

ISSUE #5 • SEPTEMBER 25 - OCTOBER 1, 2018

StatFox NFL Power Rating Lines2	MANAGING EDITOR Scott Gramling
NFL Best Bets / StatFox Staff Selections	EXECUTIVE EDITOR Jeff Makinen
StatFox NFL Outplay Factor Rating Lines 3	CONTRIBUTING EDITORS Dave Bartman Gary Bennett Brian Graham
NFL Game-by-Game Breakdowns	CREATIVE DIRECTOR lan Knowles ASSISTANT EDITOR
NFL Top Statistical Edges10	Zach "Dutch Touch" Coher EDITORIAL DIRECTION
NFL & College Football Top-Rated Super Situations 11	DRF PRESIDENT
NFL & College Football Team Power Trends 11	DRF DIRECTOR OF DIGITAL PRODUCTS Jacob Luft
College Football Best Bets / StatFox Staff Selections 12	The Platinum Sheet is published by Daily Racing Form LLC, 708 Third
StatFox College Football Power Rating Lines 13	Avenue, 12th Floor, New York, NY 10017. All rights reserved. Daily Racing
StatFox College Outplay Factor Rating Lines	Form LLC reserves the right to deny any paid advertisements and is not responsible for any
College Football Top Statistical Edges 15	contracts entered in with such advertisers.
College Football Game-by-Game Breakdowns 16	www.StatFox.com/store 1-877-514-4220

StatFox NFL Power Rating Lines - Week 4

** The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

PR - StatFox Power Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 255 CINCINNATI 21 253 RUFFALO 14 253 GREEN BAY 11 26 -12 1 253 GREEN BAY 11 26 -12 1 253 GREEN BAY 253 GREEN BAY 11 26 12 1 253 GREEN BAY 254 GREEN BAY 255 GREEN BAY 255 GREEN BAY 254 GREEN BAY 255 GREEN BAY <t< th=""><th>253 HOUSTON 254 INDIANAPOLIS Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM 259 DETROIT 260 DALLAS Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM</th><th>-2 OL -3.5 OL</th><th>20 23</th><th>-3</th><th>7 Edge 0.5</th><th>W/L</th></t<>	253 HOUSTON 254 INDIANAPOLIS Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM 259 DETROIT 260 DALLAS Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM	-2 OL -3.5 OL	20 23	-3	7 Edge 0.5	W/L
Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L 255 CINCINNATI 21 257 BUFFALO 14 257 BUFFALO 14 258 GREEN BAY 11 26 -12 1 258 GREEN BAY -11 26 -12 1 26 -12 1 26 -12 1 26 -12 1	Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM 259 DETROIT 260 DALLAS Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM	OL -3.5	PR 20 23	PRL -3		W/L
255 CINCINNATI 21 257 BUFFALO 14 25 256 ATLANTA -5.5 29 -8 2.5 258 GREEN BAY -11 26 -12 1 25 Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM Sun - 9/30	259 DETROIT 260 DALLAS Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM	-3.5	20 23	-3		W/L
256 ATLANTA -5.5 29 -8 2.5 258 GREEN BAY -11 26 -12 1 25 Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM	260 DALLAS Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM		23		0.5	
Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM OL PR PRL Edge W/L	Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM					
		OL	пр			
261 NY JETS 15 263 TAMPA BAY 24 -4 6			PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
	265 PHILADELPHIA	-3	30	-10	7	
262 JACKSONVILLE -9 25 -10 1 264 CHICAGO -2 20 20	266 TENNESSEE		20			
Sun - 9/30,4:05 PM OL PR PRLEdge W/L Sun - 9/30,4:05 PM OL PR PRLEdge W/L	Sun - 9/30,4:25 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
267 SEATTLE -3.5 23 -8 4.5 269 CLEVELAND 11 2	271 SAN FRANCISCO		19		6.5	
268 ARIZONA 15 270 OAKLAND -1 16 -5 4 2	272 LA CHARGERS	-9.5	22	-3		
Sun - 9/30,4:25 PM OL PR PRLEdge W/L Sun - 9/30,8:20 PM OL PR PRLEdge W/L	Mon - 10/1,8:15 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
273 NEW ORLEANS -3.5 26 -10 6.5 275 BALTIMORE 24 3	277 KANSAS CITY	-5	24	-5		
274 NY GIANTS 16 276 PITTSBURGH -3 24 0	278 DENVER		19		0	

Statfox presents the week's staff picks

WEEK 4 NFL MATCHUPS: SEPTEMBER 27 - OCTOBER 1		Stat Fox DAVE	StatFox GARY	Stat Fox SCOTT	StatFox BRIAN	StatFox FORECASTER	StatFox CONSENSUS
• (101) Minnesota at (102) Los Angeles Rams	-6½	LA RAMS	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA
• (251) Miami at (252) New England	-7	NEW ENGLAND	MIAMI	NEW ENGLAND	NEW ENGLAND	NEW ENGLAND	NEW ENGLAND
• (253) Houston at (254) Indianapolis	-1½	HOUSTON	HOUSTON	CLICK FOR BEST BET	HOUSTON	INDIANAPOLIS	HOUSTON
• (255) Cincinnati at (256) Atlanta	-5½	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	ATLANTA	
• (257) Buffalo at (258) Green Bay	-10	GREEN BAY	GREEN BAY	GREEN BAY	BUFFALO	BUFFALO	GREEN BAY
• (259) Detroit at (260) Dallas	-3	DALLAS	DETROIT	DALLAS	CLICK FOR BEST BET	DETROIT	
• (261) New York Jets at (262) Jacksonville	-7½	JACKSONVILLE	NEW YORK JETS	JACKSONVILLE	NEW YORK JETS	JACKSONVILLE	JACKSONVILLE
• (263) Tampa Bay at (264) Chicago	-3	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CHICAGO	TAMPA BAY	CLICK FOR BEST BET	TAMPA BAY	
• (265) Philadelphia at (266) Tennessee	+3½	PHILADELPHIA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	TENNESSEE	PHILADELPHIA	TENNESSEE	
• (267) Seattle at (268) Arizona	+3½	SEATTLE	ARIZONA	ARIZONA	ARIZONA	ARIZONA	ARIZONA
• (269) Cleveland at (270) Oakland	-2½	CLICK FOR BEST BET	OAKLAND	OAKLAND	OAKLAND	OAKLAND	OAKLAND
• (271) San Francisco at (272) Los Angeles Chargers	-10	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	SAN FRANCISCO	LA CHARGERS	SAN FRANCISCO	
• (273) New Orleans at (274) New York Giants	+3½	NEW ORLEANS	NEW YORK GIANTS	NEW YORK GIANTS	CLICK FOR BEST BET	NEW ORLEANS	
• (275) Baltimore at (276) Pittsburgh	-3	PITTSBURGH	PITTSBURGH	BALTIMORE	BALTIMORE	BALTIMORE	BALTIMORE
• (277) Kansas City at (278) Denver	+4½	KANSAS CITY	DENVER	CLICK FOR BEST BET	KANSAS CITY	KANSAS CITY	KANSAS CITY

Statfox NFL Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 4

** The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating adjusted for home field advantage.

OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Line

Sun - 9/30,4:25 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/30,8:20 PM	0L	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Mon - 10/1,8:15 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
273 NEW ORLEANS	-3.5	25	-7	3.5		275 BALTIMORE		31	-5	8.0		277 KANSAS CITY	-5	26	-2		
274 NY GIANTS		18				276 PITTSBURGH	-3	26				278 DENVER		24		3.0	
Thu - 9/27,8:20 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
101 MINNESOTA		10				251 MIAMI		28	-11	18.5		253 HOUSTON		12			
102 LA RAMS	-6.5	34	-24	17.5		252 NEW ENGLAND	-7.5	17				254 INDIANAPOLIS	-2	27	-15	13.0	
Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
255 CINCINNATI		31	-6	11.5		257 BUFFALO		11				259 DETROIT		13			
256 ATLANTA	-5.5	25				258 GREEN BAY	-11	23	-12	1.0		260 DALLAS	-3.5	19	-6	2.5	
Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/30,1:00 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
261 NY JETS		27	-3	12.0		263 TAMPA BAY		29	-12	14.0		265 PHILADELPHIA	-3	24			
262 JACKSONVILLE	-9	24				264 CHICAGO	-2	17				266 TENNESSEE		24	0	3.0	
Sun - 9/30,4:05 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/30,4:05 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L	Sun - 9/30,4:25 PM	OL	OF	OFL	Edge	W/L
267 SEATTLE	-3.5	21	-5	1.5		269 CLEVELAND		20				271 SAN FRANCISCO		12			
268 ARIZONA		16				270 OAKLAND	-1	22	-2	1.0		272 LA CHARGERS	-9.5	24	-12	2.5	\square

2018 NFL STAFF PICKS STANDINGS

All Games	w	L	т	Pct	Last Week	NFL Best Bets	w	L	т	Pct	Last Week
StatFox Forecaster	27	20	1	57.4%	12-4	StatFox Scott	8	2	0	80.0%	3-1
StatFox Scott	25	22	1	53.2%	9-7	StatFox Dave	5	7	0	41.7%	2-2
StatFox Consensus	22	25	1	46.8%	11-5	StatFox Brian	6	9	0	40.0%	2-2
StatFox Gary	22	25	1	46.8%	9-7	StatFox Gary	4	6	0	40.0%	1-2
StatFox Brian	20	27	1	42.6%	8-8						
StatFox Dave	19	28	1	40.4%	7-9						

MINNESOTA VIKINGS

LOS ANGELES RAMS (-6½)



(101) MINNESOTA (SU: 1-1-1, ATS: 1-2) at (102) LA RAMS (SU: 3-0, ATS: 3-0) - Thursday, 9/27/2018 8:20 PM

MINNESOTA 4.3 19.0 20 21 19-66 (3.5) 32-46-306 (6.6) 65-372 (5.7) 1-1 24 20 29-105 (3.6) 20-32-218 (6.7) 61-323 (5.3) 0-1 1 LA RAMS +22.0 15.3 34 27 31-134 (4.3) 24-34-306 (9.1) 65-440 (6.8) 0-1 12 14 19-97 (5.0) 21-32-199 (6.2) 51-296 (5.8) 1-1 +3 STATFOX POWER TRENDS: • LA RAMS are 43-64 ATS in a home game where the total is between 42½ and 49 points since 1992. • LA RAMS are 133-183 ATS against conference opponents since 1992. • MINNESOTA is 46-24 ATS vs. excellent ball control teams, 32 or more possession minutes/game since 1992. • MINNESOTA is 46-24 ATS vs. excellent ball control teams, 32 or more possession minutes/game since 1992. • State game. (22-4 Under) Play = Under the total		PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME PASSING	AVERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFEN RUSHING	SE (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING		FUM-INT	TOD
STATFOX POWER TRENDS: • LA RAMS are 43-64 ATS in a home game where the total is between 42½ and 49 points since 1992. • LA RAMS are 133-183 ATS against conference opponents since 1992. • MINNESOTA is 46-24 ATS vs. excellent ball control teams, 32 or more possession minutes/game since 1992.	MINNESOTA	-4.3	19.0	20	21	19-66 (3.5)	32-46-306 (6.6)	65-372 (5.7)	1-1	24	20	29–105 (3.6)	20-32-218 (6.7)	61-323 (5.3)	0-1	-1
 LA RAMS are 43-64 ATS in a home game where the total is between 42½ and 49 points since 1992. LA RAMS are 133-183 ATS against conference opponents since 1992. MINNESOTA is 46-24 ATS vs. excellent ball control teams, 32 or more possession minutes/game since 1992. 	LA RAMS	+22.0	15.3	34	27	31-134 (4.3)	24-34-306 (9.1)	65-440 (6.8)	0-1	12	14	19-97 (5.0)	21-32-199 (6.2)	51-296 (5.8)	1-1	+3
• MINNESOTA is 11-26 ATS away vs. good defensive teams who give up 17 or fewer points/game since 1992.	 LA RAMS are LA RAMS are MINNESOTA 	43-64 A1 133-183 A is 46-24 A	TS in ATS a ATS v	a hon gains s. exc	t con ellen	iference oppoi it ball control 1	nents since 1992. eams, 32 or more	e possession n	ninutes/ga	me sine	ce 199		is between 42.5 and team (>=7.3 PYA) aga (5.9-6.7 PYA), after g	49 points - ex ainst an averag aining 8 or m	cellent pas ge passing pre PYA las	sing team

vs

• LA RAMS are 53-32 OVER as a favorite of 31/2 to 91/2 points since 1992.

• MINNESOTA is 54-32 OVER away after a loss against the spread since 1992.

Con	
4	
Che	

DETROIT LIONS

DALLAS COWBOYS (-3)



25

Forecaster Los Angeles Rams.

BETTING SYSTEM: Any team against the total -

excellent offensive team (>=370 YPG) against a

ous game. (25-4 Under) Play = Under the total

Detroit Lions

Dallas Cowboys.

BETTING SYSTEM: Favorites - with a poor turnover

defense - forcing 1 or fewer turnovers/game, after

Jacksonville Jaguars.

a game where they forced no turnovers.

Statfox | New York Jets.

Play = NEW YORK JETS against the spread

Statfox |

Forecaster

(22-53 ATS)

Torecaster

team with a good defense (265 to 295 YPG), after

outgaining opp by >=200 total yards in their previ-

(259) DETROIT (SU: 1-2, ATS: 2-1) at (260) DALLAS (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 1:00 PM

					TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
DETROIT	-6.0	20.7	23	23	22-99 (4.5)	30-47-295 (6.3)	69-394 (5.7)	0-2	29	18	28-149 (5.4)	16-24-152 (6.2)	52-301 (5.8)	0-1	-4
DALLAS	-4.0	19.3	14	17	22-133 (6.0)	18-29-145 (4.9)	51-278 (5.5)	1-1	18	18	29-98 (3.4)	22-32-183 (5.7)	61-281 (4.6)	1-0	-2

٧S

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- DALLAS is 50-31 ATS after a game with a turnover margin of -2 or worse since 1992.
- DETROIT is 23-45 ATS away off a home win since 1992.
- DETROIT is 30-51 ATS after allowing 14 points or fewer last game since 1992.
- DALLAS is 22-8 OVER at home vs. excellent passing teams averaging 260 or more passing yds/game. since 1992.
- DALLAS is 22-10 OVER at home against NFC North division opponents since 1992.
- DETROIT is 18-6 over the 1H total away off a upset win as an underdog since 1992.

	1			
- 10	(Con	1.	

NEW YORK JETS

JACKSONVILLE JAGUARS (-7½)



22

20

(261) NY JETS (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) at (262) JACKSONVILLE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 1:00 PM

					TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF SS	PI	PG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
NY JETS	+6.3 17.0) 2	6	18	28-106 (3.7)	19-31-220 (7.1)	59-326 (5.5)	1-2	19	19	26-102 (3.9)	22-37-204 (5.5)	63-306 (4.9)	1-2	-1
JACKSONVILLE	+4.3 18.7	7 1	.9	19	24-109 (4.6)	23-37-230 (6.2)	61-339 (5.6)	1-1	15	16	27-115 (4.2)	20-31-171 (5.5)	58-286 (4.9)	1-0	-1

٧S

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• JACKSONVILLE is 7-22 ATS at home after allowing 150 or fewer passing yards in their last game since 1995.

• NY JETS are 42-67 ATS off a road loss since 1992.

- NY JETS are 7-18 ATS away versus good rushing teams averaging >=4.5 rushing yards/carry since 1992.
- JACKSONVILLE is 46-27 OVER at home off a division game since 1995.
- JACKSONVILLE is 31-15 OVER after allowing 9 points or fewer last game since 1995.
- NY JETS are 75-50 UNDER after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games since 1992.

TAMPA BAY BUCCANEERS

CHICAGO BEARS (-3)



.16

24

(263) TAMPA BAY (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (264) CHICAGO (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 1:00 PM

				TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
TAMPA BAY (thru Wk 2) +7.0 27.5	38	22	28-78 (2.7)	24-30-405 (13.3)	58-483 (8.3)	0-0	30	25	18-67 (3.6)	36-46-376 (8.1)	64-443 (6.9)	2-0	+2
CHICAGO	+2.7 18.3	21	20	28-116 (4.1)	24-35-178 (5.1)	63-294 (4.7)	1-1	18	15	19-65 (3.4)	21-33-224 (6.8)	52-289 (5.6)	1-2	+3

٧S

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• CHICAGO is 34-62 ATS after allowing 75 or fewer rushing yards last game since 1992.

- TAMPA BAY is 5-17 ATS vs. awful passing teams averaging 5.2 or fewer passing yards/attempt since 1992.
- CHICAGO is 8-0 UNDER at home in the first half of the season since the start of the 2016 season.
- CHICAGO is 13-5 UNDER in all lined games since the start of last season.

• CHICAGO is 74-52 OVER as a home favorite since 1992.

TAMPA BAY is 61-39 UNDER in the first month of the season since 1992.

BETTING SYSTEM: Road teams allowing 6.0 or more yards/play, after allowing 400 or more total

		Tampa Bay Bucs 22 Chicago Bears 20	
	forecaster		,

yards in 3 consecutive games. (31-9 ATS) Play = TAMPA BAY against the spread



HOUSTON TEXANS

LINE: INDIANAPOLIS BY 11/2



(253) HOUSTON (SU: 0-3, ATS: 0-3) at (254) INDIANAPOLIS (SU: 1-2, ATS: 2-1) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 1:00 PM

TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL F	UM-INT	TOD
HOUSTON	-5.0 18.7	20	21	26-125 (4.7)	21-35-272 (7.7)	61-397 (6.5)	1-1	25	20	31-112 (3.7)	22-30-238 (7.9)	61-350 (5.7)	1-0	-2
INDIANAPOLIS	-1.0 23.0	20	18	21-82 (3.9)	28-42-208 (5.0)	63-290 (4.6)	0-1	21	22	26-106 (4.1)	26-37-242 (6.5)	63-348 (5.5)	1-1	+1

IND is 7-3 SU & 6-2-2 ATS in its L10 games vs. HOU

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WI	N O/U
12/31/1	.7 IND -5½	HOU 13 at IND 2	22 IND	U (41)
11/5/17	' HOU -6	IND 20 at HOU :	l4 IND	U (44½)
12/11/1	.6 IND -6½	HOU 22 at IND :	l7 HOU	U (48)
10/16/1	.6 HOU -3	IND 23 at HOU 2	26 Push	0 (48)
12/20/1	5 IND -2	HOU 16 at IND :	lo HOU	U (41½)
10/8/15	HOU -4½	IND 27 at HOU	20 IND	0 (40½)
12/14/1	.4 IND -7	HOU 10 at IND :	17 Push	U (49)
10/9/14	HOU +2½	IND 33 at HOU 2	28 IND	0 (46½)
12/15/1	.3 IND -6	HOU 3 at IND 2	5 IND	U (47)
11/3/13	HOU +1	IND 27 at HOU 2	24 IND	0 (42)

BETTING SYSTEM:

Road teams - excellent passing team (>=265 PY/game) against a poor passing defense (230-265 PY/game), after allowing 7 or more passing yards/attempt in consecutive games. (29-6 ATS) Play = HOUSTON against the spread

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- HOUSTON is 7-18 ATS in dome games since 2002.
- HOUSTON is 34-52 ATS away after playing a game at home since 2002.
- INDIANAPOLIS is 10-1 UNDER at home after playing their last game on the road since the start of the 2016 season.
- INDIANAPOLIS is 11-2 UNDER at home against conference opponents since 2016.
- HOUSTON is 21-9 UNDER away off a non-conference game since 2002.
- HOUSTON is 8-1 UNDER away after an ATS loss since the start of the 2016 season.

Statfox Forecaster || Houston Texans Houston Texans 17 20



MIAMI DOLPHINS BATRIOTS



LINE: NEW ENGLAND BY 7

(251) MIAMI (SU: 3-0, ATS: 3-0) at (252) NEW ENGLAND (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 1:00 PM

				TEAM OFFENS	SE (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENSE	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
ΜΙΑΜΙ	+7.7 16.0	25	16	25-99 (4.0)	18-25-225 (9.0)	50-324 (6.5)	1-1	17	22	27-89 (3.3)	24-39-288 (7.3)	66-377 (5.7)) 0-2	+4
NEW ENGLAND	-6.7 19.0	19	18	25-98 (4.0)	21-33-202 (6.1)	58-300 (5.2)	1-1	26	24	30-143 (4.7)	24-38-263 (6.9)	68-406 (6.0)) 1-1	EVEN

NE is 4	-6 SU & 4-6	i ATS in its L10 g	games vs	5. MIA
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	I 0/U
12/11/17	′ MIA +10½	NE 20 at MIA 27	NE	U (48)
11/26/17	' NE -17	MIA 17 at NE 35	MIA	0 (49)
1/1/17	MIA +7½	NE 35 at MIA 14	MIA	0 (47)
9/18/16	NE -6	MIA 24 at NE 31	MIA	0 (42)
1/3/16	MIA +10	NE 10 at MIA 20	NE	U (46½)
10/29/15	NE -8	MIA 7 at NE 36	MIA	U (51)
12/14/14	NE -9	MIA 13 at NE 41	MIA	0 (49)
9/7/14	MIA +3½	NE 20 at MIA 33	NE	0 (47½)
12/15/13	MIA -2½	NE 20 at MIA 24	NE	U (47)
10/27/13	NE -6½	MIA 17 at NE 27	MIA	U (46½)

BETTING SYSTEM:

Road teams where the total is between $42\frac{1}{2}$ and 49 points - with a turnover margin of +1/game or better on the season, after back-to-back games with a turnover margin of +1 or better. (50-19 Over) Play = Over the total

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- NEW ENGLAND is 29-12 ATS in all lined games since the start of the 2016 season.
- NEW ENGLAND is 10-1 ATS at home off an under since the start of the 2016 season.
- NEW ENGLAND is 8-0 ATS after gaining 99 or fewer rushing yards in consecutive games since the start of the 2016 season.
- NEW ENGLAND is 19-5 ATS when playing with 6 or fewer days rest since 2016.
- MIAMI is 9-1 OVER after back-to-back ATS wins since the start of the 2016 season.
- MIAMI is 20-7 UNDER in a road game where the total is $45\frac{1}{2}$ or more since 1992.

Statfox Forecaster

Miami Dolphins	20
New England Patriots	29

BUFFALO BILLS

GREEN BAY PACKERS (-10)



.18

22

team - outscored by 5+ PPG in the first half, after

allowing 6 points or fewer last game. (26-6 ATS)

Buffalo Bills

BETTING SYSTEM: Any team against the total - in non-conference games, off a close loss by 7 points

Cincinnati Bengals.

Atlanta Falcons

or fewer to a division rival. (63-27 Over)

Play = Over the total

#statfox

Torecaster

Green Bay Packers.

Play = BUFFALO against the spread

Statfox

Torecaster

ATLANTA FALCONS (-5½)

(257) BUFFALO (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) at (258) GREEN BAY (SU: 1-1-1, ATS: 1-2) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 1:00 PM

					TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
BUFFALO	-11.3	22.7	17	14	27-98 (3.6)	15-29-148 (5.0)	56-246 (4.4)	0-1	28	23	22-80 (3.6)	30-40-257 (6.4)	62-337 (5.4)	1-0	EVEN
GREEN BAY	-4.3	19.7	23	20	20-89 (4.5)	27-41-265 (6.4)	61-354 (5.8)	1-0	28	21	27–124 (4.7)	23-34-262 (7.6)	61-386 (6.3)	0-1	EVEN
STATEOX POW											E	BETTING SYSTEM: RO	oad teams - sl	ow starting	

٧S

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• GREEN BAY is 27-11 OVER in all lined games since the start of the 2016 season.

GREEN BAY is 8-0 OVER vs. teams who give up 24 or more points/game since the start of the 2016 season.

• GREEN BAY is 18-6 OVER off an over since the start of the 2016 season.

• GREEN BAY is 15-4 OVER after allowing 24 points or more in the first half last game since 1992.

• GREEN BAY is 174-132 OVER as a favorite since 1992.

BUFFALO is 11-3 against the 1H line away when playing with 6 or fewer days rest since the start of the 2016 season.



CINCINNATI BENGALS

(255) CINCINNATI (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (256) ATLANTA (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 1:00 PM

					TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
CINCINNATI	+4.0	22.0	30	23	20-92 (4.5)	25-39-275 (7.1)	59-367 (6.2)	0-2	26	25	28-124 (4.4)	29-44-270 (6.1)	72-394 (5.5)	1-1	-1
ATLANTA	-1.7	25.7	27	21	24-97 (4.1)	23-35-285 (8.1)	59-382 (6.5)	0-1	28	26	24-126 (5.2)	30-43-276 (6.4)	67-402 (6.0)	0-1	+1

vs

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

ATLANTA is 10-30 ATS after gaining 375 or more total yards in consecutive games since 1992.

• CINCINNATI is 6-20 ATS after allowing 200 or more rushing yards last game since 1992.

• ATLANTA is 10-1 UNDER when playing against a team with a winning record since the start of last season.

• ATLANTA is 9-1 UNDER vs. defenses allowing a comp. pct. of 61% or higher since the start of last season.

- ATLANTA is 7-0 UNDER vs. teams scoring 24 or more points/game since the start of last season.
- CINCINNATI is 30-12 UNDER away after allowing 30 points or more last game since 1992.



PHILADELPHIA EAGLES (-3½)

TENNESSEE TITANS



19

25

(265) PHILADELPHIA (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) at (266) TENNESSEE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 1:00 PM

					TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
PHILADELPHIA	+1.3	23.3	20	23	29-119 (4.1)	27-40-222 (5.6)	69-341 (4.9)	1-1	18	16	18-62 (3.4)	24-39-253 (6.5)	57-315 (5.5)	0-1	-3
TENNESSEE	-0.3	18.0	16	16	33-122 (3.7)	16-27-162 (6.0)	60-284 (4.7)	0-1	17	17	25-118 (4.8)	21-31-219 (7.0)	56-337 (6.0)	0-1	+1

VS

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• PHILADELPHIA is 21-9 ATS in games played on a grass field since the start of the 2016 season.

• PHILADELPHIA is 0-6 ATS versus defenses allowing >=6 yards/play since the start of the 2016 season.

• TENNESSEE is 50-28 ATS in non-conference games since 1992.

• PHILADELPHIA is 10-2 OVER away when playing with 6 or fewer days rest since the start of the 2016 season.

• PHILADELPHIA is 6-0 OVER away after a loss against the spread since the start of the 2016 season.

TENNESSEE is 20-6 OVER after scoring and allowing 14 points or fewer points since 1992.



SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS

LOS ANGELES CHARGERS (-10)

Statfox |

Torecaster



21

18

(271) SAN FRANCISCO (SU: 1-2, ATS: 0-3) at (272) LA CHARGERS (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 4:25 PM

					TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
SAN FRANCISCO	-5.3	21.7	24	23	27-153 (5.6)	18-30-207 (7.0)	57-360 (6.3)	0-1	30	25	26-97 (3.7)	26-42-288 (6.8)	68-385 (5.7)	1-0	-2
LA CHARGERS	-3.7	21.7	27	23	23–124 (5.5)	25-36-291 (8.1)	59-415 (7.0)	1-0	31	23	28-120 (4.3)	21-32-272 (8.5)	60-392 (6.5)	0-1	EVEN

vs

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• SAN FRANCISCO is 1-9 ATS versus defenses allowing >=350 yards/game since the start of the 2016 season.

LA CHARGERS are 4-17 ATS at home after allowing 35 points or more last game since 1992.

• LA CHARGERS are 19-40 ATS at home after allowing 6 or more yards/play in their previous game since 1992.

• LA CHARGERS are 47-22 UNDER at home after a playing a game where >=50 total points scored since 1992.

SAN FRANCISCO is 30-12 OVER away after allowing 300 or more passing yards in their last game since 1992.

SAN FRANCISCO is 47-79 against the 1H line as a road underdog since 1992.

BETTING SYSTEM: Home favorites of 3.5 to 10 points - after a loss by 10 or more points against opponent after trailing in their previous game by 14 or more points at the half. (22-58 ATS) Play = SAN FRANCISCO against the spread



BETTING SYSTEM: Any team where the total is between 35.5 and 42 points - slow starting offensive team - scoring 7 or fewer PPG in the first half, after allowing 14 points or fewer last game. (25-4 Over) Play = Over the total

Philadelphia Eagles

Tennessee Titans

NFC WEST Divisional Showdown



ARIZONA CARDINALS



LINE: SEATTLE BY 3¹/₂

(267) SEATTLE (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-1-1) at (268) ARIZONA (SU: 0-3, ATS: 1-2) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 4:05 PM

				TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENSE	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)	
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL FUM-INT	TOD
SEATTLE	+0.3 18.3	22	16	26-84 (3.3)	19-32-209 (6.6)	58-293 (5.1)	1-1	21	21	26-133 (5.1)	23-36-215 (6.0)	62-348 (5.6) 0-2	+3
ARIZONA	-18.0 21.3	7	11	16-58 (3.6)	18-29-132 (4.6)	45-190 (4.2)	1-2	25	25	35-131 (3.7)	23-32-261 (8.1)	67-392 (5.9) 1-1	-3

ARI is 4-	5-1 SU & 4-	5-1 ATS in its L10) games	vs. SEA
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	I 0/U
12/31/17	7 SEA -8	ARI 26 at SEA 24	ARI	0 (38)
11/9/17	ARI +6	SEA 22 at ARI 16	Push	U (40)
12/24/16	5 SEA -9	ARI 34 at SEA 31	ARI	0 (44)
10/23/16	5 ARI -1½	SEA 6 at ARI 6	SEA	U (43)
1/3/16	ARI -6	SEA 36 at ARI 6	SEA	U (47)
11/15/15	5 SEA -3	ARI 39 at SEA 32	ARI	0 (43½)
12/21/14	1 ARI +9½	SEA 35 at ARI 6	SEA	0 (36½)
11/23/14	\$EA -7½	ARI 3 at SEA 19	SEA	U (42)
12/22/1	SEA -8	ARI 17 at SEA 10	ARI	U (42½)
10/17/13	3 ARI +5	SEA 34 at ARI 22	SEA	0 (41)

BETTING SYSTEM:

SEATTLE

SEAHAWKS

Home teams against the total - off an under, pathetic team - outscored by opponents by 10 or more points/game. (27-5 Under) Play = Under the total

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- ARIZONA is 44-22 ATS at home after back-to-back losses since 1992.
- SEATTLE is 28-11 ATS vs. poor ball control teams, <=28 possession minutes/game since 1992.
- ARIZONA is 7-0 UNDER at home in the first month of the season since the start of the 2016 season.
- SEATTLE is 7-0 UNDER away against conference opponents since the start of last season.
- SEATTLE is 32-14 UNDER away in September games since 1992.
- SEATTLE is 20-7 UNDER away vs. teams averaging 5.7 or fewer passing yards/att. since 1992.

Statfox Forecaster

Seattle Seahawks Arizona Cardinals



- CLEVELAND BROWNS
- OAKLAND RAIDERS (-2½)



21

18

(269) CLEVELAND (SU: 1-1-1, ATS: 3-0) at (270) OAKLAND (SU: 0-3, ATS: 1-2) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 4:05 PM

					TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
CLEVELAND	+0.3	21.0	20	19	33-134 (4.1)	19-36-191 (5.4)	69-325 (4.7)	0-1	20	19	29-109 (3.7)	22-36-229 (6.4)	65-338 (5.2)	2-2	+9
OAKLAND	-9.7	19.7	17	22	27-99 (3.6)	28-37-302 (8.2)	64-401 (6.3)	0-2	27	19	23-116 (5.1)	18-31-258 (8.4)	54-374 (6.9)	0-0	-4

vs

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- OAKLAND is 50-82 ATS in games where the line is +3 to -3 since 1992.
- OAKLAND is 33-51 ATS as a home favorite of 7 points or fewer since 1992.
- OAKLAND is 60-84 ATS at home when playing with 6 or fewer days rest since 1992.
- CLEVELAND is 10-24 ATS in all lined games since the start of the 2016 season.
- CLEVELAND is 3-14 ATS off an under since the start of the 2016 season.

• CLEVELAND is 1-11 ATS versus defenses allowing >=5.65 yards/play since the start of the 2016 season.



NEW ORLEANS SAINTS (-3½)

NEW YORK GIANTS



17

21

(273) NEW ORLEANS (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) at (274) NY GIANTS (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 4:25 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								
	PDIF	SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD		
NEW ORLEANS	+0.3	21.7	35	25	21-83 (3.9)	35-43-345 (8.0)	64-428 (6.7)	1-0	34	22	28-84 (3.0)	23-31-337 (10.9)	59-421 (7.1)	0-0	-3		
NY GIANTS	-2.3	19.3	18	18	22-88 (3.9)	27-37-232 (6.3)	59-320 (5.4)	1-0	21	18	24-111 (4.6)	19-33-232 (7.1)	57-343 (6.0)	0-1	EVEN		

VS

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- NEW ORLEANS is 10-2 ATS away after scoring 40 points or more last game since 1992.
- NEW ORLEANS is 37-59 ATS after allowing 6.5 or more yards/play in their previous game since 1992.
- NY GIANTS are 41-20 ATS versus excellent offensive teams averaging >=375 yards/game since 1992.
- Sean Payton is 17-6 OVER against NFC East division opponents as coach of NEW ORLEANS.
- NY GIANTS are 18-7 UNDER as an underdog since the start of the 2016 season.
- NY GIANTS are 9-0 UNDER off a non-conference game since the start of the 2016 season.

BETTING SYSTEM: Road teams - excellent passing team (>=265 PY/game) against a poor passing defense (230-265 PY/game), after allowing 7 or more passing yds/attempt in back-to-back games. (29-6 ATS) Play = NEW ORLEANS against the spread

BETTING SYSTEM: Road teams where the total

is between 42.5 and 49 points - with a turnover

better. (50-19 Over) Play = Over the total

Statfox | Cleveland Browns

Forecaster | Oakland Raiders.

margin of +1 /game or better on the season, after

consecutive games with a turnover margin of +1 or

#statfox Forecaster	New Orleans Saints



BALTIMORE RAVENS | BALTISBURGH STEELERS



LINE: PITTSBURGH BY 3

(275) BALTIMORE (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (276) PITTSBURGH (SU: 1-1-1, ATS: 1-2) - Sunday, 9/30/2018 8:20 PM

TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INI									TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								
PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD				
BALTIMORE +15.3 17.0	32	25	28-87 (3.1)	28-44-292 (6.6)	72-379 (5.3)	1-1	17	17	25-104 (4.2)	19-36-169 (4.7)	61-273 (4.5)	0-1	-1				
PITTSBURGH (thru Wk 2) -2.5 18.5	29	29	24-96 (4.0)	31-50-378 (7.5)	74-474 (6.4)	2-2	32	23	32-152 (4.8)	19-34-236 (6.9)	66-388 (5.9)	0-0	-4				

PIT is 4-	-6 SU & 3-6	-1 ATS in its L10	games v	/s. BAL
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WI	N 0/U
12/10/17	PIT-6	BAL 38 at PIT 39	BAL	0 (43)
10/1/17	BAL +3½	PIT 26 at BAL 9	PIT	U (41½)
12/25/16	5 PIT -5½	BAL 27 at PIT 31	BAL	0 (46½)
11/6/16	BAL +3	PIT 14 at BAL 21	BAL	U (46)
12/27/15	6 BAL +11½	PIT 17 at BAL 20	BAL	U (48)
10/1/15	PIT +3	BAL 23 at PIT 20	Push	U (44)
1/3/15	PIT -3	BAL 30 at PIT 17	BAL	P (47)
11/2/14	PIT -2	BAL 23 at PIT 43	PIT	0 (47)
9/11/14	BAL -2½	PIT 6 at BAL 26	BAL	U (44½)
11/28/13	BAL-3	PIT 20 at BAL 22	PIT	0 (40½)

BETTING SYSTEM:

Road teams outscoring opponents by 10 or more points/game, after allowing 14 points or less last game. (4-23 ATS)

Play = PITTSBURGH against the spread

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- BALTIMORE is 151-119 ATS in games played on a grass field since 1992.
- BALTIMORE is 45-27 ATS away after having won 2 out of their last 3 games since 1992.
- BALTIMORE is 10-0 OVER away after playing a game at home since the start of the 2016 season.
- BALTIMORE is 10-1 OVER off a home win since the start of the 2016 season.
- PITTSBURGH is 30-14 OVER at home vs. good defensive teams who give up 17 or fewer points/game since 1992.
- PITTSBURGH is 77-51 OVER as a home favorite of 7 points or fewer since 1992.

Websefox () at a	Baltimore Ravens 27
Statfox Forecaster	Pittsburgh Steelers 28



KANSAS CITY CHIEFS | 10 | DENVER BRONCOS



LINE: KANSAS CITY BY 41/2

(277) KANSAS CITY (SU: 3-0, ATS: 3-0) at (278) DENVER (SU: 2-1, ATS: 0-2-1) - Monday, 10/1/2018 8:15 PM

				TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENSE	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL F	UM-INT	TOD
KANSAS CITY	+8.7 21.0	39	25	27-103 (3.9)	21-31-295 (9.5)	58-398 (6.9)	0-0	31	31	21–111 (5.2)	31-47-363 (7.7)	68-474 (7.0)	0-0	+1
DENVER	-3.0 20.0	20	21	28-145 (5.2)	22-36-238 (6.6)	64-383 (6.0)	0-2	23	18	24-78 (3.3)	24-35-263 (7.5)	59-341 (5.8)	0-1	-2

DEN is 5-5 SU & 4-6 ATS in its L10 games vs. KC DATE HOME LINE SU RESULT ATS WIN O/U

12/31/17	DEN -3	KC 27 at DEN 24	KC	0 (37½)
10/30/17	KC -7	DEN 19 at KC 29	KC	0 (42)
12/25/16	KC -3½	DEN 10 at KC 33	KC	0 (38)
11/27/16	DEN -3½	KC 30 at DEN 27	KC	0 (40)
11/15/15	DEN -3½	KC 29 at DEN 13	KC	P (42)
9/17/15	KC -3	DEN 31 at KC 24	DEN	0 (42)
11/30/14	KC -1	DEN 29 at KC 16	DEN	U (49)
9/14/14	DEN -13	KC 17 at DEN 24	KC	U (49)
12/1/13	KC +5½	DEN 35 at KC 28	DEN	0 (50)
11/17/13	DEN -7½	KC 17 at DEN 27	DEN	U (49)

BETTING SYSTEM:

Road teams - excellent passing team (>=265 PY/game) against a poor passing defense (230-265 PY/game), after allowing 7 or more passing yards/attempt in back-to-back games. (29-6 ATS) Play = KANSAS CITY against the spread

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- DENVER is 3-14 ATS off an under since the start of the 2016 season.
- DENVER is 3-12 ATS in games played on a grass field since the start of last season.
- DENVER is 0-8 ATS vs. teams averaging >=235 passing yds/game since the start of last season.
- KANSAS CITY is 11-1 ATS after allowing 400 or more total yards in their previous game since the start of the 2016 season.
- KANSAS CITY is 11-2 ATS versus division opponents since the start of the 2016 season.
- KANSAS CITY is 7-0 ATS in the first month of the season since the start of last season.

Statfox Forecaster || Kansas City Chiefs 27 Denver Broncos 21

statfox.com

Providing Unprecedented Handicapping Content

StatFox is renowned for providing the most comprehensive game information on the Internet. Our popular FoxSheets are packed with proprietary handicapping tools that benefit everyone from the beginning bettor to the most advanced self-handicapper.

LOG ON TO STATFOX.COM AND START WINNING TODAY!

THE PLATINUM SHEET

Top NFL Statistical Edges - Week 4

Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success.

OFFENSIVE STATISTICS		DEFENSIVE STATISTICS		OFF/DEF DIFFERENTIALS	
Points Scored (PPG)	W/L	Points Allowed (PPG)	W/L	Scoring Differential (PPG)	W/L
1. KANSAS CITY (vs DEN): +19.0		1. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +14.5		1. LA RAMS (vs MIN): +26.3	
2. TAMPA BAY (vs CHI): +16.5		2. NY GIANTS (vs NO): +13.7		2. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +18.3	
3. NEW ORLEANS (vs NYG): +16.3		3. CHICAGO (vs TB): +12.2		3. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +17.8	
4. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +15.0		4. LA RAMS (vs MIN): +12.0		4. MIAMI (vs NE): +14.3	
5. LA RAMS (vs MIN): +14.3		5. DALLAS (vs DET): +11.7		5. KANSAS CITY (vs DEN): +11.7	
6. DETROIT (vs DAL): +9.7		6. MIAMI (vs NE): +8.3		6. CLEVELAND (vs OAK): +10.0	
7. GREEN BAY (vs BUF): +6.7		7. CLEVELAND (vs OAK): +7.3		7. GREEN BAY (vs BUF): +7.0	
Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG)	W/L		W/L	Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG)	W/L
1. LA RAMS (vs MIN): +67.7		1. PHILADELPHIA (vs TEN): +56.7		1. DALLAS (vs DET): +85.0	
2. HOUSTON (vs IND): +42.3		2. MIAMI (vs NE): +54.3		2. LA RAMS (vs MIN): +76.3	
3. DENVER (vs KC): +41.3		3. DALLAS (vs DET): +51.0		3. DENVER (vs KC): +75.0	
4. CHICAGO (vs TB): +38.2		4. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +48.3	_	4. MIAMI (vs NE): +55.3	
5. CLEVELAND (vs OAK): +35.7		5. BUFFALO (vs GB): +44.3		5. BUFFALO (vs GB): +53.7	
6. DALLAS (vs DET): +34.0		6. DENVER (vs KC): +33.7		6. PHILADELPHIA (vs TEN): +53.3	
7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs SD): +28.3		7. NEW ORLEANS (vs NYG): +27.0		7. SAN FRANCISCO (vs SD): +51.7	
Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR)	W/L	Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR)	W/L	Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR)	W/L
1. DALLAS (vs DET): +1.5		1. DALLAS (vs DET): +2.0		1. DALLAS (vs DET): +3.6	
2. CHICAGO (vs TB): +1.4		2. DENVER (vs KC): +1.9		2. DENVER (vs KC): +3.2	
3. DENVER (vs KC): +1.3		3. NEW ORLEANS (vs NYG): +1.6		3. CLEVELAND (vs OAK): +1.9	
4. GREEN BAY (vs BUF): +0.9		4. CLEVELAND (vs OAK): +1.4		4. PHILADELPHIA (vs TEN): +1.8	
5. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +0.9		5. MIAMI (vs NE): +1.4		5. ARIZONA (vs SEA): +1.8	
6. JACKSONVILLE (vs NYJ): +0.9		6. ARIZONA (vs SEA): +1.4		6. CHICAGO (vs TB): +1.6	
7. HOUSTON (vs IND): +0.8		7. PHILADELPHIA (vs TEN): +1.4		7. NEW ORLEANS (vs NYG): +1.6	
	14//		14//		14//
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG)	W/L	3 1 1 1 1 1 1	W/L	Passing Yds Differential (PYPG)	W/L
1. TAMPA BAY (vs CHI): +227.0		1. CHICAGO (vs TB): +152.8		1. DETROIT (vs DAL): +180.3	
2. DETROIT (vs DAL): +149.7		2. NY GIANTS (vs NO): +104.7		2. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +122.3	
3. GREEN BAY (vs BUF): +117.0		3. DENVER (vs KC): +100.0		3. GREEN BAY (vs BUF): +111.3	
4. NEW ORLEANS (vs NYG): +113.7		4. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +66.7		4. LA CHARGERS (vs SF): +100.0	
5. OAKLAND (vs CLE): +110.7		5. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +45.7	_	5. OAKLAND (vs CLE): +81.7	
6. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +85.5		6. TENNESSEE (vs PHI): +34.3		6. TAMPA BAY (vs CHI): +74.2	
7. LA CHARGERS (vs SF): +84.0		7. JACKSONVILLE (vs NYJ): +33.0		7. HOUSTON (vs IND): +67.3	
Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA)	W/L	Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA)	W/L	Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA)	W/L
1. TAMPA BAY (vs CHI): +8.1		1. NY GIANTS (vs NO): +3.8		1. TAMPA BAY (vs CHI): +6.8	
2. MIAMI (vs NE): +2.9		2. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +2.3		2. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +4.1	
3. KANSAS CITY (vs DEN): +2.9		3. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +2.0		3. LA RAMS (vs MIN): +3.1	
4. OAKLAND (vs CLE): +2.8		4. CLEVELAND (vs OAK): +2.0		4. KANSAS CITY (vs DEN): +2.7	
5. HOUSTON (vs IND): +2.7		5. SAN FRANCISCO (vs SD): +1.7		5. MIAMI (vs NE): +2.5	
6. LA RAMS (vs MIN): +2.5		6. INDIANAPOLIS (vs HOU): +1.4		6. NY GIANTS (vs NO): +2.0	
7. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +2.0		7. CHICAGO (vs TB): +1.3		7. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +1.4	
Total Yards Gained (TYPG)	W/L	Total Yards Allowed (TYPG)	W/L	Total Yds Differential (TYPG)	W/L
1. TAMPA BAY (vs CHI): +188.8		1. CHICAGO (vs TB): +154.5		1. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +146.3	
2. DETROIT (vs DAL): +115.7		2. DENVER (vs KC): +133.7		2. DENVER (vs KC): +118.0	
3. NEW ORLEANS (vs NYG): +108.7		3. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +115.0		3. HOUSTON (vs IND): +103.7	
4. GREEN BAY (vs BUF): +107.7		4. NY GIANTS (vs NO): +77.7		4. DETROIT (vs DAL): +95.3	
5. HOUSTON (vs IND): +106.3		5. BUFFALO (vs GB): +50.0		5. LA RAMS (vs MIN): +95.0	
6. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +102.0		6. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +44.3		6. PHILADELPHIA (vs TEN): +79.3	
7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +94.8		7. CLEVELAND (vs OAK): +36.0		7. GREEN BAY (vs BUF): +57.7	
Varda Dar Diau Attomated (VDD)	14//1	Varda Dar Diay Allowed (VDD)	14//	Varda Dar Diau Differential (VDD)	14//1
Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP)	W/L	Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP)	W/L	Yards Per Play Differential (YPP)	W/L
1. TAMPA BAY (vs CHI): +3.5	┥━┥	1. CHICAGO (vs TB): +2.9		1. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +3.5	
2. HOUSTON (vs IND): +1.8	╋┻┥	2. DENVER (vs KC): +2.9		2. DENVER (vs KC): +2.0	— —
3. OAKLAND (vs CLE): +1.5	╋┻┥	3. SEATTLE (vs ARZ): +2.6		3. HOUSTON (vs IND): +1.7	
4. GREEN BAY (vs BUF): +1.5	╋	4. BALTIMORE (vs PIT): +1.4		4. LA RAMS (vs MIN): +1.5	
5. MIAMI (vs NE): +1.4	┢━┥	5. DETROIT (vs DAL): +1.1		5. DETROIT (vs DAL): +1.4	
6. NEW ORLEANS (vs NYG): +1.3		6. PHILADELPHIA (vs TEN): +1.1 7. CLEVELAND (vs OAK): +0.9		6. PHILADELPHIA (vs TEN): +1.3 7. GREEN BAY (vs BUF): +1.1	
7. PITTSBURGH (vs BAL): +1.1		LI. OLEVELAND (VS UAK): +U.9		U. GREEN DAT (VS DUF): +1.1	

10 / StatFox Platinum Sheet

Statfox TOP-RATED NFL & CFB SUPER SITUATIONS

The Super Situations are one of the most popular sections at FoxSheets.com. Situational analysis uncovers conditions and patterns where teams have consistently outperformed or underperformed their normal levels relative to the spread. These systems are tabulated for all teams and are not team-specific. The principle is that teams fall into patterns regardless of personnel—good passing teams, teams seeking revenge, cold teams on a losing streak. etc. Over time. these performance patterns tend to repeat themselves. The star rating is a proprietary calculation used to judge the strength of a situation's past performance record.

• Play On - Road favorites (NEW ORLEANS, KANSAS CITY) averaging 265 passing yards per game vs. defense allowing 230 to 265 pass yards per game, after allowing 7 or more passing yards per attempt in back-to-back games.

• The situation's record is 22-4 since 1983 (84.6%, +17.6 units).

RATING = * * *

• Play Against - Home teams where line is +3 to -3 (MIAMI OH) after outrushing opponent by 200 or more yards last game vs. opponent after gaining 5.5 or more rushing yards/attempt last game.

• The situation's record is 27-3 over the last 10 seasons (90.0%, +23.7 units).

RATING = * * * * *

• Play On - Home favorites of between 3½ and 10 points (ATLANTA) after a game where they committed no turnovers vs. an opponent after a game with a turnover margin of -3 or worse.

• The situation's record is 24-5 over the last 10 seasons (82.8%, +18.5 units).

RATING = * * *

• Play Against - Home underdogs (RUTGERS) that are allowing an average of at least 35 points per game and coming off back-to-back games that went Over the total.

• The situation's record is 58-19 over the last 5 seasons (75.3%, +37.1 units).

RATING = * * * *

• **Play On** - Home teams where the line is +3 to -3 (ARIZONA) slow starting team - outscored by 5 or more points per game in the first half, after scoring 14 points or less last game.

• The situation's record is 46-17 over the last 10 seasons (73.0%, +27.3 units).

RATING = * * *

• **Play On** - Road underdogs (OLD DOMINION) allowing an average of at least 6.1 yards per play and coming off a game in which they allowed 525 or more total yards.

• **The situation's record** is 54-17 over the last 5 seasons (76.1%, +35.3 units).

RATING = * * * *

Statfox TOP-RATED NFL & CFB TEAM POWER TRENDS

The StatFox Team Power Trends uncover certain situations where a team outperforms or underperforms their normal level of play. Unlike the StatFox Super Situations, all trend records listed apply to the team in question. These trends are great indicators of how teams react to certain situations-coming off a close win, against division opponents, giving up a high number of passing yards, etc. The star rating is a proprietary calculation used to judge the strength of a trend's past performance record.

• **PENN STATE** is 15-1 ATS since the start of the 2016 season when coming off a win against a Big Ten Conference rival.

The average score was PENN STATE 43.4, OPPONENT 21.7

PLAY ON PENN STATE RATING = * * * * * • NEW ENGLAND is 8-0 ATS since the start of 2016 when coming off back-to-back games with fewer than 100 rushing yards.

The average score was NEW ENGLAND 31.2, OPPONENT 16.6

PLAY ON NEW ENGLAND RATING = * * *

• NEW YORK GIANTS are 9-0 UNDER since the start of the 2016 season when coming off a non-conference game.

The average score was NY GIANTS 14.0, OPPONENT 17.9

PLAY UNDER THE TOTAL RATING = * * * *

• **BOWLING GREEN** is 0-9 ATS in September games since the start of last season.

The average score was BOWLING GREEN 20.3, OPPONENT 39.2

PLAY ON GEORGIA TECH RATING = * * * * • **DENVER** is 0-8 ATS since the start of last season vs. teams that are averaging at least 235 passing yards per game.

The average score was DENVER 14.6, OPPONENT 30.0

PLAY ON KANSAS CITY RATING = * * *

• **ATLANTA** is 10-1 UNDER since the start of last season when playing against a team with a winning record.

The average score was ATLANTA 18.4, OPPONENT 17.8

PLAY UNDER THE TOTAL RATING = * * *

• FLORIDA STATE is 0-9 ATS against conference opponents since the start of last season.

The average score was FLORIDA STATE 16.6, OPPONENT 25.5

PLAY ON LOUISVILLE RATING = * * * * • CLEVELAND is 0-8 ATS since the start of the 2016 season against teams that are allowing at least 7 yards per pass attempt.

The average score was CLEVE-LAND 18.6, OPPONENT 31.0

PLAY ON OAKLAND RATING = * * *

• **GREEN BAY** is 8-0 OVER since the start of the 2016 season vs. teams that allow an average of at least 24 points per game.

The average score was GREEN BAY 24.2, OPPONENT 34.0

PLAY OVER THE TOTAL RATING = * * *

• **NEBRASKA** is 0-9 ATS in home games since the start of last season.

The average score was NEBRASKA 22.6, OPPONENT 34.7

PLAY ON PURDUE RATING = * * * *

Statfox presents the week's staff picks

WEEK 5 COLLEGE FOOTBALL MATCHUPS: SEPT. 27 - 29		StatFox DAVE	StatFox GARY	StatFox SCOTT	Stat Fox BRIAN	StatFox FORECASTER	StatFox CONSENSUS
• (103) North Carolina at (104) Miami	-18½	MIAMI	MIAMI	NORTH CAROLINA	MIAMI	NORTH CAROLINA	MIAMI
• (105) Memphis at (106) Tulane	+14	CLICK FOR BEST BET	MEMPHIS	TULANE	MEMPHIS	MEMPHIS	MEMPHIS
• (107) UCLA at (108) Colorado	-10	COLORADO	CLICK FOR BEST BET	COLORADO	COLORADO	UCLA	
• (129) Syracuse at (130) Clemson	-22½	CLEMSON	CLEMSON	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLEMSON	CLEMSON
• (149) Utah at (150) Washington State	+1	CLICK FOR BEST BET	WASHINGTON STATE	WASHINGTON STATE	WASHINGTON STATE	UTAH	WASHINGTON STATE
• (153) Brigham Young at (154) Washington	-17½	WASHINGTON	WASHINGTON	BRIGHAM YOUNG	BRIGHAM YOUNG	BRIGHAM YOUNG	BRIGHAM YOUNG
• (161) Ohio State at (162) Penn State	+3½	OHIO STATE	OHIO STATE	CLICK FOR BEST BET	PENN STATE	PENN STATE	
• (171) Iowa State at (172) TCU	-10½	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	TCU	TCU	IOWA STATE	
• (175) Baylor at (176) Oklahoma	-23½	OKLAHOMA	BAYLOR	OKLAHOMA	OKLAHOMA	BAYLOR	OKLAHOMA
• (183) West Virginia at (184) Texas Tech	+3½	WEST VIRGINIA	TEXAS TECH	TEXAS TECH	CLICK FOR BEST BET	WEST VIRGINIA	
• (193) Florida at (194) Mississippi State	-7½	MISSISSIPPI STATE	FLORIDA	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CLICK FOR BEST BET	MISSISSIPPI STATE	
• (195) Ole Miss at (196) LSU	-12	CLICK FOR BEST BET	LSU	OLE MISS	LSU	OLE MISS	
• (201) Southern Cal at (202) Arizona	+3	USC	USC	ARIZONA	USC	USC	USC
• (207) Stanford at (208) Notre Dame	-4½	NOTRE DAME	NOTRE DAME	STANFORD	NOTRE DAME	STANFORD	NOTRE DAME
• (209) Michigan at (210) Northwestern	+13½	MICHIGAN	NORTHWESTERN	MICHIGAN	NORTHWESTERN	NORTHWESTERN	NORTHWESTERN
• (213) Oregon at (214) California	+3	CALIFORNIA	OREGON	OREGON	CLICK FOR BEST BET	CALIFORNIA	

Purchase Today



If you're not yet a StatFox Best Bets subscriber, you've missed out on what's been a September to remember. The StatFox staff enters the final week of the month with a 64-40 ATS mark (62%) on September college football Best Bets.

Get on board the Best Bets Steam Engine!

BUY NOW

All Games	w	L	т	Pct	Last Week	CFB ATS Best Bets	w	L	т	Pct	Last Week
StatFox Dave	34	29	1	54.0%	11-5	StatFox Scott	24	13	0	64.9%	8-2
StatFox Consensus	34	29	1	54.0%	9-7	StatFox Gary	17	10	0	63.0%	4-2
StatFox Brian	33	30	1	52.4%	10-6	StatFox Brian	17	10	0	63.0%	5-1
StatFox Scott	32	31	1	50.8%	7-9	StatFox Dave	6	10	1	37.5%	3-1
StatFox Gary	32	31	1	50.8%	6-10						
StatFox Forecaster	27	36	1	42.9%	3-13						

StatFox College Football Power Rating Lines - Week 5

** The StatFox Power Ratings are determined from a formula that is takes into account several key factors, including point margins, difficulty of schedule, team statistics and more. The ratings are typically not as reactive as those you will find elsewhere, and thus prove to be a great long term handicapping tool. Here are the StatFox Power Rating lines and edges for this week's games, with our exclusive home field advantage points built into each home team's rating and corresponding line. Note that the point edge between the Power Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS: OL - Opening Line

PR - StatFox Power Rating - adjusted for home field advantage.

PRL - Calculated Power Rating Line

	_		_														
Thu - 9/27,8:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Fri - 9/28,8:00 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Fri - 9/28,9:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L
103 N CAROLINA	4.0	33		_		105 MEMPHIS	-13.5	44	-15	1.5		107 UCLA		30	10		
104 MIAMI	-18	56	-23	5		106 TULANE		29				108 COLORADO	-11	42	-12	1	
Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL		-	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL			Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L
109 ARMY	_	38	-2	8		111 CINCINNATI	-17.5	27	-13			113 C MICHIGAN		23	07	1	
110 BUFFALO	-6	36				112 CONNECTICUT		14		4.5		114 MICHIGAN ST	-28	50	-27		
Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,3:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
115 N ILLINOIS	-	32		2		117 KENT ST		14		7.5		119 RICE		11			
116 E MICHIGAN	-3	33	-1			118 BALL ST	-7.5	14	0			120 WAKE FOREST	-24.5	40	-29	4.5	
Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
121 OLD DOMINION		13				123 BOWLING GREEN		13		-		127 TEMPLE		33		1.5	
122 E CAROLINA	-6.5	24	-11	4.5		124 GEORGIA TECH	-25.5	41	-28	2.5		128 BOSTON COLLEGE	-14.5	46	-13		
Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL		PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
129 SYRACUSE		41				131 S ALABAMA		24				133 VIRGINIA TECH		50		0.5	
130 CLEMSON	-21	65	-24	3		132 APPALACHIAN ST	-23	51	-27	4		134 DUKE	-1.5	51	-1		
Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
135 LA MONROE	-7	20	-2			137 MASSACHUSETTS		21		1		139 PITTSBURGH		38			
136 GEORGIA ST		18		5		138 OHIO U	-14	34	-13			140 UCF	-14.5	53	-15	0.5	
Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,12:20 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
141 S CAROLINA	-1	42				143 VIRGINIA		37				145 INDIANA	-15	36	-20	5	
142 KENTUCKY		45	-3	4		144 NC STATE	-8	47	-10	2		146 RUTGERS		16			
Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,10:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
147 NEVADA		28				149 UTAH		44				151 OREGON ST		21			
148 AIR FORCE	-7.5	38	-10	2.5		150 WASHINGTON ST	-2	46	-2	0		152 ARIZONA ST	-21	47	-26	5	
Sat - 9/29,8:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
153 BYU		36				155 TOLEDO		39		3		157 W MICHIGAN		32	-7	10	
154 WASHINGTON	-16.5	59	-23	6.5		156 FRESNO ST	-10	46	-7			158 MIAMI OHIO	-3	25			
Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L	Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM	OL	PR	PRL	Edge	W/L
159 FLORIDA ST																	
	-5.5	41	-2			161 OHIO ST	-4	62				163 ARKANSAS ST	-3.5	33	-4	0.5	
160 LOUISVILLE	-5.5	41 39	-2	3.5		161 OHIO ST 162 PENN ST	-4	62 67	-5	9		163 ARKANSAS ST 164 GA SOUTHERN	-3.5			0.5	
	-5.5	39 PR		3.5 Edge	W/L		-4 OL	67 PR		9 Edge	W/L		-3.5 OL	33 29 PR	-4	0.5 Edge	W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE	OL	39 PR 32	PRL	Edge	W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY	OL	67 PR 9	PRL	Edge	W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS	OL	33 29 PR 30	-4 PRL		W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM		39 PR 32 66	PRL -34	Edge 1		162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM		67 PR	PRL		W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM		33 29 PR 30 56	-4 PRL -26	Edge 3.5	
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE	OL	39 PR 32 66 PR	PRL -34	Edge 1 Edge		162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL	67 PR 9 28 PR	PRL -19	Edge		164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL	33 29 PR 30 56 PR	-4 PRL -26	Edge	
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST	OL -33 OL	39 PR 32 66 PR 46	PRL -34 PRL	Edge 1		162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE	OL -8.5 OL	67 PR 9 28 PR 19	PRL -19 PRL	Edge 10.5 Edge		164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR	OL -29.5 OL	33 29 PR 30 56 PR 36	-4 PRL -26 PRL	Edge 3.5 Edge	
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL -33	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55	PRL -34 PRL -9	Edge 1 Edge 1.5	W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL -8.5	67 PR 9 28 PR 19 80	PRL -19 PRL -61	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5	W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL -29.5	33 29 PR 30 56 PR	-4 PRL -26	Edge 3.5	
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL -33 OL	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR	PRL -34 PRL -9	Edge 1 Edge	W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL	67 PR 9 28 PR 19 80 PR	 PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL 	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge	W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL	33 29 PR 30 56 PR 36 65 PR	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL	Edge 3.5 Edge	W/L
Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 4	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge	W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE	OL -8.5 OL -50.5	67 PR 9 28 PR 19 80 PR 40	PRL -19 PRL -61	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5	W/L	Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS	OL -29.5 OL -21	33 29 PR 30 56 PR 36 65 PR 47	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29	Edge 3.5 Edge 8 Edge	W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL -33 OL -10.5	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 4 22	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 7	W/L W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL	67 9 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 8	W/L W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL	33 29 9 30 56 PR 36 65 PR 47 42	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5	Edge 3.5 Edge 8 Edge 2	W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 4 22 PR	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge	W/L W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL	67 PR 9 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29 PR	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge	W/L W/L	Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL	33 29 PR 30 56 PR 36 65 PR 47 42 PR	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5	Edge 3.5 Edge 8 Edge	W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA	OL -33 -10.5 OL -11	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 4 22 PR 46	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18 PRL	Edge 1 1.5 Edge 7 Edge	W/L W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 OL	67 9 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29 PR 32	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 8 Edge	W/L W/L	Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -7 OL	33 29 PR 30 56 PR 36 65 PR 47 42 PR 9	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5 PRL	Edge 3.5 Edge 8 Edge 2 Edge	W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 4 22 PR	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 7	W/L W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3	67 PR 9 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29 PR	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 8	W/L W/L	Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -7	33 29 PR 30 56 PR 36 65 PR 47 42 PR 9	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5	Edge 3.5 Edge 8 Edge 2	W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA 184 TEXAS TECH Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL -4 OL	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 4 22 PR 46 55 PR 4 22 PR 46 51 PR	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18 PRL -5 PRL	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 7 Edge 9 Edge	W/L W/L W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH 186 NORTH TEXAS Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 OL -7 OL	67 98 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11 PRL -9	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 8 Edge	W/L W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE 188 UAB Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -7 OL	33 29 PR 30 56 PR 36 65 PR 47 42 PR 9 27 PR	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5 PRL -5	Edge 3.5 Edge 8 Edge 2 Edge	W/L W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA 184 TEXAS TECH Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 189 OKLAHOMA ST	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL -4	39 9R 32 66 9R 46 55 9R 4 22 9R 4 22 9R 4 55 9R 4 22 9R 46 51 9R 48	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18 PRL -18	Edge 1 1.5 Edge 7 Edge 9	W/L W/L W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH 186 NORTH TEXAS Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 191 MARSHALL	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 OL -7	67 PR 9 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR 29	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11 PRL -9 PRL	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 8 Edge 2 Edge	W/L W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE 188 UAB Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 193 FLORIDA	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -7 OL -16.5 OL	33 29 PR 30 56 65 PR 47 42 PR 9 27 PR 43	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5 PRL -18 PRL	Edge 3.5 Edge 2 Edge 1.5 Edge	W/L W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA 184 TEXAS TECH Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL -4 OL	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 4 22 PR 46 55 PR 4 22 PR 46 51 PR	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18 PRL -5 PRL	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 7 Edge 9 Edge	W/L W/L W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH 186 NORTH TEXAS Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 OL -7 OL	67 98 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11 PRL -9	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 8 Edge 2	W/L W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE 188 UAB Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -7 OL -16.5	33 29 PR 30 56 PR 36 655 PR 47 42 PR 9 27 PR 43 51	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5 PRL -18 PRL -18	Edge 3.5 Edge 8 Edge 2 Edge 1.5 Edge 1.5	W/L W/L W/L W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA 184 TEXAS TECH Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 189 OKLAHOMA ST 190 KANSAS Sat - 9/29,9:00 PM	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL -4 OL	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 4 22 PR 46 51 PR 48 25 PR	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18 PRL -5 PRL -23	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 7 Edge 9 Edge	W/L W/L W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH 186 NORTH TEXAS Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 191 MARSHALL 192 W KENTUCKY Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 OL -7 OL	67 PR 9 28 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR 29 PR 29 PR 	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11 PRL -9 PRL 0	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 8 Edge 2 Edge	W/L W/L W/L W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE 188 UAB Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 193 FLORIDA 194 MISSISSIPPI ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -16.5 OL -7 OL -7	33 29 PR 30 56 PR 47 47 42 PR 9 27 PR 43 51 PR	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5 PRL -18 PRL -18 PRL -8	Edge 3.5 Edge 2 Edge 1.5 Edge	W/L W/L W/L W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA 184 TEXAS TECH Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 189 OKLAHOMA ST 190 KANSAS Sat - 9/29,9:00 PM 195 OLE MISS	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL -11 OL -17	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 4 22 PR 46 51 PR 46 51 PR 48 25 PR 37	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18 PRL -23 PRL -23	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 7 Edge 6 Edge	W/L W/L W/L	162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH 186 NORTH TEXAS Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 191 MARSHALL 192 W KENTUCKY Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 197 COASTAL CAROLIN	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 OL -7 OL -9.5	67 PR 9 28 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR 29 PR 29 PR 22 41 PR 29 PR 29 PR 22 41 PR 29 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR 20 20 PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11 PRL -9 PRL 0 PRL	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 8 Edge 2 Edge 9.5 Edge	W/L W/L W/L W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE 188 UAB Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 193 FLORIDA 194 MISSISSIPPI ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 199 FLA ATLANTIC	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -16.5 OL -7	33 29 PR 30 56 PR 47 47 42 PR 9 27 PR 43 51 PR 37	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5 PRL -18 PRL -18	Edge 3.5 Edge 8 Edge 2 Edge 1.5 Edge 1.5	W/L W/L W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA 184 TEXAS TECH Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 189 OKLAHOMA ST 190 KANSAS Sat - 9/29,9:00 PM	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL -4 -4 -17	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 4 22 PR 46 51 PR 48 25 PR 37 57	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18 PRL -5 PRL -23 PRL -23	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 9 Edge 6 Edge 6.5		162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH 186 NORTH TEXAS Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 191 MARSHALL 192 W KENTUCKY Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 OL -7 OL -9.5	67 PR 9 28 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR 29 PR 29 PR 	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11 PRL -9 PRL 0	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 8 Edge 2 Edge 9.5	W/L W/L W/L W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE 188 UAB Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 193 FLORIDA 194 MISSISSIPPI ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -16.5 OL -7 OL -7	33 29 PR 30 56 PR 47 47 42 PR 9 27 PR 43 51 PR	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5 PRL -18 PRL -18 PRL -8	Edge 3.5 Edge 8 Edge 2 Edge 1.5 Edge 1 Edge	W/L W/L W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA 184 TEXAS TECH Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 189 OKLAHOMA ST 190 KANSAS Sat - 9/29,9:00 PM 195 OLE MISS 196 LSU Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL -11 OL -17 OL -13.5 OL	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 42 PR 46 51 PR 46 51 PR 48 25 PR 337 57 PR	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18 PRL -23 PRL -23 PRL -20 PRL	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 7 Edge 6 Edge		162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH 186 NORTH TEXAS Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 191 MARSHALL 192 W KENTUCKY Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 197 COASTAL CAROLIN 198 TROY Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 OL -7 OL -9.5 OL -15 OL	67 PR 9 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR 29 29 PR 24 40 PR 29 29 PR 29 29 PR 29 29 PR 29 29 PR 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11 PRL -9 PRL 0 PRL -16 PRL	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 8 Edge 2 Edge 9.5 Edge	W/L W/L W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE 188 UAB Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 193 FLORIDA 194 MISSISSIPPI ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 199 FLA ATLANTIC 200 MIDDLE TENN ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -7 OL -16.5 OL -7 OL -3	33 29 PR 30 56 65 PR 47 42 PR 9 27 PR 43 51 PR 43 51 PR 37 29 PR	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -18 PRL -18 PRL -8 PRL -8	Edge 3.5 Edge 8 Edge 2 Edge 1.5 Edge 1 Edge	W/L W/L W/L W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA 184 TEXAS TECH Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 189 OKLAHOMA ST 190 KANSAS Sat - 9/29,9:00 PM 195 OLE MISS 196 LSU Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM 201 USC	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL -17 OL -17 OL -13.5	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 46 51 PR 46 51 PR 46 51 PR 48 25 PR 37 57 PR 45	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18 PRL -5 PRL -23 PRL -23	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 9 Edge 6 Edge 6.5		162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH 186 NORTH TEXAS Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 191 MARSHALL 192 W KENTUCKY Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 193 TROY Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 203 BOISE ST	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 -7 OL -9.5 OL -15	67 PR 9 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR 29 29 PR 24 40 PR	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11 -11 -11 PRL 0 PRL 0 PRL 0	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 2 Edge 9.5 Edge 1 Edge	W/L W/L W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE 188 UAB Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 193 FLORIDA 194 MISSISSIPPI ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 199 FLA ATLANTIC 200 MIDDLE TENN ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -7 OL -16.5 OL -7 OL -3	33 29 PR 30 56 65 PR 47 42 PR 9 27 PR 43 51 PR 37 29 PR 21	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -18 PRL -18 PRL -8 PRL -8	Edge 3.5 Edge 2 Edge 1.5 Edge 1 Edge 5 Edge	W/L W/L W/L W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA 184 TEXAS TECH Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 189 OKLAHOMA ST 190 KANSAS Sat - 9/29,9:00 PM 195 OLE MISS 196 LSU Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL -11 OL -17 OL -13.5 OL	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 42 PR 46 51 PR 46 51 PR 48 25 PR 337 57 PR	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18 PRL -23 PRL -23 PRL -20 PRL	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 9 Edge 6 Edge 6.5 Edge		162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH 186 NORTH TEXAS Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 191 MARSHALL 192 W KENTUCKY Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 197 COASTAL CAROLIN 198 TROY Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 OL -7 OL -9.5 OL -15 OL	67 PR 9 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR 29 29 PR 24 40 PR 29 29 PR 29 29 PR 29 29 PR 29 29 PR 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11 PRL -9 PRL 0 PRL -16 PRL	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 2 Edge 9.5 Edge 1	W/L W/L W/L	164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE 188 UAB Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 193 FLORIDA 194 MISSISSIPPI ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 199 FLA ATLANTIC 200 MIDDLE TENN ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -7 OL -16.5 OL -7 OL -3	33 29 PR 30 56 65 PR 47 42 PR 9 27 PR 43 51 PR 43 51 PR 37 29 PR	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -18 PRL -18 PRL -8 PRL -8	Edge 3.5 Edge 2 Edge 1.5 Edge 1 Edge 5	W/L W/L W/L W/L
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA 184 TEXAS TECH Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 189 OKLAHOMA ST 190 KANSAS Sat - 9/29,9:00 PM 195 OLE MISS 196 LSU Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM 201 USC 202 ARIZONA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL -11 OL -17 OL -13.5 OL	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 46 51 PR 46 51 PR 48 25 PR 37 57 PR 45 39	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18 PRL -23 PRL -23 PRL -20 PRL -6	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 9 Edge 6 Edge 6.5 Edge		162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH 186 NORTH TEXAS Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 191 MARSHALL 192 W KENTUCKY Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 193 TROY Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 203 BOISE ST	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 OL -7 OL -9.5 OL -15 OL	67 PR 9 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 PR 40 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 20 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 20 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 20 PR 40 20 PR 40 20 20 PR 40 20 20 PR 40 20 20 PR 40 20 20 PR 40 20 PR 40 20 PR	PRL -19 PRL -11 -11 -11 -9 PRL -9 PRL -16 PRL -15 PRL	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 2 Edge 9.5 Edge 1 Edge		164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE 188 UAB Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 193 FLORIDA 194 MISSISSIPPI ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 199 FLA ATLANTIC 200 MIDDLE TENN ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 205 HAWAII 206 SAN JOSE ST Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -7 OL -16.5 OL -7 OL -3	33 29 PR 30 56 65 PR 47 42 PR 43 51 PR 43 51 PR 43 51 PR 27 PR 43 51	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5 PRL -18 PRL -8 PRL -8 PRL -8	Edge 3.5 Edge 2 Edge 1.5 Edge 1 Edge 5 Edge	
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA 184 TEXAS TECH Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 189 OKLAHOMA ST 190 KANSAS Sat - 9/29,9:00 PM 195 OLE MISS 196 LSU Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM 201 USC 202 ARIZONA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 207 STANFORD	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL -17 OL -13.5 OL -2 OL	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 46 51 PR 46 51 PR 48 25 PR 48 25 PR 48 25 PR 45 39 PR 50	PRL -34 PRL -9 -78 PRL -18 PRL -23 PRL -23 PRL -20 PRL -6	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 9 Edge 6 Edge 6.5 Edge 4		162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH 186 NORTH TEXAS Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 191 MARSHALL 192 W KENTUCKY Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 191 MARSHALL 192 W KENTUCKY Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 203 BOISE ST 204 WYOMING Sat - 9/29,4:30 PM 209 MICHIGAN	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 OL -9.5 OL -15 OL -16	67 PR 9 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR 29 29 PR 40 29 PR 40 29 PR 40 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 PR 40 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	PRL -19 PRL -61 PRL -11 PRL -9 PRL 0 PRL -16 PRL -16 PRL -16 PRL -15	Edge 10.5 Edge 10.5 Edge 2 Edge 9.5 Edge 1 Edge 1		164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE 188 UAB Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 193 FLORIDA 194 MISSISSIPPI ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 199 FLA ATLANTIC 200 MIDDLE TENN ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 205 HAWAII 206 SAN JOSE ST Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 211 ARKANSAS	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -7 OL -16.5 OL -7 OL -3 OL -8.5	33 29 PR 30 56 65 PR 47 42 PR 43 51 PR 43 51 PR 43 51 PR 27 29 PR 21 14 PR 27	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5 PRL -18 PRL -8 PRL -8 PRL -8 PRL -7	Edge 3.5 Edge 2 Edge 1.5 Edge 5 Edge 1.5 Edge	
160 LOUISVILLE Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 165 TENNESSEE 166 GEORGIA Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 171 IOWA ST 172 TCU Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 177 UTEP 178 UTSA Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 183 W VIRGINIA 184 TEXAS TECH Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 189 OKLAHOMA ST 190 KANSAS Sat - 9/29,9:00 PM 195 OLE MISS 196 LSU Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM 201 USC 202 ARIZONA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM	OL -33 OL -10.5 OL -11 OL -17 OL -13.5 OL -2	39 PR 32 66 PR 46 55 PR 46 51 PR 46 51 PR 48 25 PR 48 25 PR 48 25 PR 45 39 PR 50	PRL -34 PRL -9 PRL -18 PRL -23 PRL -23 PRL -20 PRL -6	Edge 1 Edge 1.5 Edge 9 Edge 6 Edge 6.5 Edge 4 Edge		162 PENN ST Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 167 LIBERTY 168 NEW MEXICO Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM 173 LA LAFAYETTE 174 ALABAMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 179 PURDUE 180 NEBRASKA Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 185 LOUISIANA TECH 186 NORTH TEXAS Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM 191 MARSHALL 192 W KENTUCKY Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 197 COASTAL CAROLIN 198 TROY Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 203 BOISE ST 204 WYOMING Sat - 9/29,4:30 PM	OL -8.5 OL -50.5 OL -3 OL -9.5 OL -15 OL -16 OL	67 PR 9 28 PR 19 80 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR 29 29 PR 24 40 PR 40 29 PR 32 41 PR 40 29 PR 29 29 PR 24 PR 24 PR 40 PR 29 PR 24 PR 40 PR 29 PR 29 PR 29 PR 24 PR 24 PR 40 PR 29 PR 29 PR 24 PR 40 PR 29 PR 24 PR 40 PR 29 PR 29 PR 24 PR 40 PR 29 PR 24 PR 40 PR 24 PR 40 PR 24 PR 40 PR 24 PR 40 PR PR 24 PR 40 PR PR 24 PR PR PR 24 PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR	PRL -19 PRL -11 -11 -11 -9 PRL -9 PRL -16 PRL -15 PRL	Edge 10.5 Edge 8 Edge 2 Edge 9.5 Edge 1 Edge 1 Edge		164 GA SOUTHERN Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM 169 SOUTHERN MISS 170 AUBURN Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 175 BAYLOR 176 OKLAHOMA Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM 181 TEXAS 182 KANSAS ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 187 CHARLOTTE 188 UAB Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM 193 FLORIDA 194 MISSISSIPPI ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 199 FLA ATLANTIC 200 MIDDLE TENN ST Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM 205 HAWAII 206 SAN JOSE ST Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM	OL -29.5 OL -21 OL -7 -16.5 OL -7 -16.5 OL -3 OL -3 OL -8.5	33 29 PR 30 56 65 PR 47 42 PR 43 51 PR 43 51 PR 43 51 PR 27 29 PR 21 14 PR 27	-4 PRL -26 PRL -29 PRL -5 PRL -18 PRL -8 PRL -8 PRL -8	Edge 3.5 Edge 8 Edge 1.5 Edge 1 Edge 5 Edge 1.5	W/L W/L W/L W/L W/L W/L

THE PLATINUM SHEET Statfox College Football Outplay Factor Rating Lines - Week 5

** The Outplay Factor Ratings made famous by StatFox are a great Team Strength Indicator as they provide a quantitative measure of how teams are outplaying (+ value) or being outplayed by (- value) their opponents. They are determined by a complicated formula that takes into account a team's points for/against as compared to how their previous opponents have fared. Here are the StatFox Team Outplay Factor Ratings and the corresponding game lines derived from them. Note that the point edge between the Outplay Factor Rating Line and the actual opening line is denoted for the team with the edge. Use the W/L column to keep track of the winners and losers.

ABBREVIATIONS:

OL - Opening Line

OF - StatFox Outplay Factor Rating -

adjusted for home field advantage.

OFL - Calculated Outplay Factor Line

189 OKLAHOMA ST -17 41 -11 191 MARSHALL -9.5 27 -4 193 FLORIDA 190 KANSAS 30 6.0 192 W KENTUCKY 23 5.5 194 MISSISSIPPI ST -7 Sat - 9/29,9:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL 196 LSU -13.5 55 -20 6.5 198 TROY -15 21 199 FLA ATLANTIC -3 Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL 0F OFL Edge W/L -3 Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L -3 201 USC -2 34 -4 2.0 203 BOISE ST -16 45 -21 5.0 205 HAWAII -8.5	OF O 24 - 17	-2 DFL -7	5.0 Edge	
Sat - 9/29,9:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL Instant Instant Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL Instant Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL Instant Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM Sat - 9/29,7:00	OF O 24 - 17	OFL	Edae	
195 OLE MISS 35 197 COASTAL CAROLIN 29 -8 23.0 199 FLA ATLANTIC -3 196 LSU -13.5 55 -20 6.5 198 TROY -15 21 200 MIDDLE TENN ST 200 MIDDLE TENN ST Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL 205 HAWAII -8.5	24 - 17		Edae	
196 LSU -13.5 55 -20 6.5 198 TROY -15 21 200 MIDDLE TENN ST Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL 201 USC -2 34 -4 2.0 203 BOISE ST -16 45 -21 5.0 205 HAWAII -8.5	17	_7	Lugu	W/L
Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL OL Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM <t< td=""><td></td><td>-1</td><td>4.0</td><td></td></t<>		-1	4.0	
201 USC -2 34 -4 2.0 203 BOISE ST -16 45 -21 5.0 205 HAWAII -8.5				
		OFL	Edge	W/L
	22			
202 ARIZONA 30 204 WYOMING 24 26 SAN JOSE ST	22 (0	8.5	
Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,4:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM OL	OF O	OFL	Edge	W/L
207 STANFORD 43 209 MICHIGAN -11 50 -16 5.0 211 ARKANSAS	18			
208 NOTRE DAME -3.5 50 -7 3.5 210 NORTHWESTERN 34 212 TEXAS A&M -16.5	46 -2	-28	11.5	
Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Thu - 9/27,8:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Fri - 9/28,8:00 PM OL		_	Edge	W/L
213 OREGON -3.5 29 103 N CAROLINA 21 105 MEMPHIS -13.5		-2		
214 CALIFORNIA 32 -3 6.5 104 MIAMI -18 50 -29 11.0 106 TULANE	28		11.5	
Fri - 9/28,9:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL	OF O	OFL	Edge	W/L
107 UCLA 27 11.0 109 ARMY 38 -5 11.0 111 CINCINNATI -17.5		-5		
108 COLORADO -11 27 0 110 BUFFALO -6 33 112 CONNECTICUT	17		12.5	
Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,3:00 PM OL		OFL	-	W/L
113 C MICHIGAN 21 115 N ILLINOIS 17 117 KENT ST	18		7.5	
114 MICHIGAN ST -28 49 -28 0.0 116 E MICHIGAN -3 30 -13 10.0 118 BALL ST -7.5	18 (0		
Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM OL	OF O	OFL	Edge	W/L
119 RICE 23 22.5 121 OLD DOMINION 15 123 BOWLING GREEN	8		6.5	
120 WAKE FOREST -24.5 25 -2 122 E CAROLINA -6.5 33 -18 11.5 124 GEORGIA TECH -25.5	27 -1	-19		
Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL	OF O	OFL	Edge	W/L
127 TEMPLE 39 -6 20.5 129 SYRACUSE 37 6.0 131 S ALABAMA	26			
128 BOSTON COLLEGE -14.5 33 130 CLEMSON -21 52 -15 132 APPALACHIAN ST -23	49 -2	-23	0.0	
Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OL Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM OF Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM Sat - 9/29,2:00 PM<	OF O	OFL	Edge	W/L
133 VIRGINIA TECH 23 135 LA MONROE -7 22 -5 137 MASSACHUSETTS	17		2.0	
134 DUKE -1.5 43 -20 18.5 136 GEORGIA ST 17 2.0 138 OHIO U -14	29 -1	-12		
Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,12:20 PM OL	OF O	OFL	Edge	W/L
139 PITTSBURGH 21 141 S CAROLINA -1 51 -1 143 VIRGINIA	32		1.0	
140 UCF -14.5 36 -15 0.5 142 KENTUCKY 50 0.0 144 NC STATE -8	39 -	-7		
Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM OL	OF O	OFL	Edge	W/L
145 INDIANA -15 37 -17 2.0 147 NEVADA 18 149 UTAH	26			
146 RUTGERS 20 148 AIR FORCE -7.5 31 -13 5.5 150 WASHINGTON ST -2	36 -1	-10	8.0	
Sat - 9/29,10:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,8:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,10:30 PM OL	OF O	OFL	Edge	W/L
151 OREGON ST 16 153 BYU 37 11.5 155 TOLEDO		-1	11.0	
152 ARIZONA ST -21 38 -22 1.0 154 WASHINGTON -16.5 42 -5 156 FRESNO ST -10	31			
Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM OL		OFL	Edge	W/L
157 W MICHIGAN 26 -4 7.0 159 FLORIDA ST -5.5 28 -4 161 OHIO ST -4	54	_		$\vdash \downarrow$
158 MIAMI OHIO -3 22 160 LOUISVILLE 24 1.5 162 PENN ST	69 -1	-15	19.0	
Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,6:00 PM OL				W/L
163 ARKANSAS ST -3.5 27 165 TENNESSEE 31 12.0 167 LIBERTY		-5	13.5	
164 GA SOUTHERN 31 -4 7.5 166 GEORGIA -33 52 -21 168 NEW MEXICO -8.5	20			
Sat - 9/29,4:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM OL	OF O	OFL	Edge	W/L
169 SOUTHERN MISS 23 9.5 171 IOWA ST 39 9.5 173 LA LAFAYETTE	22			
170 AUBURN -29.5 43 -20 172 TCU -10.5 40 -1 174 ALABAMA -50.5	74 -5	-52	1.5	
Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL	OF O	OFL	Edge	W/L
175 BAYLOR 36 12.0 177 UTEP 7 9.0 179 PURDUE -3		-9	6.0	
176 OKLAHOMA -21 45 -9 178 UTSA -11 9 -2 180 NEBRASKA	27			
Sat - 9/29,3:30 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,12:00 PM OL OF OFL Edge W/L Sat - 9/29,7:30 PM OL	OF O	OFL	Edge	W/L
181 TEXAS -7 39 -7 183 W VIRGINIA -4 43 185 LOUISIANA TECH	19			
182 KANSAS ST 32 0.0 184 TEXAS TECH 45 -2 6.0 186 NORTH TEXAS -7	45 -2	-26	19.0	

14 / StatFox Platinum Sheet

Top College Football Statistical Edges - Week 5 THE PLATINUM SHEET

Here is a listing of the top team statistical edges when compared head-to-head with their opponents. Keep track of the ATS wins and losses of the top teams for each of the various statistics to determine if any particular category stands out in terms of success.

Pents Socrad (PPG) WU Socrad preferential (PPG) WU Socrad preferential (PPG) WU 1 ILCINCINNATI (is CON): 410 1.CINCINNATI (is CON): 410 1.CINCINNATI (is CON): 413 1.CINCINNATI (is CON): 413 2 PADE ADMAN (is LAL): 723 3.LSPLACHAN ST (is SAL): 424.6 3.LSPLACHAN ST (is SAL): 424.6 4.CADDAMA (is LAL): 724.8 3.LSPLACHAN ST (is SAL): 424.6 4.CADDAMA (is LAL): 724.8 4.APPALACHAN ST (is SAL): 421.7 4.COLORADO (is UCL): 430.7 5.LUT (is PT): 436.8 5.LUT (i	Peints Scored (PPG) WL Points Allowed (PPG) WL Example Scored (PPG) WL 2.803ES froe WYOL +26.8 1. Chrolemant (vs CON): +41.0 1. Chrolemant (vs CON): +41.0 1. Chrolemant (vs CON): +44.6 2.803ES froe WYOL +26.8 3. LSP ALCAHAN ST (vs SAL): +44.6 1. Chrolemant (vs COL): +43.0 1. Chrolemant (vs CAL): +44.6 4. ALPAMAM (vs LAL): +24.8 4. APPALACHINN ST (vs SAL): +21.7 4. COLORADO (vs UCL): +43.0 5. UCF (vs PT): +36.6 6. COLORADO (vs UCL): +23.7 5. CALGMAM (vs LAL): +24.8 5. UCF (vs PT): +36.6 5. UCF (vs PT): +36.7 6. APPALACHINA ST (vs SAL): +22.9 6. COLORADO (vs UCL): +19.3 6. MEMPHIG (vs TUL): +30.0 7. IMMAIA (vs LAU): +24.8 7. IMMAINA (vs LAU): +22.8 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +157.8 1. CECORGM TECH (vs BC): +40.1.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +22.8 8. LARDAN (vs LAU): +151.8 2. CECORMIT (vs CON): +151.5 3. APPALACHINA ST (vs CAN): +22.8 3. MEMPHIG (vs TUL): +153.5 5. ARIZONA (vs ULL): +153.5 5. ARIZONA (vs ULL): +151.5 5. MEMPHIG (vs TUL): +153.5 5. ARIZONA (vs ULL): +153.5 5. MAMIA (vs ULL): +162.8 7. ARIX (vs ULL): +153.5 5. MAMIA (vs ULL): +153.5 5. MAMIA (vs ULL): +153.5 5. MAMIA (vs ULL): +153.5 5. ARIZONA (vs ULL): +153.5 5. ARI	OFFENSIVE STATISTICS		DEFENSIVE STATISTICS		OFF/DEF DIFFERENTIALS	
I. MERPHIS (vs. TUL): 22.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs. CON): 41.0 1. CINCINNATI (vs. CON): 41.8 J. DORS TO, WOYN : 28.8 2. ARJZONAS TV (vs. GRS): 42.8 2. ALJZAMA (vs. LAL): 44.6.3 J. UP (rp. 1): 25.5 J. SU (vs. MS): 72.8 J. SU (vs. MS): 72.8 J. ALJZAMA (vs. LAL): 44.6.3 J. UDF (vs. PTI): -25.5 J. SU (vs. MS): 72.8 J. ALJZAMA (vs. LAL): -21.6 J. ALJZAMA (vs. LAL): -21.6 J. COLORADO (vs. UCL): -43.0 S. COLORADO (vs. UCL): -23.7 S. ALJZAMA (vs. LAL): -21.6 S. UDF (vs. PTI): -58.4 J. COLORADO (vs. UCL): -43.7 J. CARDAR (vs. BG): -420.8 J. CARDAR (vs. BG): -410.1 S. MERMING (vs. TUL): -43.7 J. CARDAR (vs. USC): -133.7 J. CARDAR (vs. BG): -410.1 J	1. MEMPHIS (or TUL): -27.3 1. CINCINNATI (so CON): -41.0 1. CINCINNATI (so CON): -51.8 2. ADRES TG, WYON): -26.8 2. ALRABMA (so LS, LA): -46.5 2. ALRABMA (so LS, LA): -46.6 3. UCF (vp TT): -25.5 3. LSI (vp MI): -22.0 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SA): -44.6 ALRABMA (so LLA): -24.8 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SA): -24.7 4. COLORADO (vs UCL): -43.0 5. COLORADO (vs UCL): -23.7 5. ALRABMA (so LAL): -21.6 5. ULCF (vs TTU): -34.0 7. HAWAII (vs SJS): -21.6 7. INDIANA (vs RUT): -16.8 7. IMAMI (vs UNC): -33.7 7. HAWAII (vs SJS): -21.6 7. INDIANA (vs RUT): -16.8 7. IMAMI (vs UNC): -33.7 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs BD): -210.5 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs BD): -101.8 2. CEORCIAN TECH (vs BD): -101.8 2. ARIV (vs BUF): -113.8 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs BD): -101.8 2. CEORCIAN TECH (vs BD): -101.8 2. ARIV (vs BUF): -113.8 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs BD): -101.8 2. ANNY (vs BUF): -103.0 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs CAU): -133.0 4. ALRABMA (vs UUC): +13.3 4. ALRABMA (vs UUC): +13.8 3. CONCINA TECH (vs BD): -3.0 5. AREAPHACHIAN ST (vs CAU): -14.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +13.8 2. ARIV (vs BUF): -113.3 7. UTAS (vs UUF): +13.5 7. ARIV (vs UUF): +16.8 2. ARIV (vs BUF): -1	OFFENSIVE STATISTICS	W/I		W/I		W/I
2.0055 2.A020NA 5T, vis ORS1: -22.8 2.AUABMAM (vis LAL): -24.8 2.AUABMAM (vis LAL): -44.8.3. 4.AUABMAM (vis LAL): -24.8 4.APPALACHINN ST (vis SAL): -21.7 4.CO.ORADO (vis UCL): -32.7 5.OUCRED (vis RS): -22.8 5.ALABMAM (vis LAL): -24.8 5.UC (vis RS): -24.8 6.APPALACHINN ST (vis SAL): -21.6 5.UC (vis RS): -21.6 5.UC (vis RS): -43.0 6.APPALACHINN ST (vis SAL): -22.9 6.CO.ORADO (vis UCL): -19.3 6.MMPMHIK (vis TUL): -43.0 7.HAWMI (vis SUS): -21.6 7. INDIANA (vis RUT): +16.8 7. INDIANA (vis RUT): +16.8 7. INDIANA (vis RUT): +16.8 7.HAWMI (vis SUS): -21.6 7. INDIANA (vis CUT): +16.7 1. CECRGIA TECH (vis RS): -161.8 2. CECRGIAN TECH (vis RS): -161.8 3.APPLA/CHINN T (vis SUS): -228.8 4.AIR ZONA (vis UCL): +175.7 4.AIR ZONA (vis UCL): +175.5 5.AREQNA (vis UCR): +161.5 3.APPLA/CHINN T (vis SUS): -228.8 5.MEMPHIK (vis TUL): +135.5 5.AREQNA (vis UCR): +161.5 5.MEMPHIK (vis TUL): +176.0 5.MEMPHIK (vis TUL): +176.0 5.MEMPHIK (vis TUL): +176.0 5.MEMPHIK (vis UUL): +177.0 5.AREX (vis	2.8058.51 / us WOQ: +28.8 2.AABZONA ST (us ORS): +24.8 2.AABAMA (us LAL): +48.3. 4.AABAMA (us LAL): +25.5 3.LSIV (us MIS): +22.7 4. COLORADO (us UCL): +33.0 5.COLORADO (us UCL): +32.7 15.ALBAMA (us LAL): +24.8 4. APPALACHINIS T(us SAL): +21.7 4. COLORADO (us UCL): +33.0 6.APPALACHINIS T(us SAL): +22.9 6. COLORADO (us UCL): +33.7 15.UCF (us H): +34.0 15.UCF (us H): +34.0 7.HAWAII (us SLS): +21.6 7. INDIANA (us RUT): +16.8 17. MIAMI (us URC): +33.7 10. Naming Yands Ganned (PYPO) WL Rushing Yands Albowed (RYPO) WL Rushing Yands Differential (RYPO) WL 1. OECORIA TECH (us SC): +151.8 2. CECORIANT (us CAN): +161.6 3. APPLA/CHINIS (us CAN): +228.8 3.UB (us CAN): +153.5 5. ARIZONA (us ULL): +153.5 5. ARIZONA (us ULL): +154.5 5. ARIZONA (us ULL): +154.5 5. MIAMI (us UCC): +127.0 6. MIAMI (us UCC): +128.5 7. ARIAN (us UCC): +127.0		VV/L		VV/L		VV/L
3. UCF (vp. PT): -22.5 3. LSU (vp. MS): -22.0 3. APPALACHINN ST (vs. SAL): -24.6 4. APPALACHINN ST (vs. SAL): -24.6 4. COLONADO (vs. UCL): -23.7 5. COLONADO (vs. UCL): -23.7 5. ALABAMA (vs. LAL): -21.6 5. UUF (vs. PT): -35.6 4. COLONADO (vs. UCL): -43.0 7. HAWAHI (vs. SLI): -21.6 7. NDIANA (vs. RUT): +16.8 7. MAMI (vs. UUF): -33.7 7. 7. HAWAHI (vs. SLI): -21.6 7. NDIANA (vs. RUT): +16.8 7. MAMI (vs. UUF): -33.7 7. 1. GERORIA TECH (vs. BG): -421.8 1. CONTANTI (vs. CONT. +167.8 1. CERORIA TECH (vs. BG): -401.8 2. CONCINNATI (vs. CONT. +167.8 1. CERORIA TECH (vs. BG): -403.8 3. APPLACHINN ST (vs. SAL): -208.8 3. APPLACHINA ST (vs. SAL): -420.8 3. APP	3. UCF (ver PT) - 25.5 3. LSU (ver MS): +22.0 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SA): +44.6 A. ALBBAM (ver LL): +23.8 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SA): -21.7 4. COLTAROO (vs UCL): +43.0 5. COLORADO (vs UCL): -23.7 5. ALBBAM (ver LL): +21.6 5. UUF (vs PT): -36.8 4. COLTAROO (vs UCL): +33.0 6. COLORADO (vs UCL): -23.7 7. INDIANA (vs RUT): -16.8 7. INDIANA (vs RUT): -17.8 7. INDIANA (vs RUT): -16.8 7. ANAR (vs RUT): -22.8 7. ANAR (vs RUT): -17.8 7. ANAR (vs RUT): -17.8 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
4.ALABAMA (vs.LAL): 24.8 4.APPALACHINN ST (vs.SAL): 21.7 4. CADQIARAD (vs.LAL): 74.30 6. SOUCRADO (vs.LC): 23.7 5.ALABAM (vs.LAL): 74.6 5.UCF (vs.FC): 74.66 6. APPALACHINN ST (vs.SAL): 22.9 6. COUCRADO (vs.UCL): 19.3 6. MMPHIS (vs.TUL): 43.0 7. HAWMI (vs.SIS): 21.6 7. NDIANA (vs.RUT): 16.8 7. MMMI (vs.UNC): 43.3.7 7. HAWMI (vs.SIS): 21.6 7. NDIANA (vs.CUT): 16.8 7. MMMI (vs.UNC): 43.3.7 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs.BG): 23.9.5 1. CINCINNATI (vs.CON): 167.8 1. CIRCORIA TECH (vs.BG): 161.8 2. CONCINNATI (vs.CON): 120.8 3. UAP (vs.UNC): 151.0 3. MICHIGAN (vs.UNC): 151.6 3. APPALACHINN (vs.CON): 120.8 2. CINCINNATI (vs.CON): 120.8 3. UAP (vs.UNC): 157.0 4. ALABAM (vs.LAL): 145.5 5. AREOVA (vs.UNC): 167.0 5. MARMI (vs.UNC): 167.0 5. MMMI (vs.UNC): 177.0 5. TOU (vs.ISU): 177.0 5. ALAZONA (vs.UNC): 167.0 5. MMMI (vs.UNC): 177.0 5. MARMI (vs.UNC): 177.0 7. ARE FORCE (vs. NEV): -123.5 5. AREOVAN ST (vs.OR): 143.5 5. MMMI (vs.UNC): 177.0 5. MARMI (vs.UNC): 177.0 7. ALAZONA (vs.UNC): 177.0 6. MAMI (vs.UNC): 177.0 5. MAMI (vs.UNC): 177.0 5. MAMI (vs.UNC): 177.0 7. ALADONA (vs.UNC): 177.1 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs.BG): 177.0 <td>4, ALJAMA (vs.L.L): 42.8 4, APPALACHIAN ST (vs.SAL): 22.7 F. COLORADO (vs.UCL): 43.0 5 6, APPALACHIAN ST (vs.SAL): 22.9 5 COLORADO (vs.UCL): 43.0 5 C.PECPADA S C.PECPADA</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	4, ALJAMA (vs.L.L): 42.8 4, APPALACHIAN ST (vs.SAL): 22.7 F. COLORADO (vs.UCL): 43.0 5 6, APPALACHIAN ST (vs.SAL): 22.9 5 COLORADO (vs.UCL): 43.0 5 C.PECPADA S C.PECPADA						
5. COLORADO (vs.UCL): -227. 6. ALABAMA (vs.LAL): -221.6 5. UCF (vs.PIT): -36.6 6. COLORADO (vs.UCL): -193.3 F. INDIANA (vs.RUT): +16.8 F. INDIANA (vs.RUT): +16.8 7. HAWAI (vs.SUS): -21.6 F. INDIANA (vs.RUT): +16.8 F. INDIANA (vs.RUT): +16.8 7. HAWAI (vs.BUF): -21.6 F. INDIANA (vs.RUT): +16.8 F. I. I. GEORGAI TECH (vs.BQ): -23.6 7. HAWAI (vs.BUF): -151.8 E. C.EORCIA TECH (vs.BQ): -40.13 E. C.EORCIA TECH (vs.BQ): -40.13 2. ARBY (vs.BUF): -151.8 E. C.EORCIA TECH (vs.BQ): -40.13 E. C.EORCIA TECH (vs.BQ): -40.13 2. ARBY (vs.BUF): -151.8 E. C.EORCIA TECH (vs.BQ): -40.13 E. C.EORCIA TECH (vs.BQ): -40.13 3. LAB (vs.HUN: +132.0 S. ARPHACHALMAN (vs.BUF): +132.0 F. ALABAMA (vs.LUP): -113.4 4. AREZNA (vs.UDC): +132.3 E. MAMI (vs.LUP): +10.5 F. ALABAMA (vs.LUP): +10.5 F. ALABAMA (vs.LUP): +10.5 5. TOL (vs.BUF): +121.2 E. MAMI (vs.LUP): +10.5 F. ALABAMA (vs.LUP): +10.5 F. ALABAMA (vs.LUP): +10.5 F. ALABAMA (vs.LUP): +10.5 6. TOL (vs.BUF): +121.3 T.U.TERADORTIC (vs.BUF): +112.3 F. L.MADWA (vs.LUP): +10.5 F. ALABAMA (vs.LUP): +10.1	S. COLORADO (bs. UCL): 423.7 S. ALARAMA (bs. LAL: 421.6 E. UCF (bs. PT): -36.6 S. CAUCARADO (bs. UCL): 433.7 E. OLEPHARS (bs. TAL): -36.7 Z. HAWAII (bs. SLS): +21.6 T. NDIANA (bs. RUT): -16.8 T. MAMI (bs. UNC): -33.7 Rushing Yards Gained (RYPO) WUL Rushing Yards Gained (RYPO) WUL L. GEORGIA TECH (bs. BG): -23.8 T. IOLONANA (bs. GON: +167.8 T. IOLONANA (bs. GON: +167.8 2. ABMY (bs. UNC): +137.0 S. ALARAMA (bs. DN): +161.8 Z. CUNCINNA (bs. GON): +268.8 3. LABR (bs. H1:18.0 S. MEMPINS (bs. TAL): +35.0 S. ALARAMA (bs. LA): +154.5 H. I. IE-GROCA (bs. RUY): +203.0 5. MEMPINS (bs. TAL): +132.0 S. ALARAMA (bs. LA): +154.5 H. ALR FORCE (bs. RUY): +203.0 S. MEMPINS (bs. TAL): +16.0 5. MEMPINS (bs. TAL): +132.0 F. ALARAMA (bs. LA): +154.5 S. MEMPINS (bs. TAL): +16.3 T. ARAY (bs. BUN): +167.4 7. ARA FORCE (bs. RUY): +123.0 T. CARAFORE (bs. BCH): +161.5 T. ARAY (bs. BUN): +167.4 T. ARAY (bs. BUN): +167.4 7. ARA FORCE (bs. RUY): +123.0 T. CARAFORE (bs. BCH): +163.5 S. MEMPINS (bs. CLUY: +24.5 S. MAMAI (bs. DNC): +167.4 7. ARAY (bs. BUN): +163.7 T. ARAY (bs. BUN): +163.7 T. ARAY (bs. BUN): +167.8 S. CLORARE (bs. CL						
6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): -22.9 E. COLORADO (vs UCL): -19.3 E. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): -34.0 7. HAWAII (vs SLI): -21.6 F. INDAMA (vs RUT): +16.8 T. MANIA (vs SUN): -33.7 MARING SLID: -21.6 F. INDAMA (vs RUT): +16.8 T. MARING VS UNIS: -33.7 A. PROVIS BUT: +151.8 D. CEORIAI TECH (vs B0): +201.8 T. GEORIAI TECH (vs B0): +401.3 S. UAB (vs CHA): +151.8 D. CEORIAI TECH (vs B0): +161.8 S. APPLACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +208.8 S. MEDHINE (vs TUL): +135.5 S. ARZONA SUL): +154.5 S. MEDHINE (vs TUL): +135.5 S. ARZONA SUL): +161.6 S. TOLI (vs ISD): +127.2 E. MARM (vs UNC): +142.3 F. UTSA (vs UTF): +164.6 A. APPLACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +208.8 S. TOLI (vs ISD): +127.2 E. MARM (vs UNC): +142.3 F. UTSA (vs UTF): +164.6 A. APPLACHIAN ST (vs GAL): +167.4 T. ARE CORCE (vs NEV): +121.3 F. UTSA (vs UTF): +163.5 F. ARMAY (vs UTF): +163.5 F. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +43.5 J. BAVAIL (vs USD): +172.7 E. MARM (vs UNC): +123.5 F. ARPHACHIAN ST (vs GAL): +163.5 F. ARPHACHIAN ST (vs GAL): +163.5 J. CUL): +183.5 F. UTSA (vs UTF): +163.5 F. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +43.5 F. ARPHACHIAN ST (vs GAL): +163.5 J. AVAIL (vs SJS): +161.5 S. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +163.5 F	6. APPAACHIAN ST (vs SAL): -22.9 6. COLORADO (vs UCL): +13.3 6. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): -34.0 7. HAWAII (vs SJS): +21.6 17. INDIANA (vs RUT): +16.8 18. INDIANA (vs RUT): +16.8 18. INDIANA (vs RUT): +16.1 18. INDIANA (vs RUT): +16.9 19. INDIANA (vs RUT):						
7. HAWAII (vs SJS): +216 P. INDIANA (vs RUT): +16.8 T. MIANI (vs UNC): +33.7 Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG) WUL Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG) WUL J. CORGIN TECH (vs BC): -403.8 I. C. INDIANTI (vs CON): +167.8 I. C. GRORA TECH (vs BC): -403.8 J. ARBY (vs BUF): +151.8 E. 2. CENCINAT II: 60.011: +26.8 E. 2. CENCINAT II: 60.011: +226.8 J. ARBY (vs BUF): +151.8 E. 2. CENCINAT II: 60.011: +26.8 E. ALIZONA (vs USC): +137.0 E. ALIZONA (vs USC): +137.0 J. ARBY (vs TUL): +135.5 E. ARADINA (vs USC): +132.5 E. MAMI (vs UNC): +167.4 F. ALIZONA (vs USC): +167.0 S. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +135.5 E. ARADINA (vs USC): +133.7 T. UT38 (vs TUT): +115.5 T. ARBY (vs BUF): +116.5 F. MANN (vs USC): +167.4 Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) Wul Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) Wul Yards Per Rush Cained (YPR) Wul Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) Wul Yards Per Rush Cained (YPR) Wul Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) Wul Yards Per Rush Cained (YPR) Wul Yards Per Rush Cained (YPR) Wul Yards Per Rush Cained (YPR) Wul Yards Per Rush Cained (YPR) Wul	Z. HAWAII (vs SJS): +21.6 T. INDIANA (vs RUT): +16.8 T. Mushing Yards Gained (RYPG) W/L Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG) W/L Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG) W/L L GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +23.5 L C. MCRINAT (Vs GUN): +167.8 L C. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +40.3 2. ARM (vs BUF): +151.8 2. CEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +161.6 B. Z. CINCINNAT (Vs CON): +226.8 A. ALBAMA (vs LU): +154.5 L A. REZONA 57 (vs CON): +167.8 L A. ALBAMA (vs LU): +154.5 L A. REZONA 57 (vs CON): +167.5 L A. REZONA 57 (vs CON): +163.5 S. MULL; +170.0 L Marking Vs LU; +170.0 Marking Vs LU; +1						
Numbing Yards Gained (RYPG) WUL Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG) WUL Allowed (RYPG) WUL Support Allowed (RYPG) WUL Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) WUL	Rushing Yards Gained (RYPG) WUL Rushing Yards Allowed (RYPG) WUL Yards Allowed (YPR) WUL Yards Par Rush Mulw (St						
1. GEORGIA TECH (vs. BG): +238.5 I. CINCINANT (vs. CON): +137.8 II. GEORGIA TECH (vs. BG): +401.3 2. ARMY (vs. BUP; +151.8 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs. BG): +161.6 2. CINCINANT (vs. CON): +228.8 3. UAB (vs. CHA): +138.0 3. MICHIGANI ST (vs. CM): +161.6 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs. CM): +175.0 4. ARLZONA ST (vs. CGN): +133.5 5. AMZONA ST (vs. CGN): +133.5 5. MEMPHIS (vs. TUL): +175.0 6. TCU (vs. BU): +127.2 6. MIAMI (vs. UNC): +123.5 5. AMZONA ST (vs. CGN): +133.5 5. MAMI (vs. UNC): +176.0 6. TCU (vs. BU): +127.2 7. TDKA (vs. UTP): +118.5 T. ARKY (vs. BUP): +127.3 7. UTSA (vs. UTP): +118.5 7. ARKY (vs. BUP): +127.3 7. AR FORCE (vs. NC): +21.3 7. UTSA (vs. UTP): +118.5 T. ARKY (vs. BUP): +17.4 1. CONCINANT (vs. CON): +3.3 1. CONCINANT (vs. CON): +3.3 1. CONCINANT (vs. CON): +3.3 2. MEMPHIS (vs. TUL): +3.8 2. CONCINANT (vs. CON): +4.1 3. ANZ/UNL (vs. SUS): +3.1 3. ANZ/UNL (vs. US): +2.2 4. ANZY (vs. SUS): +3.1 3. CONCINANT (vs. CON): +2.1 3. CONCINANT (vs. CON): +3.3 1. CONCINANT (vs. CON): +2.1 3. CONCINANT (vs. CON): +4.1 3. CONCINANT (vs. CON): +3.5 7. TULN: +1.5 5. MICHIGAN (vs. SUS): +3.2 5. APPLACHIAN ST (vs. SAU): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs. NV): +2.3 7. LA MONROC (vs. GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN	1. GEORGIA TECH (vs. BG): +238.5 I. CUNCINNATI (vs. CON): +187.8 I. GEORGIA TECH (vs. BG): +101.3 2. ABMY (vs. BUP): +151.8 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs. BG): +161.8 2. CUNCINNATI (vs. CON): +228.8 3. JAB (vs. CHA): +138.0 3. MICHIGAN ST (vs. CM): +161.6 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs. SAL): +208.8 4. AREZONA (vs. ISC): +137.0 4. ALABAMA (vs. LAL): +154.5 4. AIR FORCE (vs. INV): +723.0 6. TOU (vs. ISU): +127.2 T. MIZONA ST (vs. ORS): +143.5 5. MIEMHIS (vs. INU): +176.0 6. TOU (vs. ISU): +127.2 T. UTSA (vs. UTP): +118.5 T. ARWY (vs. BUP: +163.5 7. AIR FORCE (vs. INV): +121.3 T. UTSA (vs. UTP): +118.5 T. ARWY (vs. BUP: +163.5 Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) WIL Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) WIL 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs. BG): +3.1 3. ARZONA ST (vs. ORD): +3.4 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs. BG): +5.0 3. HAWMI (vs. SL): +3.3 3. ARZONA ST (vs. ORD): +2.4 5. LAMOWINC (vs. GST): +3.7 4. TOU (vs. ISU): +2.8 5. MAMMI (vs. UNC): +2.4 5. LAMOWINC (vs. GST): +3.5 6. UAB (vs. CHA): +2.4 6. TROY (vs. CDU): +2.4 5. LAMOWINC (vs. GST): +3.5 7. LU MONROU VS. VS.3 T. L. MONROU VS. VS.3 T. CINCINNAT INS (vs. CDU): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN VS. (v	1. HAWAII (VS 555). 121.0				1. IMPANI (VS 0100). +35.7	
2. ARW/ vs BUF; +151.8 2. GEORGIA TECH vs B0; -161.8 2. CINCINNATI vs CON; -228.8 3. UAB (vs CHA): +138.0 3. MICHGAN ST (vs CON): -161.6 3. PPALACHIANS ST (vs SAL): +038.8 4. ARZONA (vs USC): +137.0 4. ALBBAMA (vs LAL): +154.5 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +135.5 5. ARZONA ST (vs CRS): +143.5 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +135.5 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +135.5 5. ARZONA ST (vs CRS): +143.5 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +177.0 6. MAMM (vs UNC): +167.4 7. AR FORCE (vs NEY): +121.3 T. UTSA (vs UTP): +118.5 T. ARRY (vs BUF): +163.5 7. ARRY ARAF ARAF ARRY ARRY (vs BUF): +163.5 7.	2. ABMY (vs BUF): +151.8 2. CEORGIA TECH (vs B0): -161.8 2. CINCINANT (vs CON: -228.8 3. UAB (vs CHV): +132.0 4. ALRADMA (vs LAL): +161.6 3. APPLA/CHMN ST (vs ADL): -228.8 4. ARIZONA (vs USC): -137.0 4. ALRADMA (vs LAL): +161.6 4. APPLA/CHMN ST (vs ADL): -228.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +132.5 5. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +143.5 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +172.7 6. MAMM (vs UNC): +127.2 6. MAMM (vs UNC): +172.9 6. MAMM (vs UNC): +167.4 7. ARR FORCE (vs NEV): +127.3 7. UTSA (vs UTP): +118.5 7. ARRY (vs BUF): +163.5 Vards Per Rush Gained (YPR) WL Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR) WL MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +3.3 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +4.8 2. 1. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +3.4 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +4.8 4. 1. HAWAII (vs SLN): +2.2 5. MIAMI (vs UNC): +2.4 5. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +3.7 6. 6. UAB (vs CHA): +2.4 6. TROY (vs CUL): +2.4 6. MCHIGAN Vs (vs SLN): +3.3 7. 6. UAB (vs CHA): +2.4 6. TROY (vs CUL): +2.4 6. MCHIGAN Vs (vs MN): +3.5 7. 7. MCHIGAN (vs NN): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. 7. MCHIG		W/L	······································	W/L	Rushing Yds Differential (RYPG)	W/L
3. UAB (IG CHA): 138.0 B. MICHIGAN ST (IG CM): 1616 B. APPALACHINA ST (IG SAL): 208.8 A RIZDIA (IG USC): 137.0 IA ALABAYA (ISL L): 1515 IA. RFORCE (IS NEV): 123.9 5. MEMPHIS (IG TUL): 135.5 IS. ANEXDAK (IGL (IGL (IGL (IGL (IGL (IGL (IGL (IGL	3: UAB (vs CHA): +138.0 3: MCHICAN ST (vs CM): +161.6 3: APPLACHAN ST (vs SAL): -208.8 4: ADRIZON AV USC): +137.0 4: ALABAMA (vs LL): +154.5 4: AIR FORCE (vs FUP: +203.0 5: MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +135.5 5: ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +143.5 5: MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +176.0 6: TGU (vs ISU): +127.2 6: MIAMI (vs UNC): +123.9 6: MIAMI (vs UNC): +163.5 5: MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +176.0 7: AIR FORCE (vs NEV): +121.3 7: UTSA (vs UTP): +118.5 7: ARMY (vs BUT): +165.5 7: ARMY (vs BUT): +165.5 Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Status 200 2. MCHIGAN ST (vs CON): +3.4 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +4.8 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +3.4 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +4.8 3: HAWAII (vs SUS): +3.1 3: ARIZONA ST (vs CON): +2.4 5: LA MONROE (vs GST): +3.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +4.8 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +3.5 7. TA MOVROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MCHIGAN (vs NV): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MCHIGAN (vs NV): +2.5 5. MARIANI (vs LNN): +2.5 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs S	1. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +239.5		1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +187.8		1. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +401.3	
4. ARIZONA (vs USC): -137.0 4. ALBAMA (vs LAL): -134.5 4. AIR FONDA (vs USC): -127.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): -135.5 5. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): -143.5 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): -135.5 7. AIR FORCE (vs NEV): -121.3 7. UTSA (vs UTP): +118.5 7. ARBY (vs USC): -157.4 7. AIR FORCE (vs NEV): -121.3 7. UTSA (vs UTP): +118.5 7. ARBY (vs USC): -153.5 7. AIR FORCE (vs NEV): -123.9 1. CICKINATI (vs CON): -3.9 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): -5.0 1. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): -3.5 2. MICHIGAN ST (vs CN): -3.4 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): -4.8 3. HAWAII (vs SS): -3.1 3. ARIZONA ST (vs CN): -3.4 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): -4.8 4. TOU (vs ISU): -2.8 4. N ILLINOIS (vs CRS): -3.1 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +4.1 4. TOU (vs ISU): -2.8 6. TMCV (vs CCU): -2.4 6. MICHIGAN ST (vs SAL): -3.9 6. UAB (vs CHA): -2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NV): -2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 2. REAVARA (vs ALI): +173.3 2. CAUCHIGAN (vs MSY): +183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SAL): +0.5 2. ARCANAS ST (vs SGU): +20.3 2. CAUCHIGAN (vs MSY): +183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SAL): +0.2 3. NEVADAV (vs ASE): +175.5 3. CAUCHIG	4 AL2.00A (os USC): -137.0 4 ALABANA (vs LAL): -154.5 4 ALR PORTS (vs UL): +137.0 5 5 MEMPHS (vs UL): +137.5 5 MEMPHS (vs UL): +177.0 5 MIAII (vs UNC): +123.9 5 MIAII (vs UNC): +167.4 7 7 AIR PORCE (vs NEV): +121.3 7 UTSS (vs UTP): +118.5 7 ARMY (vs UTP): +12.5 7 ARMY (vs UTP): +23.5 7 ARMY (vs UTP): +23.	2. ARMY (vs BUF): +151.8		2. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +161.8		2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +226.8	
5. MEMPHIS (xs TUL): +135.5 5. ARIZONA ST (xs ORS): +143.5 5. MEMPHIS (ts TUL): +176.0 7. AIR FORCE (xs NEV): +121.3 7. UTSA (ys UTP; +118.5 7. ARMY (xs BUF): +163.5 7. AIR FORCE (xs NEV): +121.3 7. UTSA (ys UTP; +118.5 7. ARMY (xs BUF): +163.5 7. AIR FORCE (vs NEV): +121.3 7. UTSA (ys UTP; +118.5 7. ARMY (xs BUF): +163.5 7. LINEMPTIS (vs TUL): +33.9 1. CINCINARTI (xs CON): -34 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +48.8 3. HAWAII (vs SS): +3.1 3. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +3.1 3. CINCINNARTI (vs CON): -44.1 3. HAWAII (vs SS): +3.1 3. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +3.1 3. CINCINNART (vs SAL): +2.8 4. N TULI (vs SSS): +3.1 3. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +3.1 3. CINCINNART (vs SAL): +2.7 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.2 5. MIMMI (vs UNC): +2.4 5. L MOCHARCH (vs SAL): +3.5 6. UAB (vs CHA): +2.4 6. TROY (vs CCU): +2.4 5. L MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. IL MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WIL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WIL 1. BOILSE ST (vs GSU): +115.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs NV): +23.5 Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WIL 1. BOILSE ST (vs GSU): +	S. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +175.0 S. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +175.0 G. TCU (vs ISU): +127.2 G. MIANI (vs UNC): +123.9 G. MIANI (vs UNC): +167.4 7. JAIR FORCE (vs NEV): +121.3 T. UTSA (vs UTP): +118.5 T. ARMT (vs BUE): +163.5 Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) W/L ZeGRORA TECH (vs BG): -3.5 Z. MICHIGAN TS (vs CM): -3.4 Z. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +4.8 S. CANCINNATI (vs COV): -4.4 S. CANCINNATI (vs COV): -4.4 S. CANCINNATI (vs COV): -2.4 G. LA MONRE (vs GST): -3.7 E. G. MOR (vs GST): -4.7 S. CANCINNATI (vs COV): -2.4 G. MICHIGAN (vs NW): -3.5 T. MICHIGAN (vs NW): -2.3 T. LA MONRE (vs GST): -4.2 T. MICHIGAN (vs NW): -2.3 T. LA MONRE (vs GST): -4.2 T. MICHIGAN (vs NW): -2.3 T. LA MONRE (vs GST): -4.2 T. MICHIGAN (vs NW): -2.3 T. LA MONRE (vs GST): -4.2 T. MICHIGAN (vs NW): -2.3 T. LA MONRE (vs GST): -4.2 T. MICHIGAN (vs NW): -2.3 T. LA MONRE (vs GSW): -1.3 S. SOUTHERM MISS (vs	3. UAB (vs CHA): +138.0		3. MICHIGAN ST (vs CM): +161.6		3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +208.8	
6. TCU (ros ISU): +127.2 6. MIAMI (ros UNC): +123.9 6. MIAMI (ros UNC): +167.4 7. AIR FORCE (ros NEV): +121.3 7. UTSA (ros UTP): +118.5 7. ARMY (ros BUP): +163.5 Yards Per Rush Glaned (YPR) WIL Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR) WIL Yards Per Rush Glaned (YPR) WIL Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR) WIL J. CEORGIA TECH (ros BD): -3.3 2. MICHIGAN ST (ros CN): -3.9 1. GEORGIA TECH (ros BD): -4.0 J. HAWAII (ros SUS): -3.1 3. ARIZONA ST (ros CR): -3.1 3. CINCINNATI (ros CON): +4.1 4. TCU (ros ISU): -2.2 5. MIAMI (ros UNC): -2.4 5. LA MONROE (ros GS): +3.7 6. JAR (ros GNL): -2.4 6. TROY (ros CCU): -2.4 5. LA MONROE (ros CN): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (ros WN): -2.3 7. LA MONROE (ros GS): -2.2 7. MICHIGAN (ros WN): +3.5 Passing Yards Glaned (PYPG) WIL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WIL J. NEVADA (ros RL): +17.3 4. HOKHIGAN (ros ML): +17.3 1. ENDISE ST (ros WOY): +22.1 1. ENDISE ST (ros WOY): +23.5 7. WASH (ros UL): +17.3 4. ARPALACHIAN ST (ros SAL): +13.7 3. SOUTHERN MISS (ros AUB): +123.4 3. SOUTHERN MISS (ros AUB): +123.4 7. WASH (ros UL): +17.3 5. MEMEMPHIS (ros TUL): +117.8 <td< td=""><td>G. TCU (vs ISU): +127.2 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +123.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +167.4 7. AIR FORCE (vs NEV): +121.3 7. UTSA (vs UTP): +118.5 7. ARMY (vs BUF): +163.5 Varis Per Rush Gaired (VPR) W/L Varis Per Rush Allowed (VPR) W/L L MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.9 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +3.9 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +3.5 2. MICHIGAN ST (vs ORS): +3.1 3. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +3.1 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +4.1 A. TOU (vs ISU): -2.8 4. N ILLINOIS (vs EMU): +2.7 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.9 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 S. DAPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.5 5. MIAMI (vs UNC): +2.4 6. ILA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 6. MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 B. JABLY (vs UNC): +2.4 6. TROY (vs COL): +2.4 6. MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 7. ILA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 B. JABLY (vs WV): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 7. ILA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 Passing Yards Gaired (PYPG) W/L Passing Yards Gaired (vs NV): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 1. EXCINCHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.3 J. NUKADA (vs NV): +2.21 C. MICHIGAN (vs MNY): +3.5 1. LONCINATI (vs CON): +201.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +24.4 5. MICHIGAN (vs MNY): +3.5 J. MARIANSA ST (vs SAL): +13.3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	G. TCU (vs ISU): +127.2 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +123.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +167.4 7. AIR FORCE (vs NEV): +121.3 7. UTSA (vs UTP): +118.5 7. ARMY (vs BUF): +163.5 Varis Per Rush Gaired (VPR) W/L Varis Per Rush Allowed (VPR) W/L L MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.9 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +3.9 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +3.5 2. MICHIGAN ST (vs ORS): +3.1 3. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +3.1 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +4.1 A. TOU (vs ISU): -2.8 4. N ILLINOIS (vs EMU): +2.7 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.9 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 S. DAPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.5 5. MIAMI (vs UNC): +2.4 6. ILA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 6. MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 B. JABLY (vs UNC): +2.4 6. TROY (vs COL): +2.4 6. MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 7. ILA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 B. JABLY (vs WV): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 7. ILA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 Passing Yards Gaired (PYPG) W/L Passing Yards Gaired (vs NV): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 1. EXCINCHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.3 J. NUKADA (vs NV): +2.21 C. MICHIGAN (vs MNY): +3.5 1. LONCINATI (vs CON): +201.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +24.4 5. MICHIGAN (vs MNY): +3.5 J. MARIANSA ST (vs SAL): +13.3						
7. AIR FORCE (vs NEV): +121.3 7. UTSA (vs UTP): +118.5 7. ARMY (vs BUF): -163.5 Yards Per Rush Gained (VPR) Will Yards Per Rush Differential (VPR) Will 1. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): -3.9 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): -3.9 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +5.0 2. MICHIGAN ST (vs CN): -3.1 3. ARXIV (vs SUS): -3.2 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): -2.5 5. MIAHI (vs UNC): -2.4 5. AMCMICAN ST (vs SAL): -2.5 5. AMCMICAN ST (vs SAL): -2.5 5. AMCMICAN ST (vs CSU): -2.4 5. AMCHIGAN ST (vs CSU): -3.7 6. MICHIGAN ST (vs CSU): -3.7 7. IAMONICE (vs GST): -3.7 7. IAMONICE (vs GST): -3.7 6. MICHIGAN ST (vs CSU): -3.7 7. IAMONICE (vs GST): -3.7	7. AIR FORCE (vs NEV): +121.3 7. UTSA (vs UTP): +118.5 7. ARMY (vs BUF): +163.5 Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) WIL Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR) WIL L MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.9 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +3.9 1. CEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +5.0 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +4.8 S. ARAVALI (vs SLS): +3.1 3. ARZIZONA ST (vs CM): +3.4 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +4.8 3. ARZIZONA ST (vs CM): +3.4 S. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.5 5. MIMM (vs UNC): +2.4 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 S. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.5 6. TROY (vs CCU): +2.4 6. MICHIGAN ST (vs (vs WY): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WLL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WLL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WLL 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +23.1 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +148.7 2. HAWAII (vs SJS): +206.4 8. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +232.9 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +147.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +173.3 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +23.2 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +23.2 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +23.2 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +23.2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR) W/L Yards Per Rush Differential (YPR) W/L 1. NEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.9 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): -3.9 1. ECGRGIA TECH (vs BG): +5.0 2. MECHIGAN ST (vs CM): +3.4 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +4.8 3. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.1 3. ARIZONA ST (vs CM): +2.7 4. APLACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.9 5. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +4.1 4. ALLINOIS (vs EMU): +2.7 4. APLACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.9 5. LAM NON ST (vs SAL): +2.5 5. MIAMI (vs UNC): +2.4 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): -3.7 7 6. UAB (vs CHA): +2.2 5. TROV (vs CCU): +2.4 5. MORH (vs GST): -3.5 7 7. MICHIGAN (vs WW): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs WW): +3.5 7 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) W/L Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) W/L Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) W/L 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +195.3 2. C. MICHIGAN (vs MVY): +181.7 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +224.5 2. AVAMANS (vs SLS): +173.6 5. ADTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +107.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +107.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +107.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +173.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +22.9 4. EMCHIGAN (vs ML): +2	Yards Per Rush Gained (YPR) Yards Per Rush Allowed (YPR) Yards 1. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.9 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +3.9 1. ECORGIA TECH (vs BG): +5.0 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +3.5 2. MICHIGAN ST (vs CN): +3.1 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +4.4 3. HAWAII (vs SS): +3.1 3. ARIZONA ST (vs CNS): +3.1 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +4.1 4. TCU (vs ISU): +2.8 4. N ILLINOIS (vs EMU): +2.7 4. APALACHIAN ST (vs GST): +3.7 6. JAB (vs CHA): +2.4 5. MIAMI (vs INC): +2.4 5. MIAMI (vs (vs GST): +3.7 6. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +2.3 7. I.A MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPC) W/L Passing Yards Allowed (PYPC) W/L Passing Yards Gained (PYPC) W/L Passing Yards Allowed (PYPC) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WO): +22.1.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +10.1.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WO): +264.5 2. C MICHIGAN (vs NV): +13.3 2. APKANASAS ST (vs SGU): +153.3 3. MASSACHUSETTS (vs OHU): +161.6 3. AUXUII: vs 20.0.1.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WO): +264.5 3. NEVADA (vs AF): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJL): +137.3 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +20.2 2. APALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +20.2 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. ME						
1. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): 4.3.9 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 4.3.9 1. CEORGIA TECH (vs BG): 4.5.0 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): 4.5.5 2. MICHIGAN ST (vs CN): 4.4.1 3. ARUZONA ST (vs GNE): 4.3.1 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 4.4.1 4. TOU (vs ISU): 4.2.8 4. NILLINOIS (vs ENU): 4.2.7 4. APUALCHIAN ST (vs SAL): 4.3.9 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): 4.3.7 6. UAB (vs CHA): 4.2.4 5. IMAMI (vs UNC): 4.2.4 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): 4.3.7 6. MICHIGAN ST (vs CM): 4.3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 4.2.3 6. TROY (vs CCU): 4.2.4 6. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 4.3.5 7. Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 4.20.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +22.7.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +20.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +22.7.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +20.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +22.7.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +20.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +22.7.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +20.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +22.7.7 1. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +105.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MS): +103.7 2. HAWAII (vs SLS): +28.6.1 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +107.3 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +217.3 4. HAWAII (vs SLS): +104.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +22.9.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs LUB): +116.4.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +22.9.0 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +22.9.0 5. AUCHIGAN (vs MLE): +21.7.7.2 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON):	1. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): 43.9 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 43.9 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): 4.5.0 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): 43.5 2. MICHIGAN ST (vs CM): 43.4 3. ARIZONA ST (vs CM): 43.4 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 44.1 4. TOU (vs ISU): 42.8 4. NILLINOIS (vs EMU): 42.7 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): 43.9 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 43.7 6. JAB (vs CHA): 42.4 6. TROY (vs CCU): 42.4 6. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 43.5 6. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 43.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 42.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): 42.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 43.5 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): 42.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 43.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL Passing Yards Allowed (PYG) WL 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): 421.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 401.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): 422.1 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): 422.1 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): 43.5 4. ANAUI (vs SLS): 446.7 4. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): 4173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SLS): 446.7 4. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): 4173.3 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): 420.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): 416.1 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): 410.1 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): 420.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): 410.0 5. S	7. AIR FORCE (vs NEV): +121.3		7. UTSA (vs UTP): +118.5		7. ARMY (vs BUF): +163.5	
1. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): 4.3.9 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 4.3.9 1. CEORGIA TECH (vs BG): 4.5.0 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): 4.5.5 2. MICHIGAN ST (vs CN): 4.4.1 3. ARUZONA ST (vs GNE): 4.3.1 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 4.4.1 4. TOU (vs ISU): 4.2.8 4. NILLINOIS (vs ENU): 4.2.7 4. APUALCHIAN ST (vs SAL): 4.3.9 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): 4.3.7 6. UAB (vs CHA): 4.2.4 5. IMAMI (vs UNC): 4.2.4 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): 4.3.7 6. MICHIGAN ST (vs CM): 4.3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 4.2.3 6. TROY (vs CCU): 4.2.4 6. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 4.3.5 7. Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 4.20.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +22.7.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +20.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +22.7.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +20.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +22.7.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +20.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +22.7.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +20.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +22.7.7 1. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +105.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MS): +103.7 2. HAWAII (vs SLS): +28.6.1 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +107.3 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +217.3 4. HAWAII (vs SLS): +104.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +22.9.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs LUB): +116.4.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +22.9.0 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +22.9.0 5. AUCHIGAN (vs MLE): +21.7.7.2 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON):	1. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): 43.9 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 43.9 1. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): 4.5.0 2. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): 43.5 2. MICHIGAN ST (vs CM): 43.4 3. ARIZONA ST (vs CM): 43.4 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 44.1 4. TOU (vs ISU): 42.8 4. NILLINOIS (vs EMU): 42.7 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): 43.9 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 43.7 6. JAB (vs CHA): 42.4 6. TROY (vs CCU): 42.4 6. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 43.5 6. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 43.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 42.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): 42.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 43.5 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): 42.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): 43.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL Passing Yards Allowed (PYG) WL 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): 421.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): 401.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): 422.1 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): 422.1 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): 43.5 4. ANAUI (vs SLS): 446.7 4. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): 4173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SLS): 446.7 4. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): 4173.3 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): 420.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): 416.1 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): 410.1 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): 420.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): 410.0 5. S	Vards Per Rush Gained (VPR)	W//I	Yards Per Rush Allowed (VDR)	W/I	Vards Por Rush Differential (VDP)	W/I
2. GEORGIA TECH (ws BG): +3.5 2. MICHIGAN ST (vs CM): +3.4 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +4.8 3. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.1 3. ARIZONA ST (vs CM): +2.7 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.9 4. TOU (vs ISU): +2.8 4. N ILLINOIS (vs EMU): +2.7 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.9 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.5 5. MIAMI (vs UNC): -2.4 5. LAM ONROE (vs GST): +3.7 6. LAB (vs CAN): +2.4 6. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WL 1. BOISE ST (vs WYC): -221.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): -201.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYC): -224.5 2. AMAWIA(vs SJS): +183.7 3. NEVADA (vs AF): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +222.9 4. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +222.9 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs LSL): +137.3 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +222.9 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 7. UAB (vs CAL): +202.8 7. UAS (vs AUB): +222.9 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +173.3 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +219.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 6. OLL INSS (vs LSU	2. GEORGIA TECH (is BG): 43.5 2. MICHIGAN ST (is CM): +3.4 2. MEMPHIS (is TUL): +4.8 3. HAWAII (is SJS): +3.1 3. ARIZONA ST (is ORS): +3.1 3. CINCINNATI (is CON): +4.1 4. TCU (is SIS): +2.8 4. NI LLINOS (is EMU): +2.7 4. APPALACHIAN ST (is SAL): +3.3 5. APPALACHIAN ST (is SAL): +2.5 5. MIMAII (is UNC): +2.4 6. MICHIGAN (is NW): +2.3 7. MICHIGAN (is NW): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (is GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (is NW): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPC) WL 1. BOISE ST (is WYO): +22.1 1. CINCINNATI (is CON): +20.18 11. BOISE ST (is WYO): +28.45 2. CMICHIGAN (is NW): +23.5 2. ARKANSAS ST (is GSU): +195.3 2. C. MICHIGAN (is NW): +181.7 2. HAWAII (is SJS): +26.1 3. SOUTHERN MISS (is AUB): +177.9 5. MEPHATICINN ST (is SUB): +177.9 5. MEPHATICINN ST (is SUB): +177.9 5. APPALACHIAN ST (is SAL): +22.6 5. OUTHERN MISS (is AUB): +161.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (is SAL): +173.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (is GSU): +203.2 7. UAB (is GHA): +32.4		VV/L		VV/L		W/L
3. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.1 3. ARZONA ST (vs ORS): +3.1 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +4.1 4. TCU (vs ISU): +2.8 4. N ILLINOIS (vs EMU): +2.7 4. APPLACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.9 5. DAPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.5 5. MIAMI (vs UNC): +2.4 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 6. UAB (vs CHA): +2.4 6. TROY (vs CCU): +2.4 6. MOHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL Passing Yards GAIneed (PYPG) WL 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +221.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +201.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +224.5 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +224.5 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs NU): +101.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +221.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +40.1 3. NEVADA (vs AUB): +105.3 3. MESAACHUSETTS (vs OHU): +611.6 3. SOUTHERM INISS (vs AUB): +228.5 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +41.3 5. SOUTHERM INISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +228.4 7. UAF (vs SAL): +20.8 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 6. APRALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +20.8 7. UAF (vs SAL): +20.8 7. UASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +	3. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.1 3. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +3.1 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +4.1 4. TCU (vs ISU): +2.5 4. NI LLINOIS (vs EMU): +2.7 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.9 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.5 5. MIAMI (vs UNC): +2.4 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 6. UAB (vs CHA): +2.4 6. TROY (vs CCU): +2.4 6. MCHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 7. ILA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MCHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 9assing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WL 1. DOISE ST (vs WYO): +22.1 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +2018 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +224.5 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +224.5 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MSY): +183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SJS): +264.5 3. MEVAA (vs ALE): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +226.4 1. BOISE ST (vs WOL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +185.7 2. HAWAII (vs SJS): +164.7 4. MCMPHIS (vs TUL): +173.3 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs GAL): +22.9 4. CHCHIGAN (vs NIL): +173.3 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs GAL): +22.9 4. CHCHIGAN (vs NIL): +173.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +202.0 5. 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs NL): +173.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +22.9 4. CHCHIGAN (vs NL): +173.3 6. APRALACHIAN ST (vs GAL): +22.9 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
4. TOU (vs ISU):+2.8 4. N ILLINOIS (vs EMU):+2.7 4. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL):+3.9 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL):+2.5 5. MIAMI (vs UNC):+2.4 6. LMONROE (vs GST):+3.7 6. LOB (vs CAH):+2.4 6. LMONROE (vs GST):+3.7 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW):+3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW):+2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST):+2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW):+3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WL 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO):+28.4 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON):+201.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO):+28.4.5 2. ARKANSAS T (vs SSL):+195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MS):+183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SJS):+265.1 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB):+232.9 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NL):+173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS):+148.7 4. BIMEPHIS (vs TUL):+210.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB):+232.9 5. OUTHERN MISS (vs AUB):+173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS):+146.7 4. MIMPHIS (vs TUL):+210.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB):+222.9 7. UAS HINGTON ST (vs UTA):+173.3 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL):+210.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB):+217.9 5. MICHIGAN (vs NU):+217.3 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU):+164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL):+210.0 5. MICHIGAN (vs NU):+210.0 5. MICHIGAN (vs NU):+210.0 7. WAS PEP Pass Attempted (PYA) WL Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) WL Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) </td <td>4. TCU (vs ISU): +2.8 4. N ILLINOIS (vs EMU): +2.7 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.9 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.5 5. MIAMI (vs UNC): +2.4 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 6. UAB (vs CHA): +2.4 6. TRCY (vs CU): +2.4 6. MCHIGAN ST (vs CMAS) +3.5 7. MCHIGAN (vs NW): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WIL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPC) WIL 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +221.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +201.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +28.5 2. C MICHIGAN (vs NW): +13.7 2. ARKANSAS T(vs GSU): +195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs SNY): +183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SJS): +226.1 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +223.9 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +164.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEPHHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +20.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +20.2 7. 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAG SPER Pass Allowed (PYA) WIL Yards Per Pass Altempted (PYA) WIL 1. E. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +162.8 7. UAG Vser Pass Allowed (YPA) WIL 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OINCHIANT (vs CON): +6.5<</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	4. TCU (vs ISU): +2.8 4. N ILLINOIS (vs EMU): +2.7 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.9 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.5 5. MIAMI (vs UNC): +2.4 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 6. UAB (vs CHA): +2.4 6. TRCY (vs CU): +2.4 6. MCHIGAN ST (vs CMAS) +3.5 7. MCHIGAN (vs NW): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WIL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPC) WIL 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +221.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +201.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +28.5 2. C MICHIGAN (vs NW): +13.7 2. ARKANSAS T(vs GSU): +195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs SNY): +183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SJS): +226.1 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +223.9 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +164.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEPHHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +20.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +20.2 7. 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAG SPER Pass Allowed (PYA) WIL Yards Per Pass Altempted (PYA) WIL 1. E. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +162.8 7. UAG Vser Pass Allowed (YPA) WIL 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OINCHIANT (vs CON): +6.5<						
5. APPLACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.5 5. MAMI (vs UNC): +2.4 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 6. UAB (vs CHA): +2.4 6. TROY (vs CU): +2.4 6. MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 7. ILA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NV): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) W/L Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +221:7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +201.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +264.5 1. 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSL): +153 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MSY): +183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SJS): +256.1 3. MASSACHUSETTS (vs OHU): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +146.7 4. MICHIEAN MSS (vs AUB): +173.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +203.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LUU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PTI): +202.8 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UL): +137.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.2 3. GUISTINATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LLU): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.2 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.2 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 2. ALAB	S. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.5 5. MIAMI (vs UNC): +2.4 5. LA MONROE (vs GST): +3.7 G. UAB (vs CHA): +2.4 6. TROY (vs CCU): +2.4 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +3.5 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WL I. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +221.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +201.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +284.5 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MSY): +183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SJS): +256.1 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +232.9 4. EMICHIGAN (vs NL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +146.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +173.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs GAL): +219.0 S. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 5. CLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PT]: +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Altempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) W/L J. E. MICHIGAN (vs NL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALPBAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. GUINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NL): +7.5 5. SOLICHICAN (vs NL): +7.3						
6. UAB (ws CHA): +2.4 6. TROY (vs CCU): +2.4 6. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) W/L Passing Yards Allowed (PYG) W/L 1. DIOISE ST (vs WYO): +2217 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +2018 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2245.5 2. HAWAII (vs SJS): +163.7 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MSY): +183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SJS): +256.1 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +232.9 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +232.9 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +232.9 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +164.7 4. MEWPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +219.0 5. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. UAS (vs CAU): +16.7 7. UAS (vs CAU): +219.0 5. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. UAS (vs CAU): +16.7 7. UAS (vs CAU): +173.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. UAS (vs CAU): +20.2 7. UAS (vs CAU): +21.2 2.	6. UAB (vs CHA): +2.4 6. TROY (vs CCU): +2.4 6. MICHIGAN ST (vs CM): +3.5 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) W/L Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +221.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +201.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +284.5 2. CMICHIGAN (vs NW): +183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SU): +286.1 3. NEVADA (vs AF): +175.6 3. MASSACHUSETTS (vs OHU): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SUL): +219.0 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CAL): +137.3 6. ARPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 7. UAB (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 7. UAB (vs LSU): +161.8 7. UAB (vs CAL): +137.3 8. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 7. UAB (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +133.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NLI): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CAL): +33.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1						
7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WL 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +221.7 I1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +201.8 I1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +264.5 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MSY; +183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SLS): +266.1 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SLS): +146.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 6. DE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.8 6. ARRANASS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CNA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 W/L Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Altempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Altempted (PYA) W/L 1. E. MICHIGAN (vs NL): +5.3 11. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALPAABAM (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLICINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLICINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NL): +5.9 5. SOTSON (vs SAL): +7.3 5. MICHIGAN (vs NL): +7.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NL): +7.2	7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +2.3 7. LA MONROE (vs GST): +2.2 7. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +3.5 Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) WL Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) WL 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +221.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +201.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +284.5 2. 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSD): +195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs NW): +33.7 2. HAWMI (vs SJS): +266.1 3. MASSACHUSETTS (vs OHU): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +232.9 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +145.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 5. SEMEMPHIS (vs TUL): +37.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +37.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +206.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. UAB (vs CN): +6.1 7. UAB (vs SL): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Altempted (PYA) W/L 1. AIPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALBAMA (vs LL): *7.2 3. OLCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALBAMA (vs LL): *7.2 3. OLCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. AIABAMA (vs LL): *7.2 3. OLCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. AIABAMA (vs LL): *7.2 3. OLCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. AIABAMA (vs LL): *7.2 3. OLCINNATI (vs CON): +6.3 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						
Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) W/L Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) W/L Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +221.7 I. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +201.8 I. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +284.5 I. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +284.5 I. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +284.5 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +195.3 I. CINCINNATI (vs MSY): +183.7 I. BOISE ST (vs WYD): +284.5 I. BOISE ST (vs WUD): +284.5 3. NEVADA (vs AF): +175.6 I. MASSACHUSETTS (vs OHU): +161.6 I. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +173.3 I. HAWAII (vs SU): +146.7 I. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 IS. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 I. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 I. CINCINNATI (vs CA): +137.3 I. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) W/L 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 I. I. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 I. ALPABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 I. ALPABAMA (vs LAL): +3.5 I. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 I. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 I. ALPABAMA (vs LAL): +3.5 I. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 I. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.5 I. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +4.2 I. ALPABAMA (vs LAL): +3.5 I. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +4.3 I. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +4.3 I	Passing Yards Gained (PYPG) W/L Passing Yards Allowed (PYPG) W/L Passing Yards Mile W/L Passing Yards Mile						
1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +221.7 1. CINČINNATI (vs CON): +201.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +264.5 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MSY): +183.7 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +264.5 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MSY): +183.7 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +264.5 3. NEVADA (vs AF): +175.6 3. MASSACHUSETTS (vs OHU): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +202.9 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +146.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +202.8 7. UCF (vs PTI): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +4.5 5. ORCHON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST	1. BOIŠE ST (vs WYO): +221.7 1. CINČINNATI (vs CON): +201.8 1. BOIŠE ST (vs WYO): +264.5 2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MSY): +183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SJS): +256.1 3. NEVDAD (vs AF): +175.6 3. MASSACHUSETTS (vs OHU): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +232.9 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +146.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs AUB): +201.9 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CAH): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PTI): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.1 7. UAB (vs CAH): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PTI): +4.5 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. SOUTHERN (vs SAL): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs						
2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MSY): +183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SJS): +256.1 3. NEVADA (vs AF): +173.6 3. MASSACHUSETTS (vs OHU): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +232.9 4. EMICHIGAN (vs NL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +146.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Altempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) W/L Yards Ver Oy: +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALBABMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.3 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 7. UGF (vs PIT): +4.5 7. UGF (vs PIT): +4.5 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CAN): +3.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CAN): +3.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.5 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 5. MICHIGAN (2. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): ±195.3 2. C MICHIGAN (vs MSY): ±183.7 2. HAWAII (vs SJS): ±256.1 3. NEVADA (vs AF): ±175.6 3. MASSACHUSETTS (vs OHU): ±161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): ±232.9 4. E MICHIGAN (vs ML): ±173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): ±164.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): ±210.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): ±167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): ±137.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): ±200.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): ±164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): ±137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): ±203.2 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): ±162.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): ±125.4 7. UCF (vs PT): ±20.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Atlowed (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): ±5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): ±6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): ±7.3 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): ±7.3 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): ±4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): ±3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): ±6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): ±3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): ±3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NUL): ±5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): ±3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): ±3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NUL): ±4.8 6. CALLFORNIA (vs ORE): ±3.1 7. UAB (vs CHA): ±3.1 7. UAB (vs CHA): ±3.1 7. UAB (vs CHA): ±3.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): ±4.8 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): ±0.8 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): ±4.8 6. CALLFORNIA (vs OUL): ±4.8 6. MAHINI (vs		W/L		W/L		W/L
3. NEVADA (vs AF): +175.6 3. MASSACHUSETTS (vs OHU): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +232.9 4. E. MICHIGAN (vs NL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +146.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GAU): +203.2 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PT): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Altempted (PYA) W/L 1. E. MICHIGAN (vs NL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATT (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 3. CINCINNATT (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. EMICHIGAN (vs NL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NL): +5.9 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNA (vs ORE): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PT): +4.2 7. UAB (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs CN): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +47.5 7. UAB (vs UL): +22.6.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs CON): +4.8 6. CONSHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. UAB (vs UL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +17	3. NEVADA (vs AF): +175.6 3. MASSACHUSETTS (vs OHU): +161.6 3. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +232.9 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +146.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs GAL): +200.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +200.2 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Atleowd (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.3 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 3. BUFFALO (vs RM): +3.5 3. CIC INISIN (vs LAL): +7.3 3. CIC INISIN (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. EMICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs CRE): +3.1 7. UGF (vs PIT): +4.8 6. CALIFORNIA (vs CON): +4.8 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CAN): +39.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +4.5 7. UAF (vs CON): +4.8 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +247.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs C						
4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +146.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +208.4 7. UAB (vs CHA): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALBAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): -3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. SALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. SIMCHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. SALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. SALL ST (vs CAL): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PTI): +4.4 7. UCF (vs PTI): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +4.7 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +21.5 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +4.5.3 2. CINC (vs PTI): +4.5 2. CINC (vs PTI): +4.5 2. CINC (vs PTI): +4.5 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5	4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +173.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +146.7 4. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +219.0 5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. APRALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +208.4 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALBABMA (vs LAL): +7.2 2. BOISE ST (vs WVO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALBABMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.2 7. UAB (vs CAN): +3.3 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. UAP (vs SIG): +10.3 3. E CAROLINA (vs CON): +369.5 1. APPALACHIAN						
5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KSI): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L 1. DIOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +216.8 3. E CAROLIAN (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +36.0 <td< td=""><td>5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +104.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Atlowed (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.2 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 6. HAWAII (vs SAL): +3.6 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.8 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 7. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.3 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +4.5.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs CON): +403.3 3. 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +194.8 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +193.3 4. ARIZONAST (vs ORS)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	5. SOUTHERN MISS (vs AUB): +167.9 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +137.8 5. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +206.4 6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +104.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Atlowed (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.2 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 6. HAWAII (vs SAL): +3.6 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.8 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 7. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.3 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +4.5.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs CON): +403.3 3. 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +194.8 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +193.3 4. ARIZONAST (vs ORS)						
6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NLL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALBAMA (vs LAL): +7.3 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 6. MACHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 6. CALIFORNIA (vs COR): +3.7 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 7. UAP (vs UYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +173.3 5. ALBABMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL):	6. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +164.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +137.3 6. ARKANSAS ST (vs GSU): +203.2 7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. EMICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs (SK): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs (SK): +3.2 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.8 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs LL): +26.7 9. LAWAMI (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NiL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. EMICHIGAN (vs NLL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs OUD): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +266.8 4. AHAZMI (vs SJS): +182.9 5. MLEMING (vs OUL): +173.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. BO	7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8 7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4 7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8 Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Altempted (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): *3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 7. JAPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs CON): +4.9 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs CON): +403.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3						
Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA) W/L 1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.3 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +4.9 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +4.9 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +4.8 6. CALIFORNIA (vs CRE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CARQLINA (vs OUL): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +239.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +395.0 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +33.5 5. MICHINA (vs UNC): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +178.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250	Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA) W/L 1: E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIV): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs ORE): +133.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs OUD): +183.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs OUD): +183.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +285.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +288.8 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. ISU (vs MIS): +177.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. ISU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5				_		
1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NUL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NUL): +5.9 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 6. MACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs OUD): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ORD): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZON ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +239.5 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. MIPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. CSUAROMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 <td>1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NWL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs OUD): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. ARIZONA ST (vs GRS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 5. MICHIGAN (vs LL): +268.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +173.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +267.6 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGI</td> <td>7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8</td> <td></td> <td>7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4</td> <td></td> <td>7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8</td> <td></td>	1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NWL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs OUD): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. ARIZONA ST (vs GRS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 5. MICHIGAN (vs LL): +268.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +173.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +267.6 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGI	7. WASHINGTON ST (vs UTA): +162.8		7. UAB (vs CHA): +125.4		7. UCF (vs PIT): +202.8	
1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NUL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NUL): +5.9 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 6. MACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs OUD): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ORD): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZON ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +239.5 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. MIPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. CSUAROMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 <td>1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NWL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs OUD): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. ARIZONA ST (vs GRS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 5. MICHIGAN (vs LL): +268.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +173.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +267.6 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGI</td> <td>Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA)</td> <td>W/L</td> <td>Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA)</td> <td>W/L</td> <td>Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA)</td> <td>W/L</td>	1. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.1 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +7.3 2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NWL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs OUD): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. ARIZONA ST (vs GRS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 5. MICHIGAN (vs LL): +268.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +173.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +267.6 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGI	Yards Per Pass Attempted (PYA)	W/L	Yards Per Pass Allowed (PYA)	W/L	Yards Per Pass Differential (PYA)	W/L
2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. EMICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 8. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs CAL): +33.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs OUD): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs OUD): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs JS): +293.5 5. MICHIGAN (vs NAI): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/	2. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +4.2 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8 2. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +7.2 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1 3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NU): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +295.0 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NL): +194.8 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +266.8 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +2						
4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SAL): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs SJS): +2.8 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs	4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3 4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9 5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +133.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. ALBAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 5. ALBAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 5. ALBAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 5. ALBAMA (vs LAL): +267.6 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs SAL): +62.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 3. OILE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3			2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.8			
5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs OU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs SAL): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs OUU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6	5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7 5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2 5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8 6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) WL Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) WL 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +439.5 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. BIOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs SAL): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) WL Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.0	3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +4.1		3. BUFFALO (vs ARM): +3.5		3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +6.9	
6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs SAL): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL)	6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6 6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1 6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7 7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALBAMAM (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs KAN): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7	4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.9		4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.3		4. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +5.9	
7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs SAL): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5 7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1 7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5 Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYQ): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0<	5. OREGON (vs CAL): +3.7		5. BALL ST (vs KS): +3.2		5. MICHIGAN (vs NW): +4.8	
Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +2.7 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0 <td>Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3</td> <td>6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6</td> <td></td> <td>6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1</td> <td></td> <td>6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7</td> <td></td>	Total Yards Gained (TYPG) W/L Total Yards Allowed (TYPG) W/L 1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3	6. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.6		6. CALIFORNIA (vs ORE): +3.1		6. WASHINGTON (vs BYU): +4.7	
1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Differential (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +2.7 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3	7. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.5		7. UAB (vs CHA): +3.1		7. UCF (vs PIT): +4.5	
1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Differential (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +2.7 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	1. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +243.6 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +389.5 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +415.3 2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3		14//1		14//		14//
2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	2. UCF (vs PIT): +226.7 2. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +232.3 2. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +403.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.0 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7		WV/L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	VV/L		W/L
3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. OLE MISS T (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +2.7 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +216.8 3. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +193.3 3. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +395.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3						
4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. OLE MISS T (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3	4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +196.3 4. ARIZONA ST (vs ORS): +187.5 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +293.5 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3						
5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. OLE MISS (vs USU): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +194.8 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +178.3 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +268.8 6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3						
6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +2.7 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +182.9 6. MIAMI (vs UNC): +173.3 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +267.6 7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3 4.						
7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +2.7 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	7. OKLAHOMA ST (vs KAN): +182.0 7. LSU (vs MIS): +170.0 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +250.5 Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3						_
Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Differential (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +5.9 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +5.9 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.0 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.0 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.0 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.0 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs TUL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	Yards Per Play Attempted (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Allowed (YPP) W/L Yards Per Play Differential (YPP) W/L 1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +5.9 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +5.9 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.9 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs CON): +5.7 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs LL): +3.0 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SJS): +4.3 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs LL): +3.0 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs LL): +3.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td>						_
1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +2.7 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	1. HAWAII (vs SJS): +3.3 1. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.6 1. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +6.2 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3						
2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +2.7 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +2.9 2. E CAROLINA (vs ODU): +3.9 2. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +5.9 3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3		W/L		W/L		W/L
3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +2.7 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	3. OLE MISS (vs LSU): +2.8 3. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +3.6 3. CINCINNATI (vs CON): +5.7 4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3						
4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3 5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +2.7 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0	4. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +2.8 4. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +3.0 4. HAWAII (vs SJS): +4.3						
5. E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +2.7 5. MEMPHIS (vs TUL): +3.0 5. ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0							_
	15 E MICHIGAN (vs NIL): +2.7 I LI5 MEMPHIS (vs TILL): +3.0 I 5 ALABAMA (vs LAL): +4.0						╶┝╾┙
6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.7 6. LSU (vs MIS): +2.8 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +3.7							
	6. APPALACHIAN ST (vs SAL): +2.7 6. LSU (vs MIS): +2.8 6. BOISE ST (vs WYO): +3.7						
7. PURDUE (vs NEB): +2.0 7. MIAMI (vs UNC): +2.5 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +3.4	7. PURDUE (vs NEB): +2.0 7. MIAMI (vs UNC): +2.5 7. GEORGIA TECH (vs BG): +3.4	1. PURDUE (VS NEB): +2.0		[7. MIAMI (vs UNC): +2.5		7. GEURGIA TECH (vs BG): +3.4	

NORTH CAROLINA TAR HEELS



LINE: MIAMI BY 181/2

(103) NORTH CAROLINA (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-1-1) at (104) MIAMI (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) - Thursday, 9/27/2018 8:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)					
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
NORTH CAROLINA	-8.7 33.0	25	19	34-166 (4.8)	21-37-228 (6.2)	71-394 (5.5)	0-1	33	22	45-203 (4.5)	18-33-194 (5.9)	78-397 (5.1) 0-0	-4
MIAMI	+25.0 29.5	44	22	43-210 (4.8)	17-30-240 (8.1)	73-450 (6.2)	0-1	18	12	37-79 (2.1)	11-22-145 (6.7)	59-224 (3.8) 0-1	EVEN

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/28/17	UNC +21	MIA 24 at UNC 19	UNC	U (55½)
10/15/16	MIA -6	UNC 20 at MIA 13	UNC	U (67)
11/14/15	UNC -12	MIA 21 at UNC 59	UNC	0 (69)
11/1/14	MIA -16½	UNC 20 at MIA 47	MIA	U (67½)
10/17/13	UNC +9½	MIA 27 at UNC 23	UNC	U (66)
······				·····

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• MIAMI is 9-1 UNDER after 2 or more consecutive straight up wins since the start of last season.

Larry Fedora is 7-0 ATS vs. excellent punt return teams, 12+ yards per return as coach of NORTH CAROLINA.
 MIAMI is 8-1 UNDER against conference opponents since the start of last season.

Statfox Forecaster || North Carolina Tar Heels









25 37

LINE: MEMPHIS BY 141/2

(105) MEMPHIS (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) at (106) TULANE (SU: 1-3, ATS: 2-2) - Friday, 9/28/2018 8:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)					
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
MEMPHIS	+26.3 18.3	50	26	38-310 (8.1)	21-30-284 (9.4)	68-594 (8.7)	1-0	23	17	44-151 (3.4)	15-25-166 (6.7)	69-317 (4.6)	1-1	EVEN
TULANE	-7.8 29.8	22	18	41-174 (4.2)	12-24-202 (8.4)	65-376 (5.8)	1-0	30	28	44-192 (4.4)	23-37-304 (8.2)	81-496 (6.1)	0-2	+2

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/27/17	MEM -10½	TUL 26 at MEM 56	MEM	0 (61)
10/14/16	5 TUL +11½	MEM 24 at TUL 14	TUL	U (52½)
10/31/15	MEM -30	TUL 13 at MEM 41	TUL	U (62½)
11/15/14	. TUL +8	MEM 38 at TUL 7	MEM	U (49)
11/10/12	MEM -1½	TUL 23 at MEM 37	MEM	0 (56½)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• Willie Fritz is 12-2 ATS at home in the first half of the season.

• Mike Norvell is 10-2 OVER after 2 or more consecutive straight up wins as coach of MEMPHIS.

• MEMPHIS is 0-6 ATS after allowing 325 or more passing yards in their last game since the start of 2016.





UCLA BRUINS COLORADO BUFFALOES LINE: COLORADO BY 10



27 33

TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
UCLA	-20.3 44.0	17	16	37-131 (3.5)	16-29-189 (6.5)	66-320 (4.8)	1-1	38	23	46-174 (3.8)	18-31-229 (7.3)	77-403 (5.2) 1-0	-1
COLORADO	+22.7 15.0	41	23	42-204 (4.9)	24-33-290 (8.8)	75-494 (6.6)	0-1	18	20	41-158 (3.8)	18-35-215 (6.1)	76-373 (4.9) 1-1	+3

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

• Mike MacIntyre is 0-9 ATS after a bye week.

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

Chip Kelly is 26-11 OVER against conference opponents.

• UCLA is 2-10 ATS in September games since the start of the 2016 season.

Statfox Forecaster || UCLA Bruins_____

IILAL		D. LASI FIVE		105
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	I 0/U
9/30/17	UCLA -7½	COL 23 at UCLA 27	COL	U (66)
11/3/16	COL -13½	UCLA 10 at COL 20	UCLA	U (57½)
10/31/15	UCLA -23½	COL 31 at UCLA 35	COL	0 (61)
10/25/14	COL +14	UCLA 40 at COL 37	COL	0 (65)
11/2/13	UCLA -30½	COL 23 at UCLA 45	COL	0 (59)



SYRACUSE **CLEMSON** VS ORANGE TIGERS

LINE: CLEMSON BY 221/2



(129) SYRACUSE (SU: 4-0, ATS: 3-1) at (130) CLEMSON (SU: 4-0, ATS: 1-3) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 12:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
SYRACUSE	+29.5 20.8	50	26	52-278 (5.3)	19-32-245 (7.7)	84-523 (6.2)	0-0	20	16	33-131 (4.0)	18-34-255 (7.6)	67-386 (5.8	6) 0-2	+6
CLEMSON	+25.5 26.8	41	22	38-232 (6.2)	20-31-273 (8.9)	69-505 (7.3)	0-1	15	15	46-104 (2.2)	8-16-148 (9.0)	62-252 (4.1	.) 2–0	+1

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/13/17	SYR +231/2	CLEM 24 at SYR 27	SYR	U (58)
11/5/16	CLEM -28	SYR 0 at CLEM 54	CLEM	U (68)
11/14/15	SYR +30	CLEM 37 at SYR 27	SYR	0 (57)
10/25/14	CLEM -16½	SYR 6 at CLEM 16	SYR	U (46½)
10/5/13	SYR +13½	CLEM 49 at SYR 14	CLEM	U (65)
••••••				

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• SYRACUSE is 10-1 UNDER after a loss against the spread since the start of the 2016 season.

• Dino Babers is 11-1 UNDER versus excellent offensive teams - averaging >=450 yards/game.

- Dino Babers is 13-4 UNDER against conference opponents as coach of SYRACUSE.

Statfox Forecaster || Syracuse Orange **Clemson Tigers**



VIRGINI	A TE	СН	vs
	ΗΟΚ	IES	<u>v</u> s





18

49

LINE: DUKE BY 5¹/₂

(133) VIRGINIA TECH (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (134) DUKE (SU: 4-0, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 7:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
VIRGINIA TECH	+17.3 17.3	40	24	45-245 (5.4)	16-28-257 (9.3)	73-502 (6.9)	0-0	23	18	30-88 (2.9)	23-38-320 (8.3)	68-408 (6.0) 1-1	+5
DUKE	+22.3 27.3	38	22	44-220 (5.0)	16-28-208 (7.6)	72-428 (5.9)	0-0	15	18	36-133 (3.7)	18-35-200 (5.7)	71-333 (4.7	') 1-1	+4

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	I 0/U
10/28/17	7 VT -17	DUKE 3 at VT 24	DUKE	U (48½)
11/5/16	DUKE +11	VT 24 at DUKE 21	VT	U (55)
10/24/15	5 VT -3½	DUKE 45 at VT 43	VT	0 (45½)
11/15/14	4 DUKE -3½	VT 17 at DUKE 16	DUKE	U (47½)
10/26/13	3 VT -12½	DUKE 13 at VT 10	VT	U (47½)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

David Cutcliffe is 21-6 ATS after outgaining opp by 125+ total yards in previous game as coach of DUKE.

DUKE is 12-2 UNDER after playing a game at home since the start of the 2016 season.

• David Cutcliffe is 10-1 UNDER as a home favorite of 3.5 to 7 points as coach of DUKE.





VIRGINIA NC STATE CAVALIERS WOLFPACK



16

27

LINE: NORTH CAROLINA STATE BY 7

(143) VIRGINIA (SU: 3-1, ATS: 4-0) at (144) NC STATE (SU: 3-0, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 12:20 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
VIRGINIA	+15.8 25.5	32	21	39-216 (5.5)	17-27-218 (8.2)	66-434 (6.6)	1-0	17	14	30-114 (3.7)	15-28-184 (6.7)	58-298 (5.1)) 1-1	+2
NC STATE	+20.7 14.3	34	24	32-108 (3.4)	28-41-371 (9.1)	73-479 (6.6)	1-0	13	19	30-108 (3.6)	22-36-238 (6.7)	66-346 (5.2)) 1-1	+2

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

• Dave Doeren is 2-12 ATS at home after playing their last game on the road as coach of NC STATE.

• Bronco Mendenhall is 20-5 UNDER versus excellent offensive teams - averaging >=6.25 yards/play.

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
11/3/12	NCST -10½	UVA 33 at NCST 6	UVA	U (52)
10/22/11	UVA -3	NCST 28 at UVA 14	NCST	U (51½)
10/27/07	NCST +31/2	UVA 24 at NCST 29	NCST	0 (43½)
10/28/06	UVA -2	NCST 7 at UVA 14	UVA	U (37½)
11/1/03	NCST -1	UVA 37 at NCST 51	NCST	0 (54½)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• Bronco Mendenhall is 7-0 ATS away in the first half of the season as coach of VIRGINIA.

Statfox Forecaster || Virginia Cavaliers 22 33



FLORIDA STATE SEMINOLES BANDALS



LINE: FLORIDA STATE BY 6

(159) FLORIDA STATE (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) at (160) LOUISVILLE (SU: 2-2, ATS: 0-4) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 3:30 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)			
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
FLORIDA STATE	-4.0 29.0	21	18	36-103 (2.9)	24-38-271 (7.2)	74-374 (5.1)	2-1	25	19	34-98 (2.9)	23-40-279 (7.1)	74-377 (5.1)) 0-1	-6
LOUISVILLE	-8.5 32.8	17	18	33-121 (3.7)	15-28-163 (5.9)	61-284 (4.7)	0-2	26	20	44-192 (4.4)	16-26-198 (7.8)	70-390 (5.6)) 0-0	-5

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	I 0/U
10/21/17	FSU -6	UL 31 at FSU 28	UL	0 (58½)
9/17/16	UL +1	FSU 20 at UL 63	UL	0 (68)
10/17/15	FSU -6½	UL 21 at FSU 41	FSU	0 (46)
10/30/14	UL +3½	FSU 42 at UL 31	FSU	0 (51)
9/26/02	UL +14½	FSU 20 at UL 26	UL	U (50)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• FLORIDA STATE is 0-9 ATS against conference opponents since the start of last season.

• Bob Petrino is 3-12 ATS at home when playing with 6 or less days rest as coach of LOUISVILLE.

• Bob Petrino is 8-1 UNDER after gaining 100 or less rushing yards last game as coach of LOUISVILLE.

Statfox Forecaster || Florida State Seminoles





OWA :	STATE	VS
CYCL	ONES	V3





LINE: TCU BY 101/2

(171) IOWA STATE (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) at (172) TCU (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-2-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 7:00 PM

I

									TEAM DEFENSE	(2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)			
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL F	FUM-INT	TOD
IOWA STATE	-2.3 44.3	19	17	30-79 (2.7)	23-34-254 (7.5)	64-333 (5.2)	1-0	21	18	35-122 (3.5)	16-28-222 (7.8)	63-344 (5.5)	0-0	-2
TCU	+12.8 33.0	35	23	38-206 (5.4)	20-35-237 (6.8)	73-443 (6.1)	1-2	22	18	38-127 (3.3)	17-32-203 (6.4)	70-330 (4.7)	0-0	-5

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	I 0/U
10/28/17	ISU +6½	TCU 7 at ISU 14	ISU	U (48)
9/17/16	TCU -24½	ISU 20 at TCU 41	ISU	U (61½)
10/17/15	ISU +201/2	TCU 45 at ISU 21	TCU	U (69)
12/6/14	TCU -35	ISU 3 at TCU 55	TCU	U (70)
11/9/13	ISU +7	TCU 21 at ISU 17	ISU	U (45½)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• TCU is 2-12 ATS at home in games played on a grass field since the start of the 2016 season.

• TCU is 12-2 UNDER vs. good passing teams with a completion pct. of 58% or better since the start of 2016.

• TCU is 0-8 ATS at home in the first half of the season since the start of the 2016 season.





DATE

9/23/17

11/14/15

11/8/14

11/7/13

11/12/16 OK -17½





LINE: OKLAHOMA BY 23¹/₂

(175) BAYLOR (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) at (176) OKLAHOMA (SU: 4-0, ATS: 1-3) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 3:30 PM

ATS WIN O/U

OK

OK

BAY

BAY

BAY 0 (60¹/₂)

U (79)

0 (76)

U (68)

U (74)

									TEAM DEFENSE	(2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)			
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
BAYLOR	+12.8 22.0	36	24	34–176 (5.1)	22-35-310 (8.9)	69-486 (7.0)	0-0	24	17	31-166 (5.3)	18-32-182 (5.6)	63-348 (5.5)	0-0	-2
OKLAHOMA	+23.5 38.0	44	24	34-214 (6.2)	18-26-288 (11.3)	60-502 (8.4)	0-0	21	22	50-172 (3.5)	16-26-212 (8.2)	76-384 (5.1)	0-1	+1

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

OK 49 at BAY 41

BAY 24 at OK 45

OK 44 at BAY 34

BAY 48 at OK 14

OK 12 at BAY 41

HOME LINE SU RESULT

BAY +28

BAY -1½

OK -5½

BAY -16½

• Matt Rhule is 8-0 ATS after leading in their previous game by 17 or more points at the half.

• Matt Rhule is 8-0 ATS versus excellent rushing teams - averaging >=5.25 rushing yards/carry.

Matt Rhule is 16-3 ATS vs. good teams - outscoring opponents by 10+ PPG on the season.

Statfox Forecaster	Baylor Bears28
Scallux Jorecaster	Oklahoma Sooners



KANSAS STATE TEXAS LONGHORNS WILDCATS





(181) TEXAS (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) at (182) KANSAS STATE (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 3:30 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)								TEAM DEFENSE	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)			
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
TEXAS	+10.0 39.0	31	22	44-164 (3.7)	20-33-247 (7.5)	77-411 (5.3)	1-0	21	18	33-117 (3.5)	21-37-245 (6.6)	70-362 (5.2)	0-2	+2
KANSAS STATE	-5.8 27.5	21	18	40-156 (3.9)	14-24-191 (7.9)	64-347 (5.4)	1-1	27	20	32-178 (5.6)	20-38-231 (6.0)	70-409 (5.8)	0-1	-2

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	O/U
10/7/17	TEX -5½	KST 34 at TEX 40	TEX	0 (47½)
10/22/16	KST +1	TEX 7 at KST 21	KST	U (54)
10/24/15	TEX -7	KST 9 at TEX 23	TEX	U (46½)
10/25/14	KST -9½	TEX 0 at KST 23	KST	U (47½)
9/21/13	TEX -6	KST 21 at TEX 31	TEX	U (60½)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Bill Snyder is 15-1 ATS off a blowout loss by 21 points or more to a conference rival.
- Bill Snyder is 11-0 ATS off a road blowout loss by 21 points or more.
- TEXAS is 18-4 UNDER when playing with 6 or less days rest since the start of the 2016 season.

Statfox Forecaster

Texas Longhorns. Kansas State Wildcats





WEST	VIR	GINIA	
10UN'	TAIN	EERS	





LINE: WEST VIRGINIA BY 31/2

(183) W VIRGINIA (SU: 3-0, ATS: 3-0) at (184) TEXAS TECH (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 12:00 PM

١

										TEAM DEFENSE	(2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
W VIRGINIA	+30.0 21.3	42	25	34-172 (5.1)	24-33-374 (11.3)	67-546 (8.1)	1-1	12	18	37-119 (3.2)	16-26-185 (7.1)	63-304 (4.8)) 0-0	-4
TEXAS TECH	+23.8 31.8	52	34	44-188 (4.2)	35-49-436 (8.9)	93-624 (6.7)	0-1	28	20	34-153 (4.6)	20-38-284 (7.4)	72-437 (6.1)) 1-1	+3

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/14/17	WVU -5	TTU 35 at WVU 46	WVU	0 (77½)
10/15/16	TTU +3	WVU 48 at TTU 17	WVU	U (82)
11/7/15	WVU -7½	TTU 26 at WVU 31	TTU	U (80½)
10/11/14	TTU +5½	WVU 37 at TTU 34	TTU	U (76½)
10/19/13	WVU +4	TTU 37 at WVU 27	TTU	0 (58)
•••••••				••••••

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• Dana Holgorsen is 2-14 ATS away vs. teams averaging 275+ passing yards/game. as coach of W VIRGINIA.

• Kliff Kingsbury is 11-2 ATS at home in September games as coach of TEXAS TECH.

• Kliff Kingsbury is 15-4 OVER vs. teams averaging >=4.75 rushing yards/carry as coach of TEXAS TECH.





D

OKLAHOMA STATE KANSAS vs **COWBOYS**





34 30

48

21

LINE: OKLAHOMA STATE BY 18

(189) OKLAHOMA STATE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) at (190) KANSAS (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 12:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)									TEAM DEFENSE	(2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
OKLAHOMA STATE	+20.5 28.3	44	26	40-226 (5.7)	21-36-313 (8.7)	76-539 (7.1)	1-1	23	22	38-112 (3.0)	26-41-266 (6.5)	79-378 (4.8)) 1-1	-1
KANSAS	+10.8 16.8	29	18	38-198 (5.2)	18-29-159 (5.4)	67-357 (5.3)	0-0	18	16	38-158 (4.2)	16-29-175 (6.0)	67-333 (5.0)) 2-2	+12

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

• Mike Gundy is 25-12 UNDER away after gaining 475+ total YPG over last 3 games with OKLAHOMA ST.

• Mike Gundy is 43-24 OVER vs. allowing <= 7.5 yards per punt return as coach of OKLAHOMA ST.

• KANSAS is 6-0 ATS at home after playing their last game on the road since the start of the 2016 season.

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
1/25/17	0KST -40½	KAN 17 at OKST 58	OKST	0 (67½)
0/22/16	KAN +23½	OKST 44 at KAN 20	OKST	0 (60)
0/24/15	OKST -33½	KAN 10 at OKST 58	OKST	0 (56)
0/11/14	KAN +20	OKST 27 at KAN 20	KAN	U (48½)
1/9/13	OKST -31	KAN 6 at OKST 42	OKST	U (56)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:



THE PLATINUM SHEET



OHIO STATE BUCKEYES BUCKEYES



LINE: OHIO STATE BY 3¹/₂

(161) OHIO STATE (SU: 4-0, ATS: 3-0-1) at (162) PENN STATE (SU: 4-0, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 7:30 PM

								TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
OHIO STATE	+37.5 28.3	54	32	43-233 (5.4)	28-36-366 (10.2)	79-599 (7.6)	0-0	17	16	37-142 (3.8)	15-28-181 (6.6)	65-323 (5.0)) 1-1	+5
PENN STATE	+36.0 30.5	56	26	43-275 (6.4)	16-28-240 (8.6)	71-515 (7.3)	1-0	20	20	43-172 (4.0)	18-32-173 (5.4)	75-345 (4.6)) 0-1	+1

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/28/17	0SU -7	PSU 38 at OSU 39	PSU	0 (57½)
10/22/16	PSU +17½	OSU 21 at PSU 24	PSU	U (54½)
10/17/15	OSU -18	PSU 10 at OSU 38	OSU	U (50)
10/25/14	PSU +13½	OSU 31 at PSU 24	PSU	0 (53)
10/26/13	OSU -16	PSU 14 at OSU 63	OSU	0 (56)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- PENN STATE is 20-3 ATS after a straight-up win since the start of the 2016 season.
- Urban Meyer is 15-3 ATS away in September games.
- PENN STATE is 15-1 ATS off a win against a conference rival since the start of the 2016 season.

Statfox Forecaster | Ohio State Buckeyes Penn State Nittany Lions









. 31 33

LINE: NOTRE DAME BY 4¹/₂

(207) STANFORD (SU: 4-0, ATS: 3-1) at (208) NOTRE DAME (SU: 4-0, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 7:30 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)									TEAM DEFENSE	(2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)	
<u> </u>	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL FUM-I	IT TOD
STANFORD	+15.5 30.5	29	16	28-104 (3.7)	18-30-264 (8.9)	58-368 (6.3)	0-1	14	18	36-123 (3.4)	20-35-234 (6.7)	71-357 (5.0) 1-1	+7
NOTRE DAME	+12.3 34.8	32	23	44-184 (4.2)	18-29-232 (8.1)	73-416 (5.7)	0-1	19	24	42-145 (3.5)	22-40-224 (5.6)	82-369 (4.5) 1-1	+3

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

11/25/17 STAN +3 ND 20 at STAN 38 STAN 0 (57½)

ND 36 at STAN 38

STAN 14 at ND 17

ND 20 at STAN 27

10/15/16 ND -3½ STAN 17 at ND 10

HOME LINE SU RESULT ATS WIN O/U

STATE	ох ро	WER	TRENDS:
-------	-------	-----	---------

• Brian Kelly is 11-0 UNDER after scoring 50 points or more last game.

• David Shaw is 25-7 ATS away when playing with 6 or less days rest as coach of STANFORD.

• David Shaw is 28-11 UNDER in road games as coach of STANFORD.

Matation Transfer	Stanford Cardinal 26 Notre Dame Fighting Irish 27
Scallux forecaster	Notre Dame Fighting Irish27



11/28/15 STAN -4

11/30/13 STAN -16

ND +3

DATE

10/4/14

TENNESSEE | ES | GEORGIA VOLUNTEERS | ES | BULLDOGS



LINE: GEORGIA BY 311/2

(165) TENNESSEE (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) at (166) GEORGIA (SU: 4-0, ATS: 2-1-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 3:30 PM

STAN U (53)

0 (57)

U (46)

U (49½)

ND

ND

ND

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)													
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
TENNESSEE	+7.0 23.0	30	20	46-205 (4.4)	13-21-193 (9.1)	67-398 (5.9)	2-0	22	14	30-135 (4.6)	14-26-181 (6.9)	56-316 (5.6) 1-0	-3
GEORGIA	+31.3 27.0	44	23	40-250 (6.3)	17-22-227 (10.1)	62-477 (7.7)	0-0	13	16	31–119 (3.8)	22-37-174 (4.7)	68-293 (4.3) 1-1	+5

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS										
HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U							
TEN +10	UGA 41 at TEN 0	UGA	U (47)							
UGA +3½	TEN 34 at UGA 31	UGA	0 (521/2)							
TEN +2½	UGA 31 at TEN 38	TEN	0 (60)							
UGA -19	TEN 32 at UGA 35	TEN	0 (56½)							
TEN +13½	UGA 34 at TEN 31	TEN	0 (641/2)							
	HOME LINE TEN +10 UGA +3½ TEN +2½ UGA -19	HOME LINE SU RESULT TEN +10 UGA 41 at TEN 0 UGA +3½ TEN 34 at UGA 31 TEN +2½ UGA 31 at TEN 38 UGA -19 TEN 32 at UGA 35	HOME LINE SU RESULT ATS WIN TEN +10 UGA 41 at TEN 0 UGA UGA +3½ TEN 34 at UGA 31 UGA TEN +2½ UGA 31 at TEN 38 TEN UGA -19 TEN 32 at UGA 35 TEN							

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• GEORGIA is 11-3 ATS after a game where they committed fewer than 2 turnovers since start of last season.

• GEORGIA is 9-2 ATS after gaining 6.25 or more yards/play in their previous game since start of last season.

• TENNESSEE is 3-10 ATS in games played on a grass field since the start of last season.





MICHIGAN WOLVERINES WILDCATS





(209) MICHIGAN (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) at (210) NORTHWESTERN (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 4:30 PM
--

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL F	FUM-INT	TOD
MICHIGAN	+27.5 33.8	42	21	38-212 (5.5)	17-26-209 (8.1)	64-421 (6.6)	0-1	14	18	37-101 (2.7)	15-28-139 (4.9)	65-240 (3.7)	0-1	+1
NORTHWESTERN	-5.0 38.3	24	25	37-117 (3.2)	30-50-307 (6.1)	87-424 (4.9)	0-1	29	18	33-130 (3.9)	22-33-250 (7.7)	66-380 (5.8)	0-1	-1

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/10/15	MICH -10½	NW 0 at MICH 38	MICH	0 (37)
11/8/14	NW +2	MICH 10 at NW 9	NW	U (41)
11/16/13	NW -2½	MICH 27 at NW 19	MICH	U (48½)
11/10/12	MICH -8½	NW 31 at MICH 38	NW	0 (51)
10/8/11	NW +7½	MICH 42 at NW 24	MICH	0 (60)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Pat Fitzgerald is 8-0 UNDER at home after gaining 125 or less rush yards in back-to-back w/NORTHWESTERN.
- NORTHWESTERN is 9-1 ATS against conference opponents since the start of last season.
- Pat Fitzgerald is 3-14 ATS at home vs. teams who give up 17 or less PPG as coach of NORTHWESTERN.

Statfox Forecaster

Michigan Wolverines Northwestern Wildcats









23

20

30

18

38 24

LINE: INDIANA BY 17

(145) INDIANA (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (146) RUTGERS (SU: 1-3, ATS: 1-3) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 12:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
INDIANA	+7.0 31.3	29	24	41-184 (4.4)	25-34-219 (6.4)	75-403 (5.4)	0-1	22	18	39-173 (4.4)	14-27-156 (5.8)	66-329 (5.0)	1-1	+4
RUTGERS	-22.8 31.8	16	16	37-138 (3.7)	16-32-140 (4.4)	69-278 (4.0)	1-2	39	21	39-219 (5.7)	17-28-215 (7.8)	67-434 (6.5)	0-1	-7

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FOUR MEETINGS

HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
/ IND -10½	RUT 0 at IND 41	IND	U (46½)
RUT +13	IND 33 at RUT 27	RUT	0 (58½)
IND -6½	RUT 55 at IND 52	RUT	0 (66½)
RUT -8½	IND 23 at RUT 45	RUT	0 (53)
	IND -10½ RUT +13 IND -6½	IND -10½ RUT 0 at IND 41 RUT +13 IND 33 at RUT 27 IND -6½ RUT 55 at IND 52	IND -10½ RUT o at IND 41 IND RUT +13 IND 33 at RUT 27 RUT IND -6½ RUT 55 at IND 52 RUT

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• Chris Ash is 1-9 ATS after a loss by 28 or more points as coach of RUTGERS.

• Chris Ash is 1-8 ATS after allowing 37 points or more last game as coach of RUTGERS.

• INDIANA is 1-9 ATS against conference opponents since the start of last season.

Statfox Forecaster || Indiana Hoosiers. **Rutgers Scarlet Knights**



PURDUE | E NEBRASKA BOILERMAKERS CORNHUSKERS

LINE: PURDUE BY 3

(179) PURDUE (SU: 1-3, ATS: 2-2) at (180) NEBRASKA (SU: 0-3, ATS: 0-3) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 3:30 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL F	FUM-INT	TOD
PURDUE	+2.3 39.8	28	20	31-165 (5.3)	26-38-318 (8.3)	69-483 (7.0)	1-1	26	22	38-138 (3.6)	22-36-275 (7.7)	74-413 (5.6)	0-2	-2
NEBRASKA	-18.7 43.3	19	20	44-185 (4.2)	17-27-169 (6.3)	71-354 (5.0)	1-1	38	20	38-157 (4.1)	22-34-222 (6.5)	72-379 (5.3)	0-1	-5

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS											
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	O/U							
10/28/17	PUR -31⁄2	NEB 25 at PUR 24	NEB	U (53½)							
10/22/16	NEB -24½	PUR 14 at NEB 27	PUR	U (59½)							
10/31/15	PUR +7½	NEB 45 at PUR 55	PUR	0 (54)							
11/1/14	NEB -21	PUR 14 at NEB 35	Push	U (58½)							
10/12/13	PUR +13½	NEB 44 at PUR 7	NEB	U (57½)							

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• NEBRASKA is 0-8 ATS at home when playing on a Saturday since the start of last season.

• Jeff Brohm is 12-1 OVER when the line is +3 to -3.

• NEBRASKA is 2-11 ATS in games played on turf since the start of last season.





MISSISSIPPI STATE FLORIDA vs GATORS **BULLDOGS**



LINE: MISSISSIPPI STATE BY 71/2

(193) FLORIDA (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) at (194) MISSISSIPPI STATE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) PDIF_SS / PPG_FD_RUSHING PASSING TOTAL_FUM-INT							TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL F	UM-INT	TOD
FLORIDA	+25.0 22.3	41	19	33-188 (5.8)	14-25-194 (7.9)	58-382 (6.6)	0-0	16	17	45-188 (4.2)	12-24-150 (6.1)	69-338 (4.9)	2-1	+10
MISSISSIPPI STATE	+25.8 23.5	39	22	38-248 (6.6)	14-29-243 (8.3)	67-491 (7.3)	0-1	14	16	37-121 (3.2)	14-26-148 (5.6)	63-269 (4.3)	0-1	+1

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS


DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/16/10	0 UF -9½	MSU 10 at UF 7	MSU	U (47)
10/24/09	MSU +22	UF 29 at MSU 19	MSU	P (48)
10/8/05	UF -26	MSU 9 at UF 35	Push	U (46)
10/23/04	MSU +23½	UF 31 at MSU 38	MSU	0 (48½)
9/29/01	UF -18½	MSU 0 at UF 52	UF	U (53)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Dan Mullen is 37-17 UNDER after a game where his team committed fewer than 2 turnovers.
- FLORIDA is 8-1 UNDER after having won 3 out of their last 4 games since the start of the 2016 season.

• Dan Mullen is 19-7 UNDER after his team scored 20 points or more in the first half in back-to-back games.

SSISSIPPI	VS	LSU
REBELS	VS	TIGERS





22 31

(195) OLE MISS (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2) at (196) LSU (SU: 4-0, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 9:00 PM

MI

		TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT						TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)					
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL FUM-INT	TOD
OLE MISS	+5.3 33.3	42	21	34-175 (5.2)	20-32-348 (10.9)	66-523 (7.9)	0-1	37	28	42-191 (4.5)	27-44-314 (7.2)	86-505 (5.9) 1-1	+2
LSU	+16.3 33.5	31	20	42-170 (4.1)	13-27-183 (6.8)	69-353 (5.1)	0-0	15	19	35-91 (2.6)	20-37-244 (6.6)	72-335 (4.7) 1-2	+8

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• Ed Orgeron is 8-0 UNDER after scoring 37 points or more last game as coach of LSU.

- Ed Orgeron is 8-0 ATS vs. good passing teams averaging 8 or more passing yards/att. as coach of LSU.
- LSU is 8-0 UNDER off a home win by 17 points or more since the start of the 2016 season.

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
10/21/17	MISS +61/2	LSU 40 at MISS 24	LSU (D (59½)
10/22/16	LSU -8½	MISS 21 at LSU 38	LSU	U (60)
11/21/15	MISS -7	LSU 17 at MISS 38	MISS	U (58)
10/25/14	LSU +31/2	MISS 7 at LSU 10	LSU	U (45)
10/19/13	MISS +91/2	LSU 24 at MISS 27	MISS	U (60)





ARKANSAS TEXAS A&M vs AGGIES RAZORBACKS



LINE: TEXAS A&M BY 20

(211) ARKANSAS (SU: 1-3, ATS: 1-3) vs. (212) TEXAS A&M (SU: 2-2, ATS: 4-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 12:00 PM at AT&T Stadium in Arlington, Texas

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
_	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL F	UM-INT	TOD
ARKANSAS	-7.5 27.0	26	19	40-164 (4.1)	16-32-210 (6.6)	72-374 (5.2)	0-2	33	18	32-88 (2.7)	24-40-258 (6.5)	72-346 (4.8)	2-0	-2
TEXAS A&M	+16.5 37.3	39	30	42-246 (5.9)	22-36-299 (8.2)	78-545 (7.0)	1-0	22	16	26-87 (3.4)	19-31-292 (9.5)	57-379 (6.6)	0-0	-4

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS											
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U							
9/23/17	A&M -2	ARK 43 at A&M 50	A&M	0 (56½)							
9/24/16	A&M -7	ARK 24 at A&M 45	A&M	0 (52)							
9/26/15	ARK +6	A&M 28 at ARK 21	A&M	U (61)							
9/27/14	A&M -7½	ARK 28 at A&M 35	ARK	U (72)							
9/28/13	ARK +13½	A&M 45 at ARK 33	ARK	0 (64½)							

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• TEXAS A&M is 8-1 ATS after a game where they forced fewer than 2 turnovers since the start of last season.

- Chad Morris is 3-13 ATS vs. excellent punt coverage teams, allowing <= 7.5 yards per return.
- TEXAS A&M is 6-0 ATS after back-to-back games where forced fewer than 2 turnovers since start of last season.

	Statfox Forecaster	Arkansas Razorbacks25Texas A&M Aggies47
--	--------------------	---



SOUTH CAROLINA KENTUCKY VS GAMECOCKS ILDCATS





(141) SOUTH CAROLINA (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (142) KENTUCKY (SU: 4-0, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 7:30 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
SOUTH CAROLINA	+11.0 39.7	34	26	35-197 (5.6)	25-37-279 (7.5)	72-476 (6.6)	1-1	23	24	41-163 (3.9)	16-26-169 (6.6)	67-332 (5.0)) 1-1	-1
KENTUCKY	+21.3 27.3	34	23	44-269 (6.2)	14-22-158 (7.2)	66-427 (6.5)	1-1	13	16	29-106 (3.6)	18-34-174 (5.0)	63-280 (4.4)) 0-1	-3

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	I 0/U
9/16/17	SC -5½	KY 23 at SC 13	KY	U (47)
9/24/16	KY -2½	SC 10 at KY 17	KY	U (57½)
9/12/15	SC -7	KY 26 at SC 22	KY	U (56)
10/4/14	KY +41/2	SC 38 at KY 45	KY	0 (54½)
10/5/13	SC -21½	KY 28 at SC 35	KY	0 (54)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- Will Muschamp is 9-0 UNDER away against conference opponents as coach of SOUTH CAROLINA.
- KENTUCKY is 0-8 ATS as a favorite since the start of last season.
- KENTUCKY is 0-7 ATS as a home favorite since the start of last season.

South Carolina Gan Kentucky Wildcats South Carolina Gamecocks 26 28



OREGON STATE	Ve	ARIZON SUN DE
BEAVERS	V3	SUN DE





LINE: ARIZONA STATE BY 211/2

(151) OREGON STATE (SU: 1-3, ATS: 2-2) at (152) ARIZONA STATE (SU: 2-2, ATS: 3-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 10:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL F	UM-INT	TOD
OREGON STATE	-11.5 30.8	32	22	42-184 (4.4)	22-34-271 (7.9)	76-455 (6.0)	1-0	44	27	43-280 (6.5)	21-34-260 (7.6)	77-540 (7.0)	0-0	-2
ARIZONA STATE	+7.8 39.8	26	21	32-128 (4.0)	24-36-266 (7.3)	68-394 (5.8)	0-0	19	24	40-137 (3.5)	18-32-216 (6.7)	72-353 (4.9)	1-1	+4

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• ARIZONA STATE is 11-2 ATS at home when playing on a Saturday since the start of the 2016 season.

• OREGON STATE is 12-3 OVER when playing on a Saturday since the start of last season.

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
11/18/17	ORST +7	ASU 40 at ORST 24	ASU	0 (59)
11/15/14	ORST +7	ASU 27 at ORST 35	ORST	U (63½)
11/16/13	ASU -13½	ORST 17 at ASU 30	ORST	U (65)
11/3/12	ORST -3½	ASU 26 at ORST 36	ORST	0 (55½)
10/1/11	ASU -17½	ORST 20 at ASU 35	ORST	0 (54½)

• OREGON STATE is 9-2 OVER when playing with 6 or less days rest since the start of last season.

Statfox Forecaster || Oregon State Beavers







23 49

(201) USC (SU: 2-2, ATS: 0-4) at (202) ARIZONA (SU: 2-2, ATS: 2-2) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 10:30 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL F	UM-INT	TOD
USC	-3.0 41.0	25	20	30-110 (3.6)	22-36-266 (7.3)	66-376 (5.7)	0-1	28	20	36-180 (5.0)	20-35-214 (6.1)	71-394 (5.5)	0-0	-2
ARIZONA	+5.0 23.8	34	27	44-247 (5.7)	17-31-272 (8.8)	75-519 (6.9)	0-0	30	25	45-192 (4.3)	20-34-218 (6.3)	79-410 (5.2)	0-0	-3

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS											
DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U							
11/4/17	USC -6	ARI 35 at USC 49	USC	0 (76½)							
10/15/16	ARI +14	USC 48 at ARI 14	USC	U (63½)							
11/7/15	USC -19	ARI 30 at USC 38	ARI	U (69½)							
10/11/14	ARI -1½	USC 28 at ARI 26	USC	U (66)							
10/10/13	USC -5½	ARI 31 at USC 38	USC	0 (48)							

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• Kevin Sumlin is 8-0 UNDER after gaining 300 or more rushing yards last game.

• Clay Helton is 2-11 ATS in September games as coach of USC.

• Clay Helton is 2-12 ATS away after a loss against the spread as coach of USC.





UTAH WASHINGTON STATE VS UTES COUGARS



LINE: UTAH BY 1

(149) UTAH (SU: 2-1, ATS: 1-2) at (150) WASHINGTON STATE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 4-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM

	TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)							TEAM DEFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES)						
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
UTAH	+9.3 27.7	22	19	35-169 (4.9)	22-37-239 (6.5)	72-408 (5.7)	2-1	12	12	38-112 (2.9)	12-26-93 (3.5)	64-205 (3.2) 0-1	-6
WASHINGTON STATE	+21.3 20.8	42	28	23-83 (3.6)	40-57-402 (7.0)	80-485 (6.1)	0-1	20	17	32-100 (3.2)	13-28-160 (5.8)	60-260 (4.3)) 0-1	+1

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
11/11/17	Pick'em	WSU 33 at UTAH 25	WSU	0 (50½)
9/27/14	UTAH -13½	WSU 28 at UTAH 27	WSU	U (60)
11/23/13	WSU -1½	UTAH 37 at WSU 49	WSU	0 (52)
11/3/12	UTAH -10½	WSU 6 at UTAH 49	UTAH	0 (49)
11/19/11	WSU +31⁄2	UTAH 30 at WSU 27	WSU	0 (49)

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

- WASHINGTON ST is 7-0 ATS at home after gaining 100 or less rush yards last game since the start of 2016.
- Mike Leach is 41-24 ATS when playing on a Saturday as coach of WASHINGTON ST.
- Mike Leach is 28-14 ATS after gaining 300+ passing yards in 3 straight games as coach of WASHINGTON ST.







30 20

LINE: OREGON BY 3

(213) OREGON (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (214) CALIFORNIA (SU: 3-0, ATS: 1-1-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 10:30 PM

				TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENSI	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL FU	UM-INT	TOD
OREGON	+22.0 18.5	46	25	47-205 (4.4)	19-31-303 (9.8)	78-508 (6.5)	1-1	24	16	36-76 (2.1)	17-30-251 (8.2)	66-327 (5.0)	0-1	-3
CALIFORNIA	+10.7 21.3	30	22	43-186 (4.3)	21-33-201 (6.1)	76-387 (5.1)	1-1	19	17	39-132 (3.4)	17-33-171 (5.2)	72-303 (4.2)	0-2	+2

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

DATE	HOME LINE	SU RESULT	ATS WIN	0/U
9/30/17	ORE -16½	CAL 24 at ORE 45	ORE	0 (67)
10/21/16	CAL +21/2	ORE 49 at CAL 52	CAL	0 (89½)
11/7/15	ORE -3½	CAL 28 at ORE 44	ORE	U (76)

ORF

ORE 59 at CAL 41

STATFOX POWER TRENDS:

• OREGON is 0-7 ATS after allowing 100 or less rushing yards last game since the start of last season.

• OREGON is 5-16 ATS when playing with 6 or less days rest since the start of the 2016 season.

• OREGON is 0-7 ATS away vs. good passing teams with a completion pct. of 58% or better over L3 seasons.





DATE

10/24/14 CAL +17½

9/28/13 ORE -38½ CAL 16 at ORE 55

BYU WASHINGTON vs COUGARS HUSKIES



26

.27

LINE: WASHINGTON BY 17¹/₂

(153) BYU (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1) at (154) WASHINGTON (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 8:30 PM

0 (78)

ORE U (81½)

				TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	AVERAGES)				TEAM DEFENSE	(2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
	PDIF SS	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	TOD
BYU	+8.0 31.5	25	18	36-156 (4.3)	17-30-164 (5.5)	66-320 (4.8)	0-0	17	18	36-148 (4.1)	19-31-184 (6.0)	67-332 (5.0)) 1-1	+5
WASHINGTON	+14.5 34.0	27	23	37-160 (4.4)	20-31-272 (8.8)	68-432 (6.4)	0-1	13	16	37-149 (4.0)	20-34-154 (4.6)	71-303 (4.3)) 1-0	-1

HEAD-TO-HEAD: LAST FIVE MEETINGS

HOME LINE SU RESULT ATS WIN O/U

Kalani Sitake is 11-2 UNDER as an underdog as coach of BYU.

• Kalani Sitake is 7-0 UNDER as a road underdog as coach of BYU.

·í		UW 31 vs BYU 16	UW	U (65)	Kalani Sitake is 7-0 ONDER as a road an
9/4/10 9/6/08		UW 17 at BYU 23 BYU 28 at UW 27	BYU	U (56½) 0 (54½)	
9/9/99	BYU -4	UW 28 at BYU 35	BYU	0 (53½)	Statfox Forecast
9/19/98	3 UW -9	BYU 10 at UW 20	UW	U (55½)	Journa Journa

STATFOX	POWER	TRENDS:	
• Kalani Sita	ko is 11-2 l	INDED as an i	

UNDER after a straight-up win as coach of BYU.

And	BYU Cougars. Washington Huskies	
ler	Washington Huskies	.29

S.					ARI	MY	VS	;	BUI	FFA	LO (-9)			đ	Ne I
109) ARMY (SU: 2-2, A1	'S: 2-1)	at (11	0) BUI	FFALO (SU: 4-0	, ATS: 3-1) - Saturd	ay, 9/29/	2018 12	:00 PM							
PDI	F SS	PPC	5 FD	TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME PASSING	AVERAGE TOT		UM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	
RMY +1.	•••••		22	66-315 (4.8)	5-12-115 (9.6)	78-430		0-0	24	18	24-131 (5.5)	16-25-248 (9.8)	49-379 (7.7)	1-0	+1
JFFALO +20	3 18.5	40	21	39-163 (4.2)	20-32-266 (8.3)	71-429		0-1	20	18	41-143 (3.5)	17-33-208 (6.4)	74-351 (4.7)	1-1	+4
#statfox Torecaste	• BL	JFFAL	.0 34,	, ARMY 23				ie TREN ious gan				R away after allowing	g 6.25 or more	e yards/pla	ay in
<u>s</u>				CINCI	NNATI (-1	7)	VS	;	co	NNE	ECTICUT				10
111) CINCINNATI (SU: 4	-0, ATS	5: 3-1)	at (112	CONNECTICU	T (SU: 1-3, ATS: 1-3	5) - Saturo	lay, 9/2	9/2018 3	:30 PM						
PD	F SS		5 FD	TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME PASSING	AVERAGE TOT		UM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	то
NCINNATI +22	•••••		23	48-235 (4.9)	15-24-190 (8.1)	72-425		0-0	14	15	30-121 (4.0)	17-33-153 (4.6)	63-274 (4.3)	0-1	+2
DNNECTICUT -29.	3 33.0	25	21	41-196 (4.8)	19-30-216 (7.3)	71-412	(5.8)	2-1	54	31	39-309 (8.0)	24-33-355 (10.7)	72-664 (9.2)	0-0	-7
#statfox Forecaste	• CI	NCIN	NATI	35, CONNECT	ICUT 22		ason.	IE TREN	D: CON	INECT	ICUT is 1-10 ATS	in September game	es since the st	art of the :	2016
			(CENTRA	L MICHIG	AN	VS	5	міс	CHI	GAN STA	TE (-28½)		à	
13) CENTRAL MICHIGA	N (SU	: 1-3, A	TS: 3-	1) at (114) MICH	IGAN STATE (SU: 2	2-1, ATS: 1	-2) - Sa	turday, 9	/29/20	18 12:0	00 PM				
PDI	F SS		5 FD	TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME PASSING	AVERAGE TOT		UM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	ТО
NTRAL MICHIGAN -8.1	•••••		14	38-120 (3.1)	15-27-138 (5.1)	65-258		1-2	24	20	43-194 (4.5)	16-28-140 (5.1)	71-334 (4.7)		-
CHIGAN STATE +6.	39.7	29	22	36-120 (3.3)	22-33-273 (8.4)	69-393		1-1	23	22	29-33 (1.1)	30-46-324 (7.0)	75-357 (4.8)	0-2	
Statfox Forecaster	6 MI	CHIG	AN ST	ATE 29, CENT	RAL MICHIGAN						onio is 9-0 ATS f MICHIGAN ST	vs. good passing de	fenses - allow	ring 150 or	less
			M	NORTHE	RN ILLINO	IS	VS	;	EAS	STE	RN MICH	HIGAN (-3½	2)		E
15) NORTHERN ILLING	IS (SU	: 1-3, /	ATS: 1-	3) at (116) EAST	ERN MICHIGAN (S	U: 2-2, AT	'S: 3-1)	- Saturda	iy, 9/29	9/2018	6:00 PM				
PDI	F SS		5 FD	TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME PASSING	AVERAGE TOT		UM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	Т
DRTHERN ILLINOIS -11.				37-101 (2.7)	16-30-135 (4.5)	67-236		0-1	26	20	48-140 (3.0)	18-30-235 (7.8)	78-375 (4.8)	2-1	+/
STERN MICHIGAN +6.	3 26.5	30	20	38-122 (3.2)	22-32-308 (9.8)	70-430	(6.1)	0-0	24	19	38-216 (5.6)	18-29-209 (7.1)	67-425 (6.3)	1-0	+
Statfox Torecaste	• EA	STER	RN MI	CHIGAN 30, N	I ILLINOIS 22						MICHIGAN is 11- e 2016 season.	-1 ATS after a game v	where they fo	rced fewer	' thai
					KENT STA	TE	VS	5	BAI	LLS	STATE (-	81/2)			-
17) KENT STATE (SU: 1	-3, ATS	5: 3-1)	at (118) BALL STATE (SU: 1-3, ATS: 2-2) -	Saturday	, 9/29/	2018 3:0	0 PM						
	F SS		5 FD	TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME PASSING	AVERAGE		UM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	
NT STATE -10.				43-182 (4.2)	22-38-212 (5.5)	81-394		1-1	36	23	46-212 (4.6)	17-29-296 (10.1)	75-508 (6.8)	1-1	-1
LL STATE -2.0	26.8	22	24	41-202 (5.0)	23-40-236 (5.9)	81-438	(5.4)	0-1	24	23	41-184 (4.5)	20-32-217 (6.9)	73-401 (5.5)	0-1	+
#statfox Forecaste	• BA	LL ST	TATE	27, KENT STA	TE 26			IE TREN				s. mistake prone tear	ns - 60+ pena	lty yards p	oer
R					RI	CE	VS	;	WA	KE	FOREST	(-25½)			WF
19) RICE (SU: 1-3, ATS:	2-2) a	t (120) WAK	E FOREST (SU:	2-2, ATS: 0-4) - Sa	turday, 9	/29/20	18 3:30 P	м						
					E (2018 PER-GAME							E (2018 PER-GAME A			
PDI CE -11.			5 FD 19	RUSHING 40-185 (4.6)	PASSING 17-31-201 (6.5)	TOT 71-386		UM-INT 0-1	PPG 39	FD 22	RUSHING 31-174 (5.6)	PASSING 20-30-328 (10.8)	TOTAL 61–502 (8.2)	FUM-INT 1-0	-1
AKE FOREST +0.				54-267 (4.9)	21-38-243 (6.3)	92-510		0-2	34	23	39-170 (4.4)	24-38-314 (8.4)	77-484 (6.3)	1-0	-1
Statfox Torecaste	• w	AKE F	ORES	ST 54, RICE 20	6			IE TREN hts/game		e Claw	son is 7-0 ATS	vs. terrible defensive	teams who g	ive up 37 (or
				OLI		DN	VS	5	EAS	ST (CAROLIN	IA (-5)			A.
21) OLD DOMINION (S	U: 1-3,	ATS: 1	-3) at	(122) E CAROLI	NA (SU: 1-2, ATS: 2	2-1) - Satu	rday, 9	/29/2018	3:30 P	M					
	_				E (2018 PER-GAME							E (2018 PER-GAME A			
LD DOMINION -9.1			5 FD 20	RUSHING 32-120 (3.8)	PASSING 21-37-296 (8.0)	TOT 69-416		UM-INT 0-0	PPG 36	FD 27	RUSHING 46-229 (5.0)	PASSING 21-34-284 (8.4)	TOTAL 80-513 (6.4)	FUM-INT 0-0	EVE
AST CAROLINA +3.			•••••	41-148 (3.6)	26-50-287 (5.8)	91-435		0-0	30 22	2/ 14	46-229 (5.0) 29-119 (4.1)	18-31-201 (6.5)	60-320 (5.3)	0-0	-3
Statfox Forecaster	. EA	ST C	AROL	INA 29, OLD	DOMINION 24						der is 3-16 ATS DOMINION.	after allowing 475 o	r more total y	ards in the	eir

				BOW	LING GREE	EN	VS	GEO	RG	IA TECH	l (-28½)		-	Gr.
123) BOWLING G	REEN (S	U: 1-3, ATS	s: 0-4) ;	at (124) GEORG	iIA TECH (SU: 1-3, /	ATS: 0-4) -	· Saturday, 9/2	9/2018 1	2:00 P	м				
	PDIF	SS PP	G FD	TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME) PASSING	AVERAGES		PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	
OWLING GREEN	-18.3	23.3 26	•••••	38-92 (2.4)	25-39-238 (6.1)	77-330 (4		44	21	52-334 (6.4)	9-18-160 (8.7)	70-494 (7.1)		+1
ORGIA TECH	-0.8	32.5 30	22	56-331 (5.9)	7-15-102 (6.9)	71-433 (6	6.1) 1-1	30	20	35-172 (4.9)	18-27-175 (6.5)	62-347 (5.6)	0-1	-3
Statfox Fore	ecaster	GEORG	IA TEC	CH 56, BOWL	ING GREEN 24	KEY GRE		D: Mike	Jinks is	s O-11 ATS in no	on-conference game	s as coach of	BOWLING	I
1. T					TEMP	LE	vs	BOS	то	N COLLI	EGE (-14)			A.
127) TEMPLE (SU:	: 2-2, AT	S: 2-2) at	(128) B	OSTON COLLEG	GE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-	1) - Saturd	ay, 9/29/2018	12:00 PM	1					
					E (2018 PER-GAME						E (2018 PER-GAME A			
EMPLE	PDIF +6.5	22.3 28	G FD 17	RUSHING 35-129 (3.7)	PASSING 15-30-204 (6.8)	TOTAI 65-333 (5		PPG 22	FD 24	RUSHING 44-161 (3.7)	PASSING 21-37-197 (5.4)	TOTAL 81-358 (4.4)	FUM-INT 0-2	то +1
DSTON COLLEGE	+18.0	23.8 43		48-243 (5.1)	17-25-253 (10.0)	73-496 (6		25	18	44-142 (3.2)	18-32-206 (6.4)	76-348 (4.6)		+
#statfox Fore	ecaster	воѕто	N COL	LEGE 28, TEN	1PLE 23		Y GAME TREN start of last se		ON CC	LLEGE is 10-1	ATS when playing w	/ith 6 or less c	lays rest si	nce
incs				SOUT	H ALABAN	1A	vs	APP	AL	ACHIAN	STATE (-2	:6)		Æ
31) SOUTH ALAB	AMA (S	U: 1-3, ATS	5: 2-1) a	t (132) APPALA	CHIAN STATE (SU:	2-1, ATS: 3	5-0) - Saturday	, 9/29/2	018 3::	30 PM				
					E (2018 PER-GAME						E (2018 PER-GAME A			
OUTH ALABAMA	-13.3	SS PP 34.8 29	G FD 16	RUSHING 36-130 (3.6)	PASSING 19-30-213 (7.0)	TOTAI 66-343 (5		PPG 42	FD 26	48-206 (4.3)	PASSING 21-33-292 (8.8)	TOTAL 81-498 (6.1)	FUM-INT 0-2	TO
PALACHIAN STATE	+31.3	22.7 52	•••••	40-243 (6.1)	20-27-282 (10.5)	67-525 (7		20	17	38-111 (2.9)	16-31-155 (5.0)	69-266 (3.9)		+
#statfox Fore	ecaster	APPAL	ACHIA	N ST 47, SOU	TH ALABAMA 11		Y GAME TREN				after a game where	they forced f	iewer than	
		LO	UIS	IANA-M	ONROE (-	8)	vs	GEO	RG	IA STAT	'E		1	Ser.
35) LA MONROE	(SU: 2-2	2, ATS: 1-3) at (13	6) GEORGIA ST	ATE (SU: 1-3, ATS: 1	1-3) - Satu	rday, 9/29/201	8 2:00 P	м				1000	
					E (2018 PER-GAME						E (2018 PER-GAME A			
MONROE	PDIF -10.5	-	G FD 21	RUSHING 34-168 (4.9)	PASSING 24-39-264 (6.7)	TOTAI	-	PPG 34	FD 26	RUSHING 34-138 (4.0)	PASSING 26-37-330 (9.0)	TOTAL 71-468 (6.6)	FUM-INT 0-1	-1
ORGIA STATE	-21.5	28.0 23 29.8 17		36-116 (3.3)	19-31-213 (6.8)	73-432 (5 67-329 (4		38	20	40-250 (6.2)	21-28-282 (10.3)	68-532 (7.8)		-2
Statfox Fore	ecaster	GEORG	IA STA	ATE 31, LA MO	NROE 27		Y GAME TREN sing yards/att.				s. poor passing defe	nses - allowin	g 8 or mor	e
8				MASS	ACHUSET	TS	vs	оні	o (·	-13)			2	8000 ·
37) MASSACHUS	ETTS (S	U: 2-3, AT	S: 2-3) ;	at (138) OHIO L	I (SU: 1-2, ATS: 1-2)) - Saturda	y, 9/29/2018 2	:00 PM						
					E (2018 PER-GAME						E (2018 PER-GAME A	-		10
ASSACHUSETTS			G FD	RUSHING	PASSING	ΤΟΤΑΙ	L FUM-INT	PPG 40	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	-2
	PDIF -5.6 -4.0		20		-		L FUM-INT 6.2) 1-1	PPG 40 37	FD 25 24			-	1-1	-2
HIO U	-5.6 -4.0	21.0 34 21.0 33	20 20	RUSHING 33-159 (4.8) 38-166 (4.3)	PASSING 18-30-234 (7.8) 16-30-234 (7.7)	TOTAL 63-393 (6 68-400 (5 KEY	L FUM-INT 6.2) 1-1 5.9) 1-0 Y GAME TREN	40 37 D: Frank	25 24 Solich	RUSHING 50-235 (4.7) 35-161 (4.7) n is O-11 ATS wh	PASSING 16-25-217 (8.7)	TOTAL 75-452 (6.0) 73-540 (7.4)	1-1 2-1	-
HIO U	-5.6 -4.0	21.0 34 21.0 33	20 20	RUSHING 33-159 (4.8) 38-166 (4.3) ASSACHUSE1	PASSING 18-30-234 (7.8) 16-30-234 (7.7)	TOTAI 63-393 (6 68-400 (5 KEY Pct.	FUM-INT 6.2) 1-1 5.9) 1-0	40 37 D: Frank	25 24 Solich of OH	RUSHING 50-235 (4.7) 35-161 (4.7) h is 0-11 ATS wh IIO U.	PASSING 16-25-217 (8.7) 24-38-379 (10.0)	TOTAL 75-452 (6.0) 73-540 (7.4)	1-1 2-1	
HIO U Statfox Fore	-5.6 -4.0	21.0 34 21.0 33 OHIO U	20 20 1 47, M	RUSHING 33-159 (4.8) 38-166 (4.3) ASSACHUSE1	PASSING 18-30-234 (7.8) 16-30-234 (7.7) TTS 25 TTTSBUR(TOTAL 63-393 (6 68-400 (5 KEY Pct. GH	L FUM-INT 6.2) 1-1 5.9) 1-0 Y GAME TREN . 40% to 49%) V S	40 37 D: Frank as coach	25 24 Solich of OH	RUSHING 50-235 (4.7) 35-161 (4.7) h is 0-11 ATS wh IIO U.	PASSING 16-25-217 (8.7) 24-38-379 (10.0)	TOTAL 75-452 (6.0) 73-540 (7.4)	1-1 2-1	· ·
HIO U Statfox Fore	-5.6 -4.0	21.0 34 21.0 33 OHIO U	20 20 1 47, M	RUSHING 33-159 (4.8) 38-166 (4.3) ASSACHUSET P 40) UCF (SU: 3-	PASSING 18-30-234 (7.8) 16-30-234 (7.7) TTS 25 PITTSBURC 0, ATS: 2-1) - Satur	TOTAL 63-393 (6 68-400 (5 Pct. GH	FUM-INT 6.2) 1-1 5.9) 1-0 Y GAME TREN . 40% to 49%) V S /2018 3:30 PM	40 37 D: Frank as coach	25 24 Solich of OH	RUSHING 50-235 (4.7) 35-161 (4.7) is 0-11 ATS wh IIO U. 5 1/2)	PASSING 16-25-217 (8.7) 24-38-379 (10.0) hen playing against	TOTAL 75-452 (6.0) 73-540 (7.4) a marginal los	1-1 2-1	· ·
HIO U Statfox Fore	-5.6 -4.0 ecaster	21.0 34 21.0 33 OHIO U 2, ATS: 1-3 SS PPP	20 20 J 47, M 3) at (14 G FD	RUSHING 33-159 (4.8) 38-166 (4.3) ASSACHUSET P 40) UCF (SU: 3- TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	PASSING 18-30-234 (7.8) 16-30-234 (7.7) TTS 25 TTTS BUR(0, ATS: 2-1) - Satur E (2018 PER-GAME) PASSING	TOTAL 63-393 (6 68-400 (5 Pct. G H rday, 9/29/ AVERAGES TOTAL	L FUM-INT 6.2) 1-1 5.9) 1-0 Y GAME TREN 40% to 49%) V S /2018 3:30 PM C FUM-INT	40 37 D: Frank as coach UCF	25 24 Solich of OH	RUSHING 50-235 (4.7) 35-161 (4.7) is 0-11 ATS while IIO U. 5 ½) TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING	PASSING 16-25-217 (8.7) 24-38-379 (10.0) hen playing against (E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	TOTAL 75-452 (6.0) 73-540 (7.4) a marginal los werages total	1-1 2-1 sing team ((Win
HIO U Statfox Sove (39) PITTSBURGH	-5.6 -4.0 ecaster I (SU: 2- PDIF -4.3	21.0 34 21.0 33 OHIO U 2, ATS: 1-3 SS PPP 32.3 24	20 20 J 47, M/ 3) at (14 G FD 18	RUSHING 33-159 (4.8) 38-166 (4.3) ASSACHUSET P 40) UCF (SU: 3- TEAM OFFENS RUSHING 39-212 (5.4)	PASSING 18-30-234 (7.8) 16-30-234 (7.7) TTS 25 PITTSBURC 0, ATS: 2-1) - Satur E (2018 PER-GAME PASSING 15-24-149 (6.1)	TOTAI 63-393 (6 68-400 (5 Pct. G H rday, 9/29/ AVERAGES TOTAI 63-361 (5	FUM-INT 6.2 1-1 5.9 1-0 Y GAME TREN 40% to 49%) V S ////////////////////////////////////	40 37 D: Frank as coach UCF	25 24 Solich of OH (-1	RUSHING 50-235 (4.7) 35-161 (4.7) is 0-11 ATS while IIO U. 5 ½)	PASSING 16-25-217 (8.7) 24-38-379 (10.0) hen playing against of hen playing against of the playin	TOTAL 75-452 (6.0) 73-540 (7.4) a marginal los verages) TOTAL 65-396 (6.1)	1-1 2-1 sing team (FUM-INT 1-1	(Win (Win))) (Win) (W in)) (Win) (Win)(Win)
HIO U Statfox Sore ISBURGH CF	-5.6 -4.0 pcaster I (SU: 2- PDIF -4.3 +32.3	21.0 34 21.0 33 OHIO U 2, ATS: 1-2 SS PPP 32.3 24 16.0 50	20 20 J 47, M J 3) at (14 G FD 18 31	RUSHING 33-159 (4.8) 38-166 (4.3) ASSACHUSET P 40) UCF (SU: 3- TEAM OFFENS RUSHING 39-212 (5.4) 44-284 (6.4)	PASSING 18-30-234 (7.8) 16-30-234 (7.7) TTS 25 TTTS BUR(0, ATS: 2-1) - Satur E (2018 PER-GAME) PASSING	TOTAI 63-393 (6 68-400 (5 Pct. GH rday, 9/29/ AVERAGES; TOTAI 63-361 (5 80-588 (7 KEY	FUM-INT 6.2 1-1 5.9 1-0 Y GAME TREN 40% to 49%) V S /2018 3:30 PM 21 FUM-INT 5.7 1-1 7.4 0-1 Y GAME TREN	40 37 D: Frank as coach UCF PPG 29 18	25 24 Solich of OH (-1	RUSHING 50-235 (4.7) 35-161 (4.7) 1 is 0-11 ATS while IIO U. 5 ½ TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 39-191 (4.9) 49-239 (4.8)	PASSING 16-25-217 (8.7) 24-38-379 (10.0) hen playing against (E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	TOTAL 75-452 (6.0) 73-540 (7.4) a marginal los VERAGES) TOTAL 65-396 (6.1) 78-397 (5.1)	1-1 2-1 sing team (FUM-INT 1-1 1-2	(Win TO EVE +6
HIO U Statfox Sore ISBURGH CF	-5.6 -4.0 pcaster I (SU: 2- PDIF -4.3 +32.3	21.0 34 21.0 33 OHIO U 2, ATS: 1-2 SS PPP 32.3 24 16.0 50	20 20 J 47, M J 3) at (14 G FD 18 31	RUSHING 33-159 (4.8) 38-166 (4.3) ASSACHUSET P 40) UCF (SU: 3- TEAM OFFENS RUSHING 39-212 (5.4) 44-284 (6.4)	PASSING 18-30-234 (7.8) 16-30-234 (7.7) TTS 25 PITTSBURC 0, ATS: 2-1) - Satur E (2018 PER-GAME PASSING 15-24-149 (6.1)	TOTAI 63-393 (6 68-400 (5 PCt. GH AVERAGES: TOTAI 63-361 (5 80-588 (7 KEY seac	FUM-INT 6.2 1-1 5.9 1-0 Y GAME TREN 40% to 49%) V S /2018 3:30 PM 21 FUM-INT 5.7 1-1 7.4 0-1 Y GAME TREN	40 37 D: Frank as coach UCF PPG 29 18 D: PITTS	25 24 Solich of OH (-1 FD 21 22 BURG	RUSHING 50-235 (4.7) 35-161 (4.7) 1 is 0-11 ATS while IIO U. 5 ½ TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 39-191 (4.9) 49-239 (4.8)	PASSING 16-25-217 (8.7) 24-38-379 (10.0) hen playing against of hen playing against of E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING 15-26-205 (7.7) 16-29-158 (5.4) non-conference gar	TOTAL 75-452 (6.0) 73-540 (7.4) a marginal los VERAGES) TOTAL 65-396 (6.1) 78-397 (5.1)	1-1 2-1 sing team (FUM-INT 1-1 1-2	(Win TO EVE +6
HIO U Statfox Fore IS9) PITTSBURGH ITTSBURGH CF Statfox Fore ISSURGH	-5.6 -4.0 ecaster I (SU: 2- PDIF -4.3 +32.3 ecaster	21.0 34 21.0 33 OHIO U 2, ATS: 1-3 SS PP/ 32.3 24 16.0 50 UCF 38	20 20 3) at (14 6 FD 18 31 31	RUSHING 33-159 (4.8) 38-166 (4.3) ASSACHUSET P 40) UCF (SU: 3- TEAM OFFENS 39-212 (5.4) 44-284 (6.4) SBURGH 25	PASSING 18-30-234 (7.8) 16-30-234 (7.7) TTS 25 PITTSBURC 0, ATS: 2-1) - Satur PASSING 15-24-149 (6.1) 23-36-304 (8.4) NEVAI	TOTALI 63-393 (6 68-400 (5 68-400 (5 PCt. GH rday, 9/29/ AVERAGES, TOTALI 63-361 (5 80-588 (7 KEY Season ADA	FUM-INT 6.2 1-1 5.9 1-0 Y GAME TREN 40% to 49%) V S /2018 3:30 PM /2 1-1 5.7 1-1 7.4 0-1 Y GAME TREN son.	40 37 D: Frank as coach UCF 29 18 D: PITTS AIR	25 24 Solich of OH (-1 FD 21 22 BURG	RUSHING 50-235 (4.7) 35-161 (4.7) is 0-11 ATS while IO U. 5 ½) TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 39-191 (4.9) 49-239 (4.8) H is 1-9 ATS in	PASSING 16-25-217 (8.7) 24-38-379 (10.0) hen playing against of hen playing against of E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING 15-26-205 (7.7) 16-29-158 (5.4) non-conference gar	TOTAL 75-452 (6.0) 73-540 (7.4) a marginal los VERAGES) TOTAL 65-396 (6.1) 78-397 (5.1)	1-1 2-1 sing team (FUM-INT 1-1 1-2	(Win TC EVI +
HIO U Statfox Fore IS9) PITTSBURGH ITTSBURGH CF Statfox Fore ISSUE	-5.6 -4.0 ecaster I (SU: 2- PDIF -4.3 +32.3 ecaster	21.0 34 21.0 33 OHIO U 2, ATS: 1-3 SS PP/ 32.3 24 16.0 50 UCF 38	20 20 3) at (14 6 FD 18 31 31	RUSHING 33-159 (4.8) 38-166 (4.3) ASSACHUSET P 40) UCF (SU: 3- TEAM OFFENS RUSHING 39-212 (5.4) 44-284 (6.4) SBURGH 25	PASSING 18-30-234 (7.8) 16-30-234 (7.7) TTS 25 PITTSBURC 0, ATS: 2-1) - Satur E (2018 PER-GAME / PASSING 15-24-149 (6.1) 23-36-304 (8.4) NEVAI 1-2, ATS: 2-1) - Sat	TOTAI 63-393 (6 68-400 (5 PCt. GH rday, 9/29/ AVERAGES; TOTAI 63-361 (5 80-588 (7 KEY Sea DA	FUM-INT 6.2 1-1 5.9 1-0 Y GAME TREN 40% to 49%) V S /2018 3:30 PM 2 FUM-INT 5.7 1-1 7.4 0-1 Y GAME TREN son. V S	40 37 D: Frank as coach UCF 29 18 D: PITTS AIR	25 24 Solich of OH (-1 FD 21 22 BURG	RUSHING 50-235 (4.7) 35-161 (4.7) is 0-11 ATS while U. 5 ½) TEAM DEFENSION RUSHING 39-191 (4.9) 49-239 (4.8) H is 1-9 ATS in RCE (-6	PASSING 16-25-217 (8.7) 24-38-379 (10.0) hen playing against of the playing against of E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING 15-26-205 (7.7) 16-29-158 (5.4) non-conference gar	TOTAL 75-452 (6.0) 73-540 (7.4) a marginal los VERAGES) TOTAL 65-396 (6.1) 78-397 (5.1) mes since the	1-1 2-1 sing team (FUM-INT 1-1 1-2	(Win TO EVE +(
HIO U Statfox Fore 139) PITTSBURGH ITTSBURGH CF Statfox Fore	-5.6 -4.0 ecaster I (SU: 2- PDIF -4.3 +32.3 ecaster	21.0 34 21.0 33 OHIO U 2, ATS: 1-3 SS PPri 32.3 24 16.0 50 UCF 38 TS: 1-3) at	20 20 3) at (14 6 FD 18 31 31	RUSHING 33-159 (4.8) 38-166 (4.3) ASSACHUSET P 40) UCF (SU: 3- TEAM OFFENS RUSHING 39-212 (5.4) 44-284 (6.4) SBURGH 25	PASSING 18-30-234 (7.8) 16-30-234 (7.7) TTS 25 PITTSBURC 0, ATS: 2-1) - Satur PASSING 15-24-149 (6.1) 23-36-304 (8.4) NEVAI	TOTAI 63-393 (6 68-400 (5 PCt. GH rday, 9/29/ AVERAGES; TOTAI 63-361 (5 80-588 (7 KEY Sea DA	FUM-INT 6.2 1-1 5.9 1-0 Y GAME TREN 40% to 49%) V S /2018 3:30 PM /2018 3:30 PM /2 1-1 5.7 1-1 7.4 0-1 Y GAME TREN son. V S	40 37 D: Frank as coach UCF 29 18 D: PITTS AIR	25 24 Solich of OH (-1 FD 21 22 BURG	RUSHING 50-235 (4.7) 35-161 (4.7) is 0-11 ATS while U. 5 ½) TEAM DEFENSION RUSHING 39-191 (4.9) 49-239 (4.8) H is 1-9 ATS in RCE (-6	PASSING 16-25-217 (8.7) 24-38-379 (10.0) hen playing against of hen playing against of E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING 15-26-205 (7.7) 16-29-158 (5.4) non-conference gar	TOTAL 75-452 (6.0) 73-540 (7.4) a marginal los VERAGES) TOTAL 65-396 (6.1) 78-397 (5.1) mes since the	1-1 2-1 sing team (FUM-INT 1-1 1-2	(Win TO EVE +6 e 201
ITTSBURGH CF Statfox Fore	-5.6 -4.0 ecaster I (SU: 2- PDIF -4.3 +32.3 ecaster	21.0 34 21.0 33 OHIO U 2, ATS: 1-3 SS PPri 32.3 24 16.0 50 UCF 38 TS: 1-3) at	20 20 3) at (14 3) at (14 6 FD 18 31 5, PITTS (148) A 6 FD 21	RUSHING 33-159 (4.8) 38-166 (4.3) ASSACHUSET P 40) UCF (SU: 3- TEAM OFFENS 39-212 (5.4) 44-284 (6.4) SBURGH 25 IIR FORCE (SU:	PASSING 18-30-234 (7.8) 16-30-234 (7.7) TTS 25 PITTSBURC 0, ATS: 2-1) - Satur PASSING 15-24-149 (6.1) 23-36-304 (8.4) NEVAI 1-2, ATS: 2-1) - Sat E (2018 PER-GAME J	TOTAI 63-393 (6 68-400 (5 68-400 (5 Pct. GH rday, 9/29/ AVERAGES, TOTAI 63-361 (5 80-588 (7 KEY seas DA urday, 9/21 AVERAGES;	FUM-INT 6.2 1-1 5.9 1-0 Y GAME TREN 40% to 49%) V S - /2018 3:30 PM - /2018 3:30 PM - /2 - /2 - /2 - /2 - /2 - /2 - /2 - /2 - /2 - /2 - /2 - /2 - /2 - /2 - /2 - /3 - /4 - /2 - /2 - /3 - /4 - /4 - /4 - /4 - /4 - /4 - /4 - /4 <	40 37 D: Frank as coach UCF 29 18 D: PITTS AIR M	25 24 Solich (-1 FD 21 22 BURG	RUSHING 50-235 (4.7) 35-161 (4.7) 10 0. 5 7/2) TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 39-191 (4.9) 49-239 (4.8) H is 1-9 ATS in RCEE (-6 TEAM DEFENSI	PASSING 16-25-217 (8.7) 24-38-379 (10.0) hen playing against of E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING 15-26-205 (7.7) 16-29-158 (5.4) non-conference gar 1/2) E (2018 PER-GAME A	TOTAL 75-452 (6.0) 73-540 (7.4) a marginal los VVERAGES) TOTAL 65-396 (6.1) 78-397 (5.1) mes since the VVERAGES)	1-1 2-1 ing team (FUM-INT 1-1 1-2 start of the FUM-INT 1-1	TO EVE +6

DIF 19.0 2 27.7 2	SS PP0 25.3 51 20.3 44	G FD 23 20		SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) E (2018 PER-GAME PASSING	E AVERAGE		30 PM						
19.0 2 27.7 2	25.3 51 20.3 44	23 20	RUSHING 42-185 (4.4)	PASSING		5)							
19.0 2 27.7 2	25.3 51 20.3 44	23 20	42-185 (4.4)				PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	то
27.7 2	20.3 44		40-159 (4.0)	17-27-281 (10.5)	TOT/ 69-466		32	22	40-197 (5.0)	24-40-232 (5.8)	80-429 (5.4)	1-1	+3
ter 1	FRESNO	O STA		22-32-243 (7.6)	72-402		16	16	36-118 (3.3)	13-28-177 (6.4)	64-295 (4.6)	2-2	+8
			TE 31, TOLED	0 23		Cord since the s				when playing again	nst a team wit	h a winnin	g
		v	VESTER		AN	VS	MIA	<u>м</u> і-	OH (-2)				30
GAN (SU: 2-2,			AMI OHIO (SU: 1-3,									
	. ,			E (2018 PER-GAME						E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
		G FD	RUSHING	PASSING	тот		PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	
	e.5 37		38-225 (5.8) 32-132 (4.1)	20-32-246 (7.7) 17-31-181 (5.8)	70-471 63-313		30 26	17 22	41-204 (4.9) 42-140 (3.4)	12-22-166 (7.7) 19-31-198 (6.4)	63-370 (5.9) 73-338 (4.6)	1-0 0-0	+
	1 .												· ·
ter 1	ΜΙΑΜΙ (ОНЮ	26, W MICHIO	GAN 24									.n iu.
		AR	KANSAS	5 STATE (·	-4)	VS	GE	ORG	IA SOUT	THERN		-	52
TE (SU	: 3-1, AT	S: 1-3)	at (164) GEOR	GIA SOUTHERN (S	U: 2-1, ATS	: 3-0) - Saturda	y, 9/29,	/2018 6	:00 PM				-
													+2
		••••••	52-244 (4.7)	5-8-81 (9.7)			19	19	38-179 (4.7)	15-26-178 (6.9)	64-357 (5.6)	1-1	+5
ter	GA SOL	JTHER	N 24, ARKAN	NSAS STATE 23			D: ARK	ANSAS	STATE is 7-0 A	TS in weeks 5 throu	ugh 9 since th	e start of t	he
				LIBER	TY	VS	NE	wм	EXICO (-6½)			0
2, ATS	: 1-2) at	(168) N	IEW MEXICO (S	U: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) -	Saturday, 9	9/29/2018 6:00	PM						
-			TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME	E AVERAGE	S)			TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
		••••••	RUSHING	PASSING			PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	
													-3
ter	NEW M	EXICC	0 30, LIBERT	r 29									
			SOU	THERN MI	ISS	VS	AU	BUR	N (-28)			ja kalendar da la	No.
5 (SU:	2-1, ATS:	: 2-1) a	t (170) AUBURI	N (SU: 3-1, ATS: 2-2	2) - Saturd	ay, 9/29/2018 4	4:00 PM						
							DDC	50					
		•••••											EVE
			44-199 (4.5)	16-25-186 (7.4)			12	17	37-105 (2.8)	15-32-211 (6.6)	69-316 (4.6)	1-1	+2
ter	AUBUR	N 32,	SOUTHERN N	1155 18				BURN is	9-1 ATS after h	aving won 2 out of	their last 3 ga	mes since	the
									MA / 40			de	20
		LUU	ISIANA	-LAFATEI		VS	AL/	АВА	MA (-49	0			
SU: 1-:	2, ATS: 1	-2) at (174) ALABAMA	(SU: 4-0, ATS: 3-1	1) - Saturda	ay, 9/29/2018 1	2:00 PM	1					
DIF	SS I PPO	5 FD					PPG	FD				FUM-INT	∣то
		••••••						•••••	46-263 (5.7)		69-470 (6.8)	0-0	EVE
			40-205 (5.2)	20-29-335 (11.5)	69-540	(7.8) 1-0	13	18	30-108 (3.6)	18-39-216 (5.6)	69-324 (4.7)	0-2	+4
ter	ALABA	MA 57	, LA LAFAYE	TTE 15	KE	Y GAME TREN	D: Nick	saban	is 46-24 UNDE	R as a home favoril	te as coach of	ALABAMA	۹.
				UT	ΈP	vs	UTS	SA (-10½)			-	Siles .
ATS: 1-	3) at (17	8) UTS	A (SU: 1-3, ATS	: 0-4) - Saturday,	9/29/2018	7:00 PM							
									TEAM DEFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)		
			RUSHING	PASSING	тот	AL FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT	то
		16 16	41-174 (4.2)	11-25-121 (4.9)			33	20	44-255 (5.8)	12-19-163 (8.5)	63-418 (6.6)	1-0	-5 FVF
1.j.0 3	1/ 10/ 1/	TO	22 7/ (2.0)	17 30 103 (4.3)									EVE
	TE (SU DDIF : : : - -1.8 : - -1.8 : - -1.8 : - - 	TE (SU: 3-1, AT: PDIF SS PP4 -1.8 31.0 28 +7.0 25.7 26 ter GA SOL 22, ATS: 1-2) at r PDIF SS PDIF SS <td>A R TE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) PDIF SS PPG FD 18 31.0 28 22 47.0 25.7 26 17 ter GA SOUTHER 2, ATS: 1-2) at (168) N 2, ATS: 1-2) at (178) VTS 5 (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) a 6 (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) a 22, 32.8 35 22, 32.8 35 22, 32.8 35 22, 42 44.0 38.8 53 22,3 22,4 32.8 22,3 22.3 44.0 38.8 54 25 44.0 38.8 54 25 44.0 38 54 25 45 19 41.0 38 54 25 44.10 16<td>A R K A N S A S TE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEOR TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENS A SOUTHERN 24, ARKAN 2, ATS: 1-2) at (168) NEW MEXICO (S TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENS TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING COLS (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (170) AUBURI PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING SOUTHERN N LOUISIANA SUBURN 32, SOUTHERN N LOUISIANA SUBURN 32, SOUTHERN N SUBURN 32, SOUTHERN N SUBURN 32, SOUTHERN N SUBURN 32, SOUTHE</td><td>ARKANSAS STATE (TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAMI RUSHING POR FD RUSHING PASSING 17 7.2 ARKANSAS STATE 23 LIBER ARKANSAS STATE 23 LIBER 2.3 POR FD RUSHING PASSING 2.3 POR FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAMI RUSHING PASSING 7.3 30.0 24 24 34-157 (4.6) 20-38-294 (7.7) +6.0 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAMI PASSING PASSING POR FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAMI PASSING POR</td><td>a c ARKANSAS STATE (-4) FE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PPG FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE PASSING TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PASSING TOTA 1.8 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PASSING TOTA 1.2 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PASSING TOTA 7.3 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PASSING TOTA 7.4 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PASSING TOTA 7.5 SOUTHERN MISS 18 KE DIF SS PPG FD</td><td>Team OFFIDE 26, W MICHIGAN 24 3 games since the ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs TEA (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturda TEA (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturda TEA OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING FUN OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) FUN-INT TATA OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING VS LIBERTY Vs SPG FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING PAGE FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT FUM-INT SOUTHERN MISS Vs SOUTHERN MISS 18 KEY GAME TR</td><td>Ease MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 3 games since the start of ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEG Et (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29 Vs GEG DIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 70/0 25.7 26 17 52-244 (4.7) 5-8-81 (9.7) 60-235 (5.4) 1-0 19 Vs NEY CLIBERTY Vs NEY REY GAME TREND: ARK 2016 season. CLIBERTY Vs NEY 2, ATS: 1-2) at (169) NEW MEXICO (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM KEY GAME TREND: ARK 2016 season. DIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING PO-11 13 7,0 24 3-157 (4.6) 20-39-294 (7.7) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 33 7,0 24 3-157 (4.6) 20-39-294 (7.7) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 33 7,0 24 3-157 (4.6) 20-39-294 (7.7) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 33 8 CUTHERN MISS Vs AU 8 CUTHERN MISS 18 Coral (7.9) (3.6) 1-2 17 71.7 90<!--</td--><td>3 games since the start of last set ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORG DIF SS PPG FP RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) DIF SS PPG FP RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP COLSPANE AVERAGES) TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP RUSHING TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP</td><td>Make Mich Unito 2b, W MICHIGAN 24 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) vs GEORGIA SOUT Tree (stu: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (stu: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM vs GEORGIA SOUT Term of FPB Team of FFRASE (2018) PER-GAME AVERAGES) PUM I MINING PASSING TOTAL PUM I MINING PASSING 170 257 26 17 52-244 (47) 5-8-88 (0.7) 60-326 (5.4) 1-0 19 19 38-473 (47) 42 GA SOUTHERN 24, ARKANSAS STATE 23 KEY GAME TREND: ARKANSAS STATE is 7-0 A 2016 season. EVEN MEXICO (0 12, ATS: 1-2) at (168) NEW MEXICO (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Vs NEW MEXICO (0 13, 30.0 24 24 34-157 (4.6) 20-38-294 (77) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 32 24 53-308 (5.8) 1016 SS PPOF Reason of the start of last season. Key GAME TREND: Turner Gill is 9-0 ATS away 102 30 24 24 33-138 (5.8) 1-4 32 24-329 (5.8) 103 20 37-318 (5.8) <th< td=""><td>MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 16 0-6 ATS after failing to cover t 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORGIA SOUTHERN TE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Second South Factoria PP of D Team OFFENSE (2018 PER-CAME A/FRAGES) Ter (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Team OFFENSE (2018 PER-CAME A/FRAGES) PP of D Rummer of South Factoria PP of South Factoria PP of South Factoria PP of South Factoria</td><td>KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 26, 0-6 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 3 games since the stort of last season. GEORGIA SOUTHERN V S GEORGIA SOUTHERN TAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 01 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 01 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 02 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 20 93 91-121 02 LIBERTY VS TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 10 93 91 92 93 -936(3) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 10 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94</td><td>MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREMD: MUAMI OHIO 16 0-6 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of the 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORGIA SOUTHERN Texan presence (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Texan presence (2018 pres-came averages); 7074. FUH-INT PPC PD RUSHING PASSING TOTAL PUH-INT 120 25 25 252/257.63 1-1 30 18 24.23 15.5 68-395.67 1-1 120 25 25 252/257.63 1-1 30 18 24.23 15.5 10-39.50 68-395.67 1-1 120 25 25 25.23/257.63 1-1 30 18 2-24.36.30 16-32.76.61 1-1 120 36 25.24.41.01 5-8.42.16.00 NEKY GAME TREND: ARKANSAS STATE is 7-0 ATS in weeks 5 through 9 since the start of ta 2,4.7.31 24.43.43.29.21.41.16.20 30-39.24.17.80 7-44.17.80 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.1</td></th<></td></td></td>	A R TE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) PDIF SS PPG FD 18 31.0 28 22 47.0 25.7 26 17 ter GA SOUTHER 2, ATS: 1-2) at (168) N 2, ATS: 1-2) at (178) VTS 5 (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) a 6 (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) a 22, 32.8 35 22, 32.8 35 22, 32.8 35 22, 42 44.0 38.8 53 22,3 22,4 32.8 22,3 22.3 44.0 38.8 54 25 44.0 38.8 54 25 44.0 38 54 25 45 19 41.0 38 54 25 44.10 16 <td>A R K A N S A S TE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEOR TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENS A SOUTHERN 24, ARKAN 2, ATS: 1-2) at (168) NEW MEXICO (S TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENS TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING COLS (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (170) AUBURI PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING SOUTHERN N LOUISIANA SUBURN 32, SOUTHERN N LOUISIANA SUBURN 32, SOUTHERN N SUBURN 32, SOUTHERN N SUBURN 32, SOUTHERN N SUBURN 32, SOUTHE</td> <td>ARKANSAS STATE (TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAMI RUSHING POR FD RUSHING PASSING 17 7.2 ARKANSAS STATE 23 LIBER ARKANSAS STATE 23 LIBER 2.3 POR FD RUSHING PASSING 2.3 POR FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAMI RUSHING PASSING 7.3 30.0 24 24 34-157 (4.6) 20-38-294 (7.7) +6.0 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAMI PASSING PASSING POR FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAMI PASSING POR</td> <td>a c ARKANSAS STATE (-4) FE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PPG FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE PASSING TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PASSING TOTA 1.8 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PASSING TOTA 1.2 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PASSING TOTA 7.3 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PASSING TOTA 7.4 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PASSING TOTA 7.5 SOUTHERN MISS 18 KE DIF SS PPG FD</td> <td>Team OFFIDE 26, W MICHIGAN 24 3 games since the ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs TEA (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturda TEA (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturda TEA OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING FUN OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) FUN-INT TATA OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING VS LIBERTY Vs SPG FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING PAGE FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT FUM-INT SOUTHERN MISS Vs SOUTHERN MISS 18 KEY GAME TR</td> <td>Ease MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 3 games since the start of ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEG Et (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29 Vs GEG DIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 70/0 25.7 26 17 52-244 (4.7) 5-8-81 (9.7) 60-235 (5.4) 1-0 19 Vs NEY CLIBERTY Vs NEY REY GAME TREND: ARK 2016 season. CLIBERTY Vs NEY 2, ATS: 1-2) at (169) NEW MEXICO (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM KEY GAME TREND: ARK 2016 season. DIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING PO-11 13 7,0 24 3-157 (4.6) 20-39-294 (7.7) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 33 7,0 24 3-157 (4.6) 20-39-294 (7.7) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 33 7,0 24 3-157 (4.6) 20-39-294 (7.7) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 33 8 CUTHERN MISS Vs AU 8 CUTHERN MISS 18 Coral (7.9) (3.6) 1-2 17 71.7 90<!--</td--><td>3 games since the start of last set ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORG DIF SS PPG FP RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) DIF SS PPG FP RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP COLSPANE AVERAGES) TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP RUSHING TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP</td><td>Make Mich Unito 2b, W MICHIGAN 24 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) vs GEORGIA SOUT Tree (stu: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (stu: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM vs GEORGIA SOUT Term of FPB Team of FFRASE (2018) PER-GAME AVERAGES) PUM I MINING PASSING TOTAL PUM I MINING PASSING 170 257 26 17 52-244 (47) 5-8-88 (0.7) 60-326 (5.4) 1-0 19 19 38-473 (47) 42 GA SOUTHERN 24, ARKANSAS STATE 23 KEY GAME TREND: ARKANSAS STATE is 7-0 A 2016 season. EVEN MEXICO (0 12, ATS: 1-2) at (168) NEW MEXICO (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Vs NEW MEXICO (0 13, 30.0 24 24 34-157 (4.6) 20-38-294 (77) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 32 24 53-308 (5.8) 1016 SS PPOF Reason of the start of last season. Key GAME TREND: Turner Gill is 9-0 ATS away 102 30 24 24 33-138 (5.8) 1-4 32 24-329 (5.8) 103 20 37-318 (5.8) <th< td=""><td>MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 16 0-6 ATS after failing to cover t 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORGIA SOUTHERN TE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Second South Factoria PP of D Team OFFENSE (2018 PER-CAME A/FRAGES) Ter (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Team OFFENSE (2018 PER-CAME A/FRAGES) PP of D Rummer of South Factoria PP of South Factoria PP of South Factoria PP of South Factoria</td><td>KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 26, 0-6 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 3 games since the stort of last season. GEORGIA SOUTHERN V S GEORGIA SOUTHERN TAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 01 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 01 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 02 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 20 93 91-121 02 LIBERTY VS TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 10 93 91 92 93 -936(3) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 10 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94</td><td>MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREMD: MUAMI OHIO 16 0-6 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of the 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORGIA SOUTHERN Texan presence (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Texan presence (2018 pres-came averages); 7074. FUH-INT PPC PD RUSHING PASSING TOTAL PUH-INT 120 25 25 252/257.63 1-1 30 18 24.23 15.5 68-395.67 1-1 120 25 25 252/257.63 1-1 30 18 24.23 15.5 10-39.50 68-395.67 1-1 120 25 25 25.23/257.63 1-1 30 18 2-24.36.30 16-32.76.61 1-1 120 36 25.24.41.01 5-8.42.16.00 NEKY GAME TREND: ARKANSAS STATE is 7-0 ATS in weeks 5 through 9 since the start of ta 2,4.7.31 24.43.43.29.21.41.16.20 30-39.24.17.80 7-44.17.80 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.1</td></th<></td></td>	A R K A N S A S TE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEOR TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENS A SOUTHERN 24, ARKAN 2, ATS: 1-2) at (168) NEW MEXICO (S TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENS TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING COLS (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) at (170) AUBURI PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING TEAM OFFENS PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING SOUTHERN N LOUISIANA SUBURN 32, SOUTHERN N LOUISIANA SUBURN 32, SOUTHERN N SUBURN 32, SOUTHERN N SUBURN 32, SOUTHERN N SUBURN 32, SOUTHE	ARKANSAS STATE (TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAMI RUSHING POR FD RUSHING PASSING 17 7.2 ARKANSAS STATE 23 LIBER ARKANSAS STATE 23 LIBER 2.3 POR FD RUSHING PASSING 2.3 POR FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAMI RUSHING PASSING 7.3 30.0 24 24 34-157 (4.6) 20-38-294 (7.7) +6.0 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAMI PASSING PASSING POR FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAMI PASSING POR	a c ARKANSAS STATE (-4) FE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PPG FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE PASSING TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PASSING TOTA 1.8 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PASSING TOTA 1.2 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE PDIF SS PPG FD RUSHING PASSING TOTA 7.3 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PASSING TOTA 7.4 TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGE RUSHING PASSING TOTA 7.5 SOUTHERN MISS 18 KE DIF SS PPG FD	Team OFFIDE 26, W MICHIGAN 24 3 games since the ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs TEA (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturda TEA (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturda TEA OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING FUN OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) FUN-INT TATA OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING VS LIBERTY Vs SPG FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING PAGE FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT FUM-INT SOUTHERN MISS Vs SOUTHERN MISS 18 KEY GAME TR	Ease MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 3 games since the start of ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEG Et (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29 Vs GEG DIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 70/0 25.7 26 17 52-244 (4.7) 5-8-81 (9.7) 60-235 (5.4) 1-0 19 Vs NEY CLIBERTY Vs NEY REY GAME TREND: ARK 2016 season. CLIBERTY Vs NEY 2, ATS: 1-2) at (169) NEW MEXICO (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM KEY GAME TREND: ARK 2016 season. DIF SS PPG FD TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) RUSHING PO-11 13 7,0 24 3-157 (4.6) 20-39-294 (7.7) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 33 7,0 24 3-157 (4.6) 20-39-294 (7.7) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 33 7,0 24 3-157 (4.6) 20-39-294 (7.7) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 33 8 CUTHERN MISS Vs AU 8 CUTHERN MISS 18 Coral (7.9) (3.6) 1-2 17 71.7 90 </td <td>3 games since the start of last set ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORG DIF SS PPG FP RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) DIF SS PPG FP RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP COLSPANE AVERAGES) TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP RUSHING TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP</td> <td>Make Mich Unito 2b, W MICHIGAN 24 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) vs GEORGIA SOUT Tree (stu: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (stu: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM vs GEORGIA SOUT Term of FPB Team of FFRASE (2018) PER-GAME AVERAGES) PUM I MINING PASSING TOTAL PUM I MINING PASSING 170 257 26 17 52-244 (47) 5-8-88 (0.7) 60-326 (5.4) 1-0 19 19 38-473 (47) 42 GA SOUTHERN 24, ARKANSAS STATE 23 KEY GAME TREND: ARKANSAS STATE is 7-0 A 2016 season. EVEN MEXICO (0 12, ATS: 1-2) at (168) NEW MEXICO (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Vs NEW MEXICO (0 13, 30.0 24 24 34-157 (4.6) 20-38-294 (77) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 32 24 53-308 (5.8) 1016 SS PPOF Reason of the start of last season. Key GAME TREND: Turner Gill is 9-0 ATS away 102 30 24 24 33-138 (5.8) 1-4 32 24-329 (5.8) 103 20 37-318 (5.8) <th< td=""><td>MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 16 0-6 ATS after failing to cover t 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORGIA SOUTHERN TE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Second South Factoria PP of D Team OFFENSE (2018 PER-CAME A/FRAGES) Ter (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Team OFFENSE (2018 PER-CAME A/FRAGES) PP of D Rummer of South Factoria PP of South Factoria PP of South Factoria PP of South Factoria</td><td>KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 26, 0-6 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 3 games since the stort of last season. GEORGIA SOUTHERN V S GEORGIA SOUTHERN TAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 01 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 01 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 02 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 20 93 91-121 02 LIBERTY VS TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 10 93 91 92 93 -936(3) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 10 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94</td><td>MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREMD: MUAMI OHIO 16 0-6 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of the 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORGIA SOUTHERN Texan presence (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Texan presence (2018 pres-came averages); 7074. FUH-INT PPC PD RUSHING PASSING TOTAL PUH-INT 120 25 25 252/257.63 1-1 30 18 24.23 15.5 68-395.67 1-1 120 25 25 252/257.63 1-1 30 18 24.23 15.5 10-39.50 68-395.67 1-1 120 25 25 25.23/257.63 1-1 30 18 2-24.36.30 16-32.76.61 1-1 120 36 25.24.41.01 5-8.42.16.00 NEKY GAME TREND: ARKANSAS STATE is 7-0 ATS in weeks 5 through 9 since the start of ta 2,4.7.31 24.43.43.29.21.41.16.20 30-39.24.17.80 7-44.17.80 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.1</td></th<></td>	3 games since the start of last set ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORG DIF SS PPG FP RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) DIF SS PPG FP RUSHING PASSING TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP COLSPANE AVERAGES) TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP RUSHING TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TEAM OFFENSE (2018 PER-GAME AVERAGES) TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP TOTAL FUM-INT PPG FP	Make Mich Unito 2b, W MICHIGAN 24 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) vs GEORGIA SOUT Tree (stu: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (stu: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM vs GEORGIA SOUT Term of FPB Team of FFRASE (2018) PER-GAME AVERAGES) PUM I MINING PASSING TOTAL PUM I MINING PASSING 170 257 26 17 52-244 (47) 5-8-88 (0.7) 60-326 (5.4) 1-0 19 19 38-473 (47) 42 GA SOUTHERN 24, ARKANSAS STATE 23 KEY GAME TREND: ARKANSAS STATE is 7-0 A 2016 season. EVEN MEXICO (0 12, ATS: 1-2) at (168) NEW MEXICO (SU: 2-1, ATS: 2-1) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Vs NEW MEXICO (0 13, 30.0 24 24 34-157 (4.6) 20-38-294 (77) 72-451 (6.3) 1-1 32 24 53-308 (5.8) 1016 SS PPOF Reason of the start of last season. Key GAME TREND: Turner Gill is 9-0 ATS away 102 30 24 24 33-138 (5.8) 1-4 32 24-329 (5.8) 103 20 37-318 (5.8) <th< td=""><td>MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 16 0-6 ATS after failing to cover t 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORGIA SOUTHERN TE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Second South Factoria PP of D Team OFFENSE (2018 PER-CAME A/FRAGES) Ter (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Team OFFENSE (2018 PER-CAME A/FRAGES) PP of D Rummer of South Factoria PP of South Factoria PP of South Factoria PP of South Factoria</td><td>KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 26, 0-6 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 3 games since the stort of last season. GEORGIA SOUTHERN V S GEORGIA SOUTHERN TAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 01 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 01 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 02 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 20 93 91-121 02 LIBERTY VS TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 10 93 91 92 93 -936(3) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 10 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94</td><td>MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREMD: MUAMI OHIO 16 0-6 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of the 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORGIA SOUTHERN Texan presence (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Texan presence (2018 pres-came averages); 7074. FUH-INT PPC PD RUSHING PASSING TOTAL PUH-INT 120 25 25 252/257.63 1-1 30 18 24.23 15.5 68-395.67 1-1 120 25 25 252/257.63 1-1 30 18 24.23 15.5 10-39.50 68-395.67 1-1 120 25 25 25.23/257.63 1-1 30 18 2-24.36.30 16-32.76.61 1-1 120 36 25.24.41.01 5-8.42.16.00 NEKY GAME TREND: ARKANSAS STATE is 7-0 ATS in weeks 5 through 9 since the start of ta 2,4.7.31 24.43.43.29.21.41.16.20 30-39.24.17.80 7-44.17.80 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.1</td></th<>	MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 16 0-6 ATS after failing to cover t 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORGIA SOUTHERN TE (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Second South Factoria PP of D Team OFFENSE (2018 PER-CAME A/FRAGES) Ter (SU: 3-1, ATS: 1-3) at (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - Saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Team OFFENSE (2018 PER-CAME A/FRAGES) PP of D Rummer of South Factoria PP of South Factoria PP of South Factoria PP of South Factoria	KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREND: MIAMI OHIO 26, 0-6 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 3 games since the stort of last season. GEORGIA SOUTHERN V S GEORGIA SOUTHERN TAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 939(20) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 01 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 01 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 29 93 91-121 02 TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 20 93 91-121 02 LIBERTY VS TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 10 93 91 92 93 -936(3) TEAM OFFENE (2019 PER-GAME AVERAGES) 10 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94	MIAMI OHIO 26, W MICHIGAN 24 KEY GAME TREMD: MUAMI OHIO 16 0-6 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of the 3 games since the start of last season. ARKANSAS STATE (-4) Vs GEORGIA SOUTHERN Texan presence (164) GEORGIA SOUTHERN (SU: 2-1, ATS: 3-0) - saturday, 9/29/2018 6:00 PM Texan presence (2018 pres-came averages); 7074. FUH-INT PPC PD RUSHING PASSING TOTAL PUH-INT 120 25 25 252/257.63 1-1 30 18 24.23 15.5 68-395.67 1-1 120 25 25 252/257.63 1-1 30 18 24.23 15.5 10-39.50 68-395.67 1-1 120 25 25 25.23/257.63 1-1 30 18 2-24.36.30 16-32.76.61 1-1 120 36 25.24.41.01 5-8.42.16.00 NEKY GAME TREND: ARKANSAS STATE is 7-0 ATS in weeks 5 through 9 since the start of ta 2,4.7.31 24.43.43.29.21.41.16.20 30-39.24.17.80 7-44.17.80 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.17.81 7-44.1

and the second s				LOUI	SIANA TEC	H	vs	NOF	RTH	TEXAS	(-7½)			
185) LOUISIANA	A TECH (S	U: 2-1, ATS:	2-1) at	(186) NORTH	TEXAS (SU: 4-0, ATS	5: 3-1) - Sat	urday, 9/29/	2018 7:3	60 PM					
	PDIF	SS PPG	FD	TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A) PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	ТОГ
DUISIANA TECH	+8.0	23.7 35	25	36-168 (4.7)	24-40-322 (8.0)	76-490 (6.4	1) 1-1	27	19	44-168 (3.9)	15-25-166 (6.6)	69-334 (4.8)	1-1	EVE
ORTH TEXAS	+33.0	14.8 49	27	40-166 (4.1)	28-43-345 (8.0)	83-511 (6.2		16	16	31-99 (3.2)	16-35-209 (6.0)	66-308 (4.7)	0-2	+9
Statfox %	recaster		TEXAS	s 30, LOUISI	ANA TECH 26		GAME TREN es as coach o				after scoring 31 poi	nts or more in	back-to-b	ack
					CHARLOTT	E	vs	UAE	8 (-1	7)				UNA
187) CHARLOTT	E (SU: 2-	2, ATS: 2-2)	at (18	8) UAB (SU: 2-	1, ATS: 2-1) - Saturda	y, 9/29/20)18 7:00 PM							
	PDIF	SS PPG	ED	TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A) PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	
HARLOTTE	-6.8	20.0 26	21	44-142 (3.2)	18-29-215 (7.5)	73-357 (4.9		32	16	28-99 (3.5)	16-27-249 (9.1)	55-348 (6.3)	1-1	+1
AB	+12.0	15.7 36	29	50-280 (5.6)	16-28-197 (7.1)	78-477 (6.1	L) 0-1	24	18	40-209 (5.2)	6-20-123 (6.1)	60-332 (5.5)	1-1	EVE
¥statfox ℅	recaster	UAB 34,	СНА	RLOTTE 16		KEY (D: UAB i	is 6-0 A	ATS at home in	the first half of the	season since	the start o	of last
				MARS	5HALL (-6½	2)	vs	WE	STE	RN KEN	тиску			Re
191) MARSHALL	. (SU: 2-1,	ATS: 1-2) at	t (192)	W KENTUCKY	(SU: 1-3, ATS: 3-1) - 9	Saturday, 9)/29/2018 7:3	30 PM						
	PDIF	SS PPG		TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A) PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	ТОГ
IARSHALL	+2.0	21.3 29	19	29-105 (3.6)	23-40-273 (6.9)	69-378 (5.5		27	19	36-117 (3.2)	18-35-261 (7.4)	71-378 (5.3)	1-1	-1
KENTUCKY	-7.3	25.0 19	22	37-132 (3.6)	22-36-260 (7.2)	73-392 (5.4		26	20	37-175 (4.8)	16-32-203 (6.4)	69-378 (5.5)	0-0	-1
😹 statfox 🖟	recaster	MARSHA	ALL 26	6, W KENTUG	CKY 24	KEY	GAME TREN	D: W KE	NTUC	(Y is 6-0 OVEF	R off a road win sinc	e the start of	the 2016 s	easo
			С	OASTA	LCAROLIN	A	vs	TRO)Y (·	-14)				
197) COASTAL C	CAROLIN	A (SU: 3-1, A	TS: 3-1	l) at (198) TRO	9Y (SU: 3-1, ATS: 3-1)	- Saturday	, 9/29/2018 3	3:30 PM						
	PDIF	SS PPG		TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A) PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	
OASTAL CAROLINA	+7.0	19.5 38	26	46-266 (5.9)	12-18-176 (10.1)	64-442 (6.9		30	23	35-219 (6.3)	16-28-201 (7.1)	63-420 (6.7)	0-0	-2
ROY	+7.3	22.5 34	22	38-175 (4.5)	22-31-220 (7.0)	69-395 (5.7	7) 1-0	27	20	36-142 (3.9)	21-34-248 (7.4)	70-390 (5.6)	1-1	+3
🛿 statfox 🖟	recaster	TROY 37	, COA	STAL CAROI	LINA 22		GAME TREN ed since the s				aying a game where	e 60 total poir	nts or more	e wer
		F	LOR	IDA AT	LANTIC (-4	•)	vs	MID	DLE	TENNE	SSEE			17.
	TLANTIC	(CII: 2 2 A	TS: 0-4	4) at (200) MI	DI E TENNESSEE ST	ATE (CU. 1	2 ATS: 1-2) .	Saturda	v 9/29	/2018 7:00 PM	1			
		(SU: 2-2, A			APPE I EMMESSEE 21	ATE (50: 1-	2, 413.12)		, <i>3</i> , 23					
					E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)					E (2018 PER-GAME A			
	PDIF	SS PPG		RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A) PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT	PPG	FD	RUSHING	PASSING	TOTAL	FUM-INT 0-0	TO
LORIDA ATLANTIC	PDIF -10.5	SS PPG	FD		E (2018 PER-GAME A	VERAGES)	FUM-INT	PPG						-4
LORIDA ATLANTIC	PDIF -10.5 -15.3	SS PPG 34.5 33 31.0 25	24 18	RUSHING 46-220 (4.8) 36-121 (3.4)	E (2018 PER-GAME A) PASSING 21-32-243 (7.5)	VERAGES) TOTAL 78-463 (5.9 69-356 (5.2 KEY (FUM-INT 	PPG 44 40 D: Rick S	FD 23 23 Stockst	RUSHING 42-221 (5.2) 37-180 (4.8)	PASSING 17-25-254 (10.1) 21-31-250 (8.1) vesus good rushing	TOTAL 67-475 (7.1) 68-430 (6.3)	0-0 0-1	-4 -3
LORIDA ATLANTIC AIDDLE TENN STATE	PDIF -10.5 -15.3	SS PPG 34.5 33 31.0 25	24 18	RUSHING 46-220 (4.8) 36-121 (3.4) C 32, MIDDLI	E (2018 PER-GAME A) PASSING 21-32-243 (7.5) 22-33-235 (7.1)	VERAGES) TOTAL 78-463 (5.9 69-356 (5.2 KEY (rushir	FUM-INT 	PPG 44 40 D: Rick S	FD 23 23 Stockst ich of M	RUSHING 42-221 (5.2) 37-180 (4.8) ill is 6-20 ATS 1IDDLE TENNE	PASSING 17-25-254 (10.1) 21-31-250 (8.1) vesus good rushing	TOTAL 67-475 (7.1) 68-430 (6.3)	0-0 0-1	-4 -3
IORIDA ATLANTIC NIDDLE TENN STATE	PDIF -10.5 -15.3 recaster	SS PPG 34.5 33 31.0 25 FLA ATL	FD 24 18	RUSHING 46-220 (4.8) 36-121 (3.4) C 32, MIDDLI BOISE	E (2018 PER-GAME A) PASSING 21-32-243 (7.5) 22-33-235 (7.1) E TENN STATE 32	VERAGES) TOTAL 78-463 (5.9 69-356 (5.2 KEY (rushir	FUM-INT a) 0-2 b) 1-1 GAME TREN ng yards/carr V S	PPG 44 40 D: Rick S ry as coa	FD 23 23 Stockst ich of M	RUSHING 42-221 (5.2) 37-180 (4.8) ill is 6-20 ATS 1IDDLE TENNE	PASSING 17-25-254 (10.1) 21-31-250 (8.1) vesus good rushing	TOTAL 67-475 (7.1) 68-430 (6.3)	0-0 0-1	-4 -3
IORIDA ATLANTIC NIDDLE TENN STATE	PDIF -10.5 -15.3 recaster TE (SU: 2	SS PPG 34.5 33 31.0 25 FLA ATL 2-1, ATS: 2-1)	FD 24 18 ANTIC	RUSHING 46-220 (4.8) 36-121 (3.4) C 32, MIDDLI BOISE D4) WYOMING TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A) PASSING 21-32-243 (7.5) 22-33-235 (7.1) E TENN STATE 32 STATE (-17 (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) - 3 E (2018 PER-GAME A)	VERAGES) TOTAL 78-463 (5.9 69-356 (5.2 KEY (rushir 7) Saturday, S VERAGES)	FUM-INT a) 0-2 b) 1-1 GAME TREN ng yards/carr V S	PPG 44 40 D: Rick S ry as coa	FD 23 23 Stockst ich of M	RUSHING 42-221 (5.2) 37-180 (4.8) ill is 6-20 ATS 1DDLE TENNE N G TEAM DEFENSION	PASSING 17-25-254 (10.1) 21-31-250 (8.1) vesus good rushing SSEE STATE.	TOTAL 67-475 (7.1) 68-430 (6.3) 1 teams - avera	0-0 0-1 aging >=4.	-4 -3 75
LORIDA ATLANTIC NIDDLE TENN STATE Statfox & 203) BOISE STA	PDIF -10.5 -15.3 recaster TE (SU: 2 PDIF	SS PPG 34.5 33 31.0 25 FLA ATL 2-1, ATS: 2-1) SS PPG	FD 24 18 ANTIC	RUSHING 46-220 (4.8) 36-121 (3.4) C 32, MIDDLI BOISE D4) WYOMING TEAM OFFENS RUSHING	E (2018 PER-GAME A) PASSING 21-32-243 (7.5) 22-33-235 (7.1) E TENN STATE 32 STATE (-17 (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) - 3 E (2018 PER-GAME A) PASSING	VERAGES) TOTAL 78-463 (5.9 69-356 (5.2 rushir 7) Saturday, S VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT 0) 0-2 2) 1-1 GAME TREN ng yards/carr V S 9/29/2018 7: 9/29/2018 7: FUM-INT	PPG 44 40 D: Rick S ry as coa WY(00 PM PPG	FD 23 23 Stockst ich of N DMI	RUSHING 42-221 (5.2) 37-180 (4.8) ill is 6-20 ATS 1DDLE TENNE N G TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING	PASSING 17-25-254 (10.1) 21-31-250 (8.1) vesus good rushing SSEE STATE. E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	TOTAL 67-475 (7.1) 68-430 (6.3) I teams - avera VERAGES) TOTAL	0-0 0-1 aging >=4.	-4 -3 75
LORIDA ATLANTIC NIDDLE TENN STATE Statfox % 203) BOISE STA OISE STATE	PDIF -10.5 -15.3 recaster TE (SU: 2	SS PPG 34.5 33 31.0 25 FLA ATL 2-1, ATS: 2-1) SS PPG	FD 24 18 ANTIC	RUSHING 46-220 (4.8) 36-121 (3.4) C 32, MIDDLI BOISE D4) WYOMING TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME A) PASSING 21-32-243 (7.5) 22-33-235 (7.1) E TENN STATE 32 STATE (-17 (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) - 3 E (2018 PER-GAME A)	VERAGES) TOTAL 78-463 (5.9 69-356 (5.2 KEY (rushir 7) Saturday, S VERAGES)	FUM-INT 0) 0-2 2) 1-1 GAME TREN ng yards/carr V S 9/29/2018 7: 9/29/2018 7: FUM-INT 4) 1-0	PPG 44 40 D: Rick S ry as coa WY(00 PM	FD 23 23 Stockst ich of M	RUSHING 42-221 (5.2) 37-180 (4.8) ill is 6-20 ATS 1DDLE TENNE N G TEAM DEFENSION	PASSING 17-25-254 (10.1) 21-31-250 (8.1) vesus good rushing SSEE STATE.	TOTAL 67-475 (7.1) 68-430 (6.3) 1 teams - avera	0-0 0-1 aging >=4.	-4 -3 75
LORIDA ATLANTIC NIDDLE TENN STATE Statfox % 203) BOISE STA OISE STATE	PDIF 10.5 15.3 recaster TE (SU: 2 PDIF +22.7 6.0	SS PPG 34.5 33 31.0 25 FLA ATL 2-1, ATS: 2-1) SS PPG 33.7 46 2-1, ATS: 2-0	FD 24 18 ANTIC) at (20 5 FD 26 18	RUSHING 46-220 (4.8) 36-121 (3.4) C 32, MIDDLI BOISE D4) WYOMING TEAM OFFENS RUSHING 35-182 (5.2)	E (2018 PER-GAME AV PASSING 21-32-243 (7.5) 22-33-235 (7.1) E TENN STATE 32 STATE (-17 (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) - 1 (SU: 2-2, ATS:	VERAGES) TOTAL 78-463 (5.9 69-356 (5.2 KEY (rushir 7) Saturday, S VERAGES) TOTAL 74-550 (7.4 66-306 (4.6	FUM-INT a) 0-2 b) 1-1 GAME TREN ng yards/carr V S 9/29/2018 7: 9/29/2018 7: 1-0 5) 0-0	PPG 44 40 D: Rick 9 79 as coa WY(00 PM PPG 24 26 D: Bryar	FD 23 23 Stockst ich of M DMI FD 16 20	RUSHING 42-221 (5.2) 37-180 (4.8) ill is 6-20 ATS IIDDLE TENNE N G TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 35-141 (4.0) 33-122 (3.7)	PASSING 17-25-254 (10.1) 21-31-250 (8.1) vesus good rushing SSEE STATE. E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING 17-27-191 (7.0)	TOTAL 67-475 (7.1) 68-430 (6.3) 1 teams - avera VERAGES) TOTAL 62-332 (5.4) 71-356 (5.0)	0-0 0-1 agging >=4. FUM-INT 1-1 1-1	-4 -3 75 101 +3 +3
LORIDA ATLANTIC NIDDLE TENN STATE Statfox % 203) BOISE STA OISE STATE NYOMING	PDIF 10.5 15.3 recaster TE (SU: 2 PDIF +22.7 6.0	SS PPG 34.5 33 31.0 25 FLA ATL 2-1, ATS: 2-1) SS PPG 33.7 46 2-1, ATS: 2-0	FD 24 18 ANTIC) at (20 5 FD 26 18	RUSHING 46-220 (4.8) 36-121 (3.4) C 32, MIDDLI BOISE D4) WYOMING TEAM OFFENS RUSHING 35-182 (5.2) 38-160 (4.2) 29, WYOMIN	E (2018 PER-GAME AV PASSING 21-32-243 (7.5) 22-33-235 (7.1) E TENN STATE 32 STATE (-17 (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) - 1 (SU: 2-2, ATS:	VERAGES) TOTAL 78-463 (5.9 69-356 (5.2 KEY (rushir 7) Saturday, S VERAGES) TOTAL 74-550 (7.4 66-306 (4.6	FUM-INT a) 0-2 b) 1-1 GAME TREN ng yards/carr V S 9/29/2018 7: FUM-INT b) 1-0 b) 0-0 GAME TREN	PPG 44 40 D: Rick S y as coal WY 00 PM PPG 24 26 D: Bryar STATE.	FD 23 23 Stockst ich of N OMI 16 20 Harsir	RUSHING 42-221 (5.2) 37-180 (4.8) ill is 6-20 ATS IIDDLE TENNE N G TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 35-141 (4.0) 33-122 (3.7)	PASSING 17-25-254 (10.1) 21-31-250 (8.1) vesus good rushing SSEE STATE. E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING 17-27-191 (7.0) 24-38-234 (6.2) ter gaining 375 or m	TOTAL 67-475 (7.1) 68-430 (6.3) 1 teams - avera VERAGES) TOTAL 62-332 (5.4) 71-356 (5.0)	0-0 0-1 agging >=4. FUM-INT 1-1 1-1	-4 -3 75 TO +3 +3
LORIDA ATLANTIC IDDLE TENN STATE Statfox % 203) BOISE STA 015E STATE YYOMING Statfox %	PDIF -10.5 -15.3 recaster TE (SU: 2 PDIF +22.7 -6.0 recaster	SS PPG 34.5 33 31.0 25 FLA ATL 2-1, ATS: 2-1) SS PPG 33.7 46 24.3 20 BOISE S	24 18 ANTI() at (20 26 18 TATE :	RUSHING 46-220 (4.8) 36-121 (3.4) C 32, MIDDLI BOISE D4) WYOMING TEAM OFFENS 75-182 (5.2) 38-160 (4.2) 29, WYOMIN H	E (2018 PER-GAME AV PASSING 21-32-243 (7.5) 22-33-235 (7.1) E TENN STATE 32 STATE (-17 (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) - 3 E (2018 PER-GAME AV PASSING 28-39-368 (9.4) 14-28-146 (5.3) IG 18	VERAGES) TOTAL 78-463 (5.9 69-356 (5.2 KEY (rushir 7) Saturday, S VERAGES) TOTAL 74-550 (7.4 66-306 (4.6 KEY (as co. 3)	FUM-INT a) 0-2 2) 1-1 GAME TREN ng yards/carr VS 9/29/2018 7: FUM-INT 1-0 5) 0-0 GAME TREN ach of BOISE VS	PPG 44 40 40 D: Rick S 9 y as coa WY (00 PM PPG 24 26 D: Bryar STATE. SAN	FD 23 23 Stockst ich of N OMI 16 20 Harsir	RUSHING 42-221 (5.2) 37-180 (4.8) ill is 6-20 ATS fliDDLE TENNE N G TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 35-141 (4.0) 33-122 (3.7) n is 0-8 ATS aff	PASSING 17-25-254 (10.1) 21-31-250 (8.1) vesus good rushing SSEE STATE. E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING 17-27-191 (7.0) 24-38-234 (6.2) ter gaining 375 or m	TOTAL 67-475 (7.1) 68-430 (6.3) 1 teams - avera VERAGES) TOTAL 62-332 (5.4) 71-356 (5.0)	0-0 0-1 agging >=4. FUM-INT 1-1 1-1	-4 -3 75 TO +3 +3
LORIDA ATLANTIC AIDDLE TENN STATE Statfox % 203) BOISE STA 2035 BOISE STA 005E STATE VYOMING Statfox %	PDIF -10.5 -15.3 recaster TE (SU: 2 PDIF +22.7 -6.0 recaster	SS PPG 34.5 33 31.0 25 FLA ATL 2-1, ATS: 2-1) SS PPG 33.7 46 24.3 20 BOISE S'	24 18 ANTIC) at (20 26 18 TATE : 206) S	RUSHING 46-220 (4.8) 36-121 (3.4) C 32, MIDDLI BOISE D4) WYOMING TEAM OFFENS S1-182 (5.2) 38-160 (4.2) 29, WYOMING Hamourne An JOSE STAT TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME AV PASSING 21-32-243 (7.5) 22-33-235 (7.1) E TENN STATE 32 STATE (-17 (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) - 1 (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-2) (SU: 2-3, ATS: 1-2) (SU: 2-	VERAGES) TOTAL 78-463 (5.9 69-356 (5.2 KEY (rushir 7) Saturday, S VERAGES) TOTAL 74-550 (7.4 66-306 (4.6 KEY (as co) - Saturday VERAGES)	FUM-INT a) 0-2 c) 1-1 GAME TREN mg yards/carr VS 9/29/2018 7: FUM-INT 4) 1-0 5) 0-0 GAME TREN ach of BOISE V S	PPG 44 40 40 D: Rick S 9 y as coa WY(0) 00 PM PPG 24 26 D: Bryar STATE. SAN 7:00 PM	FD 23 23 Stockst Ch of M D MI 16 20 h Harsir	RUSHING 42-221 (5.2) 37-180 (4.8) ill is 6-20 ATS IDDLE TENNE N G TEAM DEFENSI 35-141 (4.0) 33-122 (3.7) is 0-8 ATS aff SE STAT TEAM DEFENSI	PASSING 17-25-254 (10.1) 21-31-250 (8.1) vesus good rushing SSEE STATE. E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING 17-27-191 (7.0) 24-38-234 (6.2) ter gaining 375 or m F E E (2018 PER-GAME A	TOTAL 67-475 (7.1) 68-430 (6.3) I teams - avera VERAGES) TOTAL 62-332 (5.4) 71-356 (5.0) nore passing y VERAGES)	0-0 0-1 agging >=4. FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 1-1	4 -4 -3 75 10 +3 +3 +3 t gan
LORIDA ATLANTIC AIDDLE TENN STATE Statfox % 203) BOISE STA 203) BO	PDIF -10.5 -15.3 recaster TE (SU: 2 PDIF +22.7 -6.0 recaster	SS PPG 34.5 33 31.0 25 FLA ATL 2-1, ATS: 2-1) SS PPG 33.7 46 24.3 20 BOISE S TS: 2-2) at (2 SS PPG	24 18 ANTIC) at (20 18 26 18 TATE : 206) S	RUSHING 46-220 (4.8) 36-121 (3.4) C 32, MIDDLI BOISE D4) WYOMING TEAM OFFENS S5-182 (5.2) 38-160 (4.2) 29, WYOMING H AN JOSE STAT TEAM OFFENS RUSHING State	E (2018 PER-GAME AV PASSING 21-32-243 (7.5) 22-33-235 (7.1) E TENN STATE 32 STATE (-17 (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) - 1 (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-2) - 1 (SU	VERAGES) TOTAL 78-463 (5.9 69-356 (5.2 KEY (rushir 7) Saturday, S VERAGES) TOTAL 74-550 (7.4 66-306 (4.6 KEY (as cool 3) - Saturday VERAGES) TOTAL	FUM-INT a) 0-2 c) 1-1 GAME TREN ng yards/carr VS 9/29/2018 7: FUM-INT 4) 1-0 5) 0-0 GAME TREN ach of BOISE VS VS graph of BOISE VS J. 1-0 9/29/2018 7:	PPG 44 40 D: Rick S y as coal WY (0 00 PM PPG 24 26 D: Bryar STATE. SAN 7:00 PM PPG	FD 23 23 Stockst Cch of M D MI 16 20 N Harsir I JO	RUSHING 42-221 (5.2) 37-180 (4.8) ill is 6-20 ATS IDDLE TENNE N G TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING 35-141 (4.0) 33-122 (3.7) is 0-8 ATS aff SE STAT TEAM DEFENSI RUSHING	PASSING 17-25-254 (10.1) 21-31-250 (8.1) vesus good rushing SSEE STATE. E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING 17-27-191 (7.0) 24-38-234 (6.2) ter gaining 375 or m F E E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING	TOTAL 67-475 (7.1) 68-430 (6.3) 1 teams - avera VERAGES) TOTAL 62-332 (5.4) 71-356 (5.0) nore passing y VERAGES) TOTAL	0-0 0-1 agging >=4. FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 ards in las FUM-INT	4 -4 -3 75 75 75 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
LORIDA ATLANTIC AIDDLE TENN STATE Statfox % 203) BOISE STA 2035 BOISE STA 005E STATE VYOMING Statfox %	PDIF -10.5 -15.3 recaster TE (SU: 2 PDIF +22.7 -6.0 recaster	SS PPG 34.5 33 31.0 25 FLA ATL 2-1, ATS: 2-1) SS PPG 33.7 46 24.3 20 BOISE S TS: 2-2) at (2 SS PPG 20.8 42	24 18 ANTIC) at (20 26 18 TATE : 206) S	RUSHING 46-220 (4.8) 36-121 (3.4) C 32, MIDDLI BOISE D4) WYOMING TEAM OFFENS S1-182 (5.2) 38-160 (4.2) 29, WYOMING Hamourne An JOSE STAT TEAM OFFENS	E (2018 PER-GAME AV PASSING 21-32-243 (7.5) 22-33-235 (7.1) E TENN STATE 32 STATE (-17 (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-3) - 1 (SU: 2-2, ATS: 1-2) (SU: 2-3, ATS: 1-2) (SU: 2-	VERAGES) TOTAL 78-463 (5.9 69-356 (5.2 KEY (rushir 7) Saturday, S VERAGES) TOTAL 74-550 (7.4 66-306 (4.6 KEY (as co) - Saturday VERAGES)	FUM-INT a) 0-2 b) 1-1 GAME TREN ng yards/carr VS b) 29/29/2018 7: FUM-INT 1) 1-0 5) 0-0 GAME TREN ach of BOISE VS y, 9/29/2018 7: FUM-INT 10 1-0 5) 0-0 GAME TREN y, 9/29/2018 7: FUM-INT 5) 1-0	PPG 44 40 40 D: Rick S 9 y as coa WY(0) 00 PM PPG 24 26 D: Bryar STATE. SAN 7:00 PM	FD 23 23 Stockst Ch of M D MI 16 20 h Harsir	RUSHING 42-221 (5.2) 37-180 (4.8) ill is 6-20 ATS IDDLE TENNE N G TEAM DEFENSI 35-141 (4.0) 33-122 (3.7) is 0-8 ATS aff SE STAT TEAM DEFENSI	PASSING 17-25-254 (10.1) 21-31-250 (8.1) vesus good rushing SSEE STATE. E (2018 PER-GAME A PASSING 17-27-191 (7.0) 24-38-234 (6.2) ter gaining 375 or m F E E (2018 PER-GAME A	TOTAL 67-475 (7.1) 68-430 (6.3) I teams - avera VERAGES) TOTAL 62-332 (5.4) 71-356 (5.0) nore passing y VERAGES)	0-0 0-1 agging >=4. FUM-INT 1-1 1-1 1-1	+4 -3 75 +3 +3 +3 t gam