

#### **ISSUE #1 • SEPTEMBER 1 - 7, 2015**

College Football Best Bets / StatFox Staff Selections	3
College Football Top Statistical Edges	3
College Football Power Ratings	4
College Football Outplay Factor Ratings	6
College Football Game-by-Game Breakdowns	
Pro Football Regular Season Win Total Props	15

# <u>CLICK HERE</u> TO GET BEST BET PICKS FOR BOTH COLLEGE AND PRO FOOTBALL FROM OUR STAFF PICKERS ALL SEASON LONG

MANAGING EDITOR Scott Gramling EXECUTIVE EDITOR leff Makinen ASSOCIATE EDITOR Zach "Dutch Touch" Cohen CREATIVE DIRECTOR Ian Knowles CONTRIBUTING EDITORS Dave Bartman Gary Bennett Brian Graham EDITORIAL DIRECTION 10Ten Media DAILY RACING FORM LLC Jim Kostas. President Todd Unger, President. SIG Digital Jacob Luft, Director, Digital Products The Platinum Sheet is published by Daily Racing Form LLC 708 Third Avenue 12th Floor New York NY 10017 All rights reserved. Daily Racing Form LLC reserves the right to deny any paid advertisements and is not responsible for any contracts entered in with such advertisers. SUBSCRIPTIONS: www.StatFox.com/store 1-877-514-4220

**Welcome** to StatFox's ninth season of *The Platinum Sheet*, the nation's fastest-growing and most highly regarded weekly sports handicapping publication. If you've been with us in the past, you'll notice that this year's edition is back with all of the features to which you've become accustomed over the past couple of seasons: StatFox.com Power Ratings, StatFox.com Outplay Factor Ratings, key trends and more. We've also expanded our section of game-by-game matchups in order to more thoroughly analyze key plays on the schedule. And, of course, we again provide picks from both our staff and from the StatFox Forecaster, which uses proprietary algorithms to generate remarkably reliable predictions year-in and year-out.

We greatly appreciate your support and hope you stick with us for what promises to be an exciting—and hopefully very profitable—fall season. You can get Best Bet picks from all of our staffers by going online to http://foxsheets.statfoxsports.com/FootballBestBets.aspx. While you're there, I strongly urge you to also check out our renowned online FoxSheets, which are packed with valuable insight and information, highlighting the best tips and trends that will allow you to consistently pick winners.

Now go get 'em!

Statfox



Scott Gramling Managing Editor

# Statfox presents the week's staff picks

WEEK 1 COLLEGE FOOTBALL MATCHUPS: SEPT. 3 - 7		StatFox DAVE	StatFox GARY	StatFox SCOTT	StatFox BRIAN	StatFox FORECASTER	StatFox CONSENSUS
• (133) SOUTH CAROLINA vs. (134) NORTH CAROLINA	+3	NORTH CAROLINA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	SOUTH CAROLINA	SOUTH CAROLINA	SOUTH CAROLINA	SOUTH CAROLINA
• (139) MICHIGAN AT (140) UTAH	-5½	UTAH	UTAH	MICHIGAN	MICHIGAN	MICHIGAN	MICHIGAN
• (141) TCU AT (142) MINNESOTA	+14½	TCU	TCU	TCU	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA	TCU
• (151) BAYLOR AT (152) SMU	+36	SMU	BAYLOR	BAYLOR	BAYLOR	BAYLOR	BAYLOR
• (155) MICHIGAN STATE AT (156) WESTERN MICHIGAN	+18½	MICHIGAN STATE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	WESTERN MICHIGAN	MICHIGAN STATE	WESTERN MICHIGAN	
• (159) WASHINGTON AT (160) BOISE STATE	-11	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	BOISE STATE	BOISE STATE	WASHINGTON	
• (169) VIRGINIA AT (170) UCLA	-17	UCLA	VIRGINIA	VIRGINIA	VIRGINIA	VIRGINIA	VIRGINIA
• (171) STANFORD AT (172) NORTHWESTERN	+12	STANFORD	CLICK FOR BEST BET	STANFORD	STANFORD	STANFORD	STANFORD
• (173) LOUISVILLE vs. (174) AUBURN	-11	AUBURN	LOUISVILLE	AUBURN	LOUISVILLE	LOUISVILLE	LOUISVILLE
• (175) ARIZONA STATE vs. (176) TEXAS A&M	-3	CLICK FOR BEST BET	<b>CLICK FOR BEST BET</b>	CLICK FOR BEST BET	ARIZONA STATE	ARIZONA STATE	
• (177) BYU at (178) NEBRASKA	-6½	CLICK FOR BEST BET	BYU	BYU	<u>CLICK FOR BEST BET</u>	NEBRASKA	
• (185) BOWLING GREEN vs. (186) TENNESSEE	-20½	TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE	BOWLING GREEN	CLICK FOR BEST BET	BOWLING GREEN	
• (197) TEXAS AT (198) NOTRE DAME	-9½	TEXAS	NOTRE DAME	TEXAS	CLICK FOR BEST BET	TEXAS	TEXAS
• (201) WISCONSIN vs. (202) ALABAMA	-10	WISCONSIN	CLICK FOR BEST BET	ALABAMA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	WISCONSIN	
• (207) PURDUE AT (208) MARSHALL	-7½	MARSHALL	PURDUE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	PURDUE	MARSHALL	
• (209) OHIO STATE AT (210) VIRGINIA TECH	+11	OHIO STATE	OHIO STATE	OHIO STATE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	OHIO STATE	OHIO STATE

#### **Top College Football Statistical Edges - Week 1**

Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success.

OFFENSIVE STATISTICS		DEFENSIVE STATISTICS		OFF/DEF DIFFERENTIALS	
Points Scored (PPG)	W/L	Points Allowed (PPG)	W/L	Scoring Differential (PPG)	W/L
1. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +37.1		1. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +18.0		1. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +52.9	
2. W KENTUCKY (vs VAN): +27.2		2. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +15.8		2. MARSHALL (vs PUR): +32.4	
3. MARSHALL (vs PUR): +21.7		3. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +13.7		3. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +31.6	
4. GEORGIA (vs LAM): +21.2		4. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +12.8		4. CHARLOTTE (vs GST): +27.8	
5. OHIO ST (vs VAT): +20.7		5. OHIO U (vs IDA): +12.4		5. GEORGIA (vs LAM): +26.8	
6. TCU (vs MIN): +18.1		6. HAWAII (vs COL): +12.2		6. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +23.7	
7. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +17.9		7. CHARLOTTE (vs GST): +11.7		7. TCU (vs MIN): +23.2	
	\A//I		14//1		VA//L
Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG)	W/L	Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG)	W/L	Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG)	W/L
1. GA SOUTHERN (vs WV): +200.1		1. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +194.8		1. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +250.7	
2. GEORGIA (vs LAM): +189.3		2. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +130.7		2. CHARLOTTE (vs GST): +234.8	
3. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +138.0		3. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +118.1		3. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +233.4	
4. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +137.2		4. OHIO U (vs IDA): +115.2		4. GA SOUTHERN (vs WV): +214.6	
5. CHARLOTTE (vs GST): +124.2		5. CHARLOTTE (vs GST): +110.6		5. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +205.8	
6. N ILLINOIS (vs NLV): +120.0		6. NC STATE (vs TRO): +76.7		6. GEORGIA (vs LAM): +204.8	
7. OHIO ST (vs VAT): +116.9		7. USC (vs AKS): +71.8		7. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +202.8	
Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR)	W/L	Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR)	W/L	Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR)	W/L
1. GEORGIA (vs LAM): +3.8		1. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +3.1		1. CHARLOTTE (vs GST): +4.6	
2. GA SOUTHERN (vs WV): +2.9		2. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +2.1		2. GEORGIA (vs LAM): +4.0	
				3. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +3.4	
3. CHARLOTTE (vs GST): +2.5		3. CHARLOTTE (vs GST): +2.1			
3. CHARLOTTE (vs GST): +2.5 4. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +2.2	H	3. CHARLOTTE (vs GST): +2.1 4. TCU (vs MIN): +2.0		4. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +3.3	
	Ħ			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +2.2	Ħ	4. TCU (vs MIN): +2.0		4. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +3.3	
4. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +2.2 5. MARSHALL (vs PUR): +2.1		4. TCU (vs MIN): +2.0 5. ARKANSAS (vs UTP): +1.8		4. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +3.3 5. GA SOUTHERN (vs WV): +3.0	
4. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +2.2 5. MARSHALL (vs PUR): +2.1 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +2.0 7. OHIO ST (vs VAT): +2.0		4. TCU (vs MIN): +2.0 5. ARKANSAS (vs UTP): +1.8 6. OHIO U (vs IDA): +1.8 7. NC STATE (vs TRO): +1.5		4. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +3.3 5. GA SOUTHERN (vs WV): +3.0 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +3.0 7. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +3.0	
4. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +2.2 5. MARSHALL (vs PUR): +2.1 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +2.0 7. OHIO ST (vs VAT): +2.0 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG)	W/L	4. TCU (vs MIN): +2.0 5. ARKANSAS (vs UTP): +1.8 6. OHIO U (vs IDA): +1.8 7. NC STATE (vs TRO): +1.5 Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG)		4. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +3.3 5. GA SOUTHERN (vs WV): +3.0 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +3.0 7. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +3.0 Passing Yds Differential (PYPG)	W/L
4. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +2.2 5. MARSHALL (vs PUR): +2.1 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +2.0 7. OHIO ST (vs VAT): +2.0 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. W VIRGINIA (vs ): +211.8	W/L	4. TCU (vs MIN): +2.0 5. ARKANSAS (vs UTP): +1.8 6. OHIO U (vs IDA): +1.8 7. NC STATE (vs TRO): +1.5 Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. TENNESSEE (vs BG): +95.2		4. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +3.3 5. GA SOUTHERN (vs WV): +3.0 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +3.0 7. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +3.0 Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. W VIRGINIA (vs ): +215.0	
4. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +2.2 5. MARSHALL (vs PUR): +2.1 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +2.0 7. OHIO ST (vs VAT): +2.0 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. W VIRGINIA (vs ): +211.8 2. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +197.3	W/L	4. TCU (vs MIN): +2.0 5. ARKANSAS (vs UTP): +1.8 6. OHIO U (vs IDA): +1.8 7. NC STATE (vs TRO): +1.5 Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. TENNESSEE (vs BG): +95.2 2. GEORGIA ST (vs CHA): +90.5	W/L	4. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +3.3 5. GA SOUTHERN (vs WV): +3.0 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +3.0 7. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +3.0 Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. W VIRGINIA (vs ): +215.0 2. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +196.8	W/L
4. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +2.2 5. MARSHALL (vs PUR): +2.1 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +2.0 7. OHIO ST (vs VAT): +2.0 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. W VIRGINIA (vs ): +211.8 2. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +197.3 3. W KENTUCKY (vs VAN): +195.2	W/L	4. TCU (vs MIN): +2.0 5. ARKANSAS (vs UTP): +1.8 6. OHIO U (vs IDA): +1.8 7. NC STATE (vs TRO): +1.5 Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. TENNESSEE (vs BG): +95.2 2. GEORGIA ST (vs CHA): +90.5 3. VANDERBILT (vs ): +70.9		4. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +3.3 5. GA SOUTHERN (vs WV): +3.0 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +3.0 7. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +3.0 Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. W VIRGINIA (vs ): +215.0 2. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +196.8 3. OLD DOMINION (vs EMU): +184.6	W/L
4. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +2.2 5. MARSHALL (vs PUR): +2.1 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +2.0 7. OHIO ST (vs VAT): +2.0 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. W VIRGINIA (vs ): +211.8 2. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +197.3 3. W KENTUCKY (vs VAN): +195.2 4. TCU (vs MIN): +184.3	W/L W/L	4. TCU (vs MIN): +2.0 5. ARKANSAS (vs UTP): +1.8 6. OHIO U (vs IDA): +1.8 7. NC STATE (vs TRO): +1.5 Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. TENNESSEE (vs BG): +95.2 2. GEORGIA ST (vs CHA): +90.5 3. VANDERBILT (vs ): +70.9 4. NEBRASKA (vs BYU): +63.8		4. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +3.3 5. GA SOUTHERN (vs WV): +3.0 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +3.0 7. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +3.0 Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. W VIRGINIA (vs ): +215.0 2. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +196.8 3. OLD DOMINION (vs EMU): +184.6 4. TCU (vs MIN): +144.8	
4. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +2.2 5. MARSHALL (vs PUR): +2.1 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +2.0 7. OHIO ST (vs VAT): +2.0 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. W VIRGINIA (vs ): +211.8 2. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +197.3 3. W KENTUCKY (vs VAN): +195.2 4. TCU (vs MIN): +184.3 5. OLD DOMINION (vs EMU): +140.8		4. TCU (vs MIN): +2.0 5. ARKANSAS (vs UTP): +1.8 6. OHIO U (vs IDA): +1.8 7. NC STATE (vs TRO): +1.5 Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. TENNESSEE (vs BG): +95.2 2. GEORGIA ST (vs CHA): +90.5 3. VANDERBILT (vs ): +70.9 4. NEBRASKA (vs BYU): +63.8 5. ARKANSAS ST (vs USC): +60.4		4. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +3.3 5. GA SOUTHERN (vs WV): +3.0 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +3.0 7. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +3.0 Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. W VIRGINIA (vs ): +215.0 2. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +196.8 3. OLD DOMINION (vs EMU): +184.6 4. TCU (vs MIN): +144.8 5. BOISE ST (vs WAS): +134.1	
4. MISSISSIPPI ST (vs SM): +2.2 5. MARSHALL (vs PUR): +2.1 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +2.0 7. OHIO ST (vs VAT): +2.0 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) 1. W VIRGINIA (vs ): +211.8 2. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +197.3 3. W KENTUCKY (vs VAN): +195.2 4. TCU (vs MIN): +184.3		4. TCU (vs MIN): +2.0 5. ARKANSAS (vs UTP): +1.8 6. OHIO U (vs IDA): +1.8 7. NC STATE (vs TRO): +1.5 Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) 1. TENNESSEE (vs BG): +95.2 2. GEORGIA ST (vs CHA): +90.5 3. VANDERBILT (vs ): +70.9 4. NEBRASKA (vs BYU): +63.8		4. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +3.3 5. GA SOUTHERN (vs WV): +3.0 6. OKLAHOMA (vs AKR): +3.0 7. FLORIDA (vs NMS): +3.0 Passing Yds Differential (PYPG) 1. W VIRGINIA (vs ): +215.0 2. BAYLOR (vs SMU): +196.8 3. OLD DOMINION (vs EMU): +184.6 4. TCU (vs MIN): +144.8	

# StatFox College Football Power Rating Lines - Week 1

\*\* The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

PR - StatFox Power Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

Thu - 9/3,6:00 PM	0L	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Thu - 9/3,6:00 PM	0L	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Thu - 9/3,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/
133 S CAROLINA	-1	44	-11	10		135 FLA INTERNATION		21				137 OKLAHOMA ST	-19	42	-13		
134 N CAROLINA		33				136 UCF	-16.5	48	-27	10.5		138 C MICHIGAN		29		6	
Thu - 9/3,8:30 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/I	Thu - 9/3,9:00 PM	OL	PR	DRI	Edge	W/I	Thu - 9/3,9:30 PM	OL	PR	DRI	Edge	W/
139 MICHIGAN		37		Luge		141 TCU	-14	66	-19			143 DUKE	-11	39	-13		
140 UTAH	-4.5	49	-12	7.5		142 MINNESOTA	- 14	47	-13	5		144 TULANE	-11	26	-15	2	_
140 UTAN	-4.5					142 MINNESUTA		41				144 TULANE		20			
Thu - 9/3,9:00 PM	OL	PR		Edge	W/L	Thu - 9/3,10:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Thu - 9/3,11:59 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W
145 OHIO U	-10	17	-8			147 UTSA		20		2		149 COLORADO	-8	26			
146 IDAHO		9		2		148 ARIZONA	-32	50	-30			150 HAWAII		26	0	8	
Fri - 9/4,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/I	Fri - 9/4,3:30 PM	OL	DR	DRI	Edge	W/I	Fri - 9/4,7:00 PM	OL	PR	DRI	Edge	W
151 BAYLOR	-34	54	-38	4		153 CHARLOTTE		1		Luge 1		155 MICHIGAN ST	-18.5		-25		
152 SMU	-04	16	-00	-		154 GEORGIA ST	-4	4	-3			156 W MICHIGAN	-10.5	32	-23	0.5	_
102 3100		10				194 GEORGIA ST	-4	4						JZ			
Fri - 9/4,9:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Fri - 9/4,10:15 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,3:00 PM	OL	PR	-	Edge	W
157 KENT ST		17				159 WASHINGTON		46		3.5		161 OLD DOMINION	-7	17	-13	6	
158 ILLINOIS	-15.5	33	-16	0.5		160 BOISE ST	-10.5	53	-7			162 E MICHIGAN		4			
Sat - 9/5,7:30 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W
163 NEW MEXICO ST	02	5		Lugo		165 PENN ST	-6	37		Lugo		167 FLA ATLANTIC	02	22		5	
164 FLORIDA	-36	49	-44	8		166 TEMPLE	0	37	0	6		168 TULSA	-6	23	-1	v	-
	-50											100 1023A	-0	20	-1		
Sat - 9/5,3:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,12:00 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,3:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W
169 VIRGINIA		39		2		171 STANFORD	-12	54	-17	5		173 LOUISVILLE		45		5.5	
170 UCLA	-17	54	-15			172 NORTHWESTERN		37				174 AUBURN	-10.5	50	-5		
Sat - 9/5,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,6:00 PM	OL	PR	PRI	Edge	W
175 ARIZONA ST		50	-3	6		177 BYU		43				179 TROY		11		3-	
176 TEXAS A&M	-3	47	Ū	•		178 NEBRASKA	-6	49	-6	0		180 NC STATE	-25	42	-31	6	
																-	
Sat - 9/5,7:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,7:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,4:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W
181 UNLV		20		0.5		183 AKRON		22		1.5		185 BOWLING GREEN		21			L
182 N ILLINOIS	-20.5	40	-20			184 OKLAHOMA	-30.5	51	-29			186 TENNESSEE	-21	43	-22	1	
Sat - 9/5,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W
189 LA MONROE		23				191 LA LAFAYETTE		25		2.5		193 UTEP		20			
190 GEORGIA	-35	65	-42	7		192 KENTUCKY	-13.5		-11			194 ARKANSAS	-33	58	-38	5	
					14//1						14/1						
Sat - 9/5,7:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,7:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,8:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W
195 GA SOUTHERN	10	28	40			197 TEXAS		41	-	1.5		199 TEXAS ST	<u> </u>	25			_
196 W VIRGINIA	-19	47	-19	0		198 NOTRE DAME	-8.5	48	-7			200 FLORIDA ST	-29.5	57	-32	2.5	
Sat - 9/5,8:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,10:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,11:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W
201 WISCONSIN		53		1.5		203 MISSISSIPPI ST	-23	52	-38	15		205 ARKANSAS ST		27			
202 ALABAMA	-9.5		-8			204 SOUTHERN MISS		14				206 USC	-28	57	-30	2	
Cum 0/0 0.00 DH		DD	יפס	E dan	W//I	Man 0/7 0:00 DM	0	DD	ומח	E dan	W/I		0	DD	יתם	E dan	14/
Sun - 9/6,3:00 PM	OL	25	PKL	Edge	VV/L	Mon - 9/7,8:00 PM	<b>OL</b> -14			Edge	VV/L	Thu - 9/3,8:00 PM 211 W KENTUCKY	OL		-3	Edge	VV
	0.5		00	20 F		209 OHIO ST	-14	65	-21	7			0.5	34	-3	5.5	-
208 MARSHALL	-8.5	54	-29	20.5		210 VIRGINIA TECH		44				212 VANDERBILT	-2.5	31	1		L

4 www.StatFox.com

# statfox.com

Providing Unprecedented Handicapping Content

StatFox is renowned for providing the most comprehensive game information on the Internet. Our popular FoxSheets are packed with proprietary handicapping tools that benefit everyone from the beginning bettor to the most advanced self-handicapper.

LOG ON TO STATFOX.COM AND START WINNING TODAY!

#### Statfox College Football Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 1

\*\* The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

#### ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Line

Thu - 9/3,6:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Thu - 9/3,6:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Thu - 9/3,7:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
133 S CAROLINA	-1	30	-13	11.7		135 FLA INTERNATIONA		20				137 OKLAHOMA ST	-19	17			
134 N CAROLINA		17				136 UCF	-16.5	37	-17	0.3		138 C MICHIGAN		33	-17	35.5	
Thu - 9/3,8:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Thu - 9/3,9:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Thu - 9/3,9:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
139 MICHIGAN		22				141 TCU	-14	46	-13			143 DUKE	-11	23	-4		
140 UTAH	-4.5	31	-9	4.5		142 MINNESOTA		33		1.0		144 TULANE		19		6.6	
Thu - 9/3,9:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Thu - 9/3,10:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Thu - 9/3,11:59 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
145 OHIO U	-10	18	-1			147 UTSA		12		7.1		149 COLORADO	-8	10			
146 IDAHO		17		8.6		148 ARIZONA	-32	37	-25			150 HAWAII		21	-10	18.3	
Thu - 9/3,8:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Fri - 9/4,7:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Fri - 9/4,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
211 W KENTUCKY		30	-10	12.6		151 BAYLOR	-34	38	-30			153 CHARLOTTE		26	-19	22.7	
212 VANDERBILT	-2.5	20				152 SMU		8.4		4.1		154 GEORGIA ST	-4	7.3			
Fri - 9/4,7:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Fri - 9/4,9:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Fri - 9/4,10:15 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
155 MICHIGAN ST	-18.5	42	-4	-		157 KENT ST		17		9.8		159 WASHINGTON		26			
156 W MICHIGAN		38		14.8		158 ILLINOIS	-15.5	23	-6			160 BOISE ST	-10.5	47	-21	10.8	
Sat - 9/5,3:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,7:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
161 OLD DOMINION	-7	16	-5	-		163 NEW MEXICO ST		9.5		8.2		165 PENN ST	-6	23			
162 E MICHIGAN		10		1.6		164 FLORIDA	-36	37	-28			166 TEMPLE		25	-2	8.2	
Sat - 9/5,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,3:30 PM	0L	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
167 FLA ATLANTIC		16		5.0		169 VIRGINIA		23		7.3		171 STANFORD	-12	30	-10		
168 TULSA	-6	17	-1			170 UCLA	-17	33	-10			172 NORTHWESTERN		20		1.6	
Sat - 9/5,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,7:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,3:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
173 LOUISVILLE		28		7.3		175 ARIZONA ST		32	-14	_		177 BYU		35	-2	8.0	
174 AUBURN	-10.5	31	-3			176 TEXAS A&M	-3	18				178 NEBRASKA	-6	33			
Sat - 9/5,6:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,7:30 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,7:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
179 TROY	•-	14		13.4		181 UNLV	•-	15		9-		183 AKRON	•-	22	•	22.2	
180 NC STATE	-25	26	-12			182 N ILLINOIS	-20.5	37	-22	1.5		184 OKLAHOMA	-30.5	30	-8		
Sat - 9/5,4:00 PM	OL	OF	OFI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/5,12:00 PM	OL	OF	OFI	Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/5,7:00 PM	OL	OF	OFI	Edge	W/I
185 BOWLING GREEN	•-	22		15.6		189 LA MONROE	•-	20		3.0		191 LA LAFAYETTE	•-	29	-7	21.0	
186 TENNESSEE	-21	28	-5			190 GEORGIA	-35	52	-32			192 KENTUCKY	-13.5				
Sat - 9/5,3:30 PM	OL			Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/5,7:30 PM	OL			Edge	W/I	Sat - 9/5,7:30 PM				Edge	W/I
193 UTEP	UL	21		11.3	VV/L	195 GA SOUTHERN	UL	29		Luge 18.9	VV/ L	197 TEXAS	UL	21		2.2	VV/L
194 ARKANSAS	-33	43	-22			196 W VIRGINIA	-19	29	0	10.3		198 NOTRE DAME	-8.5	27	-6	2.2	
Sat - 9/5,8:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,8:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/5,10:00 PM	OL			Edge	W/L
199 TEXAS ST	00 F	26	44	18.4		201 WISCONSIN	0.5	35	40			203 MISSISSIPPI ST	-23	35	-20		
200 FLORIDA ST	-29.5	31	-11			202 ALABAMA	-9.5	4/	-12	2.7		204 SOUTHERN MISS		16		3.4	
Sat - 9/5,11:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/6,3:00 PM	OL		OFL	Edge	W/L	Mon - 9/7,8:00 PM	OL			Edge	W/L
205 ARKANSAS ST		31	<u> </u>	23.6		207 PURDUE		15				209 OHIO ST	-14	50	-23	8.9	
206 USC	-28	36	-4			208 MARSHALL	-8.5	52	-37	28.9		210 VIRGINIA TECH		27			

6 www.StatFox.com

# FIND THE RIGHT SHOPS... FROM THE RIGHT SOURCE!

NAPLAD

OFF SHORE GAMING ASSOCIATION



THEFT

# **COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 1: THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 3**

		SO	UTH CA	ROLINA (	-3)	vs	NOR	тнс	AROL	INA			a.
(133) S CAR	OLINA (SU: 7-6	5, ATS: 5-8	) vs. (134) N C	AROLINA (SU: 6-	7, ATS: 5-8) -	Thursday,	9/3/201	5 6:00 PN	1				
	PDIF SS	PPG FD	TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2014 PER-GAMI PASSING	E AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG		1 DEFENSI JSHING	E (2014 PER-GAME) PASSING		FUM-INT	
CAROLINA	+2.2 39.2	33 23	37-161 (4.4)	21-36-282 (7.8)	73-443 (6.1)	1-1	30		212 (5.4)	19-30-220 (7.3)	70-432 (6.2)	1-1	-2
CAROLINA	-5.8 38.2	33 24	38-151 (4.0)	24-39-278 (7.1)	77-429 (5.6)	1-1	39	24 46-	241 (5.2)	18-30-257 (8.5)	76-498 (6.6)	1-1	EVE
¥statfox Torecaster	South Caroli North Caroli		32 ·N	eve Spurrier is 13-3 CAROLINA is 1-9 A eve Spurrier is 8-1 (	TS in the first h	half of the s	eason sir	nce the sta					
		OKLA	HOMA S	<b>TATE (-2</b> 1	1/2)	VS	CEN	TRAL	MICH	IIGAN			C.
(137) OKLAH	IOMA ST (SU: 7	7-6, ATS: 6	i-7) at (138) C	MICHIGAN (SU: 7	-6, ATS: 6-7) ·	- Thursday	, 9/3/20	15 7:00 P	M				
	PDIF SS	PPG FD	TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2014 PER-GAMI PASSING	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG		1 DEFENSI JSHING	E (2014 PER-GAME / PASSING		FUM-INT	
(LAHOMA ST	-3.6 38.9	28 19	39-137 (3.5)	17-31-242 (7.9)	70-379 (5.4)	0-1	31		162 (4.1)	21-35-269 (7.7)	75-431 (5.7)	0-1	-8
MICHIGAN	+1.8 22.9	27 22	39-155 (4.0)	19-29-243 (8.3)	68-398 (5.9)	1-1	25	19 33-	123 (3.8)	19-33-233 (7.1)	66-356 (5.4)	1-1	-5
Astatfox Forecaster	Oklahoma S Central Mich		25 · Mil	ke Gundy is 52-26 ke Gundy is 48-36 ke Gundy is 32-21 /	ATS in games	played on t	urf as coa	ach of OK	LAHOMA				
				MICHIG		vs		H (-5	1/2)				D
(139) MICHIC	GAN (SU: 5-7, A	ATS: 5-7) a		SU: 9-4, ATS: 9-4		9/3/2015	8:30 PM						
	PDIF SS	PPG FD	RUSHING	E (2014 PER-GAMI PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG		JSHING	E (2014 PER-GAME / PASSING		FUM-INT	
ichigan Tah	-1.5 38.7 +6.4 39.3	21 18 31 19	36-163 (4.6) 43-190 (4.4)	16-27-170 (6.3) 18-29-198 (6.8)	63-333 (5.3) 72-388 (5.4)	1-2 1-1	22 25		118 (3.2) 147 (3.8)	17-29-194 (6.8) 21-35-247 (7.1)	66-312 (4.7) 74-394 (5.3)	0-0 1-1	-16 +5
#statfox Forecaster	Michigan Utah		23 · UT	le Whittingham is AH is 7-0 ATS in n le Whittingham is	on-conference	games sind	e the sta	irt of the 2	2013 seaso	n.			
Tro				TCU (-14		vs		NESO	TA				N.
(141) TCU (S	U: 12-1, ATS: 11	-2) at (142		(SU: 8-5, ATS: 8-		9/3/2015	9:00 PM		1 DEFENSI	E (2014 PER-GAME	VERAGES)		
	PDIF SS	PPG FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT		FD RL	JSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	
J NNESOTA	+27.5 36.2 +4.2 37.0	46 25 28 18	39-207 (5.3) 46-215 (4.7)	25-41-326 (8.0) 10-20-142 (7.3)	80-533 (6.7) 66-357 (5.4)	1-1 1-1			109 (2.8) 175 (4.8)	17-34-233 (6.8) 18-31-193 (6.2)	73-342 (4.7) 68-368 (5.4)	1-2 1-1	+18
Fstatfox Torecaster	TCU Minnesota	20 10	39 • TC 26 • TC	U was 11-2 ATS in a U was 9-2 ATS as a NNESOTA is 6-1 SU	all lined games a favorite last s	last seasor eason.	1.	19 37	1/3 (4.0)	10 31 133 (0.2)	00 500 (5.4)	11	10
				ORADO (		vs	HAW						
(149) COLOF	RADO (SU: 2-10	D, ATS: 6-6		VAII (SU: 4-9, AT		irsday, 9/3	/2015 11						
	PDIF SS	PPG FD	TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2014 PER-GAMI PASSING	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG		1 DEFENSI JSHING	E (2014 PER-GAME / PASSING		FUM-INT	
DLORADO	-10.5 41.6	28 25	38-155 (4.1)	29-45-284 (6.3)	83-439 (5.3)	0-1	39	22 36-	205 (5.6)	20-34-255 (7.5)	70-460 (6.6)	1-0	-10
AWAII	-5.9 27.8	21 19	40-150 (3.7)	18-36-215 (6.0)	76-365 (4.8)	1-1	27	21 41-	173 (4.2)	19-32-246 (7.6)	73-419 (5.7)	1-1	-7
HAWAII Forecaster			40-150 (3.7) 33 • No • No		76-365 (4.8) S at home in r TS in non-conf	1-1 ion-confere erence gan	27 ence gam	21 41- es as coad	173 (4.2) ch of HAW	19-32-246 (7.6)			

# **COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 1: FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 4**

			BAY	YLOR (-35	1/2) vs	5	SMU				S	
(151) BAYLO	R (SU: 11-2, ATS	: 7-5-1) at	(152) SMU (SI	J: 1-11, ATS: 4-8) ·	- Friday, 9/4/20	)15 7:00	PM					
	PDIF SS	PPG FD	TEAM OFFENS	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING		UM-INT	PPG	TEAM DEFENSE	(2014 PER-GAME) PASSING	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	
AYLOR	+22.7 36.2	48 30	48-216 (4.5)	25-40-366 (9.2)	88-582 (6.6)	0-1	-	20 37–118 (3.2)	19-35-264 (7.5)	72-382 (5.3)		+13
MU	-30.3 38.1	11 15	30-100 (3.3)	19-36-169 (4.7)	66-269 (4.1)	1-1		25 45-236 (5.2)	19-30-264 (8.7)	75-500 (6.7)		-14
#statfox Forecaster	Baylor SMU			Briles is 14-4 ATS	in September ga	mes as c	oach of B	of the 2013 season AYLOR. r equal to 63 as coa				
		MICH	HIGAN S	TATE (-18	1 <b>%)</b> vs	5	WES	TERN MICI	HIGAN			
(155) MICHIO	GAN ST (SU: 11-2	2, ATS: 9-4	4) at (156) W I	MICHIGAN (SU: 8-	-5, ATS: 10-3) -	Friday, 9	/4/2015	7:00 PM				
	PDIF SS	PPG FD	TEAM OFFENS	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING		UM-INT	PPG	TEAM DEFENSE	(2014 PER-GAME) PASSING	· · · ·	FUM-INT	П
NICHIGAN ST	+21.5 34.5	43 25	46-235 (5.1)	18-31-266 (8.6)	77-501 (6.5)	0-1		15 28–89 (3.2)	18-34-227 (6.7)	62-316 (5.1)		+19
/ MICHIGAN	+8.8 21.8	34 21	39-173 (4.4)	19-28-266 (9.3)	67-439 (6.6)	1-1		19 36-157 (4.3)	17-32-216 (6.8)	68-373 (5.5)		+2
W			w	ASHINGT	DN vs	5	BOIS	E STATE (	-10)			
(159) WASH	NGTON (SU: 8-	-		ISE ST (SU: 12-2, E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING	AVERAGES)	ay, 9/4/2 :UM-INT			(2014 PER-GAME / PASSING		FUM-INT	
ASHINGTON	+5.4 35.4	30 20	43-189 (4.3) 44-215 (4.9)	18-29-200 (7.0) 22-32-280 (8.7)	72-389 (5.4) 76-495 (6.5)	1-0 1-1		23 38–124 (3.3) 18 37–143 (3.9)	24-39-287 (7.4) 20-35-233 (6.7)	77-411 (5.3) 72-376 (5.2)		+12
UISE ST	+12.9 31.9			== 5= === (=:,)	/= 155 (==5)					, = 5, - (5)		. ,
Statfox Forecaster	+12.9 31.9 Washington Boise State	40 24	35 · WA	ISE ST is 7-0 OVEF ASHINGTON was 9- ris Petersen is 32-18	-2 UNDER in gan	nes playe	d on turf	ast season.	2013 season.			
Statfox	Washington	40 24	35 • WA 35 • Chi	ASHINGTON was 9-	-2 UNDER in gan 8 ATS in the first	nes playe half of th	d on turf ne season	ast season.				N
Sstatfox Torecaster	Washington Boise State		35 • WA 35 • Chi STA	ASHINGTON was 9- ris Petersen is 32-18 NFORD (-1 NORTHWESTERN (	-2 UNDER in gan 8 ATS in the first 12) v: (2014 SU: 5-7, 20	hes playe half of th	d on turf ne season NOR	last season.	RN		4	N
Statfox Torecaster	Washington Boise State		35 • WA 35 • Chi STA	ASHINGTON was 9- ris Petersen is 32-18	-2 UNDER in gan 8 ATS in the first 12) v (2014 SU: 5-7, 20 AVERAGES)	hes playe half of th	d on turf le season NOR -7) - Satu	ast season. THWESTE rday, 9/5/2015 12:0	RN	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	
Statfox Forecaster	Washington Boise State RD (2014 SU: 8-5 PDIF SS +10.8 39.0	<b>5, 2014 ATS</b> <b>PPG FD</b> 27 20	35 · WA 35 · Chi STA 5: 7-6) at (172) TEAM OFFENS	ASHINGTON was 9- ris Petersen is 32-18 NFORD (-1 NORTHWESTERN ( 5E (2015 PER-GAME	-2 UNDER in gan 8 ATS in the first 12) v (2014 SU: 5-7, 20 AVERAGES)	nes playe half of th s 14 ATS: 5	n on turf ne season NOR -7) - Satu	THWESTE	<b>R N</b> 0 PM E (2015 PER-GAME /			<b>TOI</b>
Statfox Forecaster	Washington Boise State RD (2014 SU: 8-5 PDIF SS	<b>5, 2014 ATS</b> <b>PPG FD</b> 27 20	35 • WA • Chi STA 5: 7-6) at (172) TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	ASHINGTON was 9- ris Petersen is 32-10 NFORD (-1 NORTHWESTERN ( SE (2015 PER-GAME PASSING	2 UNDER in gan 8 ATS in the first 12) v (2014 SU: 5-7, 20 AVERAGES) TOTAL	nes playe half of th s 14 ATS: 5 FUM-INT	NOR -7) - Satu PPG 16	THWESTE rday, 9/5/2015 12:0 TEAM DEFENS FD RUSHING	RN 0 PM E (2015 PER-GAME / PASSING	TOTAL	0-1	
Statfox Forecaster	Washington           Boise State           RD (2014 SU: 8-5           +10.8           +10.8           -2.2           34.6	<b>5, 2014 ATS</b> <b>PPG FD</b> 27 20 23 20	35 • WA 5 • Chi STA 5: 7-6) at (172) TEAM OFFENS RUSHING 37-159 (4.3)	ASHINGTON was 9- ris Petersen is 32-18 NFORD (-1 NORTHWESTERN ( E (2015 PER-GAME PASSING 19-29-230 (7.8) 22-38-216 (5.7)	2 UNDER in gan 8 ATS in the first 12) v: (2014 SU: 5-7, 20 AVERAGES) TOTAL 66-389 (5.9) 78-353 (4.5)	hes playe half of th s 14 ATS: 5 FUM-INT 1-1 1-1	NOR -7) - Satu PPG 16 25	ast season. THWESTE rday, 9/5/2015 12:0 TEAM DEFENS FD RUSHING 17 34-104 (3.1) 20 40-170 (4.2)	0 PM e (2015 per-game / passing 19-33-178 (5.4)	<b>TOTAL</b> 67–282 (4.2) 73–384 (5.3)	0-1 1-1	-5
Statfox Forecaster (171) STANFOC TANFORD IORTHWESTERN	Washington           Boise State           RD (2014 SU: 8-5           +10.8           +10.8           -2.2           34.6	<b>5, 2014 ATS</b> <b>PPG FD</b> 27 20 23 20	35 • WA 5 • Chi STA 5: 7-6) at (172) TEAM OFFENS RUSHING 37-159 (4.3) 40-137 (3.4)	ASHINGTON was 9- ris Petersen is 32-18 NFORD (-1 NORTHWESTERN ( E (2015 PER-GAME PASSING 19-29-230 (7.8) 22-38-216 (5.7)	2 UNDER in gan 8 ATS in the first 12) v: (2014 SU: 5-7, 20 AVERAGES) TOTAL 66-389 (5.9) 78-353 (4.5)	hes playe half of th s 14 ATS: 5 FUM-INT 1-1 1-1	NOR -7) - Satu PPG 16 25	ast season. THWESTE rday, 9/5/2015 12:0 TEAM DEFENS FD RUSHING 17 34-104 (3.1) 20 40-170 (4.2)	RN 0 PM E (2015 PER-GAME / PASSING 19-33-178 (5.4) 18-33-214 (6.5)	<b>TOTAL</b> 67–282 (4.2) 73–384 (5.3)	0-1 1-1	-5
Statfox Forecaster	Washington Boise State PDIF SS +10.8 39.0 -2.2 34.6 Forecaster STA	5, 2014 ATS PPG FD 27 20 23 20 NFORD 3'	35 • WA • Chi STA S: 7-6) at (172) TEAM OFFENS RUSHING 37-159 (4.3) 40-137 (3.4) 1, NORTHWE	ASHINGTON was 9- ris Petersen is 32-18 NFORD (-1 NORTHWESTERN ( E (2015 PER-GAME PASSING 19-29-230 (7.8) 22-38-216 (5.7)	2 UNDER in gan 8 ATS in the first (2014 SU: 5-7, 20 AVERAGES) TOTAL 66-389 (5-9) 78-353 (4-5) KEY GAN	hes playe half of th s 14 ATS: 5 FUM-INT 1-1 1-1	NOR -7) - Satu PPG 16 25	ast season. THWESTE rday, 9/5/2015 12:0 TEAM DEFENS FD RUSHING 17 34-104 (3.1) 20 40-170 (4.2)	RN 0 PM E (2015 PER-GAME / PASSING 19-33-178 (5.4) 18-33-214 (6.5)	<b>TOTAL</b> 67–282 (4.2) 73–384 (5.3)	0-1 1-1	-5
Jorecaster         Jorecaster         Image: Constraint of the second sec	Washington Boise State PDIF SS +10.8 39.0 -2.2 34.6 Forecaster STA	5, 2014 ATS PPG FD 27 20 23 20 INFORD 3' SUI ers there utper-	35 • WA • Chi STA STA 5: 7-6) at (172) TEAM OFFENS RUSHING 37-159 (4.3) 40-137 (3.4) 31, NORTHWE PER S • Play Of 10.5 and ALABAN	ASHINGTON was 9- ris Petersen is 32-14 NFORD (-1 NORTHWESTERN ( E (2015 PER-GAME PASSING 19-29-230 (7.8) 22-38-216 (5.7) STERN 13	2 UNDER in gan 8 ATS in the first (2014 SU: 5-7, 20 AVERAGES) TOTAL 66-389 (5-9) 78-353 (4-5) KEY GAN IONS between AUBURN, od of-	• Play • Play 5.5 to first m	On - Rc 10 point of	ast season. THWESTE rday, 9/5/2015 12:0 TEAM DEFENS FD RUSHING 17 34-104 (3.1) 20 40-170 (4.2)	RN 0 PM E (2015 PER-GAME / PASSING 19-33-178 (5.4) 18-33-214 (6.5) R in road games as of of the Play C ERN MI vl from las	<b>TOTAL</b> 67–282 (4.2) 73–384 (5.3)	ea pa	0-1 1-1 RD. m (SC ssing eraged

• The situation's record is 34-7

RATING = \* \* \* \*

last 10 seasons (82.9%, +26.3 units).

regardless of personnel (i.e.

good passing teams, teams

seeking revenge, cold teams

on a losing streak, etc.).

• The situation's record is 28-7 last 5 seasons (80.0%, +20.3 units).

RATING = \* \* \*

Check out StatFox.com for breakdowns on all of this week's marquee games 9

since 1992 (75.4%, +29.5 units).

RATING = \* \* \*

# **COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 1: SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 5**

							VIR	GIN	IA		VS	UC	LA	(-1)	7)				R.	Ilcla
• (169) VIRGIN	NIA (SU: 5	-7, ATS	: 7-5) a	t (170)	) UCLA	(SU: 10	0-3, ATS	S: 5-8)	) - Sat	urday, 9	9/5/201	5 3:30 I	M							
					M OFFEN													AVERAGES)		
VIRGINIA	PDIF +1.8	38.1	26 20		<b>ISHING</b> 138 (3.7)	••••••	PASSIN -36-236 (			<b>OTAL</b> 374 (5.1)	FUM-IN 1-1	T PPC 24	5 FD 19		<b>USHING</b> -121 (3.4)		<b>SSING</b> -232 (7.1)	<b>TOTAL</b> 69-353 (5.1)	FUM-INT 1-1	+5
UCLA	+5.4		33 23		210 (4.9)		-33-258 (			468 (6.2)	1-0	28	23		-148 (3.8)		-251 (6.6)	77-399 (5.2)	0-1	EVEN
#statfox Forecaster	Virginia UCLA	)			· · ·	JCLA is	5 2-4 AT	'S in its	past s	six game	es at hon	ne.			ach of VIF Is coach o	RGINIA. f VIRGINIA	<i>\</i> .			
						LO	OUIS	VIL	LE		VS	AL	JBU	RN	(-11½	)				8
• (173) LOUIS	VILLE (SU	: 9-4, A	TS: 7-6	i) vs. (	174) AU	BURN	(SU: 8-	-5, ATS	5: 4-9)	) - Satu	rday, 9/!	5/2015	3:30 P	м						
																		AVERAGES)		
LOUISVILLE	PDIF +9.4	36.6	PPG FC 31 20		<b>ISHING</b> 143 (3.6)	•••••	PASSIN -33-252			<b>OTAL</b> 395 (5.5)	FUM-IN 1-1	T PPC	5 FD 15		<b>JSHING</b> -111 (3.3)		<b>SSING</b> -199 (6.3)	<b>TOTAL</b> 65-310 (4.8)	FUM-INT 0-2	+4
AUBURN			35 24		256 (5.5)	••••••	-26-230 (			486 (6.7)	1-1	27	22		-169 (4.5)		-230 (7.1)	70-399 (5.7)		+7
¥statfox Forecaster	Louisvi Auburn				۰ م ۱۰	OUISV		17-7 UN	IDER i	n all line	ed games				e start of t e 2013 sea	he 2013 se ason.	ason.			
					AR	IZO	NA S	STA	TE		vs	TE	XAS	S A	&M (-	3½)				
• (175) ARIZO	NA ST (SL	J: 10-3,	ATS: 6	-7) vs.	(176) T	EXAS	A&M (S	U: 8-5	, ATS:	5-8) - :	Saturday	, 9/5/2	2015 7:	00 PM	1					
	PDIF	55   1	PPG FC		M OFFEN		014 PER- PASSING			AGES) OTAL	FUM-IN	T   PPC	i FD		M DEFENS JSHING		ER-GAME	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
ARIZONA ST	+9.0	37.7	37 23		169 (4.3)	••••••	-36-274 (			443 (5.9)	0-1	28	20		-157 (4.0)		-260 (7.5)	74-417 (5.6)	1-1	+14
TEXAS A&M	+7.2	39.9	35 23	32-	150 (4.6)	26-	-40-305 (	(7.7)	72-	455 (6.3)	0-1	28	23	43-	-217 (5.0)	19-33	-234 (7.1)	76-451 (5.9)	1-0	-7
Statfox Forecaster	Arizona Texas A				· A	RIZON	IA ST is	2-4 AT	۲S in it	s past s	five gam ix games ne game									
								B١	YU		VS	NE	BR	ASK	(A (-6	5½)				N
• (177) BYU (S	SU: 8-5, A	TS: 5-8)	) at (17	B) NEB	RASKA	(SU: 9	9-4, ATS	S: 8-5)	- Sati	urday, 9	9/5/2015	3:30 F	M							
	PDIF	55   1	PPG FC		M OFFEN ISHING		014 PER- PASSING			AGES) OTAL	FUM-IN	T   PPC	i FD		M DEFENS USHING		ER-GAME	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
BYU	+9.5		37 25		182 (4.2)		-37-278 (			460 (5.7)	1-1	28	22		-122 (3.3)		-270 (6.4)	79-392 (5.0)		-1
NEBRASKA	+11.4	34.9	38 22	45-	240 (5.3)	15-	-28-212 (	(7.7)	73-	452 (6.2)	1-1	26	19	38-	-178 (4.7)	16-34	-206 (6.1)	72-384 (5.3)	1-1	-2
#statfox Forecaster	BYU Nebrasi	ka			• •	1ike Ril	ley is 13-	-27 ATS	S in th	e first m	ed games oonth of t in the fi	he seas	on.	season	as coach	of BYU.				
								EX/			VS				AME	(-9½)			R.	.)_
• (197) TEXAS	5 (SU: 6-7,	ATS: 7-	•6) at (					,			lay, 9/5/	2015 7	30 PM							
	PDIF	SS   I	PPG FC		M OFFEN		014 PER- PASSING			AGES) OTAL	FUM-IN	T   PPC	i FD		M DEFENS USHING		ER-GAME	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
TEXAS	-2.5		21 18		137 (3.8)		-32-200 (			337 (5.0)	1-1	24	19		-164 (3.9)		-184 (5.7)	74-348 (4.7)	1-1	-3
NOTRE DAME	+3.7	40.8	33 24	37-	160 (4.3)	21-	-36-285 (	(8.0)	73-	445 (6.1)	1-1	29	21	40-	-171 (4.2)	19-32	-233 (7.3)	72-404 (5.6)	1-1	-3
Fstatfox Torecaster	Texas Notre D	ame			· T	EXAS i	is 7-1 UN	NDER ir	n its p	ast eigh	t road ga	mes.			n as coacl h of NOTF	h of NOTR RE DAME.	E DAME.			

# **COLLEGE FOOTBALL WEEK 1: SEPTEMBER 5 - 7**

					WISCON	JIN	VS	ALA	×0/	AMA (-10	72]			2
(201) WISCO	ONSIN (SU: 11	-3, ATS:	6-8)	vs. (202) A	LABAMA (SU: 12-	2, ATS: 5-8-1)	) - Saturday,	9/5/20	015 8:	00 PM				
	PDIF S	S   PPG		TEAM OFFEI RUSHING	NSE (2014 PER-GAI PASSING	ME AVERAGES		PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	∣тоі
ISCONSIN	+13.8 35		22	46-320 (6.9)	13-23-149 (6.5)	69-469 (6		21	15	35-126 (3.6)	12-25-168 (6.8)	60-294 (4.9		-9
ABAMA	+18.5 41		24	41-206 (5.1)		73-483 (6		18	17	32-102 (3.2)	19-35-226 (6.4)	67-328 (4.9		-2
		- 1												
#statfox Forecaster	Wisconsin Alabama				Nick Saban is 12-2 ( Nick Saban is 20-10 Nick Saban is 7-0 C	ATS as a favo	rite of 10.5 to	o 21 poin	nts as	coach of ALABA				
A					PURI	DUE	VS	MA	RSI	HALL (-7	1/2)			
(207) PURD	UE (SU: 3-9,	ATS: 7-5	) at (	208) MARS	HALL (SU: 13-1, A	TS: 8-5-1) - S	unday, 9/6/	2015 3:0	00 PN	1				
	PDIF S	S   PPG		TEAM OFFEI RUSHING	NSE (2014 PER-GAI PASSING	IE AVERAGES TOTAL		PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING		FUM-INT	∣то
URDUE	-7.8 35		17	34-157 (4.7)	19-36-187 (5.2)	70-344 (4		32	22	42-194 (4.6)	18-32-222 (6.9)	74-416 (5.6		-5
ARSHALL	+24.6 22		26	40-272 (6.8)		74-559 (7		21	22	42-160 (3.8)	18-33-197 (5.9)	75-357 (4.8		-3
Statfox Forecaster	Purdue Marshall			48 .	MARSHALL is 15-1 S PURDUE is 1-10 SU MARSHALL is 10-3-	in its past 11 rc	ad games.							_
<b>R</b> .				OHIO	STATE (-1	11/1					-		100	2
					· ·		VS			NIA TECI	H		<b>B</b>	2
(209) OHIO	ST (SU: 14-1,	ATS: 10-		t (210) VIRG	GINIA TECH (SU: 7	-6, ATS: 6-7)	- Monday, 9			) PM			幽	2
(209) OHIO	ST (SU: 14-1, PDIF S			t (210) VIRG	· ·	-6, ATS: 6-7)	- Monday, 9			) PM	H E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING	AVERAGES) TOTAL	EUM-INT	То
		S PPG		t (210) VIRG	GINIA TECH (SU: 7 NSE (2014 PER-GAI	-6, ATS: 6-7) 1E AVERAGES	- Monday, 9 ) FUM-INT	/7/2015	5 8:00	D PM	E (2014 PER-GAME			
HO ST	PDIF S	<b>S PPG</b> .9 45	FD	t (210) VIRG TEAM OFFEI RUSHING	GINIA TECH (SU: 7 NSE (2014 PER-GAI PASSING 17-27-247 (9.1)	-6, ATS: 6-7) 1E AVERAGES TOTAL	- Monday, 9 ) FUM-INT .0) 1-1	/7/2015	5 8:00 FD	D PM TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING	TOTAL	1-2	+7
HIO ST	PDIF S +22.8 40	<b>S   PPG</b> .9 45 .7 24	<b>FD</b> 26	t (210) VIRC TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 46-264 (5.7) 39-148 (3.8) 39 17	GINIA TECH (SU: 7 NSE (2014 PER-GAI PASSING 17-27-247 (9.1)	-6, ATS: 6-7) 4E AVERAGES TOTAL 73-511 (7 74-365 (4 3 ATS in non 2 ATS as a ho	- Monday, 9 FUM-INT .0) 1-1 .9) 1-1 conference g me underdog	/7/2015 PPG 22 20 ames. 3 as coad	<b>FD</b> 19 17	D PM TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 36-141 (3.9) 37-145 (3.9)	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING 18-33-201 (6.1) 14-30-199 (6.7)	<b>TOTAL</b> 69-342 (5.0	1-2	+7
HIO ST RGINIA TECH Statfox Forecaster	PDIF S: +22.8 40 +3.9 37 Ohio State Virginia Te	s PPG 9 45 7 24	<b>FD</b> 26 20	t (210) VIRC TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 46-264 (5.7) 39-148 (3.8) 39 17 	EINIA TECH (SU: 7 NSE (2014 PER-GAI PASSING 17-27-247 (9.1) 21-35-217 (6.2) Jrban Meyer is 43- Frank Beamer is 10- Jrban Meyer is 18-5 IN STATE	-6, ATS: 6-7) 1E AVERAGES TOTAL 73-511 (7 74-365 (4 3 ATS in non- 2 ATS as a ho ATS away in 1 (-7)	- Monday, 9 - FUM-INT .0) 1-1 .9) 1-1 conference g me underdog mon-conferer V S	/7/2015 PPG 22 20 ames. g as coad ce game TEN	5 8:00 FD 19 17 ch of es.	D PM TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 36-141 (3.9) 37-145 (3.9) VIRGINIA TECH	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING 18-33-201 (6.1) 14-30-199 (6.7)	<b>TOTAL</b> 69-342 (5.0	1-2	
HIO ST RGINIA TECH Forecaster	PDIF S: +22.8 40 +3.9 37 Ohio State Virginia Te	s PPG 9 45 7 24	<b>FD</b> 26 20	t (210) VIRC TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 46-264 (5.7) 39-148 (3.8) 39 17 PEN 5-6-1) at (166	GINIA TECH (SU: 7 NSE (2014 PER-GAI PASSING 17-27-247 (9.1) 21-35-217 (6.2) Urban Meyer is 43- Frank Beamer is 10- Jrban Meyer is 18-5 IN STATE 6) TEMPLE (2014 S	-6, ATS: 6-7) 1E AVERAGES TOTAL 73-511 (7 74-365 (4 3 ATS in non- 2 ATS as a ho ATS away in in (-7) U: 6-6, 2014 A	- Monday, 9 - FUM-INT .0) 1-1 .9) 1-1 conference g me underdog mon-conferer V S	/7/2015 PPG 22 20 ames. g as coad ce game TEN	5 8:00 FD 19 17 ch of es.	D PM TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 36-141 (3.9) 37-145 (3.9) VIRGINIA TECH. LE DIS 3:30 PM	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING 18-33-201 (6.1) 14-30-199 (6.7)	тота́ц 69-342 (5.0 67-344 (5.1	1-2	+7
HIO ST RGINIA TECH Forecaster	PDIF S: +22.8 40 +3.9 37 Ohio State Virginia Te	s PPG 9 45 7 24 ech	FD 26 20 20 ATS: (	t (210) VIRC TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 46-264 (5.7) 39-148 (3.8) 39 17 PEN 5-6-1) at (166	EINIA TECH (SU: 7 NSE (2014 PER-GAI PASSING 17-27-247 (9.1) 21-35-217 (6.2) Jrban Meyer is 43- Frank Beamer is 10- Jrban Meyer is 18-5 IN STATE	-6, ATS: 6-7) 1E AVERAGES TOTAL 73-511 (7 74-365 (4 3 ATS in non- 2 ATS as a ho ATS away in in (-7) U: 6-6, 2014 A	- Monday, 9 - FUM-INT .0) 1-1 .9) 1-1 conference g me underdog mon-conferer V S	/7/2015 PPG 22 20 ames. g as coad ce game TEN	5 8:00 FD 19 17 ch of es.	D PM TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 36-141 (3.9) 37-145 (3.9) VIRGINIA TECH. LE DIS 3:30 PM	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING 18-33-201 (6.1) 14-30-199 (6.7)	TOTAL 69-342 (5.0 67-344 (5.1	1-2	+7 -4
HIO ST RGINIA TECH Statfox Forecaster (165) PENN S	PDIF S: +22.8 40 +3.9 37 Ohio State Virginia Te ST (2014 SU: 7 PDIF S +2.0 36	s PPG .9 45 .7 24       	<b>FD</b> 26 20 <b>ATS: 6</b> <b>FD</b> 19	t (210) VIRC TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 46-264 (5.7) 39-148 (3.8) 39 17 PEN 6-6-1) at (160 TEAM OFFE RUSHING 35-102 (2.9)	GINIA TECH (SU: 7 NSE (2014 PER-GAI PASSING 17-27-247 (9.1) 21-35-217 (6.2) Jrban Meyer is 43- Trank Beamer is 10- Jrban Meyer is 18-5 IN STATE 6) TEMPLE (2014 S INSE (2015 PER-GAI PASSING 21-38-233 (6.1)	-6, ATS: 6-7) HE AVERAGESS TOTAL 73-511 (7 74-365 (4 13 ATS in non- 2 ATS as a ho ATS away in 1 (-7) U: 6-6, 2014 A HE AVERAGES) TOTAL 73-335 (4	- Monday, 9 - FUM-INT .0) 1-1 .9) 1-1 conference g me underdog non-conferer V S   TS: 6-6) - Sat FUM-INT .6) 1-1	/7/2015  PPG 22 20 ames. g as coad cce game TEN urday, 9 PPG 19	<b>FD</b> 19 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	D PM TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 36-141 (3.9) 37-145 (3.9) VIRGINIA TECH. LE D15 3:30 PM TEAM DEFENS RUSHING 34-101 (3.0)	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING 18-33-201 (6.1) 14-30-199 (6.7)	TOTAL 69-342 (5.0 67-344 (5.1 AVERAGES) TOTAL 65-279 (4.3	1-2 1-1 <b>FUM-INT</b> 0-1	+7 -4
HIO ST RGINIA TECH Statfox Forecaster (165) PENN S	PDIF S: +22.8 40 +3.9 37 Ohio State Virginia Te ST (2014 SU: 7 PDIF S	s PPG .9 45 .7 24       	<b>FD</b> 26 20 <b>ATS: 6</b> <b>FD</b> 19	t (210) VIRC TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 46-264 (5.7) 39-148 (3.8) 39 17  PEN 5-6-1) at (160 TEAM OFFEE RUSHING	GINIA TECH (SU: 7 NSE (2014 PER-GAI PASSING 17-27-247 (9.1) 21-35-217 (6.2) Jrban Meyer is 43- Trank Beamer is 10- Jrban Meyer is 18-5 IN STATE 6) TEMPLE (2014 S INSE (2015 PER-GAI PASSING 21-38-233 (6.1)	-6, ATS: 6-7) HE AVERAGES TOTAL 73-511 (7 74-365 (4 3 ATS in non- 2 ATS as a ho ATS away in i (-7) U: 6-6, 2014 A HE AVERAGES) TOTAL	- Monday, 9 - FUM-INT .0) 1-1 .9) 1-1 conference g me underdog non-conferer V S   TS: 6-6) - Sat FUM-INT .6) 1-1	/7/2015 PPG 22 20 ames. g as coad ce game TEN urday, 9 PPG	FD 19 17 17 cch of ' es. MPL 0/5/20 FD	D PM TEAM DEFENSI 36-141 (3.9) 37-145 (3.9) VIRGINIA TECH E D15 3:30 PM TEAM DEFENS RUSHING	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING 18-33-201 (6.1) 14-30-199 (6.7)	TOTAL 69-342 (5.0 67-344 (5.1 AVERAGES) TOTAL	1-2 1-1 <b>FUM-INT</b> 0-1	+7 -4
HIO ST RGINIA TECH Statfox Forecaster (165) PENN S CNN ST MPLE	PDIF S: +22.8 40 +3.9 37 Ohio State Virginia Te ST (2014 SU: 7 PDIF S +2.0 36	s PPG .9 45 .7 24       	FD 26 20 ATS: 6 FD 19 15	t (210) VIRC TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 46-264 (5.7) 39-148 (3.8) 39 17 PEN 6-6-1) at (160 TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 35-102 (2.9) 31-108 (3.5)	GINIA TECH (SU: 7 NSE (2014 PER-GAI PASSING 17-27-247 (9.1) 21-35-217 (6.2) Jrban Meyer is 43- Trank Beamer is 10- Jrban Meyer is 18-5 IN STATE 6) TEMPLE (2014 S INSE (2015 PER-GAI PASSING 21-38-233 (6.1)	-6, ATS: 6-7) HE AVERAGESS TOTAL 73-511 (7 74-365 (4 3 ATS in non- 2 ATS as a ho ATS away in i (-7) U: 6-6, 2014 A HE AVERAGESS TOTAL 73-335 (4 65-309 (4 KEY	- Monday, 9 - FUM-INT .0) 1-1 .9) 1-1 conference g me underdog mon-conferer V S   TS: 6-6) - Sat FUM-INT .6) 1-1 .8) 1-1	/7/2015 PPG 22 20 ames. 3 as coar ce game TEN urday, 9 PPG 19 18	<b>FD</b> 19 17 cch of ' es. <b>MPL</b> 3/5/2C <b>FD</b> 15 19	D PM TEAM DEFENSI 36-141 (3.9) 37-145 (3.9) VIRGINIA TECH VIRGINIA TECH D15 3:30 PM TEAM DEFENS RUSHING 34-101 (3.0) 42-160 (3.8)	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING 18-33-201 (6.1) 14-30-199 (6.7)	TOTAL 69-342 (5.0 67-344 (5.1 AVERAGES) TOTAL 65-279 (4.3 73-347 (4.8	1-2 1-1	+7 -4 10 -5 +3
HIO ST RGINIA TECH Statfox Forecaster (165) PENN S (165) PENN S MIE Statfox S	PDIF         S:           +22.8         40           +3.9         37           Ohio State         Virginia Te           ST (2014 SU: 7         PDIF           ST (2014 SU: 7         PDIF           St 42.0         3( +5.6           Zerecaster         T	s PPG 9 45 7 24 ech -6, 2014 s PPG 55 21 19 23 EMPLE	FD 26 20 ATS: ( FD 19 15 17, P	t (210) VIRC TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 46-264 (5.7) 39-148 (3.8) 39 17 PEN 5-6-1) at (160 TEAM OFFE RUSHING 35-102 (2.9) 31-108 (3.5) ENN ST 15	GINIA TECH (SU: 7 NSE (2014 PER-GAI PASSING 17-27-247 (9.1) 21-35-217 (6.2) Jrban Meyer is 43- Trank Beamer is 10- Jrban Meyer is 18-5 IN STATE 6) TEMPLE (2014 S INSE (2015 PER-GAI PASSING 21-38-233 (6.1)	-6, ATS: 6-7) HE AVERAGES TOTAL 73-511 (7 74-365 (4 3 ATS in non- 2 ATS as a ho ATS away in 1 (-7) U: 6-6, 2014 A HE AVERAGES) TOTAL 73-335 (4 65-309 (4 KEY 2013	- Monday, 9 - FUM-INT .0) 1-1 .9) 1-1 conference g me underdog mon-conference V S TS: 6-6) - Sat FUM-INT .6) 1-1 .8) 1-1 GAME TREM season.	/7/2015 22 20 ames. 3 as coar ce game TEN urday, 9 19 18 ID: PEN	5 8:00 FD 19 17 17 17 17 ch of ' es. MPL 0/5/20 FD 15 19 N ST	D PM TEAM DEFENSI 36-141 (3.9) 37-145 (3.9) VIRGINIA TECH VIRGINIA TECH DIS 3:30 PM TEAM DEFENS RUSHING 34-101 (3.0) 42-160 (3.8) is 0-6 ATS as a f	E (2014 PER-GAME PASSING 18-33-201 (6.1) 14-30-199 (6.7)	TOTAL 69-342 (5.0 67-344 (5.1 AVERAGES) TOTAL 65-279 (4.3 73-347 (4.8	1-2 1-1	+7 -4 -4

PLAY ON BAYLOR RATING = * * *	PLAY ON TCU Rating = **	PLAY ON SOUTH CAROLINA RATING = **
Average score was SMU 12, OPPONENT 45.4.	Average score was TCU 46.5, OPPONENT 19.	Average score was UNC 31, OPPONENT 37.9.
• SMU is 0-8 ATS (-8.8 Units) in non-conference games since the start of the 2013 season.	• TCU was 11-2 ATS (+8.8 Units) in all games last season.	• NORTH CAROLINA is 1-9 ATS (-8.9 Units) in first half of the season since the start of 2013.

# WEEK 1 SECOND-TIER COLLEGE GAMES: SEPTEMBER 3 - 4

			٦	[E)	KAS-S/	AN ANI	ΙΟΝΙ	0	VS	ARI	zo	NA (-31)				
147) UTSA (2	014 SU: 4	-8, 2014	ATS: 4	-8) a	at (148) ARI	ZONA (2014 S	5U: 10-4,	, 2014 ATS:	5-9) - Thur	sday, 9/3	/2015	10:00 PM				
	PDIF	SS	PPG F		TEAM OFFEN RUSHING	SE (2015 PER- PASSIN		VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING	(2015 PER-GAME A PASSING	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	। то
5A	-8.8	29.2	17 1		37-122 (3.3)	17-30-175 (		67-297 (4.		26	20	39-141 (3.6)	18-31-230 (7.3)	70-371 (5.3)	1-1	-4
ZONA	+6.3	42.0	34 2	54	41-182 (4.4)	23-40-282 (	(7.0)	81-464 (5.	7) 1-1	28	24	42-170 (4.1)	25-38-280 (7.4)	80-450 (5.6)	1-1	+
statfox %	recaster	ARIZ	ONA 3	34, U	JTSA 10				GAME TREI season.	ND: UTSA	A is 17-	6 UNDER in gar	mes played on turf	since the start	of the	
						оню	0 (-8	B)	VS	IDA	но					SHOL .
(145) OHIO U	(2014 SU:	6-6, 20	14 ATS	: 5-6-	-1) at (146)	IDAHO (2014	SU: 1-10	, 2014 ATS	4-6-1) - Th	ursday, 9	/3/20	15 9:00 PM				
	PDIF	SS	PPG F		FEAM OFFEN	SE (2015 PER- PASSIN		VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING	E (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	то
10 U	-4.3	23.0	20 1		39-164 (4.2)	17-31-206 (		70-370 (5.		25	20	35-133 (3.8)	21-36-261 (7.2)	71-394 (5.5)		-4
NHO	-12.3	22.5	25 2	3 4	40-141 (3.5)	23-40-272 (	(6.8)	80-413 (5.	2) 1-2	37	20	44-248 (5.6)	14-23-214 (9.4)	67-462 (6.9)	1-1	-10
statfox %	recaster	IDAH	10 24,	оню	O U 23				GAME TREI season.	ND: OHIC	D U is 1	1-1 UNDER in th	e first half of the se	eason since the	e start of t	ne
		F	LOR	RID,		ERNATI	ONA		VS	CEN	NTR	AL FLOR	RIDA (-17)			19 · ·
(135) FLA INTI	ERNATION	IAL (20	14 SU: -	4-8, 2	2014 ATS: 7	-4-1) at (136)	UCF (20	)14 SU: 9-4	2014 ATS:	8-5) - Th	ursday	, 9/3/2015 6:00	PM			_
						SE (2015 PER-		VERAGES)					(2015 PER-GAME #	AVERAGES)		
	PDIF		PPG F		RUSHING	PASSIN		TOTAL	FUM-INT		<b>FD</b>	RUSHING	PASSING	<b>TOTAL</b>	FUM-INT	TO
INTERNATIONAL F	-1.8 +8.9	24.7	23 1 28 2		41-125 (3.1) 40-128 (3.2)	14-27-168 ( 18-32-235 (		68-293 (4.		25 19	18 17	40-160 (4.1) 33-104 (3.2)	15-28-203 (7.2) 19-34-194 (5.8)	68-363 (5.3) 67-298 (4.4)		+1
					40 120 (J.2/	10-32-235 (	(7.4)	72-363 (5.		1 -						
Statfox %	recaster	UCF				DNAL 14		KEY and 4	GAME TREI	ND: Geor of UCF.	rge O'l	eary is 15-4 ATS	S in a home game w			
			28, FL	A IN	ITERNATIC	DNAL 14	(-10	KEY and 2	GAME TREI	ND: Geor of UCF.	rge O'l	eary is 15-4 ATS				
			28, FL	A IN' 9-4) a	ITERNATIC at (144) TUL	DNAL 14 DUKE	<b>(-10</b> U: 3-9, 2	KEY and 4	GAME TREI	ND: Geor of UCF.	rge O'l	eary is 15-4 ATS E 30 PM	5 in a home game w	where the total		
and the second s		-4, 2014	28, FL	A IN 9-4) a T	ITERNATIC at (144) TUL	DNAL 14	(-10 U: 3-9, 2 -GAME A	KEY and 4	GAME TREI	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL lay, 9/3/2	rge O'l	eary is 15-4 ATS E 30 PM		where the total		n 45
(143) DUKE (2 KE	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6	-4, 2014 ss 32.2	<b>28, FL</b> 4 ATS: 9 PPG F 32 2	A IN 9-4) a T D	ITERNATIC at (144) TUL FEAM OFFEN RUSHING 38-182 (4.8)	DNAL 14 DUKE ANE (2014 SU ISE (2015 PER- PASSING 21-36-216 (	(-1C U: 3-9, 2 -GAME A G (6.0)	KEY and 2 2014 ATS: 4 VERAGES) TOTAL 74-398 (5.	GAME TREI 19 as coach VS   -8) - Thursd FUM-INT 1) 1–1	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 PPG 22	rge O'l <b>. A N</b> 2015 9 FD 22	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4)	S in a home game w E (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING 18-32-207 (6.4)	vhere the total AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3)	FUM-INT	<b>TO</b> +(
(143) DUKE (2 KE LANE	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6 -12.4	-4, 2014 SS 32.2 33.6	<b>28, FL</b> <b>4 ATS: 9</b> <b>PPG F</b> 32 2 16 1	<b>A IN</b> 9-4) a T D 2 3 9 3	at (144) TUL TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 38-182 (4.8) 35-146 (4.2)	DNAL 14 DUKE LANE (2014 SI ISE (2015 PER- PASSINI	(-1C U: 3-9, 2 -GAME A G (6.0)	KEY and 4 C) CO14 ATS: 4 VERAGES) TOTAL 74-398 (5. 72-347 (4.)	GAME TREI 9 as coach V S - 	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 22 28	rge O'l AN 2015 9 FD 22 20	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4) 37-158 (4.2)	S in a home game w construction	AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3) 68-388 (5.7)	is betwee <b>FUM-INT</b> 1-1 1-1	n 45
Statfox % (143) DUKE (2 JKE JLANE Statfox %	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6 -12.4	-4, 2014 SS 32.2 33.6	<b>28, FL</b> <b>4 ATS: 9</b> <b>PPG F</b> 32 2 16 1	<b>A IN</b> 9-4) a T D 2 3 9 3	at (144) TUL TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 38-182 (4.8) 35-146 (4.2)	DNAL 14 DUKE ANE (2014 SU ISE (2015 PER- PASSING 21-36-216 (	(-1C U: 3-9, 2 -GAME A G (6.0)	KEY and 4 C) CO14 ATS: 4 VERAGES) TOTAL 74-398 (5. 72-347 (4.)	GAME TREI 9 as coach V S - 	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 22 28	rge O'l AN 2015 9 FD 22 20	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4) 37-158 (4.2)	5 in a home game w 6 (2015 PER-GAME <i>A</i> PASSING 18–32–207 (6.4) 18–31–230 (7.3)	AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3) 68-388 (5.7)	is betwee <b>FUM-INT</b> 1-1 1-1	n 45
KE LANE Statfox %	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6 -12.4	-4, 2014 SS 32.2 33.6	<b>28, FL</b> <b>4 ATS: 9</b> <b>PPG F</b> 32 2 16 1 <b>E 27, T</b>	A IN' 9-4) a T D 2 3 9 3 'ULA	at (144) TUL ream offen rushing 38-182 (4.8) 35-146 (4.2) NE 16	DNAL 14 DUKE ANE (2014 SU ISE (2015 PER- PASSING 21-36-216 (	(-1C U: 3-9, 2 GAME A G (6.0) (5.5)	KEY and 4           014 ATS: 4           VERAGES) TOTAL           74-398 (5 72-347 (4 KEY	GAME TREI 9 as coach V S - 	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 PPG 22 28 ND: DUK	rge O'l A N 2015 9 FD 22 20 E is 20	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4) 37-158 (4.2)	5 in a home game w 6 (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING 18-32-207 (6.4) 18-31-230 (7.3) 20 games since the	AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3) 68-388 (5.7)	is betwee <b>FUM-INT</b> 1-1 1-1	TO
(143) DUKE (2 KE ANE Statfox %	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6 -12.4 irecaster	-4, 2014 <u>SS</u>   <u>32.2</u> <u>33.6</u>   DUKI	28, FL 4 ATS: 9 PPG F 32 2 16 1 E 27, T	A IN' 	at (144) TUL TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 38-182 (4.8) 35-146 (4.2) NE 16 ESTER S: 6-7) at (2	DNAL 14 DUKE ANE (2014 SU ISE (2015 PER- PASSING 21-36-216 ( 20-37-201 ( N KENT 12) VANDERB	(-10 U: 3-9, 2 GAME A G (6.0) (5.5) UCK	KEY and 4           014 ATS: 4           VERAGES) TOTAL           74-398 (5. 72-347 (4.           KEY           KEY           (4 SU: 3-9,	GAME TREI 9 as coach VS -8) - Thursd FUM-INT 1) 1-1 3) 1-2 GAME TREI VS	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 28 ND: DUK	rge O'L 2015 9 FD 22 20 E is 20	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4) 37-158 (4.2) D-7 ATS in all line RBILT (- 9/3/2015 8:00 1	5 in a home game w E (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING 18-32-207 (6.4) 18-31-230 (7.3) ed games since the •1) PM	AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3) 68-388 (5.7) start of the 20	FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 013 season	TO
(143) DUKE (2 KE LANE \$statfox %	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6 -12.4 irecaster	-4, 2014 <u>55</u> <u>32.2</u> <u>33.6</u> <b>DUK</b> 14 SU: 8	28, FL 4 ATS: 9 PPG F 32 2 16 1 E 27, T	A IN 	at (144) TUL TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 38-182 (4.8) 35-146 (4.2) NE 16 ESTER S: 6-7) at (2	DNAL 14 DUKE ANE (2014 SI ISE (2015 PER- PASSING 21-36-216 ( 20-37-201 ( N KENT	(-10 	KEY and 4           014 ATS: 4           VERAGES) TOTAL           74-398 (5. 72-347 (4.           KEY           KEY           (4 SU: 3-9,	GAME TREI 9 as coach VS -8) - Thursd FUM-INT 1) 1-1 3) 1-2 GAME TREI VS	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 22 28 ND: DUK VAN	rge O'L 2015 9 FD 22 20 E is 20	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4) 37-158 (4.2) D-7 ATS in all line RBILT (- 9/3/2015 8:00 1	5 in a home game w (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING 18-32-207 (6.4) 18-31-230 (7.3) ed games since the •1)	AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3) 68-388 (5.7) start of the 20	FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 013 season	TO +(+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
(143) DUKE (2 (143) DUKE (2 KE LANE Statfox % (211) W KENTO (211) W KENTO	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6 -12.4 irecaster UCKY (20) PDIF +4.5	-4, 201- <u>55</u>   <u>32.2</u> <u>33.6</u>   DUKI <u>55</u>   <u>27.2</u>	28, FL 4 ATS: 9 PPG F 32 2 16 1 E 27, T -5, 201 PPG F 44 2	A IN' 9-4) a T 2 3 9 3 'ULAI 4 ATS T D 1 7 3	at (144) TUL TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 38-182 (4.8) 35-146 (4.2) NNE 16 ESTER S: 6-7) at (2 TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 33-162 (4.9)	DNAL 14 DUKE ANE (2014 SU ISE (2015 PER- PASSINI 21-36-216 ( 20-37-201 ( N KENT 12) VANDERB ISE (2015 PER- PASSINI 29-43-374 (	(-10 U: 3-9, 2 -GAME A G (6.0) (5.5) UCK ILT (201 -GAME A G (8.7)	KEY and 4           0014 ATS: 4           VERAGES) TOTAL           74-398 (5. 72-347 (4.           KEY           KEY           (Y           4 SU: 3-9, VERAGES) TOTAL           76-536 (7.	GAME TREI 9 as coach VS -8) - Thursd FUM-INT 1) 1-1 GAME TREI VS 2014 ATS: 6 FUM-INT 1) 1-1	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 22 28 ND: DUK VAN -6) - Thu - PPG 40	rge O'l A N 2015 9 FD 22 20 E is 20 E is 20 N D E rsday, FD 26	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4) 37-158 (4.2) 0-7 ATS in all line ERBILT (- 9/3/2015 8:00 l TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 44-221 (5.0)	S in a home game w E (2015 PER-GAME / PASSING 18-32-207 (6.4) 18-31-230 (7.3) ed games since the •1) PM E (2015 PER-GAME / PASSING 21-32-289 (9.0)	AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3) 68-388 (5.7) start of the 2C AVERAGES) TOTAL 76-510 (6.7)	is betwee           FUM-INT           1-1           1-1           013 season           FUM-INT           1-1	TO ++ ++
143) DUKE (2 143) DUKE (2 KE ANE Statfox % Statfox % 211) W KENTU KENTUCKY IDERBILT	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6 -12.4 precaster JCKY (20) PDIF +4.5 -16.1	-4, 2014 55   32.2 33.6   DUKI 14 SU: 8 55   27.2 37.2	28, FL 4 ATS: 9 PPG Fi 32 2 16 1 E 27, T -5, 201 PPG Fi 44 2 17 1	A IN 9-4) a T D 12 3 9 3 1 2 3 9 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	at (144) TUL TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 38-182 (4.8) 35-146 (4.2) NNE 16 ESTER S: 6-7) at (2 TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 33-162 (4.9) 32-109 (3.4)	DNAL 14 DUKE ANE (2014 SU SE (2015 PER- PASSIN 21-36-216 20-37-201 N KENT 12) VANDERB ISE (2015 PER- PASSIN 29-43-374 ( 15-30-179 (	(-10 U: 3-9, 2 -GAME A G (6.0) (5.5) UCK ILT (201 -GAME A G (8.7)	KEY and 4           014 ATS: 4           VVERAGES) TOTAL           74-398 (5. 72-347 (4.)           KEY           KEY           (Y           4 SU: 3-9, VVERAGES) TOTAL           76-536 (7. 62-288 (4.)	GAME TREI 9 as coach VS -8) - Thursd FUM-INT 1) 1-1 3) 1-2 GAME TREI VS 2014 ATS: 6 FUM-INT 1) 1-1 0) 1-2	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 22 28 ND: DUK VAN -6) - Thu -0) - Thu -0) - Thu -0) - 33	2015 9 22 20 E is 20 N D E rsday, 26 22	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4) 37-158 (4.2) 0-7 ATS in all line RBILT (- 9/3/2015 8:00   TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 44-221 (5.0) 42-184 (4.4)	S in a home game w E (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING 18-32-207 (6.4) 18-31-230 (7.3) ed games since the •1) PM E (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING 21-32-289 (9.0) 18-29-218 (7.6)	AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3) 68-388 (5.7) start of the 2C AVERAGES) TOTAL 76-510 (6.7) 71-402 (5.7)	FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 1-3 13 season FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 1-0	n 45
(143) DUKE (2 KE LANE Statfox % (211) W KENTU KENTUCKY NDERBILT	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6 -12.4 precaster JCKY (20) PDIF +4.5 -16.1	-4, 2014 55   32.2 33.6   DUKI 14 SU: 8 55   27.2 37.2	28, FL 4 ATS: 9 PPG Fi 32 2 16 1 E 27, T -5, 201 PPG Fi 44 2 17 1	A IN 9-4) a T D 12 3 9 3 1 2 3 9 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	at (144) TUL TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 38-182 (4.8) 35-146 (4.2) NNE 16 ESTER S: 6-7) at (2 TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 33-162 (4.9)	DNAL 14 DUKE ANE (2014 SU SE (2015 PER- PASSIN 21-36-216 20-37-201 N KENT 12) VANDERB ISE (2015 PER- PASSIN 29-43-374 ( 15-30-179 (	(-10 U: 3-9, 2 -GAME A G (6.0) (5.5) UCK ILT (201 -GAME A G (8.7)	KEY and 4 0014 ATS: 4 VERAGES) TOTAL 74-398 (5. 72-347 (4. KEY (Y) (YERAGES) TOTAL 76-536 (7. 62-288 (4.	GAME TREI 9 as coach VS -8) - Thursd FUM-INT 1) 1-1 3) 1-2 GAME TREI VS 2014 ATS: 6 FUM-INT 1) 1-1 0) 1-2	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 22 28 ND: DUK VAN -6) - Thu -0) - Thu -0) - Thu -0) - 33	2015 9 22 20 E is 20 N D E rsday, 26 22	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4) 37-158 (4.2) 0-7 ATS in all line RBILT (- 9/3/2015 8:00   TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 44-221 (5.0) 42-184 (4.4)	S in a home game w E (2015 PER-GAME / PASSING 18-32-207 (6.4) 18-31-230 (7.3) ed games since the •1) PM E (2015 PER-GAME / PASSING 21-32-289 (9.0)	AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3) 68-388 (5.7) start of the 2C AVERAGES) TOTAL 76-510 (6.7) 71-402 (5.7)	FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 1-3 13 season FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 1-0	n 45
(143) DUKE (2 KE LANE Statfox % (211) W KENTU KENTUCKY NDERBILT	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6 -12.4 precaster JCKY (20) PDIF +4.5 -16.1	-4, 2014 55   32.2 33.6   DUKI 14 SU: 8 55   27.2 37.2	28, FL 4 ATS: 9 PPG Fi 32 2 16 1 E 27, T -5, 201 PPG Fi 44 2 17 1	A IN 9-4) a T D 12 3 9 3 1 2 3 9 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	at (144) TUL TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 38-182 (4.8) 35-146 (4.2) NNE 16 ESTER S: 6-7) at (2 TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 33-162 (4.9) 32-109 (3.4)	DNAL 14 DUKE ANE (2014 SU SE (2015 PER- PASSIN 21-36-216 20-37-201 N KENT 12) VANDERB ISE (2015 PER- PASSIN 29-43-374 ( 15-30-179 (	(-10 U: 3-9, 2 Game A G (6.0) (5.5) UCK UCK (1LT (201 GAME A G (8.7) (6.0)	KEY and 4           014 ATS: 4           016 ATS: 4           VERAGES) TOTAL           74-398 (5 72-347 (4 72-347 (4 72-347 (4 84 SU: 3-9, 10- 76-536 (7 62-288 (4 76-536 (7 62-288 (4 10- 76-536 (7 76-536 (7 76-76) (	GAME TREI 9 as coach VS -8) - Thursd FUM-INT 1) 1-1 3) 1-2 GAME TREI VS 2014 ATS: 6 FUM-INT 1) 1-1 3) 1-2 GAME TREI	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 22 28 ND: DUK VAN -6) - Thu - 1 PPG 40 33 ND: W KI	rge O'l 2015 9 FD 22 20 E is 20 N D E rsday, FD 26 22 22 ENTUC	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4) 37-158 (4.2) 0-7 ATS in all line RBILT (- 9/3/2015 8:00   TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 44-221 (5.0) 42-184 (4.4)	S in a home game w (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING 18-32-207 (5.4) 18-31-230 (7.3) ed games since the 1) PM (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING 21-32-289 (9.0) 18-29-218 (7.6) R in the first month	AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3) 68-388 (5.7) start of the 2C AVERAGES) TOTAL 76-510 (6.7) 71-402 (5.7)	FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 1-3 13 season FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 1-0	n 45
(143) DUKE (2 KE ANE Statfox % (211) W KENTU (ENTUCKY NDERBILT Statfox % Statfox %	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6 -12.4 precaster JCKY (20) PDIF +4.5 -16.1 precaster	-4, 2014 55   32.2 33.6   DUKI 14 SU: 8 55   27.2 37.2   W KE	28, FL 4 ATS: 9 PPG F 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 17 1 17 1 10 17 1 10 17 1 10 17 1 10 17 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	A IN 9-4) a T 2 3 9 3 YULA 4 ATS 4 ATS 5 7 3 6 3 3 KY 3	ITERNATIC at (144) TUL FEAM OFFEN RUSHING 38-182 (4.8) 35-146 (4.2) NNE 16 ESTER ESTER S: 6-7) at (2) FEAM OFFEN RUSHING 33-162 (4.9) 32-109 (3.4) 38, VANDE	DNAL 14 DUKE ANE (2014 SI ISE (2015 PER- PASSING 21-36-216 ( 20-37-201 ( N KENT 12) VANDERB ISE (2015 PER- PASSING 29-43-374 ( 15-30-179 ( ISBILT 34 KENT S	(-10 U: 3-9, 2 GAME A G (6.0) (5.5) UCK ILT (201 GAME A G (8.7) (6.0) STAT	KEY and 4           014 ATS: 4           014 ATS: 4           VERAGES) 72-347 (4.1           74-398 (5 72-347 (4.1           KEY           KEY           4 SU: 3-9, VERAGES) TOTAL           76-363 (7 62-288 (4.1           KEY           KEY           VERAGES)           TOTAL           76-326 (7 62-288 (4.1           KEY           KEY           KEY	GAME TREI           9 as coach           VS           -8) - Thursd           FUM-INT           1-1           3)           1-2           GAME TREI           VS           2014 ATS: 6           FUM-INT           1)           1-2           GAME TREI           0)           1-2           GAME TREI           0)           1-2           GAME TREI           0)           1-2           GAME TREI           VS           VS	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 22 28 ND: DUK VAN -6) - Thu -6) - Thu -6) - Thu -70 33 ND: W KI	Pige O'L A N 2015 9 FD 22 20 E is 20 N D E rsday, FD 26 22 22 ENTUC IN O	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4) 37-158 (4.2) D-7 ATS in all line RBILT (- 9/3/2015 8:00 I TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 42-213 (5.0) 42-184 (4.4) CKY is 6-0 OVEF IS (-15 ½	S in a home game w (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING 18-32-207 (5.4) 18-31-230 (7.3) ed games since the 1) PM (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING 21-32-289 (9.0) 18-29-218 (7.6) R in the first month	AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3) 68-388 (5.7) start of the 2C AVERAGES) TOTAL 76-510 (6.7) 71-402 (5.7)	FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 1-3 13 season FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 1-0	n 45
(143) DUKE (2 KE LANE \$statfox %	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6 -12.4 irecaster JCKY (201 PDIF +4.5 -16.1 irecaster (2014 SU	-4, 2014 <u>32.2</u> <u>33.6</u> <b>DUK</b> <b>14 SU: 8</b> <u>55</u> <u>27.2</u> <u>37.2</u> <b>W KE</b> <b>: 2-9, 2</b>	28, FL 4 ATS: 9 PPG F 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 17 1 17 1 ENTUC 014 ATS:	A IN 9-4) a T 2 3 9 3 YULA 4 ATS 4 ATS T 7 3 6 3 3 :KY 3 :S: 5-6 T	at (144) TUL TERNATIC TERM OFFEN RUSHING 38-182 (4.8) 35-146 (4.2) NE 16 ESTER ESTER ISS 6-7) at (2) TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 33-162 (4.9) 32-109 (3.4) 38, VANDE 5) at (158) IL TEAM OFFEN	DNAL 14 DUKE ANE (2014 SI ISE (2015 PER- PASSING 21-36-216 ( 20-37-201 ( N KENT 12) VANDERB ISE (2015 PER- PASSING 29-43-374 ( 15-30-179 ( ISBILT 34 KENT SI LLINOIS (2014 ISE (2015 PER-	(-10 U: 3-9, 2 GAME A G (6.0) (5.5) UCK ILT (201 GAME A G (8.7) (6.0) STAT STAT SSTAT GAME A	KEY and 4           014 ATS: 4           014 ATS: 4           VERAGES) TOTAL           74-398 (5. 72-347 (4: 74-398 (5. 72-347 (4: 72-347 (4: 842)           KEY           4 SU: 3-9, VERAGES) TOTAL           76-356 (7. 62-288 (4: 62-288 (4: 62-288 (4: 16 - 288 (4: 16 (	GAME TREI 9 as coach VS -8) - Thursd FUM-INT 1) 1-1 3) 1-2 GAME TREI VS 2014 ATS: 6 FUM-INT 1) 1-1 01 -2 GAME TREI 013 season. VS VS	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 22 28 ND: DUK VAN -6) - Thu -6) - Thu -6) - Thu -70 33 ND: W KI ILL	rge O'l 2015 9 FD 22 20 E is 20 N D E rsday, FD 26 22 ENTUC ENTUC IN O 015 9:	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4) 37-158 (4.2) 0-7 ATS in all line RBILT (- 9/3/2015 8:00   TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 44-221 (5.0) 42-184 (4.4) CKY is 6-0 OVEF IS (-15 ½ 00 PM TEAM DEFENSE	S in a home game w PASSING 18-32-207 (6.4) 18-31-230 (7.3) ed games since the (2015 PER-GAME / PASSING 21-32-289 (9.0) 18-29-218 (7.6) R in the first month (2015 PER-GAME / (2015 PER-GAME /	AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3) 68-388 (5.7) start of the 2C AVERAGES) TOTAL 76-510 (6.7) 71-402 (5.7) of the season AVERAGES)	FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 1-1 013 season FUM-INT 1-0 since the s	   _
(143) DUKE (2 KE LANE Statfox % (211) W KENTU KENTUCKY NDERBILT Statfox % Statfox %	014 SU: 9 PDIF +10.6 -12.4 precaster JCKY (20) PDIF +4.5 -16.1 precaster	-4, 2014 <u>32.2</u> <u>33.6</u> <b>DUK</b> <b>14 SU: 8</b> <u>55</u> <u>27.2</u> <u>37.2</u> <b>W KE</b> <b>: 2-9, 2</b>	28, FL 4 ATS: 9 PPG F 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 17 1 17 1 10 17 1 10 17 1 10 17 1 10 17 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	A IN' 9-4) a T 2 3 9 3 'ULA 4 ATS T 7 3 6 3 KY 3 S: 5-6 T D	at (144) TUL TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 38-182 (4.8) 35-146 (4.2) NNE 16 ESTER ESTER S: 6-7) at (2 TEAM OFFEN RUSHING 33-162 (4.9) 32-109 (3.4) 38, VANDE 5) at (158) IL	DNAL 14 DUKE ANE (2014 SI SE (2015 PER- PASSINI 21-36-216 20-37-201 N KENT 12) VANDERB ISE (2015 PER- PASSINI 29-43-374 ( 15-30-179 ( ISBILT 34 KENT S LLINOIS (2014	(-10 U: 3-9, 2 GAME A G (6.0) (5.5) UCK ILT (201 GAME A G (8.7) (6.0) STAT STAT GAME A G	KEY and 4           0014 ATS: 4           VERAGES) TOTAL           74-398 (5. 72-347 (4.           KEY           KEY           KEY           VERAGES) TOTAL           76-536 (7. 62-288 (4.           KEY           KEY           TE           7, 2014 ATS	GAME TREI 9 as coach VS -8) - Thursd FUM-INT 1) 1-1 3) 1-2 GAME TREI VS 2014 ATS: 6 FUM-INT 1) 1-1 0) 1-2 GAME TREI 013 season. VS VS -58) - Frida FUM-INT	ND: Geor of UCF. TUL ay, 9/3/2 22 28 ND: DUK VAN -6) - Thu -6) - Thu -6) - Thu -70 33 ND: W KI ILL	Pige O'L A N 2015 9 FD 22 20 E is 20 N D E rsday, FD 26 22 22 ENTUC IN O	E 30 PM TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 43-193 (4.4) 37-158 (4.2) -7 ATS in all line RBILT (- 9/3/2015 8:00 I TEAM DEFENSE RUSHING 44-221 (5.0) 42-184 (4.4) CKY is 6-0 OVEF IS (-15 1/2 00 PM	S in a home game w E (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING 18-32-207 (6.4) 18-31-230 (7.3) ed games since the •1) PM E (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING 21-32-289 (9.0) 18-29-218 (7.6) R in the first month )	AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-400 (5.3) 68-388 (5.7) start of the 20 AVERAGES) TOTAL 76-510 (6.7) 71-402 (5.7) of the season	FUM-INT FUM-INT FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 1-1 1-1 1-1 1-0 since the s FUM-INT	TO ++( +-1

# WEEK 1 SECOND-TIER COLLEGE GAMES: SEPTEMBER 4 - 5

							HARL		E	V	'S	GE	UK(	GIA ST/	AIE	(-3½)			./
(153) CHARLO	TE (2014	SU: 5-	6, 20	14 AT:						-	4 ATS: 5-	7) - Frid	lay, 9/4						
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	TEAM OFFEI RUSHING	NSE (2	015 PER-0 PASSING		VERAGE		FUM-IN	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFE RUSHING		2015 PER-GAME PASSING	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	∣то
IARLOTTE	+7.2	-3.0		22	40-222 (5.5)		7-32-265 (8		72-487		1-1	32	26	46-193 (4.2		27-43-284 (6.5)	89-477 (5.4)		-3
ORGIA ST	-20.7	24.8	23	20	32-98 (3.0)	2	2-37-279 (7	7.5)	69-377		1-2	43	26	48-303 (6.3)	-	18-27-194 (7.1)	75-497 (6.6)		-22
Statfox %	recaster	NO F	ORE	CAS	Г						the 2013			51 15 6-0 00	'ER at r	nome in the firs	st half of the sea	ason since	the
R				M	IEW M	EX	ICO S	TAT	E	v	s	FL	ORI	DA (-3)	7½)				Calori
(163) NEW MEX	(ICO ST (	2014 S	U: 2-1	0, 201	4 ATS: 4-8)	at (16	4) FLORI	DA (20	14 SU: 7	-5, 20	14 ATS: 2	/-5) - Sa	turday	, 9/5/2015 7:	30 PM				
	PDIF	SS	PPG		TEAM OFFE	NSE (2	015 PER-0 PASSING		VERAGE		FUM-IN	I PPG	FD	TEAM DEFE RUSHING		2015 PER-GAME PASSING	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	⊤то
EW MEXICO ST	-14.6	19.9		21	39-177 (4.5)	2	3-38-238 (6	•••••	77-415		1-2	39	24	49-309 (6.2		16-25-174 (7.0)	74-483 (6.5)		-13
ORIDA	+9.2	38.0	30	18	43-188 (4.4)	1	4–27–180 (6	5.7)	70-368	8 (5.3)	1-1	21	18	37-114 (3.1	.)	19-36-214 (6.0)	73-328 (4.5)	1-1	+6
¥statfox ‰	recaster	FLOI	RIDA	45, I	NEW MEXI	co s <sup>.</sup>	T 12				ME TRE the 2013		W MEX	ICO ST is 10-	1 OVEF	R away when pl	laying on a Satu	rday since	e the
				L	DUISIA	NA	-MO	NRO	)E	v	s	GE	ORG	GIA (-3	5)				0.
(189) LA MONR	OE (2014	I SU: 4	·8, 20	14 AT	S: 5-7) at (1	90) GI	EORGIA (	2014 SL	U: 10-3,	2014 /	ATS: 8-5)	- Satur	day, 9/	5/2015 12:00	) PM				
	PDIF	SS	PPG	ED	TEAM OFFE	NSE (2	015 PER-0 PASSING		VERAGE		FUM-IN	I PPG	FD	TEAM DEFE RUSHING		2015 PER-GAME PASSING	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	то
A MONROE	-6.2	26.2		18	30-70 (2.3)	2	6-44-280 (6	•••••	74-350		1-1	26	19	42-183 (4.3		16-28-190 (6.8)	70-373 (5.3)		-1
ORGIA	+20.6	39.4	41	23	43-259 (6.1)		7-25-200 (8		68-459		1-0	21	19	41-168 (4.1		16-29-169 (5.8)	70-337 (4.8)		+16
Statfox %	recaster	GEO	RGIA	50,	LA MONRO	DE 10				<b>EY GA</b> 013 sea		ND: GE	ORGIA	is 10-1 OVER	in the	first half of the	e season since th	ne start of	the
			L	ou	ISIAN	۹-۲	AFAY	ΈTT	E	v	s	KE	NTU	JCKY (·	-16)	1			W.
(191) LA LAFAY	ETTE (20	14 SU:		_											-				W.
(191) LA LAFAY			9-4, 2	2014 /	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFE	(192)	KENTUCK	(Y (2014 GAME A	4 SU: 5-	7, 201 5)	4 ATS: 7-	5) - Sat	urday, s	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE	O PM	2015 PER-GAME		FIIM-INT	
	ETTE (20 PDIF +3.5			2014 /	ATS: 8-5) at	(192) NSE (2	KENTUCK	(Y (2014 GAME A	4 SU: 5-	7, 2014 (S) (AL		5) - Sat		9/5/2015 7:0	O PM		E AVERAGES) TOTAL 69-406 (5.9)	<b>FUM-INT</b> 1-1	
A LAFAYETTE	PDIF	SS	<b>9-4, 2</b> <b>PPG</b>	2014 / FD	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFE RUSHING	(192) NSE (2	KENTUCK 015 PER-( PASSING	(Y (2014 GAME A 5.7)	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT	7, 2014 (5) (AL 7 (6.0)	4 ATS: 7-	5) - Sat	urday, s FD	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING	00 PM ENSE (2	2015 PER-GAME PASSING	TOTAL	1-1	+3
(191) LA LAFAY A LAFAYETTE ENTUCKY	<b>PDIF</b> +3.5 -2.1	<b>SS</b> 23.4 38.1	<b>9-4, 2</b> <b>PPG</b> 29 29	<b>FD</b> 22 19	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFE RUSHING 42-226 (5.4)	(192) NSE (2 1: 1:	KENTUCK 2015 PER-0 PASSING 8-28-191 (6 9-34-231 (6	(Y (2014 GAME A 5.7)	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT 70-417 71-384	7, 2014 S) AL 7 (6.0) 4 (5.4)	4 ATS: 7- FUM-IN 0-1 0-1	5) - Sati PPG 26 31	FD 19 22	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 35-142 (4.1 43-191 (4.5	00 PM ENSE (2 ) ;)	2015 PER-GAME PASSING 22-34-264 (7.7) 18-31-216 (6.9)	<b>TOTAL</b> 69-406 (5.9)	1-1 1-1	+3 +8
A LAFAYETTE ENTUCKY	<b>PDIF</b> +3.5 -2.1	<b>SS</b> 23.4 38.1	9-4, 2 PPG 29 29 TUCK	<b>FD</b> 22 19 <b>(Y 34</b>	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 42-226 (5.4) 37-153 (4.1)	(192) NSE (2 1 1 1 1 YETT	KENTUCK 2015 PER-( PASSING 8-28-191 (f 9-34-231 (f E 28	<b>GAME A</b> 5.7) 5.8)	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT 70-417 71-384 KI	7, 201 AL 7 (6.0) 1 (5.4) EY GA	4 ATS: 7- FUM-IN 0-1 0-1	5) - Sati PPG 26 31 ND: Mar	FD 19 22 rk Huds	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 35-142 (4.1 43-191 (4.5)	00 PM ENSE (2 .) .) .) ATS as	2015 PER-GAME PASSING 22-34-264 (7.7) 18-31-216 (6.9)	<b>TOTAL</b> 69-406 (5.9) 74-407 (5.5)	1-1 1-1	+3
LAFAYETTE ENTUCKY Statfox So	PDIF +3.5 -2.1 recaster	SS 23.4 38.1	9-4, 2 PPG 29 29 TUCK	2014 / FD 22 19 XY 34	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 42-226 (5.4) 37-153 (4.1) , LA LAFA	(192) NSE (2 1 1 YETT YETT	KENTUCK 1015 PER-(1 PASSING 8-28-191 (f 9-34-231 (f E 28	(Y (2014 GAME A 5.7) 5.8)	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT 70-417 71-384 KI	7, 2014 (5.5) AL 7 (6.0) (5.4) EY GA	4 ATS: 7- FUM-IN1 0-1 0-1 ME TRE 'S	5) - Sati PPG 26 31 ND: Mai	rk Huds	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 35-142 (4.1 43-191 (4.5) speth is 16-6	00 PM ENSE (2 .) .) ATS as	2015 PER-GAME PASSING 22-34-264 (7.7) 18-31-216 (6.9) an underdog a	<b>TOTAL</b> 69-406 (5.9) 74-407 (5.5)	1-1 1-1 AFAYETT	+3
LAFAYETTE ENTUCKY Statfox So	PDIF +3.5 -2.1 recaster PPI ST (2	SS 23.4 38.1 KEN 014 SU	9-4, 2 29 29 TUCK MIS	<b>FD</b> 222 19 <b>XY 34</b> <b>SSI</b> , 2014	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 42-226 (5.4) 37-153 (4.1) 4, LA LAFA SSIPP 4 ATS: 7-6) a TEAM OFFEI	(192) NSE (2 1) YETT YETT I <b>ST</b>	KENTUCK 015 PER- PASSING 8-28-191 (f 9-34-231 (f E 28 CATE 4) SOUTH 0015 PER-(	(Y (2014 GAME A 5.7) 5.8) (-24 IERN MI GAME A	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT 70-417 71-384 KI 4) ISS (201 VERAGE	7, 201 <b>AL</b> 7 (6.0) 1 (5.4) EY GA V 14 SU: ES)	4 ATS: 7- FUM-IN1 0-1 0-1 ME TRE 'S	5) - Sat 26 31 ND: Man <b>SO</b> 4 ATS: 5	urday, 9 FD 19 22 rk Huds UTH -7) - Sa	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 35-142 (4.1 43-191 (4.5 speth is 16-6 TERN M hturday, 9/5/2 TEAM DEFE	00 PM ENSE (2 ) ) ATS as 1155 (2015 10 ENSE (2	2015 PER-GAME PASSING 22-34-264 (7.7) 18-31-216 (6.9) a an underdog a SISSIPPI D:00 PM 2015 PER-GAME	TOTAL 69-406 (5.9) 74-407 (5.5) as coach of LA I	1-1 1-1 AFAYETT	+3 +8 E.
LAFAYETTE INTUCKY Statfox & (203) MISSISSI	PDIF +3.5 -2.1 recaster PPI ST (2 PDIF	SS 23.4 38.1 KEN 014 SU SS	9-4, 2 PPG 29 29 TUCK MIS : 10-3 PPG	2014 / FD 22 19 XY 34 SSI , 2014	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 42-226 (5.4) 37-153 (4.1) LA LAFA SSIPP ATS: 7-6) a TEAM OFFEI RUSHING	(192) NSE (2 1) 1 YETT YETT I <b>S1</b> at (20)	KENTUCK 015 PER-4 PASSING 8-28-191 (f 9-34-231 (f E 28 CATE 4) SOUTH	(Y (201) GAME A 5.7) 5.8) (-24 IERN MI GAME A	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT 70-417 71-384 KI 4) ISS (201 VERAGE TOT	7, 201 AL 7 (6.0) 1 (5.4) EY GA V 4 SU: ES) AL	4 ATS: 7- FUM-IN1 0-1 0-1 ME TRE 'S	5) - Sat 26 31 ND: Man <b>SO</b> 4 ATS: 5	rk Huds	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 35-142 (4.1 43-191 (4.5) speth is 16-6 HERN M hturday, 9/5// TEAM DEFE RUSHING	00 PM ENSE (2 ) ) ATS as (2015 10 ENSE (2	2015 PER-GAME PASSING 22-34-264 (7.7) 18-31-216 (6.9) an underdog a SISSIPPI	TOTAL 69-406 (5.9) 74-407 (5.5) as coach of LA I as coach of LA I as coach of LA I	1-1 1-1 AFAYETT	+3 +8 E.
A LAFAYETTE INTUCKY Statfox & (203) MISSISSI	PDIF +3.5 -2.1 recaster PPI ST (2	SS 23.4 38.1 KEN 014 SU	9-4, 2 29 29 TUCK MIS : 10-3 37	<b>FD</b> 222 19 <b>XY 34</b> <b>SSI</b> , 2014	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 42-226 (5.4) 37-153 (4.1) 4, LA LAFA SSIPP 4 ATS: 7-6) a TEAM OFFEI	(192) II IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	KENTUCK 015 PER- PASSING 8-28-191 (f 9-34-231 (f E 28 E 28 E 28 E 28 E 28 E 28 E 28 E 28	(-24 GAME A 5.7) 5.8) (-24 ERN MI GAME A 3.6)	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT 70-417 71-384 KI 4) ISS (201 VERAGE	7, 201 AL 7 (6.0) 4 (5.4) EY GA V 4 SU: ES) AL 4 (6.7)	4 ATS: 7- FUM-IN 0-1 0-1 ME TRE 'S	5) - Sati PPG 26 31 ND: Man SO 4 ATS: 5 PPG	FD 19 22 rk Huds UTH -7) - Sa FD	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 35-142 (4.1 43-191 (4.5 speth is 16-6 TERN M hturday, 9/5/2 TEAM DEFE	DO PM ENSE (2 ) )) ATS as (2015 10 ENSE (2	2015 PER-GAME PASSING 22-34-264 (7.7) 18-31-216 (6.9) a an underdog a SISSIPPI D:000 PM 2015 PER-GAME PASSING	TOTAL 69-406 (5.9) 74-407 (5.5) as coach of LA I	1-1 1-1 .AFAYETT 	+3 +8 E.
A LAFAYETTE ENTUCKY Statfox & (203) MISSISSI	PDIF +3.5 -2.1 recaster PPI ST (2 PDIF +15.2 -16.4	SS           23.4           38.1           KEN           014 SU           SS           37.3           30.8	9-4, 2 29 29 TUCK MIS : 10-3 PPG 37 19	2014 / FD 22 19 XY 34 SSI , 2014 FD 26 21	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 42-226 (5.4) 37-153 (4.1) , LA LAFA SSIPP ATS: 7-6) a TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 45-234 (5.2)	(192) 13 14 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	KENTUCK 015 PER- PASSING 8-28-191 (f 9-34-231 (f E 28 CATE 4) SOUTH 015 PER- PASSING 0-32-280 (f 3-40-269 (f	( - 24 ( - 24 ( - 24 ( - 24 ( - 24 ( - 24 ( - 24) ( -	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT 70-417 71-384 KI 4 ) ISS (201 VERAGE TOT 77-514 71-365	7, 201- 5) AL 7 (6.0) 1 (5.4) EY GA V V V V V (5.4) EY GA (5.4) (	4 ATS: 7- FUM-INT 0-1 0-1 ME TRE /S 3-9, 201 FUM-INT 1-1 1-1	5) - Sati 26 31 ND: Mai SO 4 ATS: 5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	<b>FD</b> 19 22 *k Huds <b>UTH</b> -7) - Se FD 21 23	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 35-142 (4.1 43-191 (4.5 speth is 16-6 HERN M Aturday, 9/5/ TEAM DEFE RUSHING 36-152 (4.2 40-216 (5.4	00 PM ENSE (2 ) ) ATS as 11SS (2015 10 ENSE (2 )	2015 PER-GAME PASSING 22-34-264 (7.7) 18-31-216 (6.9) a an underdog a s ISSIPPI 0:00 PM 2015 PER-GAME PASSING 20-39-273 (7.0) 19-30-235 (7.8)	TOTAL 69-406 (5.9) 74-407 (5.5) as coach of LA l AVERAGES) TOTAL 75-425 (5.7)	1-1 1-1 AFAYETT <b>FUM-INT</b> 1-1 1-1	+3 +8 E. <b>TO</b> EVE EVE -8
A LAFAYETTE ENTUCKY Statfox So (203) MISSISSI UISSISSIPPI ST DUTHERN MISS	PDIF +3.5 -2.1 recaster PPI ST (2 PDIF +15.2 -16.4	SS           23.4           38.1           KEN           014 SU           SS           37.3           30.8	9-4, 2 29 29 TUCK MIS : 10-3 PPG 37 19	2014 / FD 22 19 XY 34 SSI , 2014 FD 26 21	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 42-226 (5.4) 37-153 (4.1) , LA LAFA SSIPP A ATS: 7-6) a TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 45-234 (5.2) 31-96 (3.1)	(192) 13 14 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	KENTUCK 015 PER- PASSING 8-28-191 (f 9-34-231 (f E 28 CATE 4) SOUTH 015 PER- PASSING 0-32-280 (f 3-40-269 (f N MISS 2	( - 24 ( - 24 ( - 24 ( - 24 ( - 24 ( - 24 ( - 24) ( -	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT 70-417 71-384 KI 4 ) ISS (201 VERAGE TOT 77-514 71-365	7, 2014 (6.0) (6.0) (5.4) <b>EY GA</b> (6.7) (5.1) <b>EY GA</b>	4 ATS: 7- FUM-INT 0-1 0-1 ME TRE /S 3-9, 201 FUM-INT 1-1 1-1	5) - Sata PPG 26 31 ND: Mai SO 4 ATS: 5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	urday, FD 19 22 K Huds UTH -7) - Sz FD 21 23 Id Mon	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 35-142 (4.1 43-191 (4.5 speth is 16-6 HERN M Aturday, 9/5/ TEAM DEFE RUSHING 36-152 (4.2 40-216 (5.4	200 PM ENSE (2 )) )) ATS as 22015 10 ENSE (2 2015 10 (2015 10 (2015 10) (2015 10) (201	2015 PER-GAME PASSING 22-34-264 (7.7) 18-31-216 (6.9) a an underdog a a underdog a b ISSIPPI 0:00 PM 2015 PER-GAME PASSING 20-39-273 (7.0) 19-30-235 (7.8) me lined game	TOTAL 69-406 (5.9) 74-407 (5.5) as coach of LA I second for the second s	1-1 1-1 AFAYETT <b>FUM-INT</b> 1-1 1-1	+3 +8 E.   <b>TO</b>   EVE   -8
A LAFAYETTE ENTUCKY Statfox So (203) MISSISSI USSISSIPPI ST DUTHERN MISS	PDIF +3.5 -2.1 recaster PPI ST (2 PDIF +15.2 -16.4 recaster	SS         23.4           38.1         KEN           014 SU         SS           37.3         30.8           MISSS	9-4, 2 29 29 TUCK MIS : 10-3 37 19	2014 / FD 22 19 XY 34 SSI , 2014 FD 26 21 PPI S	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 42-226 (5.4) 37-153 (4.1) , LA LAFA SSIPP 4 ATS: 7-6) a TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 45-234 (5.2) 31-96 (3.1) T 43, SOU	(192) INSE (2 11/ 11/ YETT I ST 1 ST 20 2 2 2 1 THER	KENTUCK 015 PER- PASSING 8-28-191 (f 9-34-231 (f E 28 CATE 4) SOUTH 015 PER- PASSING 0-32-280 (f 3-40-269 (f N MISS 2	(Y (201) GAME A 5.7) 8.8) (-24 EERN MI GAME A 5.6) 5.7) 20 UTE	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT 70-417 71-384 KI 4 ) ISS (201 VERAGE TOT 77-514 71-365 KI EP	7, 201- (6.0) (5.4) EY GA V V 4 SU: (6.7) (5.1) EY GA V V V V V V V V V V V V V	4 ATS: 7- FUM-INT 0-1 0-1 ME TRE ('S   1-1 1-1 ME TRE ('S   ('S   ('	5) - Sati PPG 26 31 ND: Mai S O 4 ATS: 5 4 ATS: 5 7 PPG 22 35 ND: Toc A R	urday, 19 19 22 rk Huds UTH -7) - Sz FD 21 23 kd Mon KAI	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 35-142 (4.1 43-191 (4.5 speth is 16-6 HERN M Aturday, 9/5/ TEAM DEFE RUSHING 36-152 (4.2 40-216 (5.4 ken is 2-9 AT NSAS (	200 PM ENSE (2 )) )) ATS as 22015 10 ENSE (2 2015 10 (2015 10 (2015 10) (2015 10) (201	2015 PER-GAME PASSING 22-34-264 (7.7) 18-31-216 (6.9) a an underdog a a underdog a b ISSIPPI 0:00 PM 2015 PER-GAME PASSING 20-39-273 (7.0) 19-30-235 (7.8) me lined game	TOTAL 69-406 (5.9) 74-407 (5.5) as coach of LA I second for the second s	1-1 1-1 AFAYETT <b>FUM-INT</b> 1-1 1-1	+3 +8 E.   <b>TO</b>   EVE   -8
A LAFAYETTE ENTUCKY Statfox % (203) MISSISSI UISSISSIPPI ST OUTHERN MISS Statfox %	PDIF +3.5 -2.1 recaster PPI ST (2 PDIF +15.2 -16.4 recaster 14 SU: 7-	SS         23.4           23.4         38.1           KEN         38.1           KEN         37.3           30.8         4           MISS         4           66, 2014         2014	9-4, 2 29 29 TUCK MIS : 10-3 37 19 SISSIF	2014 / FD 22 19 XY 34 SSI , 2014 7 SSI 20 21 PPI S 8-4-1	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 42-226 (5.4) 37-153 (4.1) , LA LAFA SSIPP 4 ATS: 7-6) a TEAM OFFEI (31-96 (3.1) T 43, SOU () at (194) A TEAM OFFEI	(192) NSE (2 1 1 1 1 1 YETT I ST 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	KENTUCK 015 PER- PASSING 8-28-191 (f 9-34-231 (f E 28 CATE 4) SOUTH 015 PER- PASSING 0-32-280 (f 3-40-269 (f N MISS 2 SAS (2014 015 PER-	(Y (201) GAME A 5.7) 5.8) (-24 IERN MI GAME A 5.6) 5.7) 20 UTE 4 SU: 7-	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT 70-417 71-384 KI 4) ISS (201 VERAGE TOT 77-514 71-365 KI EP -6, 2014	7, 201- (6.0) (6.0) (5.4) EY GA V (6.7) (6.7) (5.1) EY GA V V (4 SU: (6.7) (5.1) (5.1) V V (4 SU: (5.1) (5.1	4 ATS: 7- FUM-INT 0-1 0-1 ME TRE ('S   3-9, 201 FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 ME TRE ('S   10-3) - S	5) - Sati PPG 26 31 ND: Mai SO 4 ATS: 5 7 PPG 22 35 ND: Tod AR aturday,	urday, FD 19 22 rk Huds UTH -7) - Sz FD 21 23 Id Mon <b>KAI</b> 9/5/27	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 35-142 (4.1 43-191 (4.5) speth is 16-6 HERN M Aturday, 9/5/2 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 36-152 (4.2 40-216 (5.4) Ken is 2-9 AT NSAS (2) DIS 3:30 PM TEAM DEFE	200 PM ENSE (2 () )) ATS as (2015 10 (ENSE (2 () )) () () () () () () () () () () ()	2015 PER-GAME PASSING 22-34-264 (7.7) 18-31-216 (6.9) a an underdog a 3 ISSIPPI 2015 PER-GAME PASSING 20-39-273 (7.0) 19-30-235 (7.8) ome lined game	TOTAL 69-406 (5.9) 74-407 (5.5) as coach of LA I <b>AVERAGES</b> TOTAL 75-425 (5.7) 70-451 (6.4) es as coach of S <b>AVERAGES</b>	1-1 1-1 AFAYETT FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 0UTHERN	+3 E. E. E. EVE EVE EVE EVE EVE EVE
A LAFAYETTE ENTUCKY Statfox % (203) MISSISSI (203) MISSISSI UISSISSIPPI ST DUTHERN MISS Statfox % (193) UTEP (20)	PDIF +3.5 -2.1 recaster PPI ST (2 PDIF +15.2 -16.4 recaster 14 SU: 7- PDIF	SS         23.4           23.4         38.1           KEN         38.1           KEN         37.3           30.8         MISS           6, 2014         SS	9-4, 2 29 29 TUCK MIS : 10-3 37 19 SISSII	2014 / FD 22 19 (Y 34 5 S I , 2014 , 2014 7 FD 26 21 PPI S 8-4-1 FD	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 42-226 (5.4) 37-153 (4.1) LA LAFA SSIPP ATS: 7-6) a TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 45-234 (5.2) 31-96 (3.1) T 43, SOU ) at (194) A TEAM OFFEI RUSHING	(192) NSE (2 1) YETT YETT I ST 2) 2) 2) 2) 1) RKAN NSE (2)	KENTUCK 015 PER- PASSING 8-28-191 (f 9-34-231 (f E 28 CATE 4) SOUTH 015 PER- PASSING 0-32-280 (8 3-40-269 (f N MISS 2 SAS (201- 015 PER- PASSING	(Y (201- GAME A 5.7) 5.8) (-24 (-24 (-24 (-24 (-24) (-	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT 70-417 71-384 KI 4 ) ISS (201 VERAGE TOT 77-514 71-365 KI P -6, 2014 VERAGE TOT	7, 201. (6.0) (5.4) EY GA V (6.7) (6.7) (6.7) (6.7) (6.7) (6.7) EY GA L (6.7) (5.1) EY GA V V V (6.7) (6.7) (6.7) (6.7) (7.8)	4 ATS: 7- FUM-IN 0-1 0-1 ME TRE ('S    3-9, 201- FUM-IN 1-1 1-1 ME TRE ('S    10-3) - S FUM-IN	5) - Sata PPG 26 31 ND: Man SO 4 ATS: 5 7 PPG 22 35 ND: Too A R aturday,	urday, FD 19 22 rk Huds rk Huds rk Huds rt rb 21 23 rd Mon SA SA SA SA SA SA SA SA SA SA	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 35-142 (4.1 43-191 (4.5) speth is 16-6 HERN M Aturday, 9/5/2 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 36-152 (4.2 40-216 (5.4) NSAS (4) NSAS (4) DI5 3:30 PM TEAM DEFE RUSHING	200 PM ENSE (2 )) )) ATS as 2015 1( ENSE (2 )) )) TS in ho TS in ho ENSE (2	2015 PER-GAME PASSING 22-34-264 (7.7) 18-31-216 (6.9) a an underdog a <b>SISSIPPI</b> 0:00 PM 2015 PER-GAME PASSING 20-39-273 (7.0) 19-30-235 (7.8) ome lined game	TOTAL 69-406 (5.9) 74-407 (5.5) as coach of LA I <b>AVERAGES)</b> TOTAL 75-425 (5.7) 70-451 (6.4) es as coach of S <b>AVERAGES</b> ) TOTAL	I-1 I-1 AFAYETT FUM-INT I-1 I-1 OUTHERN	+3 E. <b>E.</b> <b>TO</b> EVE -8 I MISS
A LAFAYETTE ENTUCKY Statfox % (203) MISSISSI USSISSIPPI ST DUTHERN MISS Statfox %	PDIF +3.5 -2.1 recaster PPI ST (2 PDIF +15.2 -16.4 recaster 14 SU: 7-	SS         23.4           23.4         38.1           KEN         38.1           KEN         37.3           30.8         4           MISS         4           66, 2014         2014	9-4, 2 29 29 TUCK MIS : 10-3 37 19 SISSII	2014 / FD 22 19 (Y 34 5 S I , 2014 , 2014 7 FD 26 21 PPI S 8-4-1 FD	ATS: 8-5) at TEAM OFFEI RUSHING 42-226 (5.4) 37-153 (4.1) , LA LAFA SSIPP 4 ATS: 7-6) a TEAM OFFEI (31-96 (3.1) T 43, SOU () at (194) A TEAM OFFEI	(192) NSE (2 1) 1) YETT YETT I S1 At (200 NSE (2 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 1 THER RKAN NSE (2 1)	KENTUCK 015 PER- PASSING 8-28-191 (f 9-34-231 (f E 28 CATE 4) SOUTH 015 PER- PASSING 0-32-280 (f 3-40-269 (f N MISS 2 SAS (2014 015 PER-	(Y (201- GAME A 5.7) 5.8) (-24 (-24 (-24 (-24 (-24 (-24)) (-24) (-	4 SU: 5- VERAGE TOT 70-417 71-384 KI 4) ISS (201 VERAGE TOT 77-514 71-365 KI EP -6, 2014	7, 201- (6.0) (5.4) EY GA V V (6.7) (6.7) (5.1) EY GA V V V (6.7) (5.1) EY GA (6.7) (5.1) EY GA (6.7) (5.1)	4 ATS: 7- FUM-INT 0-1 0-1 ME TRE ('S   3-9, 201 FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 ME TRE ('S   10-3) - S	5) - Sati PPG 26 31 ND: Mai SO 4 ATS: 5 7 PPG 22 35 ND: Tod AR aturday,	urday, FD 19 22 rk Huds UTH -7) - Sz FD 21 23 Id Mon <b>KAI</b> 9/5/27	9/5/2015 7:0 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 35-142 (4.1 43-191 (4.5) speth is 16-6 HERN M Aturday, 9/5/2 TEAM DEFE RUSHING 36-152 (4.2 40-216 (5.4) Ken is 2-9 AT NSAS (2) DIS 3:30 PM TEAM DEFE	200 PM ENSE (2 )) )) ATS as (2015 10 ENSE (2 )) )) TS in ho TS in ho ENSE (2 ))	2015 PER-GAME PASSING 22-34-264 (7.7) 18-31-216 (6.9) a an underdog a 3 ISSIPPI 2015 PER-GAME PASSING 20-39-273 (7.0) 19-30-235 (7.8) ome lined game	TOTAL 69-406 (5.9) 74-407 (5.5) as coach of LA I <b>AVERAGES</b> TOTAL 75-425 (5.7) 70-451 (6.4) es as coach of S <b>AVERAGES</b>	1-1 1-1 AFAYETT FUM-INT 1-1 0UTHERN FUM-INT 1-1	+3 E. TO EVE -8 I MISS

# WEEK 1 SECOND-TIER COLLEGE GAMES: SATURDAY, SEPT. 5

0											
			AKRO	N	vs	OKL	AHC	DMA (-31	)		<b>P</b> <sup>0</sup>
(183) AKRON (2014 SU	: 5-7, 2014 ATS: 3	3-9) at (184) OKL	AHOMA (2014 SU:	3-5, 2014 AT	S: 5-8) - Sat	urday, 9/	/5/2015	7:00 PM			
PDIF	SS   PPG FD		E (2015 PER-GAME / PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG		EAM DEFENSE ( RUSHING	2015 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	
AKRON -0.5	22.1 23 22	30-124 (4.1)	24-44-249 (5.7)	74-373 (5.0)	1-1	23	21	38-154 (4.0)	19-34-222 (6.6)	72-376 (5.2)	1-1 -2
OKLAHOMA +10.5	41.8 36 23	43-261 (6.1)	16-30-204 (6.9)	73-465 (6.4)	1-1	26	20	35-106 (3.0)	22-39-276 (7.0)	74-382 (5.2)	1-1 -5
#statfox Torecaster	OKLAHOMA	41, AKRON 17			of AKRON.	D: Terry	Bowden	n is 10-2 UNDER	when the total is	s between 49.5	and 56 as
52	(	GEORGIA	SOUTHER	1 N	vs	WES	ST V	IRGINIA	(-20)		
(195) GA SOUTHERN (2	014 SU: 9-3, 201	14 ATS: 8-4) at (19	96) W VIRGINIA (20	014 SU: 7-6,	2014 ATS: 7	6) - Satu	ırday, 9/	/5/2015 7:30 PM	1		
PDIF	SS   PPG FD		E (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG		EAM DEFENSE ( RUSHING	2015 PER-GAME A PASSING		
	SS PPG FD 20.6 39 22		8-13-105 (8.1)	66-488 (7.4)		23		36-154 (4.3)	20-35-234 (6.7)	<b>TOTAL</b> 71-388 (5.5)	FUM-INT TOD 1-1 +8
W VIRGINIA +5.8			26-41-317 (7.7)	84-500 (6.0)	1-1	28		39-168 (4.4)	19-35-231 (6.6)	74-399 (5.4)	0-1 -15
Statfox Torecaster	W VIRGINIA	40, GA SOUTH	IERN 23		AME TREN		Holgors	en is 14-25 ATS	in games played	on turf as coa	ch
			TRC	DY	VS	NCS	STAT	E (-26½	)		s
• (179) TROY (2014 SU: 3	-9. 2014 ATS: 6-	-6) at (180) NC ST	ATE (2014 SU: 8-5.	2014 ATS: 8	-5) - Saturda	av. 9/5/2	015 6:0	0 PM			
	5,2014 A1510		E (2015 PER-GAME		S) Suturu	, , , , , <u>,</u>			2015 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)	
PDIF			PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT			RUSHING		TOTAL	FUM-INT TO
TROY -14.3 NC STATE +3.2	-		20-30-198 (6.7) 17-29-204 (7.0)	68-362 (5.3) 68-409 (6.0)		36 27		43-245 (5.7) 40-168 (4.2)	17-28-206 (7.4) 17-31-205 (6.6)	71-451 (6.4) 71-373 (5.3)	1-1 +4
#statfox Forecaster	NC STATE 41	1, TROY 16		KEY G	AME TREN	D: TROY	is 16-8	OVER in its pas	t 24 games.		
1		BOWI	ING GREE	N	vs	TEN	NES	SEE (-21	1/2)		(T)
• (185) BOWLING GREEN	(2014 SUL 9-6 1	2014 475. 5-9-1	(196) TENNESSE	E (2014 SU)	7-6 2014 4	rs· 7-6) -	Saturd	av. 9/5/2015 4:0			
(185) BOWLING GREEN	(2014 30. 8-0, 2		E (2015 PER-GAME /		7-0, 2014 A	13. 7-0) -			2015 PER-GAME A	VERAGES	
PDIF		RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT		FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	
BOWLING GREEN -3.5 TENNESSEE +4.7	25.4 30 22 39.6 29 21		23-39-261 (6.7) 22-35-224 (6.4)	79-434 (5.5) 75-370 (4.9)		34 24		41-202 (5.0) 40-168 (4.3)	25-42-291 (6.9) 15-29-196 (6.8)	83-493 (5.9) 69-364 (5.3)	1-1 +10 1-1 +2
Statfox Torecaster		32, BOWLING							s past six games.	-5 5-4 (5.5)	
	1										
		OLD DO	MINION (-	5)	vs	EAS	TER	N MICHI	GAN		
• (161) OLD DOMINION (2	2014 SU: 6-6, 201	14 ATS: 4-8) at (1	62) E MICHIGAN (2	014 SU: 2-10	, 2014 ATS:	5-7) - Sa	turday, s	9/5/2015 3:00	PM		
	SS   PPG FD		E (2015 PER-GAME / PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG		EAM DEFENSE ( RUSHING	2015 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	
PDIF			24-38-292 (7.7)	68-441 (6.5)		38		46-224 (4.9)	19-28-230 (8.2)	74-454 (6.1)	1-0 -1
PDIF OLD DOMINION -5.3	25.3 33 23		24 30 232 (1.77					(5. 5)			0-0 -18
OLD DOMINION -5.3	25.3         33         23           27.5         15         16		14-27-152 (5.7)	65-290 (4.5)		41	23	43-225 (5.3)	21-31-274 (8.8)	74-499 (6.7)	
OLD DOMINION -5.3	27.5 15 16	38-138 (3.6)	14-27-152 (5.7)		2-1				21-31-274 (8.8) road games as co		OMINION.
DLD DOMINION -5.3 E MICHIGAN -25.8	27.5 15 16	38-138 (3.6)	14-27-152 (5.7)	KEY G	2-1	D: Bobby	y Wilder		road games as co		
DLD DOMINION -5.3 E MICHIGAN -25.8	27.5 15 16	38-138 (3.6) IION 37, E MICH T I	14-27-152 (5.7) IIGAN 29 EXAS STAT	кеу G	vs	D: Bobby	y Wilder	r is 8-1 OVER in A STATE	road games as co		
DLD DOMINION -5.3 MICHIGAN -25.8 Statfox Forecaster (199) TEXAS ST (2014 S	27.5   15 16   OLD DOMIN GU: 7-5, 2014 ATS	38–138 (3.6) IION 37, E MICH T I S: 9-3) at (200) F TEAM OFFENSE	14-27-152 (5.7) IIGAN 29 EXAS STAT LORIDA ST (2014 S E (2015 PER-GAME A	KEY G	2-1 GAME TREN V S   ATS: 3-11) -	D: Bobby FLO Saturday	y Wilder RIDA y, 9/5/2 T	r is 8-1 OVER in <b>A STATE</b> 015 8:00 PM EAM DEFENSE (	road games as co (-30) 2015 PER-GAME A	Dach of OLD D	
DLD DOMINION -5.3 MICHIGAN -25.8 Statfox Forecaster Control (199) TEXAS ST (2014 S PDIF	27.5 15 16 OLD DOMIN GU: 7-5, 2014 ATS SS PPG FD	38-138 (3.6) IION 37, E MICH T I S: 9-3) at (200) F TEAM OFFENSE RUSHING	14-27-152 (5.7) IIGAN 29 EXAS STAT LORIDA ST (2014 S E (2015 PER-GAME / PASSING	KEY G	2-1 GAME TREN V S ATS: 3-11) - FUM-INT	D: Bobby FLO Saturday	y Wilder RIDA y, 9/5/2 FD	r is 8-1 OVER in A STATE 015 8:00 PM EAM DEFENSE ( RUSHING	(-30) (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING	NVERAGES) TOTAL	
DLD DOMINION -5.3 MICHIGAN -25.8 Statfox Forecaster (199) TEXAS ST (2014 S PDIF	27.5 15 16 OLD DOMIN 50: 7-5, 2014 ATS 55: 55 PPG FD 18.8 34 23	38-138 (3.6) IION 37, E MICH E IION 37, E MICH E IIION 37, E MICH E IION 37, E MICH E IION 37, E MICH	14-27-152 (5.7) IIGAN 29 EXAS STAT LORIDA ST (2014 S E (2015 PER-GAME A	KEY G	2-1 AME TREN VS ATS: 3-11) - FUM-INT 0-1	D: Bobby FLO Saturday	y Wilder RIDA y, 9/5/2 FD 22	r is 8-1 OVER in <b>A STATE</b> 015 8:00 PM EAM DEFENSE (	road games as co (-30) 2015 PER-GAME A	Dach of OLD D	

# WEEK 1 SECOND-TIER COLLEGE GAMES: SATURDAY, SEPT. 5

UNLV						LV	vs NORTHERN ILLINOIS (-20½)								
(181) UNLV (20	)14 SU: 2-	11, 20	14 ATS	5: 5-8	) at (182) N ILL	INOIS (2014 SU: 11-	-3, 2014 ATS: 7	-7) - Saturo	lay, 9/5	5/2015	7:30 PM				-
						E (2015 PER-GAME						E (2015 PER-GAME			
JNLV	PDIF -16.5	29.4	<b>PPG</b> 22	•••••	RUSHING 36-129 (3.6)	PASSING 21-38-258 (6.7)	<b>TOTAL</b> 74-387 (5.2)	FUM-INT 0-2	<b>PPG</b> 38	<b>FD</b> 24	<b>RUSHING</b> 52-294 (5.6)	PASSING 15-26-220 (8.5)	<b>TOTAL</b> 78-514 (6.6)	FUM-INT 1-1	-9
ILLINOIS	+5.9	24.6	32	23	49-249 (5.1)	16-28-192 (7.0)	77-441 (5.7)	1-0	26	24	39-163 (4.2)	19-33-228 (7.0)	72-391 (5.4)	1-1	+12
Astatfox %	recaster		.LINC	DIS 3	9. UNLV 20		KEY G	AME TREN	D: N ILI	LINOIS	is 1-7 ATS in its	past eight home ga	ames.		
		I			-,										
55					FLORID	A ATLANI		vs	TUI	LSA	(-7)			S	The section
											<b>、</b> - /				
(167) FLA ATL	ANTIC (20	014 SU	J: 3-9,	2014	ATS: 6-5-1) at	(168) TULSA (2014	SU: 2-10, 2014	4 ATS: 5-7)	- Saturo	day, 9/	5/2015 3:30 PM				
	PDIF	TEAM OFFENSE (2015 PER-GAME AVI SS   PPG FD RUSHING PASSING				AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENS	E (2015 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT		
LA ATLANTIC	-10.4	30.3	24	18	37-164 (4.4)	17-30-200 (6.6)	67-364 (5.4)	1-0	34	23	40-223 (5.5)	20-34-238 (7.1)	74-461 (6.2)	1-1	-3
ULSA	-14.6	32.1	25	22	40-148 (3.7)	22-40-265 (6.6)	80-413 (5.2)	0-2	39	22	39-215 (5.5)	19-31-272 (8.7)	70-487 (7.0)	1-1	-8
Astatfox %	e ana etae	<b>т</b> ш	<b>5 A 7</b>	5 51		26	KEY G	AME TREN	D: TUL	SA is 0	-8 ATS at home	when playing on a	Saturday since	e the start	of th
SLOUIUN /	recusier	101	.3A 3	э, гі		20	2013 se	ason.							
							1	1							3
the second se					ARKA	NSAS STA	TE	vs	US	C (-	28½)				•
(205) ARKANS	SAS ST (20	014 SL	J: 7-6,	2014	ATS: 8-5) at (2	206) USC (2014 SU	: 9-4, 2014 AT	5: 7-6) - Sai	urday,	9/5/20	015 11:00 PM				
	DDIE					E (2015 PER-GAME						E (2015 PER-GAME A			
RKANSAS ST	PDIF +6.2	<b>SS</b> 22.5	<b>PPG</b> 37	23	RUSHING 44-217 (4.9)	PASSING 21-34-260 (7.5)	<b>TOTAL</b> 78-477 (6.1)	FUM-INT	<b>PPG</b> 30	<b>FD</b> 21	RUSHING 43-205 (4.7)	PASSING 18-32-216 (6.8)	<b>TOTAL</b> 75-421 (5.6)	FUM-INT 1-1	+6
	+10.7	·····	36	24	40-161 (4.0)	24-35-297 (8.4)	75-458 (6.1)	1-0	25	23	35-133 (3.8)	25-42-276 (6.5)	77-409 (5.3)	1-1	+11
ISC															

# **NFL REGULAR SEASON WIN TOTALS**

# **ARIZONA CARDINALS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8.5 (-125) / Under 8.5 (-105)

**OFFENSE:** Head coach Bruce Arians and OC Harold Goodwin have installed a zone-blocking scheme with their stable of running backs. They'll have Andre Ellington as the lead ball carrier despite his diminutive frame and injury history, with rookie David Johnson and veteran Chris Johnson backing him up. Carson Palmer's likely return provides stability under center, and he loves to throw deep to 6-foot-3 WRs Michael Floyd and Larry Fitzgerald. **DEFENSE:** Defensive coordinator Todd Bowles left to coach the Jets and was replaced by 37-year-old James Bettcher, who had served as the team's outside linebackers coach under Bowles for each of the past two seasons. Bettcher hopes free agent LBs Sean Weatherspoon and LaMarr Woodley and DLs Cory Redding and Corey Peters help a young secondary improve upon its dismal 260 passing yards per game allowed last year (4th-most in NFL). **BOTTOM LINE:** The Cardinals made the playoffs last year despite a horrendous quarterback situation, and a defense that gave up gobs of yards, but held opponents to 18.7 PPG (5th in NFL). If Carson Palmer can stay healthy, Arizona will easily top the .500 mark for the third straight season. **OVER 8.5** 



# ATLANTA FALCONS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8.5 (-115) / Under 8.5 (-115)

**OFFENSE:** Former Browns OC Kyle Shanahan will run a zone-heavy blocking scheme passed down from Mike Shanahan's old Broncos teams. Rookie RB Tevin Coleman should lead a three-back committee. Although Shanahan is a West Coast disciple, he shouldn't tweak much in the passing game. WR Julio Jones will remain a deep threat as QB Matt Ryan's top target, and flanker Roddy White will once again run possession-type routes to complement Jones. **DEFENSE:** New head coach Dan Quinn oversaw a nasty unit as the Seahawks defensive coordinator. He used the No. 8 pick of the draft to select DE Vic Beasley and picked up LBs Brooks Reed and Justin Durant to improve a weak pass rush (22 sacks, T-2nd worst in NFL). Pressuring the quarterback more often can only help a woeful Falcons secondary that allowed a league-worst 280 passing yards per game and 7.9 passing yards per attempt last season. **BOTTOM LINE:** Playing 10 games against the two weakest divisions in the league (NFC South, AFC South) gives Atlanta a fighting chance at a winning record, but this is a franchise with a 10-22 mark over the past two seasons. At -115 odds either way, expect another year of eight wins or less. **UNDER 8.5** 



# **BALTIMORE RAVENS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 9.5 (+110) / Under 9.5 (-140)

**OFFENSE:** Part of last year's running game resurgence (126 YPG, 8th in NFL) was the upgrade from Ray Rice to the older but fresher Justin Forsett. But most of it was improved play from a line that started to meld in their second year of a zone-heavy scheme. New OC Marc Trestman will bring changes to the passing game, as QB Joe Flacco will stay in the pocket more often, but will be asked to distribute the ball quickly in a system predicated on timing. **DEFENSE:** DT Haloti Ngata is gone, but the strength of the Ravens remains a front seven that finished tied for second in the NFL with 49 sacks last season. But even with all that pressure, the secondary still allowed 249 passing yards per game (23rd in league). However, the Ravens did add FS Kendrick Lewis, who had three interceptions and two forced fumbles for the Texans in 2014, and top CB Jimmy Smith is fully recovered from November foot surgery. **BOTTOM LINE:** Baltimore has won double-digit games in five of the past six years, but the club will be hard-pressed to reach 10 wins with a tough schedule. In addition to a strong AFC North slate, trips to Denver, Arizona and Miami plus visits from Seattle, San Diego and K.C. could all be losses. **UNDER 9.5** 



# **BUFFALO BILLS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8.5 (Even) / Under 8.5 (-130)

**OFFENSE:** At its heart, new OC Greg Roman's running game is old-school physical. However, he has shown a willingness to be creative, and has that luxury with LeSean McCoy now his top back. The talented receiving corps with Sammy Watkins and newcomer Percy Harvin figures to be more of a horizontal, catch-and-run attack. For starting QB Tyrod Taylor, it's a simplified system with a lot of pre-determined reads, especially when they go spread. **DEFENSE:** Defensive-minded new head coach Rex Ryan inherits a unit that led the league with 54 sacks in 2014 and is the only NFL team to tally more than 100 sacks in the past two seasons. DEs Mario Williams and Jerry Hughes are the stars along with 22-year-old MLB Preston Brown, who has Pro Bowl potential. The relentless front seven takes a lot of pressure off the underrated secondary that allowed only 206 passing YPG last year (3rd in NFL). **BOTTOM LINE:** Buffalo does not have any glaring weaknesses, and plays only two true road games in the first two months of 2015. The club also hosts a pair of warm-weather Texas teams (Cowboys, Texans) in December. Take the even money for the Bills to finally end their 15-year playoff drought. **OVER 8.5** 



# CAROLINA PANTHERS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8 (+105) / Under 8 (-135)

**OFFENSE:** OC Mike Shula's offense is run to set up the pass, but the Panthers will continue to be one of the NFL's most run-heavy teams, especially with top WR Kelvin Benjamin (knee) lost for the season and TE Greg Olsen the only proven receiving option. QB Cam Newton is still featured in read-option plays and will often take shotgun snaps before plowing ahead in short-yardage situations. Jonathan Stewart will be the lead back, but has a long injury history. **DEFENSE:** Although Carolina's defense slipped last year from 2013's dominant performance, the Panthers finished 2014 on a roll, allowing 17 or fewer points in five of its final six games. It's a unit that can get to the quarterback, as only the Bills have more sacks over the past two seasons. Carolina did have some deficiencies though, most notably the league's second-worst red-zone defense (63%) and surrendering 4.7 yards per carry (6th-worst in NFL). **BOTTOM LINE:** The Panthers are not an elite team in the NFL, but playing in such a poor division should keep them around the .500 mark this season. QB Cam Newton is good enough to win games on his own, and the defense remains stronger than most. Go for the plus-money on this wager. **OVER 8** 



# CHICAGO BEARS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 6.5 (Even) / Under 6.5 (-130)

OFFENSE: New head coach John Fox has brought the key offensive coaches over from the Broncos, including OC Adam Gase and O-Line coach Dave Magazu, who ran a blend of zone and gap blocking in Denver. Matt Forte is the clear feature back, though his pass protection is consistently shaky. RBs Jacquizz Rodgers and rookie RB Jeremy Langford are both explosive backups. In Denver, Gase had a wide-open playbook for Peyton Manning, but will have to dial things back with QB Jay Cutler. WR Alshon Jeffery is the clear go-to receiver with Brandon Marshall in New York and rookie WR Kevin White injured (shin). DEFENSE: Chicago allowed the third-most total yards per game (377) last season. The 2015 Bears will switch from a 4-3 to a 3-4 base under new DC Vic Fangio, who spent the previous four seasons in the same position with the 49ers. OLB Pernell McPhee and FS Antrel Rolle were strong free-agent additions. BOTTOM LINE: The Bears are a big step below in talent from the rest of the stacked NFC North and could certainly finish 0-6 in division play. They also visit Seattle, San Diego and Kansas City, as well as host both Denver and Arizona, which doesn't leave many other wins to be had. **UNDER 6.5** 



# **CINCINNATI BENGALS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8.5 (-130) / Under 8.5 (Even)

**OFFENSE:** Second-year OC Hue Jackson has the power running game he always wanted. The Bengals have a big line that thrives in Jackson's gap-blocking scheme, and they have a true power back in Jeremy Hill. RB Giovani Bernard should take all passing-down snaps, and he'll still be sprinkled in as an early-down change-of-pace back. Jackson has done his best to take QB Andy Dalton out of the equation, but top WR A.J. Green is too valuable to ignore. **DEFENSE:** The Bengals signed DE Michael Johnson, who rejoins the team after having been a major disappointment in Tampa Bay last year. Johnson will be counted on to help bolster a front seven that tallied an NFL-low 20 sacks last season (after 43 sacks in 2013). The addition of WLB A.J. Hawk and hopeful return of WLB Vontaze Burfict (knee) will also help the front seven. The underrated secondary allowed just 6.4 passing yards per attempt (8th in NFL). **BOTTOM LINE:** The Bengals have won at least nine games for four straight seasons, and the streak should continue with a young and improving team that is hungry to snap a 24-year playoff win drought. If Andy Dalton can trim his interceptions, Cincinnati will claim another division crown. **OVER 8.5** 



# **CLEVELAND BROWNS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 6.5 (+120) / Under 6.5 (-150)

**OFFENSE:** New OC John DeFilippo comes over from Oakland to operate a run-oriented offense. The Browns preferred RB Terrance West a year ago, but Isaiah Crowell could be in for a bigger workload and rookie Duke Johnson is a change-of-pace speedster who could force his way into the rotation. De-Filippo is a West Coast disciple. WR Dwayne Bowe arrives from K.C. as a possession receiver while Brian Hartline will serve primarily as a deep threat. They won't throw often once they venture deep into opponents' territory, due mostly to the interception frequency of likely starting QB Josh McCown. **DEFENSE:** The Browns tied for fourth in the NFL with 29 takeaways last season. Their signing of CB Tramon Williams signals a lack of faith in CB Justin Gilbert, the 2014 draft's eighth overall pick, following his atrocious rookie year. DT Danny Shelton, this year's 12th overall pick, should help against the run. **BOTTOM LINE:** The Under has been a very profitable wager for this franchise over the past dozen years, as Cleveland has just one winning campaign and only 5.3 wins per season during this stretch. The offense is void of playmakers and the defense had the NFL's worst run-stop unit (142 YPG). **UNDER 6.5** 



# DALLAS COWBOYS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 9.5 (-130) / Under 9.5 (Even)

**OFFENSE:** A replacement has not been found for departed RB DeMarco Murray, but it might not matter who is carrying the workload behind arguably the best offensive line in football. OC Scott Linehan worked wonders with a passing game with more pre-snap reads and quick drops, mitigating QB Tony Romo's tendency to freelance. WR Dez Bryant is the clear No. 1 trying exploit one-on-one opportunities, while TE Jason Witten remains the No. 2 target. **DEFENSE:** The Cowboys' best move this offseason may have been retaining DC Rod Marinelli with a three-year contract: Dallas went from last in the NFL in defense in 2013 to 15th in points allowed last year. The Cowboys finished second in the league with 31 takeaways, but didn't get key stops when it mattered, ranking 27th in both red-zone efficiency (61%) and third downs (44%). Top CB Orlando Scandrick's torn ACL in the preseason was a huge loss. **BOTTOM LINE:** There are too many question marks with the Cowboys, most notably running back and secondary (26th-ranked pass defense last year at 252 YPG allowed) to expect another double-digit wins this season. Before last year, Dallas had suffered four straight non-winning seasons. **UNDER 9.5** 



# **DENVER BRONCOS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 10.5 (Even) / Under 10.5 (-130)

**OFFENSE:** Denver's new coaching staff is entirely versed in the zone-blocking scheme, something the Broncos dabbled in the past two seasons but will unleash in full force this year. It's shaping up to be another committee approach, with RB C.J. Anderson entering the year as the leader. Head coach Gary Kubiak's moving pockets offense will adjust to non-mobile QB Peyton Manning, who will mostly target WRs Demaryius Thomas and Emmanuel Sanders. **DEFENSE:** The Broncos had 18 interceptions and three defensive TDs in 2014, and they return everybody in their secondary except FS Rahim Moore. They're expected to transition to a 3-4 alignment, which has been the trademark for decades of Wade Phillips, who was hired as defensive coordinator in January. Plenty of talent remains in this unit that allowed the second-fewest yards per play (4.7), especially OLBs Von Miller and DeMarcus Ware. **BOTTOM LINE:** In Peyton Manning's three seasons in Denver, his team has a regular-season record of 38-10 with at least 12 victories per season. **Denty** of offensive firepower exists, and the 2015 Broncos should be the best defense Manning has ever had. At Even money this is an easy decision. **OVER 10.5** 



# **DETROIT LIONS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8.5 (+105) / Under 8.5 (-135)

**OFFENSE:** Head coach Jim Caldwell and OC Joe Lombardi brought a more controlled, balanced attack to Detroit last season, and continued that trend in that direction after drafting mauling G Laken Tomlinson and RB Ameer Abdullah in the first two rounds. It's a versatile scheme that uses a lot of gap blocking, and with RB Joique Bell recovering from knee and Achilles injuries, Abdullah coud be the lead back. The offense still relies heavily on QB Matthew Stafford's ability to throw the deep ball. Star WR Calvin Johnson often freelances downfield, while WR Golden Tate is used in more of a catch-and-run role. **DEFENSE:** After allowing only 301 YPG (2nd in NFL) and 17.6 PPG (3rd in NFL), Detroit signed DT Haloti Ngata to lessen the blow of losing DTs Mamukong Suh and Nick Fairley. The team will rely more heavily on DE Ezekiel Ansah and a secondary that recorded 20 interceptions last year (T-3rd in NFL). **BOTTOM LINE:** The Lions are hungry to get back to the playoffs and certainly have the talent to do so, especially if Calvin Johnson stays healthy for a whole season. The schedule is certainly difficult, but the defense is good enough to lead Detroit to a winning campaign. Take the plus-money. **OVER 8.5** 



#### **GREEN BAY PACKERS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 11 (-110) / Under 11 (-120)

**OFFENSE:** The Packers go heavy on zone blocking, especially inside zone runs that play to workhorse RB Eddie Lacy's combination of patience and power. OC Tom Clements is now calling plays and QB Aaron Rodgers will have plenty of say in this West Coast offense that frequently works outside the numbers. WR Randall Cobb is extremely versatile and WR Davante Adams will be in for a much larger role with top WR Jordy Nelson tearing his ACL. **DEFENSE:** While the Packers lost a pair of corners to free agency —Tramon Williams and Davon House—the rest of the unit is pretty much intact. That includes a pair of talented young safeties in Morgan Burnett and Ha Clinton-Dix. A slimmer 327-pound NT B.J. Raji should be more effective, and veteran LBs Clay Matthews and Julius Peppers have 188 career sacks between them. Green Bay still needs to improve its 23rd-ranked run defense (120 YPG). **BOTTOM LINE:** Despite the unfortunate injury to Jordy Nelson, this is still the most complete team in the NFC North. The schedule is also pretty manage-able with the Seahawks, Chiefs, Chargers and Cowboys all visiting Lambeau Field. Take the nearly even money for Green Bay winning a dozen. **OVER 11** 



# **HOUSTON TEXANS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8.5 (-110) / Under 8.5 (-120)

OFFENSE: Texans head coach Bill O'Brien has run a variety of schemes up front, but he'll stick primarily to a zone-blocking scheme. The run could struggle until Arian Foster returns from his groin injury. Both QB Brian Hoyer and backup Ryan Mallett are familiar with O'Brien's spread system from their time in New England. WR DeAndre Hopkins should flourish in a feature role this year with WRs Cecil Shorts and rookie Jaelen Strong the other top targets. DEFENSE: The Texans tied for third in the NFL last season with 20 interceptions and tied for first with five defensive touchdowns. DE J.J. Watt anchors a group that should be better in 2015 with beefy DT Vince Wilfork and OLB Jadeveon Clowney, provided the 2014 draft's top overall pick, is healthy after undergoing knee surgery. CBs Johnathan Joseph and Kareem Jackson benefit greatly from Watt's backfield pressure, limiting teams to 6.3 YPA (7th in NFL). BOTTOM LINE: Houston's schedule is certainly favorable with 10 games versus teams from the AFC South and NFC South. But the lack of a proven quarterback, departure of WR Andre Johnson and injury to RB Arian Foster makes the Texans too thin in the skill positions to reach nine wins. **UNDER 8.5** 



# **INDIANAPOLIS COLTS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 10.5 (-195) / Under 10.5 (+160)

**OFFENSE:** The Colts were the sixth-most pass-happy team in the league last year, and the five in front of them all had losing records, throwing a lot because they often trailed. Perhaps that changes with punishing RB Frank Gore's arrival in this power-blocking scheme. Top WR T.Y. Hilton will again be used primarily to press downfield, with new WR Andre Johnson playing more of a possession role on the other side of the field for superstar QB Andrew Luck. **DEFENSE:** Despite needing upgrades at safety and defensive tackle, the Colts used their first two picks of the 2014 draft on a backup receiver (Phillip Dorsett) and a backup corner (D'Joun Smith). Newcomers OLB Trent Cole and FS Dwight Lowery will help an improving defense that boasted the NFL's second-best stoppage rate on third downs last season. Indianapolis allowed 23.1 PPG (19th in NFL), but luckily get to face several bad offenses in 2015. **BOTTOM LINE:** Despite winning a third straight division crown last year, the Colts still have the easiest schedule in the AFC. If Gore can stabilize the running game, Luck will have even more time to pick apart opponents and continue his run of 11 wins per year. The steep Over price is worth it here. **OVER 10.5** 



# **JACKSONVILLE JAGUARS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 5.5 (-115) / Under 5.5 (-115)

OFFENSE: The Jaguars will again try to establish their power running game early. Ex-Bills head coach Doug Marrone takes over the O-Line and will likely be running a zone-blocking scheme with rookie RB T.J. Yeldon. OC Greg Olson returns to Jacksonville after overseeing Oakland's Derek Carr, and will try to fix QB Blake Bortles' mechanics. The receivers in this West Coast offense will be new TE Julius Thomas and young WRs Allen Robinson and Margise Lee. **DEFENSE:** CB Davon House and S Sergio Brown were signed to improve a secondary that had just six interceptions in 2014. The loss of rookie DE Dante Fowler will hurt, but newcomers DE Jared Odrick and SLB Dan Skuta will look to bolster a defense that has several deficiencies. Last season, Jacksonville ranked 26th in scoring defense (25.8 PPG), 26th in total defense (371 YPG), 27th in rushing defense (127 YPG) and 22nd in passing defense (244 YPG). **BOTTOM LINE:** The Jaguars finished strong last season, going 4-1 ATS in the final five games including SU wins over the Giants and Titans. That should help carry over this season for a much weaker schedule featuring three winnable road games (Tampa Bay, New York Jets and Tennessee). **OVER 5.5** 



# **KANSAS CITY CHIEFS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8.5 (-160) / Under 8.5 (+130)

**OFFENSE:** The Chiefs are built around the ground game, as basically everything they do works off of RB Jamaal Charles. It's a hybrid-blocking scheme that uses Charles in a variety of ways. KC employs a smoke-and-mirrors passing game that relies on a lot of play-action and misdirection with QB Alex Smith often moving around. TE Travis Kelce figures to see more playing time despite his struggles as a blocker, while Jeremy Maclin steps in as their No. 1 receiver. **DEFENSE:** The Chiefs racked up 46 sacks in 2014, with a whopping 22 of them coming from star OLB Justin Houston, but no NFL team intercepted fewer passes last season than the paltry six Kansas City picked off. S Tyvon Branch and first-round pick CB Marcus Peters will be counted on to improve that number. Kansas City also needs help stopping the run after allowing 127 yards per game (5th-worst in NFL) and 4.7 yards per carry (3rd-worst in NFL). **BOTTOM LINE:** The Chiefs may once again contend for a playoff spot in head coach Andy Reid's third season, but the Over line is much too steep to play on here. If K.C. loses Week 1 in Houston, it will likely be 0-4 to start the year with Denver, at Green Bay and at Cincinnati in Weeks 2, 3 and 4. **UNDER 8.5** 



# **MIAMI DOLPHINS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 9 (-140) / Under 9 (+110)

OFFENSE: RB Lamar Miller figures to get the bulk of the work again, with rookie Jay Ajayi as the explosive backup. Miami's passing game is predicated on crossing routes and underneath throws. Slot WR Jarvis Landry is often QB Ryan Tannehill's first look on shallow crosses, while new boundary receivers --Kenny Stills and rookie DeVante Parker -- will primarily be field-stretchers. New TE Jordan Cameron is fast enough to be used as a threat up the seam. DEFENSE: The Dolphins addressed their need for a better run defense by bringing in high-priced DT Ndamukong Suh. His presence will almost surely improve a unit that ranked 24th in the NFL against the run last season (121 yards per game) and 20th in the league in scoring defense (23.3 PPG). SS Reshad Jones and CBs Brent Grimes and Jamar Taylor anchor a solid secondary that held opponents to 222 passing yards per game (6th in NFL). BOTTOM LINE: The Dolphins may have had the biggest offseason by adding Suh, Cameron, Stills and Parker, but this club doesn't have a good enough defense to finish ahead of either New England or Buffalo. A -140 Over price is too steep to pay for a team that hasn't won nine games since 2008. UNDER 9



#### **MINNESOTA VIKINGS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 7.5 (-250) / Under 7.5 (+200)

**OFFENSE:** OC Norv Turner can now build this offense around the power running game of Adrian Peterson in a scheme that skews toward a lot of gap blocking and banging between the tackles. Turner's offense takes downfield shots off play-action. WR Charles Johnson emerged as QB Teddy Bridgewater's favorite target in the second half of last season. New WR Mike Wallace gives them another field stretcher to open things up for TE Kyle Rudolph. **DEFENSE:** Although the Vikings were one of only eight teams with fewer than 20 takeaways in 2014, they did show an ability to get to the quarterback with 41 sacks (T-9th in NFL). That helped the club post the league's No. 7 passing defense (223 YPG). In addition to defensive stars FS Harrison Smith and WLB Chad Greenway, Minnesota has promising young talent in SLB Anthony Barr, CB Xavier Rhodes and rookies MLB Eric Kendricks and CB Trae Waynes. **BOTTOM LINE:** Don't get suckered into the huge plus-money Under bet, as the Vikings should have no problem finishing .500 or better with Adrian Peterson back and Teddy Bridgewater's progression. They were 7-9 last year and made enough off-season additions to expect 10 victories. **OVER 7.5** 



# **NEW ENGLAND PATRIOTS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 10.5 (-130) / Under 10.5 (Even)

**OFFENSE:** More than any other team, the Patriots will change up their game plan week-to-week depending on the opponents' strengths. They will slam RBs LeGarrette Blount and Jonas Gray between the tackles and employ a passing attack that often uses spread concepts, stack formations and pick plays for guys like WRs Julian Edelman and Danny Amendola in spots. The centerpiece is TE Rob Gronkowski, who effectively isolates down the seam. **DEFENSE:** With the Patriots having lost DT Vince Wilfork and CBs Darrelle Revis and Brandon Browner to free agency, younger players like DEs Chandler Jones and Jabaal Sheard and LBs Jamie Collins and Dont'a Hightower will be counted on to help lead the defense. It's the secondary that appears to be the most glaring weakness, but Super Bowl hero CB Malcolm Butler and FS Devin McCourty are both legitimate playmakers in the back. **BOTTOM LINE:** The Patriots have won 11 straight AFC East titles with a healthy QB Tom Brady, and their potent passing offense should carry the franchise to a dozen straight division crowns with Brady this season, even in the unlikely scenario that his four-game Deflategate suspension holds. **OVER 10.5** 



# **NEW ORLEANS SAINTS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8.5 (-145) / Under 8.5 (+115)

**OFFENSE:** New Orleans was the fifth-most pass-happy team in the NFL, but invested a first-round pick in run-blocking OT Andrus Peat, re-signed workhorse RB Mark Ingram and brought in speedy free agent RB C.J. Spiller. The Saints have skewed more toward zone blocking heavy on inside zone runs. QB Drew Brees thrives in the spread featuring WR Brandin Cooks on a variety of deep routes and screens and WR Marques Colston on the perimeter. **DEFENSE:** The Saints' impressive 2013 season was quickly forgotten, as they were horrible defensively in 2014, allowing the second-most yards (384 YPG), third-most third down conversions (46%) and fifth-most points (26.5 PPG). Defensive coordinator Rob Ryan has new pieces to work with though, adding LB Dannell Ellerbe, CB Brandon Browner and rookie MLB Stephone Anthony. FS Jairus Byrd is also healthy after missing 12 games in 2014 (knee). **BOTTOM LINE:** The Saints have the fifth-easiest schedule in the NFL and despite losing star TE Jimmy Graham, they made enough upgrades to win the weak NFC South with relative ease. Head coach Sean Payton is one of the NFL's best, averaging 10 wins per year since arriving in New Orleans. **OVER 8.5** 



# **NEW YORK GIANTS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8.5 (+120) / Under 8.5 (-150)

**OFFENSE:** The Giants operate a system heavy on gap blocking. Rashad Jennings is the early-down back, but second-year RB Andre Williams will also get carries. New RB Shane Vereen is used mostly as a passing-down back. QB Eli Manning gets the ball out quickly in OC Ben McAdoo's offense, and WR Odell Beckham proved nearly impossible to cover in one-on-one situations. A healthy No. 2 WR Victor Cruz will put defenses in a pick-your-poison situation. **DEFENSE:** The Giants allowed 135 rushing yards per game in 2014, which ranked 30th in the NFL. They made a few minor moves to address that, adding DT Kendrick Ellis and DE George Selvie. Rookie S Landon Collins should contribute immediately, but the health of DE Jason Pierre-Paul, who lost his finger in a July 4 fireworks accident, is a major question mark. New York may also be without star MLB Jon Beason (knee) when the season begins. **BOTTOM LINE:** The Giants are always a difficult team to figure out, as their only playoff berth in the past ix seasons was a 9-7 team in 2011 that won the Super Bowl. If injuries are kept to a minimum, this squad has enough talent, especially in the passing game, to compete for a division crown. **OVER 8.5** 



# **NEW YORK JETS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 7.5 (+110) / Under 7.5 (-140)

**OFFENSE:** New OC Chan Gailey's offense is a lot of smoke and mirrors. But despite that and the upgrade in receiving game weapons (WR Brandon Marshall and RB Stevan Ridley), the Jets still figure to lean on the running game and bruising RB Chris Ivory, who should thrive on inside zone runs, especially as Ridley works his way back from a torn ACL. This is more of a catch-and-run offense with Marshall the top target and Eric Decker working the boundary. **DEFENSE:** With Todd Bowles leaving his job as Arizona's defensive coordinator to replace Rex Ryan as the Jets' head coach, expect Gang Green to improve on a scoring defense that ranked 24th in the league in 2014 (25.1 PPG). Of course, it will also help to have CBs Darrelle Revis and Antonio Cromartie, still young at 30 and 31, respectively, back in the secondary. The long suspension of star DE Sheldon Richardson could hurt the Jets early in the season. **BOTTOM LINE:** The Jets will likely improve upon their four-win season, but a .500 campaign seems too much to ask in a division with the Super Bowl champion Patriots and playoff hopeful Bills and Dolphins. The schedule also includes difficult road trips to Indianapolis, Dallas and Houston. **UNDER 7.5** 



# **OAKLAND RAIDERS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 6 (-115) / Under 6 (-115)

OFFENSE: The young Raiders are going old-school with their offensive staff, with new OC Bill Musgrave and O-Line coach Mike Tice. Oakland is probably going to go heavy with gap blocking this year and RB Latavius Murray will get a chance to run away with the feature back job. Like last year, this is going to be rooted in a West Coast system. Improving QB Derek Carr will use rookie WR Amari Cooper on a variety of routes, especially the screen game and any catch-and-run situations. WR Michael Crabtree will run more underneath possession routes, while WR Andre Holmes is the situational deep threat. **DEFENSE:** The Raiders had the league's worst scoring defense (28.3 PPG) and swapped out MLB Nick Roach and S Tyvon Branch for MLB Curtis Lofton and S Nate Allen this offseason. There's no guarantee that such moves will qualify as upgrades, but run-plugging NT Dan Williams was a great signing. **BOTTOM LINE:** This franchise has nowhere to go but up, but "Oakland Under 6" continues to profit. The Raiders have failed to reach six wins in 10 of the past 12 years since losing Super Bowl XXXVII, and their schedule is too difficult to expect significant improvement from three wins last year. **UNDER 6** 



# **PHILADELPHIA EAGLES**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 9.5 (-140) / Under 9.5 (+110)

**OFFENSE:** The tempo and spread concepts of Chip Kelly's offense opens up enormous running lanes, something that straight-ahead power slashers like RBs DeMarco Murray and Ryan Mathews should be able to take advantage of more than LeSean McCoy did. New QB Sam Bradford will throw a lot of deep crossing patterns to help make up for middling talent at receiver. WRs Jordan Matthews and rookie Nelson Agholor will serve as receivers 1 and 1A. **DEFENSE:** There may not have been a worse NFL cornerback duo in 2014 than Bradley Fletcher and Cary Williams, who were most responsible for the team's 265 passing YPG allowed (2nd-worst in NFL). Their replacements are CB Nolan Carroll and former Seahawks CB Byron Maxwell. The Eagles also traded for ILB Kiko Alonso and inked starting FS Walter Thurmond through free agency. Philly's run-stop unit (3.7 YPC, 5th in NFL) should remain tough. **BOTTOM LINE:** It's difficult to gauge expectations after a complete roster overhaul, but now that the dust has settled, Philly is the team to beat in the NFC East. Chip Kelly has won 10 games in each of his two NFL seasons, and will make it three in a row in 2015 with his hand-picked squad. **OVER 9.5** 



# PITTSBURGH STEELERS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8.5 (-130) / Under 8.5 (Even)

**OFFENSE:** Star RB Le'Veon Bell is the workhorse in the Steelers' relatively new zone blocking scheme, as his unique, patient running style has meshed perfectly with the big boys up front. During his two-game suspension to start the season, the Steelers are likely looking at a committee approach led by newcomer DeAngelo Williams. OC Todd Haley emphasizes quicker timing routes in order to keep QB Ben Roethlisberger from absorbing too many hits. Antonio Brown is obviously the No. 1, moving all over the field to find mismatches, while Martavis Bryant is in for a bigger role after breaking out in 2014. **DEFENSE:** With Dick LeBeau gone to Tennessee, the Steelers have a new defensive coordinator for the first time since 2004 in former LB coach Keith Butler. The retirements of SS Troy Polamalu and CB lke Taylor leave an inexperienced secondary that ranked 27th in passing defense last year (253 YPG). **BOTTOM LINE:** Despite having the NFL's toughest schedule and an eight-week injury to All-Pro C Maurkice Pouncey, don't bet against a franchise whose last losing season came in 2003. The offense is potent and the defense (worst NFL yardage and scoring ranks since 1991) can only improve. **OVER 8.5** 



# ST. LOUIS RAMS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8 (+135) / Under 8 (-165)

**OFFENSE:** New OC Frank Cignetti was promoted from quarterbacks coach, but the Rams are gearing up for a run-heavy attack. After using a No. 2 overall pick on mauling LT Greg Robinson last year, they spent their first two draft choices in 2015 on RB Todd Gurley and RT Rob Havenstein. RB Tre Mason carried the load for the most part last year; he'll retain that role until Gurley (knee) is healthy enough to go. Cignetti will ask QB Nick Foles to make a lot of quick drops and get the ball out quickly. The Rams have used TE Jared Cook as a No. 1 target, while WRs Kenny Britt and Brian Quick are receivers 1B and 1C. **DEFENSE:** The Rams added DT Nick Fairley to what has become the most dominant defensive line in football, especially with DE Chris Long back after an ankle injury limited him to six games in 2014. St. Louis allowed 5.6 yards per play last year (24th in NFL), but has 13 defensive TD since 2012 (2nd in NFL). **BOTTOM LINE:** The plus-money is tempting here, but the Rams have the league's sixth-toughest schedule and their offense is not strong enough to move the football against quality defenses. The last time St. Louis reached eight wins in a season was 2006, going 35-92-1 over the past eight seasons. **UNDER 8** 



#### SAN DIEGO CHARGERS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 8.5 (Even) / Under 8.5 (-130)

**OFFENSE:** The Chargers will often lean heavily on a power blocking scheme installed by veteran OL coach Joe D'Alessandris. They have the big bodies up front, and a potentially real power back in first-round pick Melvin Gordon. San Diego utilizes the no-huddle to get QB Philip Rivers a chance to diagnose defenses before the snap. Keenan Allen is the clear-cut No. 1 receiver, though Rivers is more than willing to go away from him and target field-stretching WR Malcom Floyd or new WR Stevie Johnson creating mismatches underneath. TE Antonio Gates is still a prominent weapon, but is suspended four games. **DEFENSE:** The Chargers ranked ninth in total defense (338 YPG) and fourth in passing defense (214 YPG) a year ago, but it's a unit that doesn't make enough big plays to turn a game around. Only five NFL teams had fewer takeaways than San Diego in 2014, and only three intercepted fewer passes. **BOTTOM LINE:** San Diego has reached nine victories in eight of the past 11 seasons, and currently has enough talent to reach this win plateau again. The Gates suspension won't coincide with any division games, allowing San Diego to make a serious run at Denver for the AFC West crown this year. **OVER 8.5** 



# **SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 6.5 (Even) / Under 6.5 (-130)

**OFFENSE:** New OC Geep Chryst came to the 49ers as QB coach when the Jim Harbaugh era began, so this offense will still be built around the run. Carlos Hyde will be the primary back with Reggie Bush sprinkled in on passing downs and as a change-of-pace back. They might also bring back the zone-read with QB Colin Kaepernick, something they moved away from last season. The Niners figure to get more aggressive with their downfield passing game, especially with the arrival of field-stretching WR Torrey Smith. WR Anquan Boldin will continue to work underneath as a catch-and-run target. **DEFENSE:** The Niners ranked fifth in total defense in 2014 with 321 yards per game allowed, but this new DC Eric Mangini has some major holes to fill. San Francisco lost starting CBs Chris Culliver and Perrish Cox to free agency, while LBs Chris Borland and Patrick Willis and DE Justin Smith all retired. **BOTTOM LINE:** San Francisco no longer has a top-notch defense, and doesn't score enough points (19.1 PPG, 25th in NFL) to win high-scoring games. The Niners also have the toughest schedule in the NFC. Jim Tomsula may not win five games in his first season as the 49ers head coach. **UNDER 6.5** 



# SEATTLE SEAHAWKS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 11 (-135) / Under 11 (+105)

**OFFENSE:** Seattle's running game has been one of the NFL's best during the Pete Carroll era. It's a zone-blocking scheme, coached expertly first by Alex Gibbs and now by Tom Cable. RB Marshawn Lynch was as tough as ever in 2014, slashing for yards between the tackles. He is helped from the threat of QB Russell Wilson running read-option plays. Wilson now has a major weapon in TE Jimmy Graham, who will become the focal point of the passing game. **DEFENSE:** The Seahawks allowed the fewest yards (267 YPG) and points (15.9 PPG) in the league for a second straight season, and there is little reason to believe that they won't do it again. Defensive coordinator Dan Quinn is gone, but CB Byron Maxwell is this unit's only significant loss. New DC Kris Richard, promoted from DB coach, has a star-studded unit featuring MLB Bobby Wagner, WLB K.J. Wright, FS Earl Thomas and CB Richard Sherman. **BOTTOM LINE:** Seattle's defense has carried the club to many wins, and the addition of Jimmy Graham makes this the team to beat in the entire NFL. Even if the Seahawks don't earn a third straight Super Bowl appearance, they would have to suffer a slew of injuries not to win a dozen games. **OVER 11** 



# TAMPA BAY BUCCANEERS

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 6 (-115) / Under 6 (-115)

**OFFENSE:** Tampa Bay has loaded up on athletic movers as the team continues to transition to offensive line coach George Warhop's zone-heavy scheme. RB Doug Martin should be the team leader in carries. New offensive coordinator Dirk Koetter's scheme is heavy on play-action and deep shots, a good fit for rookie QB Jameis Winston. Expect a lot of deep shots from Winston to the Bucs' huge 6-foot-5 receivers, Mike Evans and Vincent Jackson. **DEFENSE:** Each of the first nine draft picks Lovie Smith made after becoming Tampa's head coach were on offensive players, and he didn't address defense until the fourth round of the 2015 draft. The Bucs instead went the free-agent route, signing DT Henry Melton, LB Bruce Carter, CB Sterling Moore, FS Chris Conte and SS D.J. Swearinger in effort to improve a defensive unit that ranked 25th in both total defense (369 YPG) and scoring defense (25.6 PPG). **BOTTOM LINE:** With an identical wager for both the Over and the Under, smart money is on the Bucs struggling in Winston's first season. **UNDER 6** the second-easiest in the NFC, but Tampa Bay will likely not be tabbed as a favorite after it hosts Jacksonville in Week 5. Expect a 4-12 season. **UNDER 6** 



# **TENNESSEE TITANS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 5.5 (-150) / Under 5.5 (+120)

**OFFENSE:** Bishop Sankey or rookie David Cobb will be the lead back in Tennessee's hybrid blocking scheme. Head coach Ken Whisenhunt's offense is QB-friendly with many pre-snap reads. Starting QB Marcus Mariota shouldn't have a difficult time adjusting as a rookie. Slot WR Kendall Wright is his go-to receiver while TE Delaine Walker is the default No. 2. Rookie WR Dorial Green-Beckham should overtake Justin Hunter as the primary deep threat. **DEFENSE:** The Titans made a big move by hiring Dick LeBeau as defensive coordinator after he'd spent the past 11 seasons in the same position with the Steelers. He'll be tasked with turning around a defense that gave up more rushing yards (137 YPG) than any team aside from the Browns and also surrendered 27.4 PPG (4th-most in NFL). Safeties Michael Griffin and Da'Norris Searcy are solid, but top CB Jason McCourty (groin) could miss three weeks. **BOTTOM LINE:** The Titans will be in rebuilding mode for a while with a rookie quarterback and youth across the skill positions and defense. It's conceivable that this team will not be favored the entire season, even hosting the Raiders and Jaguars, who are both much better than Tennessee. **UNDER 5.5** 



#### **WASHINGTON REDSKINS**

Regular Season Wins Prop: Over 6.5 (+135) / Under 6.5 (-165)

**OFFENSE:** The zone-blocking scheme didn't go particularly well for top RB Alfred Morris, though it could be part concept and part the subtraction of QB Robert Griffin III as a running threat. Head coach Jay Gruden would like to incorporate the kind of pass-happy offense he ran in Cincinnati, but Griffin continues to be a train wreck with his poor fundamentals. That prompted Gruden to bench RGIII and name Kirk Cousins Washington's starting quarterback. **DEFENSE:** The Redskins defense was a mess last season, allowing 27.4 PPG (4th-worst in NFL) and 7.7 yards per pass attempt (3rd-worst in NFL). But they addressed the loss of FS Ryan Clark to retirement by acquiring FS Dashon Goldson from the Bucs. They further bolstered the secondary with the signings of CB Chris Culliver and SS Jeron Johnson, and NT Terrance Knighton was brought in to help improve a solid 12th-ranked run defense (108 YPG). **BOTTOM LINE:** Although the Under price is steep, it would be foolish to expect Washington to win as many games as it has in the past two seasons (7-25 record). Griffin does not have the support of the locker room, and his club might be favored only twice all season (vs. Rams, vs. Bucs). **UNDER 6.5**