 (4.9)	0-1	18	20	38-124 (3.3)	18-32-176 (5.5)	70-300 (4.3)	0-0	-1

SF is 6-	3-1 SU & 6-4	4 ATS in its L10	games v	rs. LAR
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WI	N O/U
12/24/16	5 LAR -5½	SF 22 at LAR 21	SF	0 (39½)
9/12/16	SF +2½	LAR o at SF 28	SF	U (43½)
1/3/16	SF +3	STL 16 at SF 19	SF	U (39)
11/1/15	STL -7½	SF 6 at STL 27	STL	U (40½)
11/2/14	SF -10½	STL 13 at SF 10	STL	U (44)
10/13/14	↓ STL +3½	SF 31 at STL 17	SF	0 (44)
12/1/13	SF -7½	STL 13 at SF 23	SF	U (41)
9/26/13	STL +3½	SF 35 at STL 11	SF	0 (43)
12/2/12	STL +7	SF 13 at STL 16	STL	U (41)
11/11/12	SF -13½	STL 24 at SF 24	STL	0 (37½)

BETTING SYSTEM:

Any team - after scoring 9 points or less in 2 straight games.

Play = SAN FRANCISCO against the spread

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- SAN FRANCISCO is 1-8 ATS after gaining 150 or less pass yards in last game over L3 seasons.
- SAN FRANCISCO is 2-9 ATS after 2 or more consecutive losses since the start of last season.
- SAN FRANCISCO is 10-0 under the 1H total when playing on a Thursday since 1992.
- LA RAMS are 8-1 UNDER in a road game where the total is between 35½ and 42 points since the start of the 2015 season.
- LA RAMS are 16-34 ATS away after a game with a turnover margin of -2 or worse since 1992.
- LA RAMS are 11-3 UNDER in games where the line is +3 to -3 since the start of the 2015 season.



Los Angeles Rams 16 San Francisco 49ers 20

AFC Showdown in Jondon



BALTIMORE RAVENS



JACKSONVILLE



LINE: BALTIMORE BY 4

(461) BALTIMORE (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) vs. (462) JACKSONVILLE (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 9:30 AM at Wembley Stadium in London, England

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD		
BALTIMORE	+17.0 16.0	22	20	37-146 (4.0)	17-26-156 (6.1)	63-302 (4.8)	0-1	5	17	22-85 (4.0)	18-36-218 (6.0)	58-303 (5.2)	1-4	+7		
JACKSONVILLE	+0.5 18.0	22	20	32-127 (4.0)	16-28-168 (6.1)	60-295 (4.9)	0-1	22	22	30-136 (4.6)	17-32-160 (5.1)	62-296 (4.8)	2-1	+2		

JAC is 3	-7 SU & 6-3	-1 ATS in its L10	games	vs. BAL
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WI	N O/U
9/25/16	JAC +2½	BAL 19 at JAC 17	JAC	U (45½)
11/15/15	BAL-5	JAC 22 at BAL 20	JAC	U (46½)
12/14/14	BAL -14	JAC 12 at BAL 20	JAC	U (44½)
10/24/11	JAC +10	BAL 7 at JAC 12	JAC	U (38½)
12/28/08	BAL -10½	JAC 7 at BAL 27	BAL	U (37½)
11/13/05	JAC -6	BAL 3 at JAC 30	JAC	U (33½)
11/2/03	BAL -61/2	JAC 17 at BAL 24	BAL	0 (37½)
10/20/02	BAL +1	JAC 10 at BAL 17	BAL	U (38)
11/25/01	JAC +3	BAL 24 at JAC 21	Push	0 (34)
10/28/01	BAL -7½	JAC 17 at BAL 18	JAC	0 (33)

BETTING SYSTEM:

Any team - team that had turnover margin of -1/game or worse last season, in conference games. (24-8 ATS)

Play = JACKSONVILLE against the spread

- BALTIMORE is 28-8 UNDER against AFC South division opponents since 1992.
- BALTIMORE is 0-6 ATS after allowing <=99 rush yards in 2 straight games over L2 seasons.
- BALTIMORE is 32-18 UNDER away after 2+ consecutive wins against the spread since 1992.
- JACKSONVILLE is 9-1 OVER off a home loss since the start of the 2015 season.
- JACKSONVILLE is 10-3 OVER when playing with 6 or less days rest since the start of last season.
- JACKSONVILLE is 11-3 OVER after playing a game at home since the start of the 2015 season.



Baltimore Ravens	22
Jacksonville Jaguars	19

NFC SOUTH Divisional Showdown



NEW ORLEANS



CAROLINA



LINE: CAROLINA BY 6

· (473) NEW ORLEANS (SU: 0-2, ATS: 0-2) at (474) CAROLINA (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-0-1) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
NEW ORLEANS	-13.0 26.5	20	20	19-70 (3.7)	27-41-316 (7.7)	60-386 (6.4)	0-0	32	26	30-124 (4.1)	28-36-388 (10.9)	66-512 (7.8)) 0-0	EVEN
CAROLINA	+13.0 14.5	16	20	33-96 (2.9)	17-28-174 (6.1)	61-270 (4.4)	0-0	3	12	19-60 (3.2)	20-30-136 (4.6)	49-196 (4.0)) 0-0	EVEN

CAR is	7-3 SU & 4-5	5-1 ATS in its L10) games	vs. NO
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	N 0/U
11/17/1	6 CAR -3	NO 20 at CAR 23	Push	U (53)
10/16/1	6 NO +2½	CAR 38 at NO 41	NO	0 (53)
12/6/15	NO +5½	CAR 41 at NO 38	NO	0 (50½)
9/27/15	CAR -10	NO 22 at CAR 27	NO	0 (43)
12/7/14	NO -8½	CAR 41 at NO 10	CAR	0 (50½)
10/30/1	4 CAR +3	NO 28 at CAR 10	NO	U (48½)
12/22/1	3 CAR -3	NO 13 at CAR 17	CAR	U (44)
12/8/13	NO -3	CAR 13 at NO 31	NO	U (47)
12/30/1	2 NO -4	CAR 44 at NO 38	CAR	0 (53½)
9/16/12	CAR +3	NO 27 at CAR 35	CAR	0 (53½)

BETTING SYSTEM:

Any team where the total is between 421/2 and 49 points - after going under the total by more than 28 points in their previous game, in conference games. (24-5 Under)

Play = Under the total

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- NEW ORLEANS is 61-40 ATS away after 1+ consecutive losses against the spread since 1992.
- NEW ORLEANS is 36-57 ATS after allowing 6.5+ yards/play in their previous game since 1992.
- Sean Payton is 19-8 ATS as an underdog of 3½ to 9½ points as coach of NEW ORLEANS.
- CAROLINA is 45-26 UNDER at home versus division opponents since 1992.
- CAROLINA is 29-14 ATS at home off a non-conference game since 1992.
- CAROLINA is 10-3 UNDER in games played on a grass field since the start of last season.



New Orleans Saints 24 22

NFC EAST Divisional Showdown



NEW YORK GIANTS



PHILADELPHIA



LINE: PHILADELPHIA BY 51/2

· (479) NEW YORK GIANTS (SU: 0-2, ATS: 0-2) at (480) PHILADELPHIA (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 1:00 PM

TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
PD	IF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
NY GIANTS (thru Wk 1) -16	.0 23.0	3	13	12-35 (2.9)	29-38-198 (5.2)	50-233 (4.7)	0-1	19	22	31-129 (4.2)	24-39-263 (6.7)	70-392 (5.6)) 0-0	-1
PHILADELPHIA +3	.0 23.0	25	23	20-82 (4.0)	26-42-298 (7.0)	62-380 (6.1)	1-1	22	16	18-88 (4.9)	22-34-216 (6.4)	52-304 (5.8)) 2-0	EVEN

PHI is 7-3 SU & 7-3 ATS in its L10 games vs. NYG DATE HOME LINE SU RESULT ATS WIN O/U 12/22/16 PHI -1 NYG 19 at PHI 24 PHI O (42½) 11/6/16 NYG -3 PHI 23 at NYG 28 NYG 0 (42½) 1/3/16 NYG -3½ PHI 35 at NYG 30 PHI 0 (50½) PHI U (50½) 10/19/15 PHI -3½ NYG 7 at PHI 27 12/28/14 NYG +1 PHI 34 at NYG 26 PHI 0 (51½) 10/12/14 PHI-1½ NYG o at PHI 27 PHI U (50½) 10/27/13 PHI-5½ U (49) NYG 15 at PHI 7 NYG 10/6/13 NYG o PHI 36 at NYG 21 PHI 0 (54) 12/30/12 NYG -7 PHI 7 at NYG 42 NYG 0 (44) 9/30/12 PHI -1½ NYG 17 at PHI 19 U (46)

KEY STATFOX SITUATIONAL POWER TREND:

NEW YORK GIANTS are 10-2 ATS since the start of the 2015 season when coming off back-to-back games in which they allowed fewer than 100 rushing yards. The average score was NEW YORK GIANTS 24.3, OPPONENT 20.9. Play = NEW YORK GIANTS against the spread.

- NY GIANTS are 75-52 UNDER away in games played on a grass field since 1992.
- NY GIANTS are 12-1 under 1H total as underdog of 3½ to 9½ points since start of 2015.
- \bullet NY GIANTS are 9-0 under 1H total as road underdog of $3\frac{1}{2}$ to 7 points since the start of the 2015 season.
- PHILADELPHIA is 32-12 against the 1H line at home after a 2 game road trip since 1992.
- PHILADELPHIA is 34-16 against the 1H line after a 2 game road trip since 1992.
- PHILADELPHIA is 18-7 over 1H total after gaining 275+ pass yards in 3 straight since 1992.



New York Giants	18
Philadelphia Eagles	20

NFC Conference Showdown



ATLANTA



DETROIT



LINE: ATLANTA BY 3

(477) ATLANTA (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) at (478) DETROIT (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL I	FUM-INT	TOD	
ATLANTA	+8.5 17.5	28	18	25-102 (4.1)	20-29-266 (9.2)	54-368 (6.8)	0-0	20	22	17-92 (5.4)	30-45-242 (5.4)	62-334 (5.4)	0-0	+2	
DETROIT (thru Wk 1)	+12.0 24.0	35	19	27-82 (3.0)	29-41-285 (7.0)	68-367 (5.4)	0-1	23	24	18-45 (2.5)	27-48-264 (5.5)	66-309 (4.7)	1-3	+3	

DET is	4-6 SU & 2-	8 ATS in its L10	games v	/s. ATL
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WI	N O/U
10/26/14	ATL +3½	DET 22 vs ATL 21	ATL	U (45½)
12/22/12	DET +3½	ATL 31 at DET 18	ATL	U (49½)
10/23/11	DET -4½	ATL 23 at DET 16	ATL	U (46½)
9/7/08	ATL +3	DET 21 at ATL 34	ATL	0 (41)
11/5/06	DET +5½	ATL 14 at DET 30	DET	U (47)
11/24/05	DET +3	ATL 27 at DET 7	ATL	U (43½)
10/10/04	. ATL -7	DET 17 at ATL 10	DET	U (41½)
12/22/02	ATL -11	DET 15 at ATL 36	ATL	0 (44)
11/12/00	DET -7½	ATL 10 at DET 13	ATL	U (40½)
12/20/98	DET +6	ATL 24 at DET 17	ATL	U (45)

BETTING SYSTEM:

Road favorites - solid team from last season - outscored opponents by 7 or more points per game, after a win by 10 or more points. (4-22 ATS)

Play = DETROIT against the spread

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- ATLANTA is 12-1 OVER as a favorite since the start of last season.
- ATLANTA is 13-2 OVER against conference opponents since the start of last season.
- ATLANTA is 13-2 OVER off 1 or more straight overs since the start of last season.
- DETROIT is 52-34 OVER at home in the first half of the season since 1992.
- Jim Caldwell is 14-5 OVER at home against conference opponents as coach of DETROIT.
- DETROIT is 3-12 ATS off a double-digit road win since 1992.



Atlanta Falcons 29 21

NFC Conference Showdown



TAMPA BAY **BUCCANEERS**



VIKINGS



NO LINE

(475) TAMPA BAY (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) at (476) MINNESOTA (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 1:00 PM


	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)					
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
TAMPA BAY	+22.0 11.0	29	22	34-117 (3.4)	18-31-194 (6.3)	65-311 (4.8)	1-0	7	19	16-20 (1.2)	31-45-290 (6.4)	61-310 (5.1)	2-2	+3
MINNESOTA	-3.5 21.5	19	18	25-110 (4.4)	24-35-244 (7.0)	60-354 (5.9)	0-0	22	20	26-81 (3.1)	25-36-258 (7.2)	62-339 (5.5)	0-0	-1

MIN is	3-7 SU & 3-	7 ATS in its L10	games v	s. TB
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	I 0/U
10/26/14	4 TB-1	MIN 19 at TB 13	MIN	U (43)
10/25/12	2 MIN -5	TB 36 at MIN 17	TB	0 (43½)
9/18/11	MIN -1	TB 24 at MIN 20	TB	0 (41½)
11/16/08	3 TB-5½	MIN 13 at TB 19	TB	U (38½)
9/11/05	MIN -5½	TB 24 at MIN 13	TB	U (43)
11/3/02	TB -7½	MIN 24 at TB 38	TB	0 (37)
10/28/01	1 TB -3	MIN 14 at TB 41	TB	0 (38½)
9/30/01	MIN +2	TB 16 at MIN 20	MIN	U (38½)
10/29/00) TB-3	MIN 13 at TB 41	TB	0 (41)
10/9/00	MIN -2	TB 23 at MIN 30	MIN	0 (40½)

BETTING SYSTEM:

Home teams - good passing team from last season - had a completion pct of 60% or better, after gaining 4.5 or less passing yards/attempt in last game. (7-30 ATS) Play = TAMPA BAY against the spread

- TAMPA BAY is 55-30 UNDER after a win by 10 or more points since 1992.
- TAMPA BAY is 41-19 UNDER after a win by 14 or more points since 1992.
- TAMPA BAY is 178-132 UNDER against conference opponents since 1992.
- MINNESOTA is 17-5 ATS in games played on turf since the start of the 2015 season.
- MINNESOTA is 7-0 ATS at home in the first half of the season since the start of the 2015 season.
- MINNESOTA is 11-3 ATS at home vs. conference opponents since the start of the 2015 season.



Tampa Bay Buccaneers	20
Minnesota Vikings	. 19

AFC EAST Divisional Showdown



DOLPHINS



NEW YORK



LINE: MIAMI BY 6

· (467) MIAMI (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) at (468) NEW YORK JETS (SU: 0-2, ATS: 0-2) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)					
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
MIAMI	+2.0 18.0	19	21	32-111 (3.5)	24-34-225 (6.6)	66-336 (5.1)	0-0	17	24	14-44 (3.1)	31-39-323 (8.3)	53-367 (6.9)	0-0	EVEN
NEW YORK JETS	-17.0 19.5	16	14	20-82 (4.1)	22-32-160 (5.0)	52-242 (4.7)	1-1	33	22	34-185 (5.4)	20-28-224 (8.0)	62-409 (6.6)	0-0	-3

NYJ is 5-5 SU & 6-4 ATS in its L10 games vs. MIA DATE HOME LINE SU RESULT ATS WIN O/U 12/17/16 NYJ +2½ MIA 34 at NYJ 13 MIA O (39½) 11/6/16 MIA - 3½ NYJ 23 at MIA 27 MIA 0 (45) NYJ 0 (43½) 11/29/15 NYJ -4 MIA 20 at NYJ 38 10/4/15 MIA +2½ NYJ 27 vs MIA 14 U (42) 12/28/14 MIA -7 0 (41) NYJ 37 at MIA 24 NYJ U (41½) 12/1/14 NYJ +7 MIA 16 at NYJ 13 12/29/13 MIA -7½ NYJ 20 at MIA 7 U (41) U (40) 12/1/13 NYJ +1 MIA 23 at NYJ 3 MIA MIA 30 at NYJ 9 MIA U (39½) 10/28/12 NYJ-1 9/23/12 MIA +2½ NYJ 23 at MIA 20 NYJ O (40½)

BETTING SYSTEM:

Home teams - team with a poor scoring defense last season - allowed 24 or more points/game, after a playing a game where 50 total points or more were scored. (16-47 ATS) Play = MIAMI against the spread

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- MIAMI is 6-0 OVER versus division opponents since the start of last season.
- MIAMI is 9-1 OVER after 1 or more consecutive wins against the spread since the start of last season.
- MIAMI is 46-68 ATS as a favorite of 3½ to 9½ points since 1992.
- NY JETS are 41-25 OVER after 2 consecutive games with a turnover margin of -1 or worse since 1992.
- NY JETS are 56-30 UNDER after allowing 6 or more yards/play in their previous game since 1992.
- NY JETS are 18-5 UNDER after allowing 7 or more yards/play in their previous game since 1992.



Miami Dolphins 23

AFC WEST Divisional Showdown



KANSAS CITY



LOS ANGELES CHARGERS



LINE: KANSAS CITY BY 3

· (483) KANSAS CITY (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) at (484) LA CHARGERS (SU: 0-2, ATS: 0-1-1) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 4:25 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL F	FUM-INT	TOD	
KANSAS CITY	+11.0 28.0	34	21	23-148 (6.5)	24-32-292 (9.3)	55-440 (8.0)	0-0	24	26	26-116 (4.4)	20-41-273 (6.7)	67-389 (5.8)	0-0	+1	
LA CHARGERS	-2.5 21.5	19	20	18-54 (3.0)	26-36-254 (7.1)	54-308 (5.7)	0-0	22	22	34-126 (3.7)	20-31-203 (6.5)	65-329 (5.1)	0-0	+1	

LAC is	4-6 SU & 5-	5 ATS in its L10	games v	vs. KC
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	1 O/U
1/1/17	SD +5½	KC 37 at SD 27	KC	0 (45)
9/11/16	KC -6½	SD 27 at KC 33	SD	0 (45)
12/13/15	KC -11½	SD 3 at KC 10	SD	U (42)
11/22/15	SD +3	KC 33 at SD 3	KC	U (45½)
12/28/14	. KC -3	SD 7 at KC 19	KC	U (42½)
10/19/14	SD -3	KC 23 at SD 20	KC	U (45½)
12/29/13	SD -15	KC 24 at SD 27	KC	0 (45)
11/24/13	KC -3½	SD 41 at KC 38	SD	0 (43)
11/1/12	SD -7½	KC 13 at SD 31	SD	0 (41)
9/30/12	KC +2	SD 37 at KC 20	SD	0 (43)

KEY COACHING TREND:

Andy Reid is 10-2 ATS in road games versus division opponents as the coach of KANSAS CITY.

- KANSAS CITY is 8-1 ATS as a road favorite of 7 points or less since start of the 2015 season.
- KANSAS CITY is 6-0 ATS away versus division opponents since the start of the 2015 season.
- KANSAS CITY is 6-0 ATS after allowing 400+ total yards in previous game over L2 seasons.
- LA CHARGERS are 27-9 UNDER at home after gaining 6.5+ yards/play in previous game since 1992.
- LA CHARGERS are 55-30 UNDER at home versus division opponents since 1992.
- LA CHARGERS are 10-2 UNDER in games where the line is +3 to -3 since start of 2015 season.



Kansas City Chiefs	26	j
Los Angeles Chargers	22	2



DENVER BRONCOS (-3)

BUFFALO BILLS



· (469) DENVER (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-0-1) at (470) BUFFALO (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-0-1) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 1:00 PM

									TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
DENVER	+14.0	20.5	33	24	38-159 (4.2)	20-30-192 (6.4)	68-351 (5.2)	1-1	19	16	18-52 (2.9)	26-42-206 (5.0)	60-258 (4.3)	0-2	-1
BUFFALO	+1.5	16.5	12	16	32-130 (4.0)	16-26-162 (6.1)	58-292 (5.0)	0-0	10	15	22-58 (2.7)	23-36-177 (5.0)	58-235 (4.1)	0-1	+1

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- DENVER is 7-0 ATS in September games since the start of the 2015 season.
- DENVER is 39-19 OVER away off a home win by 10 points or more since 1992.
- DENVER is 174-138 OVER against conference opponents since 1992.
- BUFFALO is 7-0 OVER at home when playing with 6 or less days rest since the start of last season.
- BUFFALO is 42-24 ATS in a home game where the total is between 38½ and 42 points since 1992.
- BUFFALO is 8-1 OVER at home in games played on turf since the start of last season.

BETTING SYSTEM: Home teams - good passing team from last season - had a completion pct of 60% or better, after gaining 4.5 or less passing yards/attempt in last game. (7-30 ATS) Play = DENVER against the spread

 ≸ statfox	Denver Broncos	20
Torecaster	Buffalo Bills	21



PITTSBURGH STEELERS (-7½)

CHICAGO BEARS



(465) PITTSBURGH (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) at (466) CHICAGO (SU: 0-2, ATS: 1-1) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)									TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD		
PITTSBURGH	+10.0 16.5	24	19	24-68 (2.8)	24-36-244 (6.9)	60-312 (5.2)	0-0	14	17	22-74 (3.3)	20-34-163 (4.8)	56-237 (4.2)	0-0	+1		
CHICAGO	-14.0 27.5	12	20	18-72 (4.1)	28-42-233 (5.5)	60-305 (5.1)	1-1	26	20	28-90 (3.2)	20-30-251 (8.2)	58-341 (5.9)	0-0	-3		

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- CHICAGO is 7-18 ATS at home when the total is greater than or equal to 45½ since 1992.
- CHICAGO head coach John Fox is 18-4 ATS in his coaching career after trailing in his previous game by 14 or more points at the half.
- PITTSBURGH is 6-15 ATS in road games in the first month of the season under head coach Mike Tomlin.
- PITTSBURGH is 101-71 ATS as a favorite of 3½ to 9½ points since 1992.
- PITTSBURGH is 22-33 ATS in road games when coming off a straight-up win under head coach Mike Tomlin.

BETTING SYSTEM: Favorites of 3½ to 10 points

- after a game where they committed 1 or less turnovers against opponent after a game with a turnover margin of -3 or worse. (50-21 ATS) Play = PITTSBURGH against the spread

 ≸ statfox	Pittsburgh Steelers 2	26
Torecaster	Chicago Bears	19



CLEVELAND BROWNS (-1)

V S

INDIANAPOLIS COLTS



(463) CLEVELAND (SU: 0-2, ATS: 1-1) at (464) INDIANAPOLIS (SU: 0-2, ATS: 1-1) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)									TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)					
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
CLEVELAND	-8.5	24.5	14	20	23-75 (3.3)	20-36-236 (6.6)	59-311 (5.3)	0-2	22	20	24-86 (3.5)	24-35-228 (6.5)	59-314 (5.3)	0-1	-3
INDIANAPOLIS	-20.0	17.5	11	14	26-76 (2.8)	16-29-170 (5.9)	55-246 (4.5)	0-2	31	18	29-73 (2.5)	20-33-308 (9.3)	62-381 (6.1)	0-0	-2

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- CLEVELAND is 1-9 ATS after gaining 99 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games since start of the 2015 season.
- CLEVELAND is 3-12 ATS after 1 or more consecutive losses since the start of last season.
- CLEVELAND is 5-16 ATS after 2 or more consecutive losses since the start of the 2015 season.
- INDIANAPOLIS is 2-11 ATS at home after scoring 14 points or less in 2 straight games since 1992.
- INDIANAPOLIS is 6-0 UNDER at home against conference opponents since the start of last season. • INDIANAPOLIS is 90-63 UNDER at home when playing with 6 or less days rest since 1992.

between 351/2 and 42 points - good offensive team from last season - scored 24 or more points/game. (37-12 Over)

Play = Over the total

statfox	Cleveland Browns17
Torecaster	Indianapolis Colts

BETTING SYSTEM: Any team where the total is



HOUSTON TEXANS

NEW ENGLAND PATRIOTS (-13)



· (471) HOUSTON (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (472) NEW ENGLAND (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 1:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)									TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)					
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
HOUSTON	-9.0	17.5	10	18	29-130 (4.5)	17-30-104 (3.5)	59-234 (4.0)	2-0	19	16	32-118 (3.8)	16-28-169 (6.0)	60-287 (4.8)	0-0	-3
NEW ENGLAND	+0.5	23.5	32	27	33-122 (3.7)	23-38-342 (9.1)	71-464 (6.5)	0-0	31	23	22-133 (6.0)	28-40-350 (8.8)	62-483 (7.8)	0-0	+1

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- HOUSTON is 3-12 ATS away off a upset win as an underdog since 1992.
- HOUSTON is 36-21 ATS after scoring 14 points or less last game since 1992.
- HOUSTON is 10-2 ATS after scoring 14 points or less in 2 straight games since 1992.
- NEW ENGLAND is 11-1 ATS after gaining 300 or more passing yards in last game since start of the 2015 season.
- NEW ENGLAND is 16-4 ATS as a favorite since the start of last season.
- NEW ENGLAND is 10-1 ATS when playing with 6 or less days rest since the start of last season.

BETTING SYSTEM: Home favorites - good team from last season - outscored opponents by 4 or more points/game, after scoring 30 points or more last game. (42-15 ATS) Play = NEW ENGLAND against the spread

Mstatfox	Houston Texans	15
SESCUCION	IIOUSTOII ICAUIIS	
To another	New England Datriots	26

8 / StatFox Platinum Sheet





SEATTLE SEAHAWKS

V S

TENNESSEE TITANS (-2½)



(481) SEATTLE (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) at (482) TENNESSEE (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 4:05 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)									TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)					
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL F	FUM-INT	TOD
SEATTLE	-2.5	18.0	10	16	28-110 (4.0)	18-33-158 (4.8)	61-268 (4.4)	0-0	13	18	24-122 (5.2)	22-34-188 (5.4)	58-310 (5.3)	0-1	+1
TENNESSEE	+5.5	18.5	26	21	28-137 (4.8)	20-34-233 (6.9)	62-370 (6.0)	0-0	21	21	27-104 (3.9)	21-33-230 (7.0)	60-334 (5.6)	0-1	+2

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- SEATTLE is 41-19 ATS after scoring 17 points or less in 2 straight games since 1992.
- SEATTLE is 117-87 OVER as an underdog since 1992.
- SEATTLE is 29-14 OVER in a road game where the total is between 42½ and 45 points since 1992.
- TENNESSEE is 47-27 ATS in non-conference games since 1992.
- TENNESSEE is 22-8 OVER at home after allowing 3 points or less in the first half last game since 1992.
- TENNESSEE is 89-64 OVER after playing their last game on the road since 1992.

BETTING SYSTEM: Any team against the total off a blowout win by 21 points or more over a division rival, in the first month of the season. (48-19 Over)

Play = Over the total

 ≸ statfox	Seattle Seahawks20	0
Topocastas	Tennessee Titans 2:	3



CINCINNATI BENGALS

GREEN BAY PACKERS (-9)



(485) CINCINNATI (SU: 0-2, ATS: 0-2) at (486) GREEN BAY (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 4:25 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL I	FUM-INT	TOD
CINCINNATI	-12.0	21.0	4	13	23-80 (3.5)	18-33-178 (5.4)	56-258 (4.6)	1-2	16	16	38-162 (4.2)	12-20-104 (5.1)	58-266 (4.6)	0-0	-5
GREEN BAY	-1.5	27.0	20	25	22-72 (3.3)	30-46-297 (6.5)	68-369 (5.4)	0-1	22	16	22-116 (5.1)	16-28-179 (6.5)	50-295 (5.9)	0-0	-2

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- CINCINNATI is 27-10 UNDER in a road game where the total is greater than or equal to 45½ since 1992.
- \bullet CINCINNATI is 21-9 UNDER in a road game where the total is between 45½ and 49 points since 1992.
- CINCINNATI is 43-26 ATS after gaining 99 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games since 1992.
- GREEN BAY is 28-8 OVER at home after gaining 99 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games since 1992.
- GREEN BAY is 54-27 OVER after gaining 99 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games since 1992.
- GREEN BAY is 14-5 ATS as a favorite of 3½ to 9½ points since the start of the 2015 season.

BETTING SYSTEM: Home teams - team with a poor scoring defense last season - allowed 24 or more points/game, after a playing a game where 50 total points or more were scored. (16-47 ATS) Play = CINCINNATI against the spread

	Cincinnati Bengals20
Forecaster	Green Bay Packers 24



OAKLAND RAIDERS (-3½)

V S

WASHINGTON REDSKINS



(487) OAKLAND (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) at (488) WASHINGTON (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 8:30 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)									TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)					
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
OAKLAND	+17.5	15.0	36	22	28-144 (5.2)	22-30-240 (8.0)	58-384 (6.6)	0-0	18	19	23-110 (4.8)	21-33-200 (6.1)	56-310 (5.5)	1-0	+2
WASHINGTON	-3.0	17.5	22	18	28-146 (5.2)	20-34-178 (5.3)	62-324 (5.2)	2-0	25	16	22-75 (3.3)	21-32-269 (8.3)	54-344 (6.4)	1-1	EVEN

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- OAKLAND is 14-3 OVER after 1 or more consecutive wins against the spread since the start of the 2015 season.
- OAKLAND is 6-0 ATS away in the first half of the season since the start of last season.
- OAKLAND is 13-4 ATS away in games played on a grass field since the start of the 2015 season.
- WASHINGTON is 13-31 ATS at home in September games since 1992.
- WASHINGTON is 15-33 ATS at home in the first month of the season since 1992.
- WASHINGTON is 13-3 OVER after allowing 6 or more yards/play in their previous game since the start of the

KEY COACHING TREND: Jack Del Rio is 8-1 ATS in road games in the first half of the season as the coach of OAKLAND.

	Oakland Raiders	28
Torecaster	Washington Redskins	27



DALLAS COWBOYS (-3½)

ARIZONA CARDINALS



· (489) DALLAS (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (490) ARIZONA (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) - Monday, 9/25/2017 8:30 PM

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)					
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
DALLAS	-4.5	22.0	18	19	22-84 (3.8)	27-44-246 (5.5)	66-330 (5.0)	0-1	22	20	26-106 (4.2)	26-35-200 (5.7)	61-306 (5.0)	0-1	+1
ARIZONA	-4.5	18.5	20	20	22-64 (3.0)	23-42-285 (6.8)	64-349 (5.5)	0-2	24	18	28-79 (2.8)	24-39-238 (6.1)	67-317 (4.7)	0-1	-3

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- DALLAS is 8-0 UNDER away off 1 or more straight overs since the start of the 2015 season.
- DALLAS is 11-1 ATS away after allowing 175 or more rushing yards last game since 1992.
- DALLAS is 19-6 ATS away after a loss by 14 or more points since 1992.
- DALLAS is 8-0 UNDER away off 1 or more straight overs since the start of the 2015 season.
- DALLAS is 11-1 ATS away after allowing 175 or more rushing yards last game since 1992.
- DALLAS is 19-6 ATS away after a loss by 14 or more points since 1992.

BETTING SYSTEM: Road teams where the total is between 421/2 and 49 points - good rushing defense from last season - allowed 90 or less rushing yards/game, in conference games. (23-4 Under) Play = Under the total

 ≸ statfox	Dallas Cowboys	27
Forecaster	Arizona Cardinals	26

THE PLATINUM SHEET

Top NFL Statistical Edges - Week 3

Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success.

each of the various statistics to determine if a	any particu				
OFFENSIVE STATISTICS		DEFENSIVE STATISTICS		OFF/DEF DIFFERENTIALS	
Points Scored (PPG)	W/L	Points Allowed (PPG)	W/L	Scoring Differential (PPG)	W/L
1. LA RAMS (vs SF): +27.0		1. CAROLINA (vs NO): +29.5		1. LA RAMS (vs SF): +26.5	
2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +22.0		2. BALTIMORE (vs JAC): +17.0		2. CAROLINA (vs NO): +26.0	
3. NEW ENGLAND (vs HOU): +21.5		3. MIAMI (vs NYJ): +16.0		3. TAMPA BAY (vs MIN): +25.5	
4. DENVER (vs BUF): +21.0		4. TAMPA BAY (vs MIN): +15.5		4. PITTSBURGH (vs CHI): +24.0	
5. TENNESSEE (vs SEA): +16.0		5. PITTSBURGH (vs CHI): +12.5		5. OAKLAND (vs WAS): +20.5	
6. GREEN BAY (vs CIN): +15.5		6. HOUSTON (vs NE): +12.0		6. MIAMI (vs NYJ): +19.0	
7. KANSAS CITY (vs SD): +15.5		7. BUFFALO (vs DEN): +8.5		7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +19.0	
Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG)	W/L	Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG)	W/L	Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG)	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs SD): +94.5		1. MIAMI (vs NYJ): +141.0		1. MIAMI (vs NYJ): +170.0	
2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +47.5		2. CAROLINA (vs NO): +64.0		2. KANSAS CITY (vs SD): +104.5	
3. DENVER (vs BUF): +29.5		3. TAMPA BAY (vs MIN): +61.0		3. CAROLINA (vs NO): +90.0	
4. MIAMI (vs NYJ): +29.0		4. BALTIMORE (vs JAC): +51.0		4. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +88.5	
5. SAN FRANCISCO (vs LA): +27.5		5. DETROIT (vs ATL): +47.0		5. BALTIMORE (vs JAC): +70.5	
6. TENNESSEE (vs SEA): +26.5		6. GREEN BAY (vs CIN): +47.0		6. TAMPA BAY (vs MIN): +68.0	
7. CAROLINA (vs NO): +26.0		7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +41.0		7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs LA): +56.0	
Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR)	W/L	Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR)	W/L	Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR)	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs SD): +3.5	VV/L	1. DETROIT (vs ATL): +2.9	VV/L	1. SAN FRANCISCO (vs LA): +4.8	VV/L
2. SAN FRANCISCO (vs LA): +3.3		2. HOUSTON (vs NE): +2.3		2. HOUSTON (vs NE): +3.1	
3. CHICAGO (vs PIT): +1.3	-	3. MIAMI (vs NYJ): +2.2		3. KANSAS CITY (vs SD): +2.7	
4. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +1.1	$\overline{}$	4. TAMPA BAY (vs MIN): +1.8		4. TENNESSEE (vs SEA): +2.1	-
5. ATLANTA (vs DET): +1.1	$\neg \neg$	5. SAN FRANCISCO (vs LA): +1.5		5. DETROIT (vs ATL): +1.8	$\neg \neg$
6. MINNESOTA (vs TB): +1.0	$\neg \neg$	6. WASHINGTON (vs OAK): +1.5		6. MIAMI (vs NYJ): +1.6	
7. HOUSTON (vs NE): +0.8	$\neg \neg$	7. ARIZONA (vs DAL): +1.4		7. WASHINGTON (vs OAK): +1.5	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG)	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG)	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG)	W/L
1. NEW ENGLAND (vs HOU): +237.5	_	1. CAROLINA (vs NO): +252.0		1. LA RAMS (vs SF): +170.5	
2. LA RAMS (vs SF): +147.5	-	2. HOUSTON (vs NE): +181.0		2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +147.5	-
3. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +141.5	_	3. NY JETS (vs MIA): +99.0	_	3. CLEVELAND (vs IND): +146.5	
4. GREEN BAY (vs CIN): +118.5 5. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +100.5	_	4. PITTSBURGH (vs CHI): +88.0 5. CLEVELAND (vs IND): +80.0		4. OAKLAND (vs WAS): +131.0 5. CAROLINA (vs NO): +110.5	
6. TENNESSEE (vs SEA): +75.0	_	6. CINCINNATI (vs GB): +74.5		6. PITTSBURGH (vs CHI): +99.0	
7. CLEVELAND (vs IND): +66.5		7. LA CHARGERS (vs KC): +70.0		7. MINNESOTA (vs TB): +81.0	
Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA)	W/L	Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA)	W/L	Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA)	W/L
1. LA RAMS (vs SF): +5.7		1. CAROLINA (vs NO): +6.4		1. OAKLAND (vs WAS): +4.9	
2. NEW ENGLAND (vs HOU): +5.6		2. PITTSBURGH (vs CHI): +3.4		2. LA RAMS (vs SF): +4.8	
3. OAKLAND (vs WAS): +2.7		3. CLEVELAND (vs IND): +2.8		3. PITTSBURGH (vs CHI): +4.8	
4. KANSAS CITY (vs SD): +2.2	_	4. HOUSTON (vs NE): +2.7		4. CAROLINA (vs NO): +4.8	
5. ATLANTA (vs DET): +2.2	-	5. OAKLAND (vs WAS): +2.2		5. CLEVELAND (vs IND): +3.5	
6. TENNESSEE (vs SEA): +2.1	_	6. SEATTLE (vs TEN): +1.6		6. NEW ENGLAND (vs HOU): +2.9	
7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +1.8		7. CINCINNATI (vs GB): +1.4		7. ATLANTA (vs DET): +2.3	
Total Yards Gained (TYPG)	W/L	Total Yards Allowed (TYPG)	W/L	Total Yds Differential (TYPG)	W/L
1. NEW ENGLAND (vs HOU): +228.5		1. CAROLINA (vs NO): +316.0		1. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +236.0	
2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +148.0		2. HOUSTON (vs NE): +195.5		2. CAROLINA (vs NO): +200.5	
3. KANSAS CITY (vs SD): +132.5		3. PITTSBURGH (vs CHI): +104.5		3. MIAMI (vs NYJ): +135.5	
4. LA RAMS (vs SF): +120.0		4. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +88.0		4. CLEVELAND (vs IND): +133.5	
5. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +115.5		5. CLEVELAND (vs IND): +67.5		5. LA RAMS (vs SF): +114.5	
6. GREEN BAY (vs CIN): +110.5		6. LA CHARGERS (vs KC): +60.0		6. PITTSBURGH (vs CHI): +111.5	
7. TENNESSEE (vs SEA): +101.5		7. MIAMI (vs NYJ): +42.0		7. OAKLAND (vs WAS): +93.5	
Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP)	W/L	Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP)	W/L	Yards Per Play Differential (YPP)	W/L
1. NEW ENGLAND (vs HOU): +2.6	,_	1. CAROLINA (vs NO): +5.3		1. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +4.4	
2. KANSAS CITY (vs SD): +2.4	$\neg \neg$	2. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +3.0	$\neg \vdash \vdash$	2. CAROLINA (vs NO): +3.3	$\neg \neg$
3. NEW ORLEANS (vs CAR): +2.0	$\neg \neg$	3. MIAMI (vs NYJ): +2.3		3. MIAMI (vs NYJ): +2.7	$\neg \sqcap$
4. LA RAMS (vs SF): +1.6		4. HOUSTON (vs NE): +2.0		4. CLEVELAND (vs IND): +2.4	
5. TENNESSEE (vs SEA): +1.5		5. PITTSBURGH (vs CHI): +1.7		5. LA RAMS (vs SF): +2.3	
6. ATLANTA (vs DET): +1.4		6. DETROIT (vs ATL): +1.6		6. PITTSBURGH (vs CHI): +1.9	
7. PHILADELPHIA (vs NYG): +1.4		7. CLEVELAND (vs IND): +1.6		7. OAKLAND (vs WAS): +1.6	

Statfox Top-Rated NFL & CFB SUPER SITUATIONS

The Super Situations are one of the most popular sections at FoxSheets.com. Situational analysis uncovers conditions and patterns where teams have consistently outperformed or underperformed their normal levels relative to the spread. These systems are tabulated for all teams and are not team-specific. The principle is that teams fall into patterns regardless of personnel—good passing teams, teams seeking revenge, cold teams on a losing streak, etc. Over time, these performance patterns tend to repeat themselves. The star rating is a proprietary calculation used to judge the strength of a situation's past performance record.

- Play Against Home favorites (GREEN BAY) - team with a poor scoring defense last season - allowed 24 or more points/game, after a playing a game where 50 total points or more were scored.
- The situation's record is 33-5 since 1983 (86.8%, +27.5 units).

RATING = ***

- Play On Home favorites (NEW ENGLAND) - good team from last season - outscored opponents by 4 or more points/ game, after scoring 30 points or more last game.
- The situation's record is 42-15 since 1983 (73.7%, +25.5 units).

RATING = ***

- Play Against Home teams (MINNESOTA, BUFFALO) - good passing team from last season had a completion pct. of 60% or better, after gaining 4.5 or less passing yards/attempt last game.
- The situation's record is 30-7 since 1983 (81.1%, +22.3 units).

RATING = ***

- Play On Home underdogs of 14½ or more points (VANDERBILT, CALIFORNIA) with a winning record on the season when coming off backto-back straight-up wins.
- The situation's record is 39-10 since 1992 (79.6%, +28 units).

RATING = ***

- Play On A road team (AUBURN) - after allowing 14 or fewer points in back-to-back games when facing an opponent coming off back-to-back games of allowing more than 30 points.
- The situation's record is 43-12 over the last 10 seasons (78.2%, +29.8 units).

RATING = ***

- Play Against Home 'dogs of at least 14½ points (ARIZONA STATE, KANSAS) - after allowing at least 37 last game vs. opponent coming off back-to-back games of leading by more than 13 points at halftime.
- The situation's record is 30-6 over the last 10 seasons (83.3%, +23.4 units).

RATING = ***

Statfox Top-Rated NFL & CFB TEAM POWER TRENDS

The StatFox Team Power Trends uncover certain situations where a team outperforms or underperforms their normal level of play. Unlike the StatFox Super Situations, all trend records listed apply to the team in question. These trends are great indicators of how teams react to certain situations—coming off a close win, against division opponents, giving up a high number of passing yards, etc. The star rating is a proprietary calculation used to judge the strength of a trend's past performance record.

• NEW ENGLAND is 16-4 ATS as a favorite since the beginning of last season.

The average score was NEW ENGLAND 29.2, OPPONENT 17.6.

PLAY ON NEW ENGLAND RATING = ***

PLAY ON DALLAS

• ATLANTA is 13-0 OVER in games played on turf since the start of last season.

The average score was ATLANTA 35.6, OPPONENT 26.5.

PLAY OVER THE TOTAL **RATING = ******* • DALLAS is 11-1 ATS on the road since 1992 after having allowed 175 or more rushing yards in its previous game.

The average score was DALLAS 25.1, OPPONENT 18.3.

RATING = * * *

• BALTIMORE is 28-8 UNDER against AFC South division opponents since 2002.

The average score was BALTI-MORE 17.4, OPPONENT 18.8.

PLAY UNDER THE TOTAL RATING = * * *

• DENVER is 7-0-1 ATS in September games since the start of the 2015 season.

The average score was DENVER 28.0, OPPONENT 18.0.

PLAY ON DENVER RATING = **

• DALLAS is 8-0 UNDER on the road since the start of the 2015 season when coming off a game that went Over the total.

The average score was DALLAS 19.2, OPPONENT 16.4.

PLAY UNDER THE TOTAL RATING = ***

• PENN STATE is 10-0 ATS since the start of last season when coming off a win against the spread.

The average score was PENN STATE 43.2, OPPONENT 19.9.

PLAY ON PENN STATE **RATING = ******

• OREGON is 28-6 ATS since 1992 when coming off back-toback games in which they led by 14 or more points at halftime.

The average score was OREGON 43.9, OPPONENT 23.8.

PLAY ON OREGON **RATING = *****

• MISSISSIPPI STATE is 13-1 ATS since the start of the 2015 season after played its previous game at home.

The average score was MISSIS-SIPPI ST 36.9, OPPONENT 23.9.

PLAY ON MISSISSIPPI ST **RATING = *****

• TEMPLE is 9-0 ATS against conference opponents since the start of last season.

The average score was TEMPLE 33.4, OPPONENT 15.8.

PLAY ON TEMPLE **RATING = *****

Statfox Presents the Week's Staff Picks

WEEK 4 COLLEGE FOOTBALL MATCHUPS: SEPT. 21 - 23		StatFox DAVE	StatFox GARY	StatFox	StatFox BRIAN	StatFox FORECASTER	StatFox CONSENSUS
• (303) TEMPLE at (304) SOUTH FLORIDA	-20½	TEMPLE	SOUTH FLORIDA	SOUTH FLORIDA	TEMPLE	TEMPLE	TEMPLE
• (305) VIRGINIA at (306) BOISE STATE	-13	VIRGINIA	VIRGINIA	BOISE STATE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	BOISE STATE	
• (307) UTAH at (308) ARIZONA	+3	CLICK FOR BEST BET	UTAH	ARIZONA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	UTAH	
• (313) MICHIGAN at (314) PURDUE	+9½	MICHIGAN	CLICK FOR BEST BET	MICHIGAN	MICHIGAN	MICHIGAN	MICHIGAN
• (317) PENN STATE at (318) IOWA	+12	IOWA	IOWA	IOWA	IOWA	PENN STATE	IOWA
• (321) NC STATE at (322) FLORIDA STATE	-11	FLORIDA STATE	NC STATE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	FLORIDA STATE	
• (323) MISSISSIPPI STATE at (324) GEORGIA	-6½	MISSISSIPPI STATE	GEORGIA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	MISSISSIPPI STATE	MISSISSIPPI STATE	MISSISSIPPI STATE
• (331) FLORIDA at (332) KENTUCKY	+3	FLORIDA	FLORIDA	FLORIDA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	KENTUCKY	FLORIDA
• (353) WASHINGTON at (354) COLORADO	+10½	COLORADO	COLORADO	COLORADO	COLORADO	COLORADO	COLORADO
• (361) NOTRE DAME at (362) MICHIGAN STATE	+4½	CLICK FOR BEST BET	MICHIGAN STATE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	MICHIGAN STATE	MICHIGAN STATE	MICHIGAN STATE
• (367) SYRACUSE at (368) LSU	-23½	CLICK FOR BEST BET	SYRACUSE	SYRACUSE	LSU	LSU	
• (383) TCU at (384) OKLAHOMA STATE	-13	OKLAHOMA STATE	OKLAHOMA STATE	TCU	OKLAHOMA STATE	OKLAHOMA STATE	OKLAHOMA STATE
• (393) ARKANSAS vs. (394) TEXAS A&M *	-2½	ARKANSAS	ARKANSAS	TEXAS A&M	TEXAS A&M	ARKANSAS	ARKANSAS
• (401) OKLAHOMA at (402) BAYLOR	+28	CLICK FOR BEST BET	BAYLOR	OKLAHOMA	BAYLOR	OKLAHOMA	
• (403) USC at (404) CALIFORNIA	+17	USC	USC	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	USC	USC
• (409) UCLA at (410) STANFORD	-7½	UCLA	UCLA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	STANFORD	STANFORD	



StatFox.com

Providing Unprecedented Handicapping Content

Our popular FoxSheets are packed with proprietary handicapping tools that benefit everyone from the beginning bettor to the most advanced self-handicapper.

LOG ON TO STATFOX.COM AND START WINNING TODAY!

All Games	W	L	T	Pct	Last Week	CFB ATS Best Bets	W	L	T	Pct	Last Week
StatFox Dave	27	21	0	56.3%	8-8	StatFox Scott	13	11	0	54.2%	6-3
StatFox Brian	25	23	0	52.1%	3-13	StatFox Brian	8	7	1	53.3%	2-5-
StatFox Consensus	22	26	0	46.9%	7-9	StatFox Gary	7	10	0	41.2%	2-2
StatFox Forecaster	22	26	0	46.9%	7-9	StatFox Dave	5	8	0	38.5%	1-2
StatFox Scott	21	27	0	43.8%	9-7						
StatFox Gary	18	30	0	37.5%	4-12						

StatFox College Football Power Rating Lines - Week 4

** The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

PR - StatFox Power Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

and losers.													0		- 5		
Thu - 9/21,7:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Fri - 9/22,8:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Fri - 9/22,10:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
303 TEMPLE		36		9.5		305 VIRGINIA		30			Ш	307 UTAH	-4	44	-6	2	
304 S FLORIDA	-17.5	44	-8			306 BOISE ST	-14	45	-15	1	Ш	308 ARIZONA		38			
Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,4:00 PM	OL			Edge	W/L
309 WAKE FOREST	-4	42	-3			311 DUKE	-3	42			Ш	313 MICHIGAN	-9.5	56	-23	13.5	
310 APPALACHIAN ST		39		1		312 N CAROLINA		48	-6	9	Ш	314 PURDUE		33			
Sat - 9/23,12:20 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
315 PITTSBURGH		37				317 PENN ST	-13	59	-15	2	Ш	319 BOSTON COLLEGE		28			
316 GEORGIA TECH	-6.5	46	-9	2.5		318 IOWA		44			Ш	320 CLEMSON	-34	72	-44	10	
Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
321 NC STATE		43				323 MISSISSIPPI ST		50	-2	6.5	ш	325 LOUISIANA TECH		35		9	
322 FLORIDA ST	-11	59	-16	5		324 GEORGIA	-4.5	48				326 S CAROLINA	-11	37	-2		
Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
327 MASSACHUSETTS		19				329 W VIRGINIA	-20.5	43	-21	0.5	Щ	331 FLORIDA	-2.5	47	-8	5.5	
328 TENNESSEE	-27.5	49	-30	2.5		330 KANSAS		22			Ш	332 KENTUCKY		39			
Sat - 9/23,3:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,2:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
333 UCF		34		4		335 KENT ST		17		7.5	ш	337 OHIO U		28	-5	7.5	
334 MARYLAND	-4	34	0			336 LOUISVILLE	-38.5	48	-31		Ш	338 E MICHIGAN	-2.5	23			Ш
Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,2:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,6:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
339 MIAMI OHIO		26	-1	3.5		341 OLD DOMINION		25				343 GEORGIA ST	-2.5	13	-1		
340 C MICHIGAN	-2.5	25				342 VIRGINIA TECH	-26	54	-29	3	Ш	344 CHARLOTTE		12		1.5	
Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
345 GA SOUTHERN		23		6.5		347 FLA ATLANTIC		23		3	Ш	349 RUTGERS		24			
346 INDIANA	-22.5	39	-16			348 BUFFALO	-3	23	0		Ш	350 NEBRASKA	-13	38	-14	1	
Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,10:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,8:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
351 TOLEDO		39				353 WASHINGTON	-10	58	-13	3		355 UTEP		10		7	
352 MIAMI	-12.5	55	-16	3.5		354 COLORADO		45			Ш	356 NEW MEXICO ST	-18	21	-11		
Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,8:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
357 CINCINNATI		27				359 UNLV		23			ш	361 NOTRE DAME	-3.5	50	-3		
358 NAVY	-10.5	45	-18	7.5		360 OHIO ST	-39.5	64	-41	1.5	Ш	362 MICHIGAN ST		47		0.5	
Sat - 9/23,10:15 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,1:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
363 HAWAII		18				365 NEW MEXICO		29			Ш	367 SYRACUSE		30			
364 WYOMING	-7	29	-11	4		366 TULSA	-12.5	42	-13	0.5	Ш	368 LSU	-23.5	58	-28	4.5	
Sat - 9/23,6:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:30 PM	OL			Edge	W/L
369 AKRON	45.5	19		1.5		371 BOWLING GREEN		18	40		Ш	373 AUBURN	-17.5	49	-17		
370 TROY	-15.5		-14			372 MIDDLE TENN ST		31	-13		Ш	374 MISSOURI		32		0.5	
Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL			Edge	W/L
375 TEXAS TECH	_	40		2		377 ARKANSAS ST	-4	36	-7	11	Н	379 ALABAMA	-18.5	64	-19	0.5	
376 HOUSTON	-6	48	-8			378 SMU	-4	29			느	380 VANDERBILT		45			
Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L
381 ARMY	-4	32	-2	6		383 TCU 384 OKLAHOMA ST	11	48	-16	-	Н	385 BALL ST 386 W KENTUCKY	-9.5	22	O.F.	15.5	
382 TULANE	-4	30				304 UKLAHUWA ST	-11	64			느	300 W KENTUCKT	-9.5	47			
Sat - 9/23,6:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:30 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L
387 UAB	40	8	-17	7		389 UTSA	-14	29	-20	6	Н	391 SAN DIEGO ST	-4.5	45	_	4.5	
388 NORTH TEXAS	-10	25				390 TEXAS ST		9			닏	392 AIR FORCE		45	0		
Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,2:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L
393 ARKANSAS 394 TEXAS A&M	-3	41	-1	2		395 FLA INTERNATION 396 RICE	-2.5	15 21	-6	3.5	Н	397 IDAHO	-4	25 27	-2	2	
394 TEAAS AQIVI	-3					390 KICE	-2.5				닏	398 S ALABAMA	4				
Sat - 9/23,5:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,6:30 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL			Edge	W/L
399 LA MONROE	_	14	4.4	•		401 OKLAHOMA	-25.5		-21	4.5	Н	403 USC	-16	55	-13	•	
400 LA LAFAYETTE	-5	28				402 BAYLOR		41	<u> </u>	4.5	닏	404 CALIFORNIA		42		3	
Sat - 9/23,6:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,10:00 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,10:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L
405 NEVADA	00	24		3		407 OREGON	-16.5		-10	c -	Ш	409 UCLA	-	41	4-	_	Ш
406 WASHINGTON ST	-26	47	-23			408 ARIZONA ST		35		6.5	닏	410 STANFORD	-7	56	-15	8	
Sat - 9/23,7:30 PM	OL	PR		Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/24,12:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L						
411 UTAH ST	-2	23	-4	2		421 E CAROLINA		15	_		Ш						
412 SAN JOSE ST		19				422 CONNECTICUT		17	-2		ш						

THE PLATINUM SHEET

Statfox College Football Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 4

** The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Line

williers and losers.												
Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	OF		Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
377 ARKANSAS ST		18				379 ALABAMA	-18.5		-2		381 ARMY	22
378 SMU	-4	40	-22	18.0		380 VANDERBILT		42		16.5	382 TULANE	-4 29 -7 3.0
Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,6:30 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
383 TCU		49		2.0		385 BALL ST		32	-7	16.5	387 UAB	20 4.0
384 OKLAHOMA ST	-11	58	-9			386 W KENTUCKY	-9.5	25			388 NORTH TEXAS	-10 26 -6
Sat - 9/23,7:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL OF OFLEdge W/L
389 UTSA	-14	21	<u> </u>			391 SAN DIEGO ST	-4.5	31	U		393 ARKANSAS	30 2.0
390 TEXAS ST		25	-4	18.0		392 AIR FORCE		33	-2	6.5	394 TEXAS A&M	-3 31 -1
Sat - 9/23,4:00 PM	OL	OF	ΙΟΕΙ	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/23,12:20 PM	OL	OF	DΕΙ	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:30 PM	OL OF OFLEdge W/L
313 MICHIGAN	-9.5	48	-4	Luge	VV/L	315 PITTSBURGH	OL	32	OFL	5.5	317 PENN ST	-13 51 -7
314 PURDUE	5.0	44	H	5.5		316 GEORGIA TECH	-6.5	33	-1	0.0	318 IOWA	44 6.0
			lo E I		NA//I	C-+ 0/02 40:00 DM				C-1 10//		
Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL	34	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
319 BOSTON COLLEGE 320 CLEMSON	-34	31 56	-25	9.0		321 NC STATE 322 FLORIDA ST	-11	36	-2	9.0	323 MISSISSIPPI ST 324 GEORGIA	-4.5 48 7.5
	-54		•				-11					
Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
325 LOUISIANA TECH	44	26	4.4	2.0		327 MASSACHUSETTS	07.5	15	4.4	13.5	329 W VIRGINIA	-20.5 30 -7
326 S CAROLINA	-11	40	-14	3.0		328 TENNESSEE	-27.5	29	-14		330 KANSAS	23 13.5
Sat - 9/23,7:30 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,2:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,5:00 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
395 FLA INTERNATION		25	-23	25.5		397 IDAHO		14			399 LA MONROE	27 -12 17.0
396 RICE	-2.5	2				398 S ALABAMA	-4	26	-12	8.0	400 LA LAFAYETTE	-5 15
Sat - 9/23,6:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,6:00 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
401 OKLAHOMA	-25.5	51	-11			403 USC	-16	43	-4		405 NEVADA	20 12.0
402 BAYLOR		40		14.5		404 CALIFORNIA		39		12.0	406 WASHINGTON ST	-26 34 -14
Sat - 9/23,10:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/23,10:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:30 PM	OL OF OFLEdge W/L
407 OREGON	-16.5	34	OI L	Luge	VV/L	409 UCLA	OL	42	-1	8.0	411 UTAH ST	-2 24 -11 9.0
408 ARIZONA ST		37	-3	19.5		410 STANFORD	-7	41		-	412 SAN JOSE ST	13
		٥.			\A//I	C-4 0/00 7:00 DM		Loc	lor.	C-1 10//	C-+ 0/02 2:00 DM	
Sun - 9/24,12:00 PM 421 E CAROLINA	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	VV/L	Sat - 9/23,7:30 PM 331 FLORIDA	OL -2.5	34	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:00 PM 333 UCF	OL OF OFL Edge W/L 50 -10 14.0
422 CONNECTICUT		20	-9			332 KENTUCKY	-2.5	40	-6	8.5	334 MARYLAND	-4 40 14.0
Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,2:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Thu - 9/21,7:30 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
335 KENT ST 336 LOUISVILLE	-38.5	14 40	-26	12.5		337 OHIO U 338 E MICHIGAN	-2.5	24 33	-9	6.5	303 TEMPLE 304 S FLORIDA	21 4.5 -17.5 34 -13
330 LOUISVILLE	-30.3	40	-20			330 L WICHIGAN	-2.5	55	-9	0.5	304 3 I LONIDA	-17.5 54 -15
Fri - 9/22,8:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L	Fri - 9/22,10:30 PM	OL		OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
305 VIRGINIA	L	24				307 UTAH	-4	27	40	110	309 WAKE FOREST	-4 35 -9 5.0
306 BOISE ST	-14	47	-23	9.0		308 ARIZONA		37	-10	14.0	310 APPALACHIAN ST	26
Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL		OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,2:00 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
311 DUKE	-3	39	-4	1.0		339 MIAMI OHIO		25			341 OLD DOMINION	18 2.0
312 N CAROLINA		35				340 C MICHIGAN	-2.5	33	-8	5.5	342 VIRGINIA TECH	-26 42 -24
Sat - 9/23,6:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
343 GEORGIA ST	-2.5		-2			345 GA SOUTHERN		15		4.5	347 FLA ATLANTIC	30
344 CHARLOTTE		16		0.5		346 INDIANA	-22.5	33	-18		348 BUFFALO	-3 36 -6 3.0
Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,10:00 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
349 RUTGERS		35	Ť	90		351 TOLEDO		26		17.5	353 WASHINGTON	-10 46 -3
350 NEBRASKA	-13	48	-13	0.0		352 MIAMI	-12.5	21	Ĺ		354 COLORADO	43 7.0
Sat - 9/23,8:00 PM	OL		OFI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/23,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFI	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
355 UTEP		-1		Luge	77,L	357 CINCINNATI	OL.	25	OFL	Luge W/L	359 UNLV	35 16.5
356 NEW MEXICO ST	-18	25	-26	8.0		358 NAVY	-10.5	41	-16	5.5	360 OHIO ST	-39.5 58 -23
•			•		NA //:							
Sat - 9/23,8:00 PM 361 NOTRE DAME	OL -3.5	OF 44	OFL -10	Edge	vv/L	Sat - 9/23,10:15 PM	OL	OF 15	UFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,1:30 PM 365 NEW MEXICO	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
361 NOTRE DAME	-3.5	34	-10	6.5		363 HAWAII 364 WYOMING	-7	24	-9	2.0	366 TULSA	-12.5 35 -13 0.5
Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,6:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge W/L	Sat - 9/23,7:00 PM	OL OF OFL Edge W/L
367 SYRACUSE	22.5	24	40	11.5	\square	369 AKRON	15.5	18	0	7.5	371 BOWLING GREEN	12
368 LSU	-23.5	36	-12			370 TROY	-15.5	26	-8		372 MIDDLE TENN ST	38 -26
Sat - 9/23,7:30 PM	OL	OF		Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/23,12:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge W/L		
373 AUBURN	-17.5		-18	0.5		375 TEXAS TECH		27				
374 MISSOURI		19	<u> </u>			376 HOUSTON	-6	49	-22	16.0		

Top College Football Statistical Edges - Week 4

Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success

each of the various statistics to determine if	any partic				
OFFENSIVE STATISTICS		DEFENSIVE STATISTICS		OFF/DEF DIFFERENTIALS	
Points Scored (PPG)	W/L	Points Allowed (PPG)	W/L	Scoring Differential (PPG)	W/L
1. NC STATE (vs FSU): +31.0		1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +27.7		1. CLEMSON (vs BC): +45.7	
2. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +25.5		2. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +26.0		2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +43.3	
3. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +22.7		3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +24.3		3. OREGON (vs AZS): +36.7	
4. OREGON (vs AZS): +22.0		4. CONNECTICUT (vs ECU): +22.3		4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +35.3	
5. UTSA (vs TSU): +22.0		5. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +20.7		5. NC STATE (vs FSU): +31.3	
6. CLEMSON (vs BC): +21.3		6. LA MONROE (vs LAL): +20.5		6. UTSA (vs TSU): +31.2	
7. OHIO U (vs EMU): +20.7		7. DUKE (vs UNC): +20.3		7. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +29.7	
	VA//I	D 11 W 1 411 1 (DVD0)	14//		14//
Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG)	W/L	<u> </u>	W/L	Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG)	W/L
1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +217.2	_	1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +202.0		1. CLEMSON (vs BC): +279.3	
2. NAVY (vs CIN): +203.7		2. CLEMSON (vs BC): +188.0		2. CONNECTICUT (vs ECU): +274.5	
3. OREGON (vs AZS): +188.3		3. CONNECTICUT (vs ECU): +178.3		3. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +272.0	
4. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +187.0		4. KENTUCKY (vs FLA): +142.0		4. NAVY (vs CIN): +250.5	
5. MARYLAND (vs UCF): +167.0		5. DUKE (vs UNC): +125.7		5. OREGON (vs AZS): +247.3	
6. TULSA (vs NM): +152.7		6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +124.7		6. KENTUCKY (vs FLA): +208.8	
7. HAWAII (vs WYO): +150.3		7. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +124.0		7. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +204.8	
Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR)	W/L	Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR)	W/L	Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR)	W/L
1. MARYLAND (vs UCF): +4.7	₹¥/L	1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +4.6	44/L	1. MARYLAND (vs UCF): +6.9	44/L
2. MIAMI (vs TOL): +4.4		2. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6	-	2. CLEMSON (vs BC): +6.0	-
3. STANFORD (vs UCL): +3.3	_	3. DUKE (vs UNC): +2.8	_	3. MIAMI (vs TOL): +5.4	
4. PENN ST (vs IOW): +3.0		4. KENTUCKY (vs FLA): +2.7	_	4. STANFORD (vs UCL): +4.5	_
5. NC STATE (vs FSU): +3.0		5. UTAH (vs ARI): +2.3		5. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.4	_
6. ALABAMA (vs VAN): +2.9	_	6. MARYLAND (vs UCF): +2.2		6. NC STATE (vs FSU): +4.2	
7. HAWAII (vs WYO): +2.8		7. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +2.0		7. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +4.1	
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG)	W/L	Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG)	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG)	W/L
1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +288.3		1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +202.0		1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +367.3	
2. UCLA (vs STA): +274.0		2. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +193.2		2. UCF (vs MD): +337.5	
3. INDIANA (vs GSU): +258.0		3. WYOMING (vs HAW): +181.0		3. WASHINGTON ST (vs NEV): +311.0	
4. UCF (vs MD): +246.0		4. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +157.7		4. UCLA (vs STA): +233.0	
				5. USC (vs CAL): +218.0	
15 OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +212 7		15 ALIBLIRN (vs MIZ): +157 7			
5. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +212.7		5. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +157.7 6. OKI AHOMA (vs RAY): +154.7	_		_
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7	+	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7		6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0	丰
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5		6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3		6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0	
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA)	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA)	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA)	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA)	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA)	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA)	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6		6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0		6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6	
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG)	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG)	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7		6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7		6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7	
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3		6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7		6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3	
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0		6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0		6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3	
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BX): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +223.7		6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0 4. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +215.0		6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +377.3	
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +223.7 5. HAWAII (vs WYO): +220.7		6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0 4. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +215.0 5. CLEMSON (vs BC): +205.7		6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +377.3 5. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +341.3	
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +223.7 5. HAWAII (vs WYO): +220.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +197.0		6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0 4. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +215.0 5. CLEMSON (vs BC): +205.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +202.3		6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +377.3 5. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +341.3 6. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +331.0	
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +223.7 5. HAWAII (vs WYO): +220.7		6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0 4. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +215.0 5. CLEMSON (vs BC): +205.7		6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +377.3 5. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +341.3	
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +223.7 5. HAWAII (vs WYO): +220.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +197.0 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +187.0	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0 4. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +215.0 5. CLEMSON (vs BC): +205.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +202.3 7. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +197.0	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +377.3 5. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +341.3 6. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +331.0 7. DUKE (vs UNC): +323.3	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +223.7 5. HAWAII (vs WYO): +220.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +197.0 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +187.0 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP)		6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0 4. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +215.0 5. CLEMSON (vs BC): +205.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +202.3 7. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +197.0 Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP)		6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +377.3 5. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +341.3 6. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +331.0 7. DUKE (vs UNC): +323.3 Yards Per Play Differential (YPP)	
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +223.7 5. HAWAII (vs WYO): +220.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +197.0 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +187.0 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) 1. PENN ST (vs IOW): +2.9	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0 4. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +215.0 5. CLEMSON (vs BC): +205.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +202.3 7. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +197.0 Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) 1. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +4.6	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +377.3 5. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +341.3 6. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +331.0 7. DUKE (vs UNC): +323.3 Yards Per Play Differential (YPP) 1. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +6.9	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +223.7 5. HAWAII (vs WYO): +220.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +197.0 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +187.0 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) 1. PENN ST (vs IOW): +2.9 2. CLEMSON (vs BC): +2.9	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0 4. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +215.0 5. CLEMSON (vs BC): +205.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +202.3 7. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +197.0 Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) 1. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +4.6 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +4.2	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +377.3 5. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +341.3 6. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +331.0 7. DUKE (vs UNC): +323.3 Yards Per Play Differential (YPP) 1. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +6.9 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +6.2	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +223.7 5. HAWAII (vs WYO): +220.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +197.0 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +187.0 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) 1. PENN ST (vs IOW): +2.9 2. CLEMSON (vs BC): +2.9 3. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +2.8	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0 4. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +215.0 5. CLEMSON (vs BC): +205.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +202.3 7. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +197.0 Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) 1. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +4.6 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +4.2 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +4.1	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +377.3 5. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +341.3 6. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +331.0 7. DUKE (vs UNC): +323.3 Yards Per Play Differential (YPP) 1. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +6.9 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +6.2 3. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +5.3	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +223.7 5. HAWAII (vs WYO): +220.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +197.0 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +187.0 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) 1. PENN ST (vs IOW): +2.9 2. CLEMSON (vs BC): +2.9 3. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +2.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +2.5	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0 4. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +215.0 5. CLEMSON (vs BC): +205.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +202.3 7. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +197.0 Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) 1. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +4.6 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +4.2 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +4.1 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +3.8	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +377.3 5. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +341.3 6. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +331.0 7. DUKE (vs UNC): +323.3 Yards Per Play Differential (YPP) 1. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +6.9 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +6.2 3. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +5.3 4. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.9	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +223.7 5. HAWAII (vs WYO): +220.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +197.0 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +187.0 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) 1. PENN ST (vs IOW): +2.9 2. CLEMSON (vs BC): +2.9 3. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +2.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +2.5 5. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +2.3	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0 4. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +215.0 5. CLEMSON (vs BC): +205.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +202.3 7. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +197.0 Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) 1. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +4.6 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +4.2 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +4.1 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +3.8 5. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +3.6	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +377.3 5. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +341.3 6. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +331.0 7. DUKE (vs UNC): +323.3 Yards Per Play Differential (YPP) 1. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +6.9 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +6.2 3. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +5.3 4. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.9 5. CLEMSON (vs BC): +4.9	W/L
6. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +210.7 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +206.5 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +7.5 2. NAVY (vs CIN): +6.5 3. TULANE (vs ARM): +5.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +5.6 5. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.9 6. UNLV (vs OSU): +3.7 7. CLEMSON (vs BC): +3.6 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) 1. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +294.7 2. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +272.3 3. NC STATE (vs FSU): +243.0 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +223.7 5. HAWAII (vs WYO): +220.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +197.0 7. TEXAS TECH (vs HOU): +187.0 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) 1. PENN ST (vs IOW): +2.9 2. CLEMSON (vs BC): +2.9 3. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +2.8 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +2.5	W/L	6. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +154.7 7. USC (vs CAL): +150.3 Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.4 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +5.0 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +3.7 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +3.4 5. ARKANSAS (vs TAM): +3.2 6. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +3.1 7. FLORIDA ST (vs NCS): +3.0 Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) 1. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +289.7 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +273.7 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +240.0 4. MIDDLE TENN ST (vs BG): +215.0 5. CLEMSON (vs BC): +205.7 6. OREGON (vs AZS): +202.3 7. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +197.0 Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) 1. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +4.6 2. DUKE (vs UNC): +4.2 3. AUBURN (vs MIZ): +4.1 4. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +3.8	W/L	6. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +211.0 7. UTSA (vs TSU): +205.0 Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs PIT): +12.5 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +8.0 3. AIR FORCE (vs SDS): +7.0 4. UTSA (vs TSU): +6.7 5. NEW MEXICO (vs TLS): +5.9 6. NAVY (vs CIN): +5.4 7. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.6 Total Yds Differential (TYPG) 1. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +420.7 2. OREGON (vs AZS): +399.3 3. CLEMSON (vs BC): +378.3 4. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +377.3 5. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +341.3 6. S FLORIDA (vs TEM): +331.0 7. DUKE (vs UNC): +323.3 Yards Per Play Differential (YPP) 1. NEW MEXICO ST (vs UTP): +6.9 2. OKLAHOMA (vs BAY): +6.2 3. LOUISVILLE (vs KS): +5.3 4. OREGON (vs AZS): +4.9	W/L

COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 4: SEPTEMBER 21 - 23



TEMPLE

٧S

SOUTH FLORIDA (-20½)



(303) TEMPLE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 0-3) at (304) SOUTH FLORIDA (SU: 3-0, ATS: 1-2) - Thursday, 9/21/2017 7:30 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)		TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD	
TEMPLE	-7.3	21.7	20	18	33-94 (2.9)	21-36-262 (7.3)	69-356 (5.2)	0-0	28	23	36-174 (4.9)	22-37-314 (8.6)	73-488 (6.7)	1-0	+2	
SOUTH FLORIDA	+19.3	13.7	40	29	63-281 (4.5)	16-30-241 (8.0)	93-522 (5.6)	0-1	21	16	30-89 (3.0)	21-41-234 (5.7)	71-323 (4.5)	0-3	+6	

₮statfox Forecaster | SOUTH FLORIDA 27, TEMPLE 26

KEY GAME TREND: TEMPLE is 9-0 ATS against conference opponents since the start of last season.



VIRGINIA

٧S

BOISE STATE (-13)



• (305) VIRGINIA (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) at (306) BOISE STATE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-1-1) - Friday, 9/22/2017 8:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)		TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD	
VIRGINIA	+7.0	16.3	28	23	29-106 (3.6)	32-49-325 (6.7)	78-431 (5.5)	0-0	21	18	41-165 (4.0)	15-23-165 (7.2)	64-330 (5.2)	0-1	+3	
BOISE STATE	+7.3	34.3	32	17	40-146 (3.6)	18-26-195 (7.4)	66-341 (5.2)	1-1	25	18	36-99 (2.8)	25-39-217 (5.6)	75-316 (4.2)	1-1	+2	

₩statfox Forecaster | BOISE STATE 36, VIRGINIA 17

KEY GAME TREND: BOISE STATE is 0-7 ATS as a home favorite since the start of last season.



SYRACUSE

LSU (-23½)



(367) SYRACUSE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) at (368) LSU (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:00 PM

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)									TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD		
SYRACUSE	+20.0	14.7	38	26	45-194 (4.3)	28-44-297 (6.8)	89-491 (5.5)	2-1	18	17	32-84 (2.6)	19-36-220 (6.0)	68-304 (4.5)	1-1	EVEN		
LSU	+10.7	27.7	26	20	44-216 (4.9)	12-22-185 (8.5)	66-401 (6.1)	0-0	16	14	30-116 (3.9)	14-26-152 (5.8)	56-268 (4.8)	0-1	+3		

₩statfox Forecaster | LSU 43, SYRACUSE 14

KEY GAME TREND: LSU is 8-1 UNDER in the first half of the season since the start of last season.



AUBURN (-18½)

VS

MISSOURI



• (373) AUBURN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 0-3) at (374) MISSOURI (SU: 1-2, ATS: 0-3) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:30 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)		TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
AUBURN	+13.3	28.7	24	21	46-178 (3.9)	20-29-209 (7.2)	75-387 (5.2)	2-1	10	13	39-90 (2.3)	15-24-113 (4.7)	63-203 (3.2)	1-0	-5
MISSOURI	-7.0	20.7	29	22	34-181 (5.3)	16-31-300 (9.6)	65-481 (7.4)	1-2	36	22	43-172 (4.0)	23-32-271 (8.4)	75-443 (5.9)	0-1	-5

¥statfox Forecaster | AUBURN 32, MISSOURI 20

KEY GAME TREND: Gus Malzahn is 11-2 ATS away vs. poor passing defenses - allowing a completion percentage of at least 58%.



UNLV

V S

OHIO STATE (-39½)



· (359) UNLV (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (360) OHIO STATE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 12:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
UNLV	+12.5	11.0	42	24	48-350 (7.3)	10-18-206 (11.2)	66-556 (8.4)	2-0	30	20	48-216 (4.5)	18-30-200 (6.7)	78-416 (5.3)	0-1	-2
OHIO STATE	+14.7	44.0	34	26	39-243 (6.2)	23-36-268 (7.5)	75-511 (6.8)	0-0	20	23	41-127 (3.1)	24-37-275 (7.4)	78-402 (5.2)	1-1	+5

₮statfox Forecaster | OHIO STATE 51, UNLV 18

KEY GAME TREND: OHIO STATE is 9-0 UNDER at home after a win by 17 or more points since the start of the 2015 season.



PITTSBURGH

GEORGIA TECH (-7½)



• (315) PITTSBURGH (SU: 1-2, ATS: 0-3) at (316) GEORGIA TECH (SU: 1-1, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 12:20 PM

<u> </u>			TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD		
PITTSBURGH	-16.7	37.3	21	22	44-155 (3.5)	20-33-205 (6.2)	77-360 (4.7)	1-1	38	20	28-120 (4.2)	20-32-349 (10.8)	60-469 (7.8)	0-1	-2		
GEORGIA TECH	+13.0	21.0	39	25	68-372 (5.5)	5-8-116 (13.6)	76-488 (6.4)	1-0	26	16	30-132 (4.4)	14-26-147 (5.8)	56-279 (5.0)	0-1	+1		

₮statfox Forecaster | GEORGIA TECH 41, PITTSBURGH 37

KEY GAME TREND: PITTSBURGH is 8-0 OVER against conference opponents since the start of last season.

COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 4: SEPTEMBER 22 - 23



UTAH UTES



ARIZONA WILDCATS



LINE: UTAH BY 3

· (307) UTAH (SU: 3-0, ATS: 3-0) at (308) ARIZONA (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) - Friday, 9/22/2017 10:30 PM

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD		
UTAH	+21.7 16.7	37	25	41-183 (4.5)	27-38-294 (7.8)	79-477 (6.0)	1-1	15	14	30-48 (1.6)	17-35-197 (5.6)	65-245 (3.8)	1-2	+3		
ARIZONA	+27.3 17.0	47	24	50-328 (6.5)	16-25-161 (6.5)	75-489 (6.5)	1-0	20	20	31-120 (3.9)	23-40-268 (6.6)	71-388 (5.5)	1-2	+4		

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/8/16	UTAH -9½	ARI 23 at UTAH 36	UTAH	0 (53½)
11/14/15	ARI +6	UTAH 30 at ARI 37	ARI	0 (61)
11/22/14	UTAH -5½	ARI 42 at UTAH 10	ARI	U (53½)
10/19/13	ARI -3½	UTAH 24 at ARI 35	ARI	U (61½)
11/17/12	UTAH -3	ARI 34 at UTAH 24	ARI	U (63)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Rich Rodriguez is 1-15 ATS after covering the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games as coach of ARIZONA.
- Rich Rodriguez is 1-12 ATS vs. dominant ball control teams, 32+ minutes TOP, 21+ FD's per game.
- Rich Rodriguez is 0-8 ATS at home vs. dominant ball control teams, 32+ minutes TOP, 21+ FD's per game.

Statfox Forecaster	Utah Utes 32 Arizona Wildcats 25	<u>?</u> ;
--------------------	----------------------------------	---------------



OREGON



ARIZONA STATE SUN DEVILS



LINE: OREGON BY 141/2

· (407) OREGON (SU: 3-0, ATS: 2-1) at (408) ARIZONA STATE (SU: 1-2, ATS: 0-2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 10:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD	
OREGON	+33.0 19.3	56	30	54-285 (5.3)	22-30-324 (10.8)	84-609 (7.2)	2-1	23	18	33-108 (3.3)	18-38-195 (5.1)	71-303 (4.3) 0-2	+1	
ARIZONA STATE	-3.7 34.3	34	20	38-97 (2.5)	24-35-315 (9.1)	73-412 (5.6)	1-0	38	25	34-167 (4.9)	29-42-338 (8.0)	76-505 (6.6) 0-1	+1	

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/29/16	ORE -10	ASU 35 at ORE 54	ORE	0 (72)
10/29/15	ASU -2½	ORE 61 at ASU 55	ORE (0 (65½)
10/18/12	ASU +8½	ORE 43 at ASU 21	ORE I	J (68½)
10/15/11	ORE -14½	ASU 27 at ORE 41	ASU (0 (66½)
9/25/10	ASU +12½	ORE 42 at ASU 31	ASU	0 (56)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Willie Taggart is 18-2 ATS away in the first half of the season.
- Willie Taggart is 16-2 ATS away vs. good passing teams with a completion percentage of 58%.
- Willie Taggart is 32-9 ATS in road games.

Mahaban T. A.	Oregon Ducks 47
Station forecaster	Oregon Ducks 47 Arizona State Sun Devils 41



USC TROJANS



LINE: USC BY 17

CALIFORNIA GOLDEN BEARS



• (403) USC (SU: 3-0, ATS: 1-2) at (404) CALIFORNIA (SU: 3-0, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:30 PM

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD	
USC	+13.0 45.0	39	26	40-203 (5.1)	24-37-334 (9.1)	77-537 (7.0)	0-2	26	19	36-167 (4.6)	16-30-188 (6.2)	66-355 (5.4)) 1-1	-1	
CALIFORNIA	+9.7 26.7	32	21	36-167 (4.6)	23-38-266 (7.0)	74-433 (5.9)	0-1	22	24	37-137 (3.7)	25-44-338 (7.6)	81-475 (5.9)) 1-2	+4	

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/27/16	USC -19½	CAL 24 at USC 45	USC	U (74½)
10/31/15	CAL +4	USC 27 at CAL 21	USC	U (68½)
11/13/14	USC -14	CAL 30 at USC 38	CAL	U (72)
11/9/13	CAL +17½	USC 62 at CAL 28	USC	0 (57)
9/22/12	USC -16½	CAL 9 at USC 27	USC	U (56½)

- USC is 9-1 UNDER as a favorite of 10½ to 21 points since the start of the 2015 season.
- USC is 6-0 ATS after gaining 475 or more yards/game over their last 3 games since start of last season.
- USC is 6-0 UNDER after gaining 525 or more yards/game over last 3 games since start of the 2015 season.

Metatfox Topogeton	USC Trojans 48 California Golden Bears 24
Station Jorecaster	California Golden Bears24



SAN DIEGO STATE AIR FORCE AZTECS FALCONS



LINE: SAN DIEGO STATE BY 31/2

· (391) SAN DIEGO STATE (SU: 3-0, ATS: 2-1) at (392) AIR FORCE (SU: 1-1, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:00 PM

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD	
SAN DIEGO STATE	+11.3 26.3	29	21	48-242 (5.0)	16-23-166 (7.1)	71-408 (5.7)	0-0	18	13	27-92 (3.4)	16-26-207 (8.1)	53-299 (5.6) 1-1	+5	
AIR FORCE	+23.0 26.5	38	24	58-312 (5.4)	6-12-127 (11.0)	70-439 (6.3)	0-0	14	12	35-122 (3.5)	12-21-104 (5.0)	56-226 (4.0) 0-0	+1	

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	O/U
12/5/15	SDST -3½	SDST 27 vs AFA 24	AFA	0 (46½)
11/21/14	SDST -6	AFA 14 at SDST 30	SDST	U (49)
10/10/13	AFA +3½	SDST 27 at AFA 20	SDST	U (53½)
11/10/12	SDST -6½	AFA 9 at SDST 28	SDST	U (57½)
10/13/11	AFA -7	SDST 41 at AFA 27	SDST	0 (59)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Troy Calhoun is 5-20 ATS vs. poor passing defenses allowing 8+ pass yards/att. as coach of AIR FORCE.
- AIR FORCE is 9-1 OVER vs. mistake prone teams 60+ penalty yards per game since start of 2015 season.
- Rocky Long is 26-12 UNDER in the first half of the season as coach of SAN DIEGO STATE.





UCLA | STANFORD CARDINAL

LINE: STANFORD BY 71/2



• (409) UCLA (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) at (410) STANFORD (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 10:30 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
UCLA	+10.3 33.3	49	28	29-122 (4.2)	31-48-442 (9.2)	77-564 (7.3)	1-1	38	25	47-275 (5.8)	19-36-240 (6.7)	83-515 (6.2)) 0-1	-2
STANFORD	+11.3 39.3	34	17	28-210 (7.5)	15-28-168 (5.9)	56-378 (6.8)	0-1	23	21	45-208 (4.6)	17-26-199 (7.6)	71-407 (5.7)	0-1	+1

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	O/U
9/24/16	UCLA +1½	STAN 22 at UCLA 1	3 STAN	U (47½)
10/15/15	STAN -61/2	UCLA 35 at STAN 5	6 STAN	0 (56)
11/28/14	UCLA -6	STAN 31 at UCLA 1	o STAN	U (48½)
10/19/13	STAN -4	UCLA 10 at STAN 2	4 STAN	U (55)
11/30/12	STAN -91/2	UCLA 24 vs STAN 2	7 UCLA	0 (47)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- David Shaw is 17-4 ATS after failing to cover in 2 out of their last 3 games as coach of STANFORD.
- David Shaw is 7-0 ATS after 2 or more consecutive losses against the spread as coach of STANFORD.
- David Shaw is 51-32 ATS in all lined games as coach of STANFORD.

Mataton To A.	UCLA Bruins 21 Stanford Cardinal 40
Station forecaster	Stanford Cardinal 40



WASHINGTON HUSKIES



COLORADO BUFFALOES



LINE: WASHINGTON BY 10½

· (353) WASHINGTON (SU: 3-0, ATS: 1-2) at (354) COLORADO (SU: 3-0, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 10:00 PM

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
WASHINGTON	+34.7 14.3	47	21	30-130 (4.3)	22-29-302 (10.5)	59-432 (7.3)	1-0	12	16	35-94 (2.7)	21-34-168 (4.9)	69-262 (3.8)	1-2	+4
COLORADO	+22.7 14.3	32	23	39-149 (3.8)	24-35-299 (8.5)	74-448 (6.1)	1-1	9	18	37-105 (2.8)	17-34-213 (6.3)	71-318 (4.5)	1-1	+2

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
12/2/16	UW -8	COL 10 vs UW 41	UW	U (54½)
11/1/14	COL +2½	UW 38 at COL 23	UW	0 (54½)
11/9/13	UW -28½	COL 7 at UW 59	UW	0 (64)
11/17/12	COL +20½	UW 38 at COL 3	UW	U (54½)
10/15/11	UW -17½	COL 24 at UW 52	UW	0 (57)

- WASHINGTON coach Chris Petersen is 31-11 ATS in career after failing to cover spread in 2 of last 3 games.
- Chris Petersen is 18-5 ATS away after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games
- Chris Petersen is 14-3 UNDER away when playing against a top-level team (Win Pct. > 75%).

Matatfox To and	Washington Huskies 2 Colorado Buffaloes 1	27
Station Torecaster	Colorado Buffaloes 1	19



BOSTON COLLEGE EAGLES

CLEMSON



LINE: CLEMSON BY 341/2

(319) BOSTON COLLEGE (SU: 1-2, ATS: 0-3) at (320) CLEMSON (SU: 3-0, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:30 PM

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)					TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
BOSTON COLLEGE	-16.7 40.7	18	21	45-158 (3.5)	23-41-190 (4.6)	86-348 (4.0)	1-2	34	17	46-279 (6.1)	13-28-150 (5.3)	74-429 (5.8) 0-1	-5
CLEMSON	+29.0 37.0	39	26	42-250 (5.9)	23-33-271 (8.2)	75-521 (6.9)	1-0	10	13	38-91 (2.4)	12-24-132 (5.6)	62-223 (3.6) 0-0	-2

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS HOME LINE SU RESULT ATS WIN O/U 10/7/16 BC +18 CLEM 56 at BC 10 CLEM 0 (43) 10/17/15 CLEM -18½ BC 17 at CLEM 34 0 (38) 10/18/14 BC +5½ CLEM 17 at BC 13 BC U (45½) 10/12/13 CLEM -24 BC 14 at CLEM 24 U (64)

CLEM 45 at BC 31

CLEM

0 (60)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- BOSTON COLLEGE is 8-0 UNDER vs. poor punt return teams, less than 7.5 yards per return over L3 seasons.
- Dabo Swinney is 11-2 ATS vs. good passing defenses allowing 5.75 or less pass yards/att. as coach of CLEMSON.
- Dabo Swinney is 12-3 ATS after outrushing opponent by 150+ yards last game as coach of CLEMSON.

Statfox Forecaster	,	Boston College Eagles Clemson Tigers
--------------------	---	--------------------------------------



BC +7

9/29/12

DUKE **BLUE DEVILS**



NORTH CAROLINA TAR HEELS



11

47

LINE: DUKE BY 3

• (311) DUKE (SU: 3-0, ATS: 3-0) at (312) N CAROLINA (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:30 PM

			TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)					TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
DUKE	+30.3 24.3	45	29	52-241 (4.6)	25-38-260 (6.8)	90-501 (5.6)	1-1	15	11	26-48 (1.8)	12-28-175 (6.2)	54-223 (4.1)) 1-2	+4
N CAROLINA	+4.3 36.3	39	24	39-163 (4.2)	23-36-287 (8.0)	75-450 (6.0)	1-1	35	23	37-173 (4.6)	21-36-323 (8.9)	73-496 (6.8)) 0-1	EVEN

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	O/U
11/10/16	DUKE +11½	UNC 27 at DUKE 28	DUKE	U (66½)
11/7/15	UNC -7	DUKE 31 at UNC 66	UNC	0 (61)
11/20/14	DUKE -5	UNC 45 at DUKE 20	UNC	U (66½)
11/30/13	UNC -5	DUKE 27 at UNC 25	DUKE	U (60½)
10/20/12	DUKE +10½	UNC 30 at DUKE 33	DUKE	0 (62½)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Larry Fedora is 0-8 ATS at home after 2 straight games where 60+ total pts were scored w/N CAROLINA.
- David Cutcliffe is 18-5 ATS after allowing 100 or less rushing yards last game as coach of DUKE.
- Larry Fedora is 19-8 OVER off a road win.

Metatfox To another	Duke Blue Devils 28 North Carolina Tar Heels 35
Scallux Jorecaster	North Carolina Tar Heels35



NC STATE WOLFPACK



FLORIDA STATE SEMINOLES



LINE: FLORIDA STATE BY 11

• (321) NC STATE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 0-3) at (322) FLORIDA STATE (SU: 0-1, ATS: 0-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 12:00 PM

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
NC STATE	+14.3 17.7	38	26	36-158 (4.4)	33-43-335 (7.7)	79-493 (6.2)	1-0	24	15	31-88 (2.8)	18-30-254 (8.4)	61-342 (5.6)	1-1	+4
FLORIDA STATE	-17.0 64.0	7	15	27-40 (1.5)	19-33-210 (6.4)	60-250 (4.2)	1-2	24	13	42-173 (4.1)	10-18-96 (5.3)	60-269 (4.5)	0-0	-3

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	O/U
11/5/16	NCST +7	FSU 24 at NCST 20	NCST	U (58)
11/14/15	FSU -6½	NCST 17 at FSU 34	FSU	U (53½)
9/27/14	NCST +16½	FSU 56 at NCST 41	NCST	0 (60)
10/26/13	FSU -34½	NCST 17 at FSU 49	NCST	0 (58)
10/6/12	NCST +17	FSU 16 at NCST 17	NCST	U (53½)

- Dave Doeren is 8-1 ATS after 2 or more consecutive straight up wins as coach of NC STATE.
- Dave Doeren is 8-0 ATS away after 2 consecutive game where they committed 1 or less turnovers.
- Dave Doeren is 12-3 UNDER after a 2 game home stand.





WEST VIRGINIA MOUNTAINEERS



KANSAS JAYHAWKS



LINE: WEST VIRGINIA BY 201/2

(329) WEST VIRGINIA (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) at (330) KANSAS (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 12:00 PM

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL F	UM-INT	TOD
WEST VIRGINIA	+24.0 22.0	46	28	39-214 (5.5)	26-41-369 (9.1)	80-583 (7.3)	1-1	22	18	40-179 (4.4)	16-30-234 (7.8)	70-413 (5.9)	1-1	+1
KANSAS	-2.7 15.7	32	23	30-109 (3.6)	28-49-343 (7.1)	79-452 (5.7)	0-2	34	20	37-138 (3.8)	23-34-297 (8.7)	71-435 (6.1)	1-0	-3

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS DATE HOME LINE SU RESULT ATS WIN O/U 11/5/16 WVU -31½ KAN 21 at WVU 48 KAN 0 (54) 11/21/15 KAN +29½ WVU 49 at KAN 0 WVU U (56) 10/4/14 WVU -26½ KAN 14 at WVU 33 KAN U (59) 11/16/13 KAN +6½ WVU 19 at KAN 31 KAN 0 (46½) 12/1/12 WVU -22 KAN 10 at WVU 59 WVU U (70)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Dana Holgorsen is 3-16 ATS after game where they forced 3 or more turnovers as coach of WEST VIRGINIA.
- David Beaty is 0-7 ATS after playing a non-conference game as coach of KANSAS.
- David Beaty is 10-2 UNDER vs. mistake prone teams 60+ penalty yards per game as coach of KANSAS.

CH at Fox	Tongeton	П	West Virginia Mountaineers Kansas Jayhawks	40
Statiox	Jorecaster	П	Kansas Jayhawks	. 22



OKLAHOMA SOONERS





LINE: OKLAHOMA BY 28

· (401) OKLAHOMA (SU: 3-0, ATS: 3-0) at (402) BAYLOR (SU: 0-3, ATS: 0-3) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 6:30 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
OKLAHOMA	+35.3 32.0	48	29	36-160 (4.5)	26-34-439 (13.0)	70-599 (8.6)	1-0	12	17	39-159 (4.1)	14-25-110 (4.5)	64-269 (4.2)	1-1	EVEN
BAYLOR	-8.0 22.3	25	15	31-149 (4.9)	12-30-226 (7.5)	61-375 (6.1)	0-2	33	25	46-201 (4.3)	26-38-265 (6.9)	84-466 (5.5)	1-0	-4

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS									
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	O/U					
11/12/16	OK -17½	BAY 24 at OK 45	OK	U (79)					
11/14/15	BAY -11/2	OK 44 at BAY 34	OK	0 (76)					
11/8/14	OK -5½	BAY 48 at OK 14	BAY	U (68)					
11/7/13	BAY -16½	OK 12 at BAY 41	BAY	U (74)					
11/10/12	OK -21	BAY 34 at OK 42	BAY	0 (74½)					

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- OKLAHOMA is 8-0 ATS after gaining 375 or more passing yards in last game since start of the 2015 season.
- BAYLOR head coach Matt Rhule is 25-9 ATS in his coaching career against conference opponents.
- BAYLOR is 4-12 ATS in all lined games since the start of last season.

Mahaban T. A.	Oklahoma Sooners 49
Statiox forecaster	Oklahoma Sooners 49 Baylor Bears 20



TCU HORNED FROGS



OKLAHOMA STATE COWBOYS



LINE: OKLAHOMA STATE BY 13

· (383) TCU (SU: 3-0, ATS: 1-2) at (384) OKLAHOMA STATE (SU: 3-0, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:30 PM

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
TCU	+34.7 22.0	49	26	42-230 (5.4)	23-30-277 (9.1)	72–507 (7.0)	1-1	14	13	34-92 (2.7)	11-25-173 (6.9)	59-265 (4.5)) 1-1	-1
OKLAHOMA STATE	+36.7 34.0	54	27	36-200 (5.5)	24-35-407 (11.8)	71-607 (8.5)	1-0	17	18	40-129 (3.2)	18-32-203 (6.3)	72-332 (4.6)) 1-1	+2

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	O/U
11/19/16	TCU -6	OKST 31 at TCU 6	OKST	U (68½)
11/7/15	OKST +6½	TCU 29 at OKST 49	OKST	0 (76)
10/18/14	TCU -10½	OKST 9 at TCU 42	TCU	U (62)
10/19/13	OKST -6½	TCU 10 at OKST 24	OKST	U (52)
10/27/12	OKST -7	TCU 14 at OKST 36	OKST	U (63)

- Mike Gundy is 20-3 OVER at home after gaining 450+ yards in 2 straight games as coach of OKLAHOMA ST.
- Mike Gundy is 17-2 OVER at home after gaining 475+ yards in 2 straight games as coach of OKLAHOMA ST.
- Mike Gundy is 61-33 ATS as a favorite.

Metatfor To another	TCU Horned Frogs. Oklahoma State Cowboys.	23
Spoudulux Jorecuster	Oklahoma State Cowboys	37



NOTRE DAME FIGHTING IRISH



MICHIGAN STATE **SPARTANS**



LINE: NOTRE DAME BY 4½

(361) NOTRE DAME (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (362) MICHIGAN STATE (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 8:00 PM

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
NOTRE DAME	+20.3 35.0	39	21	44-331 (7.5)	16-32-163 (5.1)	76-494 (6.5)	1-1	19	19	41-152 (3.7)	20-35-200 (5.7)	76-352 (4.6)) 1-1	+1
MICHIGAN STATE	+19.5 30.5	32	23	48-256 (5.4)	18-28-206 (7.2)	76-462 (6.1)	2-0	12	10	30-92 (3.0)	11-29-112 (3.9)	59-204 (3.5)) 0-1	-3

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	O/U
9/17/16	ND -7	MSU 36 at ND 28	MSU	0 (50½)
9/21/13	ND -4	MSU 13 at ND 17	Push	U (42½)
9/15/12	MSU -5½	ND 20 at MSU 3	ND	U (44½)
9/17/11	ND -6	MSU 13 at ND 31	ND	U (52)
9/18/10	MSU -3½	ND 31 at MSU 34	ND	0 (51½)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Mark Dantonio is 20-7 UNDER after outrushing opponent by 150 or more yards last game.
- Mark Dantonio is 21-8 ATS after allowing 3.75 or less yards/play in previous game as coach of MICHIGAN ST.
- Brian Kelly is 17-6 UNDER as a favorite of 3½ to 10 points as coach of NOTRE DAME.







PENN STATE NITTANY LIONS





LINE: PENN STATE BY 12

(317) PENN STATE (SU: 3-0, ATS: 3-0) at (318) IOWA (SU: 3-0, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:30 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
PENN STATE	+42.3 23.3	47	19	27-187 (6.8)	19-29-282 (9.6)	56-469 (8.4)	0-1	5	19	43-126 (3.0)	19-34-148 (4.3)	77-274 (3.6) 1-2	+7
IOWA	+13.7 28.0	33	24	47-180 (3.8)	17-28-218 (7.9)	75-398 (5.3)	2-0	19	18	24-97 (4.0)	22-38-238 (6.2)	62-335 (5.4) 0-1	-1

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
11/5/16	PSU -6½	IOWA 14 at PSU 41	PSU	0 (52½)
10/20/12	IOWA -11/2	PSU 38 at IOWA 14	PSU	0 (42½)
10/8/11	PSU -4	IOWA 3 at PSU 13	PSU	U (45)
10/2/10	10WA -7½	PSU 3 at IOWA 24	IOWA	U (40)
9/26/09	PSU -9½	IOWA 21 at PSU 10	IOWA	U (40½)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Kirk Ferentz is 24-4 ATS versus teams averaging >=5.25 rushing yards/carry as coach of IOWA.
- PENN STATE is 9-0 ATS after 2 or more consecutive straight up wins since the start of last season.
- PENN STATE is 9-0 ATS after a game where they committed 1 or less turnovers since start of last season.



	Penn State Nittany Lions	34
П	Iowa Hawkeyes	.17



MICHIGAN WOLVERINES



PURDUE BOILERMAKERS



LINE: MICHIGAN BY 91/2

· (313) MICHIGAN (SU: 3-0, ATS: 1-2) at (314) PURDUE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 4:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
MICHIGAN	+18.0 38.0	33	17	43-199 (4.7)	14-26-203 (7.8)	69-402 (5.8)	1-1	15	12	35-82 (2.3)	10-25-126 (5.0)	60-208 (3.5)	1-1	+1
PURDUE	+16.0 34.3	36	25	38-173 (4.5)	25-38-287 (7.5)	76-460 (6.1)	0-1	20	20	32-125 (4.0)	20-34-249 (7.3)	66-374 (5.7)	2-1	+3

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/6/12	PUR +3	MICH 44 at PUR 13	MICH ((54½)
10/29/11	MICH -14	PUR 14 at MICH 36	MICH L	J (53½)
11/13/10	PUR +13	MICH 27 at PUR 16	PUR	U (61)
11/7/09	MICH -7	PUR 38 at MICH 36	PUR C	(51½)
11/1/08	PUR -2½	MICH 42 at PUR 48	PUR	0 (48)

- PURDUE is 11-1 OVER after 1 or more consecutive wins against the spread since the start of the 2015 season.
- PURDUE is 1-10 ATS as a home underdog since the start of the 2015 season.
- PURDUE coach Jeff Brohm is 15-3 OVER in his career after playing their last game on the road.

Met at foy	Tongeton	Michigan Wolverines Purdue Boilermakers	40
S) STALLOY	Jorecaster	Purdue Boilermakers	21



ARKANSAS RAZORBACKS



TEXAS A&M AGGIES



LINE: TEXAS A&M BY 21/2

(393) ARKANSAS (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) vs. (394) TEXAS A&M (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-1-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 12:00 PM at AT&T Stadium in Arlington, Texas

	TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
ARKANSAS	+10.5 22.0	28	20	38-182 (4.8)	12-22-158 (7.0)	60-340 (5.7)	0-0	18	16	36-138 (3.8)	18-30-130 (4.4)	66-268 (4.1)	1-0	+1
TEXAS A&M	+11.0 20.3	38	26	50-252 (5.0)	18-33-196 (5.9)	83-448 (5.4)	0-0	27	20	28-77 (2.7)	25-43-324 (7.6)	71-401 (5.6)	2-1	+8

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	O/U
9/24/16	A&M -7	ARK 24 vs A&M 45	A&M	0 (52)
9/26/15	ARK +6	A&M 28 vs ARK 21	A&M	U (61)
9/27/14	A&M -7½	ARK 28 vs A&M 35	ARK	U (72)
9/28/13	ARK +13½	A&M 45 at ARK 33	ARK	0 (64½)
9/29/12	A&M -13	ARK 10 at A&M 58	A&M	0 (65)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- TEXAS A&M is 0-8 ATS after a loss against the spread since the start of last season.
- Kevin Sumlin is 8-0 ATS away in the first month of the season as coach of TEXAS A&M.
- TEXAS A&M is 1-8 ATS off an over since the start of the 2015 season.

Metatfox Top and	4.	Arkansas Razorbacks Texas A&M Aggies	32
STALIUX Jorecus	er $ $	Texas A&M Aggies	33



MISSISSIPPI STATE BULLDOGS

GEORGIA BULLDOGS



LINE: GEORGIA BY 6½

· (323) MISSISSIPPI STATE (SU: 3-0, ATS: 3-0) at (324) GEORGIA (SU: 3-0, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:00 PM

				TEAM OFFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENSE	(2017 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
MISSISSIPPI STATE	+38.3 28.7	48	25	46-298 (6.5)	16-26-195 (7.4)	72-493 (6.8)	0-0	9	12	39-101 (2.6)	10-22-105 (4.7)	61-206 (3.4)) 1-0	+2
GEORGIA	+16.7 27.3	31	19	47-230 (4.9)	12-21-154 (7.2)	68-384 (5.6)	1-1	14	15	31-71 (2.3)	22-36-195 (5.5)	67-266 (4.0)) 1-0	-2

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/1/11	UGA -7	MSU 10 at UGA 24	UGA	U (52)
9/25/10	MSU +1	UGA 12 at MSU 24	MSU	U (45½)
10/21/06	UGA -16½	MSU 24 at UGA 27	MSU	0 (39)
9/24/05	MSU +15½	UGA 23 at MSU 10	MSU	U (42½)
10/4/97	UGA -10	MSU o at UGA 47	UGA	

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- MISSISSIPPI STATE is 13-1 ATS after playing a game at home since the start of the 2015 season.
- GEORGIA is 8-0 UNDER after allowing 100 or less rushing yards last game since start of the 2015 season.
- GEORGIA is 12-2 UNDER after a game where they committed 1 or 0 turnovers since start of 2015 season.

¥statfox Forecaster	Mississippi State Bulldogs 27 Georgia Bulldogs 29
---------------------	---



FLORIDA GATORS



KENTUCKY WILDCATS



LINE: FLORIDA BY 3

· (331) FLORIDA (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) at (332) KENTUCKY (SU: 3-0, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:30 PM

				TEAM OFFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENSE	(2017 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
FLORIDA	-5.0 50.5	22	12	28-90 (3.2)	16-27-196 (7.3)	55-286 (5.2)	2-0	26	21	41-199 (4.9)	16-32-238 (7.3)	73-437 (6.0) 0-2	-1
KENTUCKY	+9.3 20.7	25	18	40-156 (3.9)	14-23-191 (8.2)	63-347 (5.5)	1-0	15	17	26-57 (2.2)	26-40-290 (7.2)	66-347 (5.3)) 1-1	+4

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
9/10/16	UF -14	KY 7 at UF 45	UF	0 (49)
9/19/15	KY +3½	UF 14 at KY 9	UF	U (51½)
9/13/14	UF -17½	KY 30 at UF 36	KY	0 (54)
9/28/13	KY +11	UF 24 at KY 7	UF	U (46)
9/22/12	UF -24	KY 0 at UF 38	UF	U (51½)

- FLORIDA is 8-1 UNDER after playing a conference game since the start of last season.
- \bullet FLORIDA is 6-0 UNDER away after playing a conference game since the start of last season.
- Mark Stoops is 3-11 ATS as a home underdog as coach of KENTUCKY.

Contaction of	# .	Florida Gators 24	4
SLIGHTOX TO	recaster 🖂	Florida Gators 24 Kentucky Wildcats 25	5



ALABAMA CRIMSON TIDE



VANDERBILT COMMODORES



LINE: ALABAMA BY 18½

(379) ALABAMA (SU: 3-0, ATS: 1-2) at (380) VANDERBILT (SU: 3-0, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:30 PM

				TEAM OFFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENSI	E (2017 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)	
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL FUM-IN	T TOD
ALABAMA	+22.0 38.3	35	21	40-239 (6.0)	14-22-179 (8.1)	62-418 (6.7)	0-0	13	18	30-81 (2.7)	22-37-224 (6.0)	67-305 (4.6) 0-2	+6
VANDERBILT	+23.7 23.7	28	15	34-103 (3.0)	18-26-243 (9.5)	60-346 (5.8)	0-0	4	11	31-103 (3.3)	12-27-95 (3.6)	58-198 (3.4) 0-2	+4

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS HOME LINE SU RESULT ATS WIN ALA -29 VAND 0 at ALA 34 ALA U (43½) 10/8/11 9/8/07 VAND +4 ALA 24 at VAND 10 ALA ALA -14½ VAND 10 at ALA 13 VAND 9/9/06 U (41) VAND +22 ALA 30 at VAND 8 11/2/02 Push U (51½) 9/8/01 VAND +11 ALA 12 at VAND 9 VAND U (441/2)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Nick Saban is 14-1 ATS away off a no-cover where the team won as a favorite as coach of ALABAMA.
- Nick Saban is 8-0 ATS off 2 nocovers where the team won as a favorite as coach of ALABAMA.
- Nick Saban is 27-11 ATS in his coaching career off 2 consecutive home wins.





KENT STATE

LOUISVILLE (-41)



(335) KENT STATE (SU: 1-2, ATS: 0-3) at (336) LOUISVILLE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 12:00 PM

		TEAM OFFENSE (2017 PER-GAME AVERAGES)									TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME AV	ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
KENT STATE	-22.3	28.7	14	12	47-185 (3.9)	5-13-74 (5.9)	60-259 (4.3)	1-0	36	25	41-246 (5.9)	19-34-254 (7.5)	75-500 (6.7)		+1
LOUISVILLE	-2.3	47.3	34	28	35-191 (5.5)	25-42-363 (8.6)	77-554 (7.2)	1-0	37	23	31-122 (4.0)	28-43-331 (7.8)	74-453 (6.1)	0-1	EVEN

₩Statfox Forecaster | LOUISVILLE 50, KENT STATE 14

KEY GAME TREND: LOUISVILLE coach Bob Petrino is 0-9 ATS in his career versus terrible offensive teams - averaging <=285 yards/game.



TOLEDO

V S

MIAMI FLORIDA (-131/2)



· (351) TOLEDO (SU: 3-0, ATS: 1-2) at (352) MIAMI (SU: 1-0, ATS: 0-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:30 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME /	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
TOLEDO	+16.7	20.0	46	27	45-218 (4.9)	20-31-335 (10.7)	76-553 (7.3)	0-1	29	19	44-220 (5.0)	11-24-150 (6.3)	68-370 (5.4)	0-1	EVEN
MIAMI	+28.0	-3.0	41	28	34-317 (9.3)	18-31-233 (7.5)	65-550 (8.5)	0-0	13	20	30-121 (4.0)	25-39-229 (5.9)	69-350 (5.1)	1-1	+2

₮statfox Forecaster | MIAMI 37, TOLEDO 25

KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI is 7-0 ATS after outgaining opp by 125 or more total yards in their previous game since the start of the 2015 season.



OLD DOMINION

VS

VIRGINIA TECH (-26)



· (341) OLD DOMINION (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) at (342) VIRGINIA TECH (SU: 3-0, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 2:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME AV	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
OLD DOMINION	-2.0	20.0	24	16	37-132 (3.5)	15-29-190 (6.6)	66-322 (4.9)	1-1	26	21	42-149 (3.6)	17-31-223 (7.1)	73-372 (5.1)	0-0	-3
VIRGINIA TECH	+27.0	20.3	41	23	45-201 (4.5)	19-30-282 (9.5)	75-483 (6.4)	0-0	14	18	37-123 (3.3)	17-34-243 (7.2)	71-366 (5.2)	1-1	+5

₮statfox Forecaster | VIRGINIA TECH 32, OLD DOMINION 24

KEY GAME TREND: Bobby Wilder is 0-7 ATS vs. excellent passing teams with a completion percentage of 62% or better as coach of OLD DOMINION.



TEXAS TECH

HOUSTON (-6)



• (375) TEXAS TECH (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-0-1) at (376) HOUSTON (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 12:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME A	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
TEXAS TECH	+26.5	14.0	54	32	34-124 (3.6)	34-42-496 (11.7)	76-620 (8.2)	0-0	28	23	40-124 (3.1)	25-38-273 (7.1)	78-397 (5.1)	1-1	+3
HOUSTON	+19.0	26.0	28	20	37-144 (3.9)	30-35-290 (8.3)	72-434 (6.0)	0-1	10	18	37-140 (3.8)	16-31-166 (5.4)	68-306 (4.5)	2-1	+3

₮statfox Forecaster | HOUSTON 34, TEXAS TECH 26

KEY GAME TREND: HOUSTON is 6-0 ATS in September games since the start of last season.



RUTGERS

VS

NEBRASKA (-13½)



(349) RUTGERS (SU: 1-2, ATS: 2-1) at (350) NEBRASKA (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:30 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME AV	(ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
RUTGERS	+15.3	25.7	31	20	42-195 (4.6)	18-31-176 (5.7)	73-371 (5.1)	0-1	15	14	32-93 (2.9)	15-30-203 (6.8)	62-296 (4.8)	1-1	EVEN
NEBRASKA	-1.3	38.3	32	21	34-140 (4.1)	21-40-263 (6.6)	74-403 (5.4)	0-2	33	22	32-123 (3.8)	29-41-303 (7.3)	73-426 (5.8)	1-1	-2

¥statfox Forecaster | NEBRASKA 28, RUTGERS 16

KEY GAME TREND: RUTGERS is 0-6 ATS after covering the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games since the start of the 2015 season.



NEVADA

٧S

WASHINGTON STATE (-28)



· (405) NEVADA (SU: 0-3, ATS: 1-2) at (406) WASHINGTON STATE (SU: 3-0, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 6:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME AV	(ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
NEVADA	-8.7	25.3	24	22	35-156 (4.4)	18-36-227 (6.4)	71-383 (5.4)	1-1	33	24	48-155 (3.2)	22-34-284 (8.3)	82-439 (5.4)	0-0	-5
WASHINGTON STATE	+21.0	20.7	43	26	23-77 (3.4)	44-58-408 (7.1)	81-485 (6.0)	1-1	22	16	36-145 (4.0)	16-26-155 (6.0)	62-300 (4.8)	2-1	+3

🛮 statfox Forecaster | WASHINGTON STATE 40, NEVADA 25

KEY GAME TREND: Mike Leach is 11-1 ATS vs. poor ball control teams, 28 or less possession minutes/game as coach of WASHINGTON STATE.



GEORGIA SOUTHERN

INDIANA (-23½)



(345) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 0-2, ATS: 1-1) at (346) INDIANA (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:30 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME A	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
GEORGIA SOUTHERN	-22.0	23.0	10	14	52-152 (2.9)	8-16-50 (3.3)	68-202 (3.0)	0-1	32	20	42-248 (5.8)	14-24-156 (6.5)	66-404 (6.1)	1-0	EVEN
INDIANA	-5.5	43.0	28	22	34-69 (2.0)	30-49-308 (6.3)	83-377 (4.5)	0-2	33	24	38-174 (4.6)	30-50-282 (5.6)	88-456 (5.2)	0-0	-4

₮statfox Forecaster │ INDIANA 35, GEORGIA SOUTHERN 20

KEY GAME TREND: GEORGIA SOUTHERN is 4-10 ATS since the start of last season.



UCF

MARYLAND (-3½)



• (333) UCF (SU: 1-0, ATS: 1-0) at (334) MARYLAND (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:00 PM

-					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME A)	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
UCF	+44.0	15.0	61	31	45-148 (3.3)	26-33-439 (13.3)	78-587 (7.5)	1-1	17	13	26-134 (5.2)	18-31-201 (6.5)	57-335 (5.9)	3-1	+2
MARYLAND	+28.0	19.0	57	20	40-315 (8.0)	13-16-193 (11.7)	56-508 (9.1)	0-0	29	22	34-98 (2.9)	28-44-292 (6.6)	78-390 (5.0)	0-2	+3

VS

¥statfox Forecaster | MARYLAND 32, UCF 25

KEY GAME TREND: MARYLAND is 6-0 OVER after a playing a game where 70 total points or more were scored since the start of the 2015 season.



LOUISIANA TECH

VS

SOUTH CAROLINA (-8½)



• (325) LOUISIANA TECH (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) at (326) S CAROLINA (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:30 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	(ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
LOUISIANA TECH	-2.3	29.3	32	21	39-165 (4.2)	18-35-248 (7.2)	74-413 (5.6)	2-1	34	22	38-204 (5.4)	19-32-205 (6.5)	70-409 (5.8)	0-1	-3
S CAROLINA	+5.0	35.0	26	15	27-86 (3.2)	20-31-235 (7.5)	58-321 (5.5)	0-1	21	23	40-150 (3.8)	25-41-276 (6.8)	81-426 (5.3)	1-1	+4

≨statfox Forecaster | LOUISIANA TECH 38, S CAROLINA 29

KEY GAME TREND: LOUISIANA TECH is 8-1 OVER after 1 or more consecutive straight up wins since the start of last season.



MASSACHUSETTS

TENNESSEE (-26)



• (327) MASSACHUSETTS (SU: 0-4, ATS: 1-3) at (328) TENNESSEE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 12:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME A	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
MASSACHUSETTS	-7.8	22.8	23	22	34-88 (2.6)	24-39-322 (8.3)	73-410 (5.6)	1-0	30	19	41-187 (4.6)	18-31-227 (7.3)	72-414 (5.8)	0-0	-4
TENNESSEE	+10.0	29.0	35	22	31-164 (5.3)	19-35-238 (6.7)	66-402 (6.1)	0-1	25	20	51-275 (5.4)	11-19-142 (7.5)	70-417 (6.0)	1-0	EVEN

₮statfox Forecaster | TENNESSEE 57, MASSACHUSETTS 21

KEY GAME TREND: Mark Whipple is 9-1 OVER vs. good offensive teams - scoring 31 or more points/game as coach of MASSACHUSETTS.



WAKE FOREST (-4½)

٧s

APPALACHIAN STATE



· (309) WAKE FOREST (SU: 3-0, ATS: 2-0-1) at (310) APPALACHIAN STATE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 0-3) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:30 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
WAKE FOREST	+34.7	16.3	44	23	52-232 (4.5)	16-24-229 (9.4)	76-461 (6.1)	0-0	9	14	39-130 (3.3)	12-29-143 (4.9)	68-273 (4.0)	0-2	+5
APPALACHIAN STATE	+11.0	15.7	28	19	36-177 (4.9)	18-27-220 (8.0)	63-397 (6.3)	1-0	17	15	38-141 (3.7)	13-24-148 (6.1)	62-289 (4.7)	0-1	EVEN

₩statfox Forecaster | APPALACHIAN STATE 29, WAKE FOREST 17

KEY GAME TREND: WAKE FOREST coach Dave Clawson is 13-2 ATS after a win by 21 or more points in his head coaching career.



FLORIDA ATLANTIC

٧S

BUFFALO (-2½)



· (347) FLA ATLANTIC (SU: 1-2, ATS: 2-1) at (348) BUFFALO (SU: 1-2, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	(ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
FLA ATLANTIC	+1.7	30.3	26	19	39-195 (5.0)	16-28-203 (7.2)	67-398 (5.9)	0-1	24	20	52-278 (5.4)	10-21-124 (6.0)	73-402 (5.5)	1-1	+2
BUFFALO	+3.0	24.3	19	17	34-157 (4.6)	13-25-196 (7.9)	59-353 (6.0)	1-0	16	19	52-219 (4.2)	9-21-104 (5.0)	73-323 (4.4)	0-0	-2

¥statfox Forecaster │ BUFFALO 28, FLA ATLANTIC 27

KEY GAME TREND: BUFFALO is 7-0 UNDER vs. excellent punt coverage teams, allowing <= 7.5 yards per return since the start of last season.



BALL STATE

٧S

WESTERN KENTUCKY (-91/2)



• (385) BALL STATE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (386) WESTERN KENTUCKY (SU: 1-2, ATS: 0-3) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME AV	(ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
BALL STATE	+10.7	11.7	33	22	43-186 (4.4)	22-33-220 (6.6)	76-406 (5.3)	1-1	23	19	37-174 (4.7)	15-26-184 (7.1)	63-358 (5.7)	1-1	EVEN
WESTERN KENTUCKY	EVEN	20.3	20	19	32-86 (2.6)	24-36-243 (6.7)	68-329 (4.8)	1-0	20	20	34-113 (3.3)	22-37-244 (6.5)	71-357 (5.0)	0-1	-1

Statfox Forecaster | WESTERN KENTUCKY 46, BALL STATE 15

KEY GAME TREND: WESTERN KENTUCKY is 1-8 ATS in the first half of the season since the start of last season.



AKRON

٧S

TROY (-15½)



· (369) AKRON (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) at (370) TROY (SU: 2-1, ATS: 0-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 6:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
AKRON	-10.0	29.7	22	19	34-126 (3.7)	19-33-192 (5.8)	67-318 (4.7)	0-1	32	20	35-160 (4.6)	20-30-255 (8.6)	65-415 (6.4)	0-1	-1
TROY	+6.3	18.7	25	23	34-170 (5.0)	25-39-260 (6.7)	73-430 (5.9)	1-1	18	17	31-94 (3.0)	22-35-207 (5.9)	66-301 (4.6)	0-0	-2

₮statfox Forecaster | TROY 34, AKRON 14

KEY GAME TREND: AKRON is 8-0 UNDER off 1 or more consecutive unders since the start of last season.



ARKANSAS STATE

vs SMU (-4½)



• (377) ARKANSAS STATE (SU: 1-1, ATS: 1-1) at (378) SMU (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
ARKANSAS STATE	+19.0	15.5	42	29	29-110 (3.8)	36-51-386 (7.6)	80-496 (6.2)	0-1	23	18	38-140 (3.6)	17-29-231 (8.0)	67-371 (5.5)	1-2	+3
SMU	+15.3	21.7	49	21	37-155 (4.2)	17-34-318 (9.4)	71-473 (6.7)	0-1	34	22	37-133 (3.6)	24-36-311 (8.6)	73-444 (6.1)	2-1	+5

¥statfox Forecaster │ ARKANSAS STATE 38, SMU 25

KEY GAME TREND: Blake Anderson is 11-1 OVER vs. bad defensive teams who give up 31 or more points/game as coach of ARKANSAS STATE.



ARMY

TULANE (-2)



• (381) ARMY (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-1) at (382) TULANE (SU: 1-2, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 12:00 PM

					TEAM OFFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
ARMY	+10.3	25.0	31	19	57-365 (6.4)	1-6-12 (2.0)	63-377 (6.0)	1-0	20	20	30-152 (5.1)	22-31-250 (8.0)	61-402 (6.6)	1-0	+1
TULANE	-5.0	32.7	26	19	53-241 (4.6)	8-13-103 (7.8)	66-344 (5.2)	0-0	31	16	35-156 (4.4)	13-23-238 (10.3)	58-394 (6.8)	1-1	+3

¥statfox Forecaster │ ARMY 25, TULANE 19

KEY GAME TREND: TULANE head coach Willie Fritz is 11-1 ATS in his career after playing a non-conference game.



CINCINNATI

٧S

NAVY (-11½)



• (357) CINCINNATI (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (358) NAVY (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:30 PM

					TEAM OFFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	(ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
CINCINNATI	-2.0	26.0	20	16	31-101 (3.2)	19-37-168 (4.5)	68-269 (4.0)	0-1	22	17	43-162 (3.8)	14-26-177 (6.7)	69-339 (4.9)	1-1	+1
NAVY	+12.5	25.0	32	19	60-305 (5.1)	4-11-121 (11.0)	71-426 (6.0)	1-1	20	14	35-116 (3.3)	14-23-178 (7.8)	58-294 (5.1)	0-1	-2

¥statfox Forecaster | NAVY 36, CINCINNATI 17

KEY GAME TREND: Ken Niumatalolo is 7-0 ATS at home off a no-cover where the team won as a favorite as coach of NAVY.



GEORGIA STATE (-2½)

CHARLOTTE ٧S



• (343) GEORGIA STATE (SU: 0-2, ATS: 0-2) at (344) CHARLOTTE (SU: 0-3, ATS: 0-3) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 6:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
GEORGIA STATE	-31.5	26.5	5	18	39-100 (2.6)	18-28-197 (7.2)	67-297 (4.4)	2-2	36	20	33-202 (6.1)	16-27-252 (9.4)	60-454 (7.6)	0-0	-9
CHARLOTTE	-23.0	22.0	15	17	36-181 (5.0)	12-28-114 (4.1)	64-295 (4.6)	1-2	38	22	44-197 (4.5)	18-27-238 (8.9)	71-435 (6.1)	1-0	-6

₮statfox Forecaster │ CHARLOTTE 21, GEORGIA STATE 20

KEY GAME TREND: Brad Lambert is 1-8 ATS at home when playing with 6 or less days rest as coach of CHARLOTTE



UAB

NORTH TEXAS (-11) ٧S



(387) UAB (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (388) NORTH TEXAS (SU: 1-2, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 6:30 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	ISE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	(ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
UAB	+6.0	10.3	33	22	51-255 (5.0)	12-20-146 (7.2)	71-401 (5.6)	1-0	27	19	42-144 (3.4)	15-29-152 (5.2)	71-296 (4.2)	0-1	EVEN
NORTH TEXAS	+2.0	21.7	35	23	35-206 (5.9)	22-33-283 (8.5)	68-489 (7.2)	1-1	33	21	42-141 (3.4)	19-36-257 (7.1)	78-398 (5.1)	1-1	-1

Statfox Forecaster | NO FORECAST AVAILABLE

KEY GAME TREND: NORTH TEXAS is 7-0 UNDER vs. mistake prone teams - 60+ penalty yards

MIDDLE TENNESSEE STATE (-101/2)



BOWLING GREEN • (371) BOWLING GREEN (SU: 0-3, ATS: 0-3) at (372) MIDDLE TENNESSEE STATE (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME AV	(ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
BOWLING GREEN	-25.0	26.3	15	18	30-108 (3.6)	19-42-239 (5.7)	72-347 (4.8)	1-1	40	28	47-244 (5.2)	22-34-310 (9.2)	81-554 (6.8)	2-0	EVEN
MIDDLE TENNESSEE STATE	-15.3	38.7	13	15	25-63 (2.6)	22-36-206 (5.7)	61-269 (4.4)	1-1	28	19	44-139 (3.2)	19-30-200 (6.7)	74-339 (4.6)	0-0	-4

٧S

¥statfox Forecaster │ MIDDLE TENN ST 35, BOWLING GREEN 28

KEY GAME TREND: Rick Stockstill is 8-0 ATS at home after allowing 125 or less passing yards in their last game as coach of MIDDLE TENNESSEE STATE.



FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL

V S RICE (-21/2)

per game since the start of the 2015 season.



· (395) FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) at (396) RICE (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:30 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	ERAGES)		
	PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-II									FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL	-18.5	15.5	17	20	33-136 (4.1)	20-32-264 (8.3)	65-400 (6.2)	2-0	36	22	35-113 (3.2)	24-34-315 (9.4)	69-428 (6.2)	0-0	-3
RICE	-24.3	35.0	14	17	41-194 (4.8)	10-22-113 (5.1)	63-307 (4.9)	1-1	38	20	32-148 (4.6)	24-36-275 (7.6)	68-423 (6.2)	0-0	-5

¥statfox Forecaster | FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL 33, RICE 30

KEY GAME TREND: David Bailiff is 20-4 ATS when the line is +3 to -3 as coach of RICE.



UTEP

NEW MEXICO STATE (-18)



(355) UTEP (SU: 0-3, ATS: 0-3) at (356) NEW MEXICO STATE (SU: 1-2, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 8:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	ERAGES)		
	PDIF										RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
UTEP	-37.7	38.0	12	12	20-39 (2.0)	17-33-166 (5.0)	53-205 (3.9)	1-0	50	29	51-271 (5.3)	21-26-267 (10.4)	77-538 (7.0)	1-0	-2
NEW MEXICO STATE	-2.3	30.0	28	23	24-100 (4.1)	35-53-377 (7.1)	77-477 (6.2)	0-1	31	22	38-146 (3.8)	23-36-287 (7.9)	74-433 (5.9)	1-1	EVEN

٧S

¥statfox Forecaster │ NEW MEXICO STATE 31, UTEP 27

KEY GAME TREND: UTEP is 2-12 ATS in the first half of the season since the start of the 2015 season



MIAMI OHIO

٧S

CENTRAL MICHIGAN (-21/2)



• (339) MIAMI OHIO (SU: 1-2, ATS: 0-3) at (340) CENTRAL MICHIGAN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 3:30 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME AV	(ERAGES)		
	PDIF									FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
MIAMI OHIO	+4.0	15.7	25	19	41-117 (2.8)	17-33-221 (6.8)	74-338 (4.6)	1-1	21	16	32-119 (3.7)	18-30-180 (5.9)	62-299 (4.8)	1-1	+1
CENTRAL MICHIGAN	-1.0	16.0	31	24	40-158 (4.0)	26-46-332 (7.3)	86-490 (5.7)	2-1	32	21	36-185 (5.1)	24-46-295 (6.5)	82-480 (5.9)	1-3	+3

₮statfox Forecaster | MIAMI OHIO 28, CENTRAL MICHIGAN 20

KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO is 7-0 UNDER after 1 or more consecutive straight up losses since the start of last season.



OHIO

EASTERN MICHIGAN (-21/2)



(337) OHIO U (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (338) EASTERN MICHIGAN (SU: 2-0, ATS: 2-0) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 2:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME AV	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF	PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT									RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
OHIO U	+16.0	16.3	41	22	44-201 (4.5)	15-23-221 (9.5)	67-422 (6.3)	1-1	25	18	36-146 (4.1)	17-31-226 (7.2)	67-372 (5.6)	1-0	-1
EASTERN MICHIGAN	+10.0	17.0	20	19	38-120 (3.2)	20-34-264 (7.8)	72-384 (5.3)	1-0	10	14	32-147 (4.5)	14-34-156 (4.7)	66-303 (4.6)	0-2	+2

٧S

🦋 statfox Forecaster | OHIO U 28, EASTERN MICHIGAN 19

KEY GAME TREND: E MICHIGAN is 9-0 ATS off 1 or more consecutive unders since the start

of last season

COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 4: SEPTEMBER 23 - 24



UTSA (-13)

٧S

TEXAS STATE



(389) UTSA (SU: 2-0, ATS: 1-1) at (390) TEXAS STATE (SU: 1-2, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:30 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME AV	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
UTSA	+20.5	16.5	34	22	42-194 (4.6)	20-25-245 (9.8)	67-439 (6.6)	2-1	14	12	32-110 (3.5)	10-26-101 (3.8)	58-211 (3.6)	0-2	-1
TEXAS STATE	-10.7	25.0	12	17	42-145 (3.5)	16-27-174 (6.4)	69-319 (4.6)	1-1	23	20	37-89 (2.4)	20-33-235 (7.2)	70-324 (4.6)	1-0	-3

¥statfox Forecaster | UTSA 29, TEXAS STATE 13

KEY GAME TREND: TEXAS STATE is 8-0 UNDER at home after playing a conference game since the start of the 2015 season.



LOUISIANA-MONROE

V S

LOUISIANA-LAFAYETTE (-5½)



(399) LOUISIANA-MONROE (SU: 0-2, ATS: 1-1) at (400) LOUISIANA-LAFAYETTE (SU: 1-2, ATS: 0-2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 5:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF										RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
LOUISIANA-MONROE	-9.5	35.0	23	20	43-182 (4.2)	16-32-196 (6.1)	75-378 (5.0)	2-0	32	20	44-302 (6.9)	14-28-174 (6.1)	72-476 (6.6)	0-1	-2
LOUISIANA-LAFAYETTE	-15.0	26.7	38	20	35-194 (5.5)	20-34-229 (6.8)	69-423 (6.1)	1-2	53	28	55-315 (5.7)	17-26-238 (9.1)	81-553 (6.8)	1-1	-2

₮statfox Forecaster | LA LAFAYETTE 37, LA MONROE 21

KEY GAME TREND: Mark Hudspeth is 21-7 OVER as a home favorite as coach of LOUISIANA-LAFAYETTE.

KEY GAME TREND: UTAH STATE is 1-9 ATS when playing on a Saturday since the start of



UTAH STATE (-2½)

٧S

SAN JOSE STATE



· (411) UTAH STATE (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) at (412) SAN JOSE STATE (SU: 1-3, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 7:30 PM

		SS PPG FD RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM- 31.0 24 19 36-127 (3.6) 22-37-262 (7.1) 73-389 (5.3) 1-2-389 (5.3)									TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME AV	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF									FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
UTAH STATE	-15.7	31.0	24	19	36-127 (3.6)	22-37-262 (7.1)	73-389 (5.3)	1-2	39	22	47-213 (4.5)	16-27-244 (9.0)	74-457 (6.2)	1-1	-3
SAN JOSE STATE	-23.3	31.0	18	16	38-113 (3.0)	18-35-213 (6.1)	73-326 (4.5)	2-2	41	26	58-269 (4.6)	16-27-207 (7.6)	85-476 (5.6)	1-0	-6

last season.

¥statfox Forecaster │ SAN JOSE STATE 30, UTAH STATE 29

NEW MEXICO

HAWAII

V S WYOMING (-7)



· (363) HAWAII (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (364) WYOMING (SU: 1-2, ATS: 0-3) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 10:15 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
HAWAII	-2.3	18.7	34	22	42-231 (5.5)	20-34-260 (7.7)	76-491 (6.5)	0-1	36	25	38-153 (4.0)	23-35-314 (9.0)	73-467 (6.4)	1-1	+1
WYOMING	-10.0	28.0	14	15	30-80 (2.7)	18-32-189 (5.9)	62-269 (4.3)	0-1	24	20	53-206 (3.9)	9-17-133 (7.7)	70-339 (4.8)	2-1	+4

🦋 statfox Forecaster | WYOMING 39, HAWAII 29

KEY GAME TREND: HAWAII is 0-7 ATS after a loss by 21 or more points since the start of the 2015 season

TULSA (-12)



· (365) NEW MEXICO (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) at (366) TULSA (SU: 1-2, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 1:30 PM

					TEAM OFFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME AV	ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
NEW MEXICO	+2.7	18.7	27	20	43-211 (4.9)	13-24-185 (7.7)	67-396 (5.9)	2-1	24	18	26-78 (3.0)	28-44-271 (6.2)	70-349 (5.0)	1-1	-3
TULSA	-4.7	40.7	47	29	67-364 (5.5)	15-26-185 (7.1)	93-549 (5.9)	1-0	52	27	37-280 (7.6)	21-31-358 (11.6)	68-638 (9.4)	1-1	+2

٧S

₮statfox Forecaster | TULSA 38, NEW MEXICO 32

KEY GAME TREND: Bob Davie is 9-1 ATS versus good rushing teams - averaging >=200 rushing

yards/game as coach of NEW MEXICO.



IDAHO

SOUTH ALABAMA (-4)



(397) IDAHO (SU: 1-2, ATS: 2-1) at (398) SOUTH ALABAMA (SU: 1-2, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/23/2017 2:00 PM

					TEAM OFFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2017 PER-GAME A)	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
IDAHO	-5.0	20.3	24	16	38-162 (4.3)	17-26-218 (8.3)	64-380 (5.9)	1-1	29	17	42-196 (4.6)	14-24-167 (6.9)	66-363 (5.5)	0-0	-5
SOUTH ALABAMA	-4.0	31.7	26	18	34-123 (3.6)	14-23-198 (8.7)	57-321 (5.6)	0-0	30	21	31-112 (3.7)	24-35-290 (8.4)	66-402 (6.1)	1-0	+1

٧s

🦋 statfox Forecaster | IDAHO 32, SOUTH ALABAMA 27

KEY GAME TREND: S ALABAMA is 0-12 ATS after a game where they committed 1 or less turnovers since the start of the 2015 season.



EAST CAROLINA

CONNECTICUT ٧s



• (421) EAST CAROLINA (SU: 0-3, ATS: 0-3) at (422) CONNECTICUT (SU: 1-1, ATS: 0-2) - Sunday, 9/24/2017 12:00 PM

					TEAM OFFEN	SE (2017 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	SE (2017 PER-GAME AV	/ERAGES)		
	PDIF										RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
EAST CAROLINA	-34.3	31.3	17	20	31-87 (2.8)	21-43-287 (6.6)	74-374 (5.1)	1-2	51	29	51-308 (6.0)	21-30-328 (11.0)	81-636 (7.9)	0-0	-7
CONNECTICUT	-6.5	12.5	22	20	39-184 (4.7)	23-34-252 (7.5)	73-436 (6.0)	2-0	29	26	28-130 (4.6)	32-48-406 (8.5)	76-536 (7.1)	0-0	-2

¥statfox Forecaster │ EAST CAROLINA 27, CONNECTICUT 19

KEY GAME TREND: E CAROLINA is 0-8 ATS after a playing a game where 60 total points or more were scored since the start of last season.

COSGA)

The Number 1 Source for Online Gaming Information

DON'T BURN THROUGH YOUR OWN CASH!
FIND THE BEST BONUSES AND
PLAY MORE THIS SEASON!

CALL OR CLICK TODAY!
855.363.6669 OSGA.COM